1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON RACING, WAGERING AND GAMING
2	COMMITTEE ON RACING, WAGERING AND GAMING
3	
4	New York State Senate Public Hearing:
5	The Future of Racing, Wagering and Gaming in New York State
6	
7	Hearing Room A Legislative Office Bldg.
8	Albany, NY
9	February 7, 2011 10:30 a.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator John J. Bonacic Chair
13	Senate Standing Committee on Racing, Wagering and Gaming
14	PRESENT:
15	Senator Eric Adams (R)
16	Senator Hugh Farley
17	Senator Roy J. McDonald
18	Senator Joseph P. Addabbo, Jr.
19	Senator Jack M. Martins
20	Senator Martin J. Golden
21	Senator Andrea Stewart-Cousins
22	Assemblyman James N. Tedisco
23	
24	

1	LIST OF PARTICIP	ANTS	
2		STATEMENT	<u>QUESTIONS</u>
3	Michael Speller	5	11
4	Resorts World New York		
5	Charles Hayward	18	31
6	New York Racing Association		
7	Michael Amo	84	
8	ThoroFan		
9	Donald Groth	102	127
10	Catskill Off-Tracking Betting Corporation		
11	Arthur T. Walsh	106	
12	General Counsel Nassau Regional Off-Track		
13	Betting Corporation		105
14	John Signor	116	127
15	Capital District Regional Off-Track Betting Corporation		
16	Charles Degliomini	142	
17	Executive Vice President Joseph D'Amato	149	
18	CEO Empire Resorts		
19	Phil Palermo	153	
20	Director of Operations Finger Lakes Casino & Racetrack	ς	
21	Joseph Faraldo	160	
22	President Standardbred Owners Association	n	
23	of New York		
24			

1	LIST OF PARTICIPANTS, Continued
2	<u>STATEMENT</u> <u>QUESTIONS</u>
3	Jeffrey A. Cannizzo 166
4	Jeffrey A. Cannizzo 166 Executive Director New York Thoroughbred
5	Breeders, Inc.
6	Richard Violette, Jr 174 181 President
7	New York Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association, Inc.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Good morning,
everyone. I'd like to thank all our invited
guests for being here today. My name is
John Bonacic, and I chair the Senate
Committee on Racing, Wagering and Gaming.

I'm joined by my colleagues. To my right is Senator McDonald, to my left is Senator Farley, and Senator Addabbo.

We have 11 speakers today. We've asked them to take no more than 10 minutes. As you know, in the next hearing room our Finance Committee is meeting with local government, going over the budget. We have Mayor Bloomberg going to visit, this afternoon, our conference. I plan on closing this meeting down no later than 1:15.

I would just suggest to the speakers, you have given us written testimony, and I appreciate it. And I am going to read every word in the written testimony. I would ask you, if it's at all possible, if you want to summarize and hit your main points. Or if you want to read it, you can read it, but we

can read it too. 1 2 So having said that, our first speaker is going to be Michael Speller, who's 3 president of Resorts World. Michael, come 5 on up. We've also been joined by Senator Martins. Thank you for being here, Senator. 7 MR. SPELLER: Good morning, Chairman 8 Bonacic. 9 10 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Good morning, Michael. 11 MR. SPELLER: I note your request for 12 timeliness, and I will be no more than 13 10 minutes. 14 Good morning once again. Thank you 15 very much, chairman and members of the New 16 York State Senate Committee on Racing and 17 Wagering. On behalf of Genting New York, I 18 would like to thank you and the committee 19 for the opportunity to discuss the future of 20 gaming in New York. 21 As you all know, the New York horse 22 racing industry is facing grave 23 difficulties --24

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Excuse me. Excuse me, Michael.

Can we have a little quiet up there, in courtesy to this gentleman? Thank you.

MR. SPELLER: As you all know, the New York horse racing industry is facing great difficulties, particularly with the closure of New York City OTB. building the Resorts World New York racetrack casino at Aqueduct, which will provide over \$50 million annually to NYRA and over \$50 million annually to support the horsemen through purse enhancement and breeding contributions. We are very appreciative of the help and support received from the state to ensure that our project is constructed as soon as possible, since the sooner we open, the sooner we can help the racing industry.

Resorts World New York will create about a thousand direct permanent jobs and provide the state with at least \$300 million in new taxes for education, for the racing industry and other purposes each and every

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

year. Together with the eight other New
York racetrack casinos, the racetrack casino
industry is expected to raise about
\$1 billion for the state every year -- over
a 40 percent increase after the opening of
Resorts World New York.

With the established million-dollar-ayear VLT tax base, and with over 60 cents of every dollar earned by the racetrack casinos paid to the state in taxes, it is critical to the state budget and the economy that we protect and support this tax base in every way possible. And while protecting this tax base is absolutely critical, we can generate even more tax revenue and create new jobs if the state authorizes table games at racetrack casinos.

This is a strategy that has been very successfully undertaken by Pennsylvania in the last few months. Pennsylvania now has over 750 table games, with every licensed property adding table games, and as a result thousands of new jobs have been created.

By our calculations, if New York State

permits table games at the nine racetrack casinos, it will create at least 10,000 direct jobs, even more indirect jobs, and create a major new annual revenue source for the state, helping spare devastating cuts without asking the people of the State of New York for more of their paychecks in taxes.

New York is surrounded by states that already have commercial casinos or racetrack casinos in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and most likely Massachusetts in the very new future. Those casinos attract New Yorkers every day, and those states then capture taxes that could instead be going to the State of New York. Over the course of the year, New Yorkers spend billions of dollars each year at In a budget climate out-of-state casinos. like this, Mr. Chairman, letting that revenue slip through the state's fingers seems inefficient and unwise.

For racetrack casinos in New York, 62 cents out of every dollar goes to the

2324

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

state, 42 cents goes to education funding,

10 cents goes to the lottery, 9 cents goes

to horse racing purses, and 1 percent goes

to the horse breeding industry. As I noted

previously, when Resorts World New York

comes online, the nine New York State

racetrack casinos will generate over a

billion dollars a year in revenues and taxes

for the state, approximately \$100 million of

which will go to the horse racing industry.

On a macro basis, for every dollar that is

spent on gaming by New Yorkers at casinos

outside of New York, that means the

taxpayers lose 62 cents and the horse racing

industry loses at least 10 cents.

Giving New Yorkers the option of being able to stay in-state and patronize New York's racetrack casinos with table games means creating tens of thousands of new jobs all across the state at a time when the economy could really use it. It means new construction, new development, new opportunities. It means giving tourists another reason to come to New York. It

means making the most of the assets we already have and it means more opportunity for the horse racing industry. In tough times like these, that is what smart and efficient government is all about.

We know that the New York Constitution currently does not permit table games at casinos. We know it will take legislation passed by two successive legislatures to place the issue on the ballot. We know it will then require approval from the voters themselves, and we know that will require a lot of work. And we believe it is time to start now with the first passage in 2011.

We have worked together with the other racetrack casinos to create the New York

Gaming Association so that all of New York's racetrack casinos are speaking with one voice and conveying one message regarding table games for racetrack casinos. We look forward to working with the members of this committee to help talk about and shape the future of gaming at racetrack casinos in New York which will create tens of thousands of

direct and indirect jobs throughout the State of New York and raise hundreds of millions in new taxes for our state, the education fund, and the horse racing industry itself.

Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for inviting me here today.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you, Mr. Speller. Just a couple of quick questions.

How is the Aqueduct project going, and what's your timeline to have it completed?

MR. SPELLER: It is going very well.

Construction is underway. We are working through our demolition process, and remediation. And we are working towards a midsummer opening, which we think we will make, and we're very excited to do that.

And thank you, everybody, for helping get through the process of permitting and all of the other issues that we face in the state.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: My second question, would your organization have any interest in operating a unified off-track

betting system if for some reason the state's OTB systems were consolidated?

MR. SPELLER: It's little bit early for me for respond to that without adequate knowledge of having to look at all of the intricacies of how that would work. But we would be certainly happy to take a look at it and respond back to you at a future date.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: What timeline for a future date?

MR. SPELLER: I don't have a time for you just yet. And to be honest with you, we are very focused on delivering the project to the state, and it has got us pretty consumed right now.

And the intricacies of running an OTB system, although we are -- as you know, we are a very large gaming company, one of the largest in the world, we do not operate any systems of that nature, so we don't have any historical background to draw upon to tell you what those intricacies might be to get to an end result. But we'll be happy to take a look at it.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you.

Does any other -- Senator Addabbo.

SENATOR ADDABBO: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. And I want to thank you and your committee for this hearing today.

Mr. Speller, thank you very much for being here today. And growing up in the shadows of Aqueduct -- I live blocks away from the racetrack -- I want to thank
Mr. Speller and the team at Genting for their professionalism as they meet with us in the community every month to go over their status and obviously answer any concerns that we have. So again, I want to take this opportunity to thank Mr. Speller for that as well.

And also I am thankful to be given the opportunity to save the racetrack as a racetrack to help the racing industry, the horse racing industry, which is such a part of our state history. As depicted right there on the picture behind us, it is part of our history in the state. And again, to be given that opportunity to save Aqueduct

Racetrack as a racetrack, I am thankful for 1 the opportunity. 2 Mr. Speller, I wish you all the best 3 going forward at Aqueduct. 5 MR. SPELLER: Thank you very much. SENATOR ADDABBO: I do have a 6 question for you. You know, you mentioned 7 table gaming, and obviously that is an opportunity maybe of untapped resources or 9 10 potential that the racetrack may have. are the concerns or do you see any 11 roadblocks or hurdles that Genting would 12 have to jump over, or any outside concerns 13 that you may have that would hamper or 14 hinder Genting's success at Aqueduct? 15 I don't think we see MR. SPELLER: 16 any hurdles. I think we focus on this 17 project as a great project. We are focused 18 very tightly on delivering something that 19 the state will be very, very proud of. 20 But we think in general that a 21 comprehensive and thoughtful table games 22 strategy for the State of New York would be 23 beneficial. We have table games surrounding

us in three states, four, and one more to come, with Massachusetts, probably fairly soon. Revenue is flowing out of the state. Taxes are being captured by other states in that regard.

And we think a very thoughtful and comprehensive table gaming plan for the nine racetrack casinos -- and remember, we have nine casinos in the state now, and it's a great opportunity to expand on their ability to provide a full-service product, number one, which will keep a lot of those customers that currently leave the state every Friday, Saturday, Sunday and go south to Atlantic City or north up into the other areas, to stay here in New York, and would give the state the opportunity to generate additional taxes, which we think would be very, very significant.

Because for each additional job that would be created in gaming as far as table games is concerned, there are a lot of additional jobs that get created as a result of that. There's additional food and

beverage, there's additional security, 1 there's additional ancillary jobs in the 2 communities themselves. And because there 3 are more employees, then the multiplier 4 factor, which we can use a 1.5, 2.5 or 3 5 multiplier factor, those dollars flow into the local communities and more jobs get 7 created as a result of that. 8 SENATOR ADDABBO: Thank you, 9 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Speller. 10 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator McDonald. 11 SENATOR McDONALD: Thank you, 12 Mr. Speller. I wonder if you may help 13 somebody out who doesn't have that kind of 14 background in gaming that you do. Who would 15 you consider the major competitors that you 16 face for that gambling dollar? Not just in 17 this state, but if you consider outside 18 states, other communities, who are your 19 20 competitors? We run a VLT operation MR. SPELLER: 21 within the state which is not as competitive 22 as a regular slot game, slot machine. 23 Regular slot machines, they get more R&D 24

money. It takes time for those models to come down to VLT operators. And we are limited strictly to VLTs and no additional gaming, whereas all of the competitors around us run full-scale gaming. They run resort gaming and some of those properties employ 8,000, 9,000 and 10,000 employees apiece.

So we compete for that business very hard. And as you know, Genting is a worldwide company. We are very interested in competing; we understand that. We've also been here in some form or fashion in the Northeast for the last 20 years, and we understand our markets very well here.

So for us to compete better and to be able to deliver a better product, certainly for the customer and certainly for the state and taxes, the opportunity to run a full-service gaming operation which would include table games, perhaps poker and other opportunities, regular slots, would be a great opportunity for the state to keep those funds in the state that are currently

1	going out to Jersey, Pennsylvania, and
2	Connecticut.
3	SENATOR McDONALD: Thank you, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: I have no further
5	questions other than to say I agree with you
6	that we should have a constitutional
7	amendment to allow those kinds of gaming in
8	the State of New York. We tried that in the
9	'90s; unfortunately, it didn't pass. But I
10	have visited all those other places that you
11	refer to, and they're eating our lunch. And
12	it might be an appropriate time for our
13	Legislature and our Governor to be thinking
14	of a constitutional amendment.
15	I thank you for being here.
16	MR. SPELLER: Mr. Chairman, thank you
17	very much.
18	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Our next speaker
19	is Charles Hayward, president and CEO of the
20	New York Racing Association.
21	I've been joined by our ranker, Senator
22	Eric Adams. Eric, good morning.
23	SENATOR ADAMS: Good morning.
24	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Good morning,

1	Chairman Bonacic.
2	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Good morning.
3	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Good morning,
4	other Senators.
5	I feel that the NYRA tracks are very
6	well represented here. Senator Adams is
7	from Brooklyn, not too far away, and very
8	knowledgeable in the racing industry.
9	Senator Addabbo's been a great friend of
10	Aqueduct. We work very closely with those
11	folks. We've got our two Senators from the
12	Saratoga region; we obviously work closely
13	with those folks. And welcome Jack Martins
14	to the Belmont community and hope to get him
15	out to Belmont as soon as we're open.
16	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: I see you didn't
17	mention Monticello Raceway. Well
18	represented today.
19	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well represented.
20	I've just got to worry about NYRA; I can't
21	worry about everybody else at the moment.
22	(Laughter.)
23	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'm going to speak
24	briefly today about some lessons that I

think we can learn from the closure of New York City OTB and perhaps suggest to you what might be looming on the horizon.

The first lesson is that the 1970s

parlor strategy that was conducted by New

York City OTB till the day it went down is

not viable in today's competitive

marketplace for the leisure dollar. Many of

New York City's OTB parlors were from the

'70s. They were delapidated and rundown.

Most of them had no hospitality or amenities

in the parlors. They were all very

labor-intensive and did not take advantage

of any new self-service technologies, by and

large.

Winning bets paid a 5 percent surcharge. I mean, it's hard enough to win -- and then to pay another 5 points on top of it is just not acceptable and isn't done anyplace else in the country.

And the New York City OTB parlors were many people's introduction to horse racing that created a very strong negative view of the sport of horse racing.

24

Lesson number two. New York City OTB was making below-market payments to NYRA and to purses. Just to frame that up for you, on a live NYRA race on, let's say, a \$100 bet, \$20 is takeout, \$2.6 go to NYRA, \$3.8 go to purses, and the remaining roughly 16 percent go to OTB. When we get into -and in a moment you'll see when we have a live-track bet, we don't get 2.6 percent, we get 10 percent on a live-track dollar. every live-track dollar we get that didn't go to New York City OTB is worth four times as much as a New York City bet. Similarly for purses; purses get 6 percent, so it's worth twice as much.

Contrary to New York City OTB's assertion, it was not overpaying the racing industry. Approximately 50 percent of New York City OTB's revenue was applied to racing industry payments and taxes, and the remaining business was a 50 percent profit margin business, which by any stretch of any imagination is a very good profit margin.

Lesson number 3. New York City OTB had

a huge negative impact on live-track handle and attendance. I don't think I have to tell anybody here that this past January was probably one of the worst-weather Januaries we've had in a long time. However, January 2011 versus 2010, Aqueduct, little Aqueduct, had a 32.3 percent increase in attendance and our handle was up 32 percent and our simulcast wagering -- that is, wagering on simulcast tracks that we broadcast -- was up 82.8 percent.

Account wagering was facilitated by some new policies that were promulgated by the New York State Racing and Wagering Board, and I thank Chairman Sabini for his help in that regard. Those policies were as of December 29, 2010, NYRA could video stream its own races on its own website and take wagers. Despite the Internet law that was passed by the Senate and the Assembly and signed by the Governor in 2006, we were the only racetrack in the country that wasn't able to video stream our own races and wager on them. Now we can, and we can

do it with simulcast wagering.

They created instant sign-ups and online sign-ups for NYRA Rewards account holders. We set up an ability to transfer money from your checking account into NYRA Rewards. And for those winners that won, you could transfer from NYRA Rewards back to your checking account.

And again, the Racing and Wagering
Board authorized NYRA to be able to make
bets on harness tracks, which we couldn't do
before. And in fact we have taken over
Channel 71 in the city. It goes into 2.5
million homes. And we're working on a deal
to start simulcasting Yonkers on our Channel
71 within the next couple of weeks.

The result of that was our Internet wagering during the month of January versus the prior year is up 124.6 percent. Our phone wagering is up 99 percent. In 2011, the projected account wagering from the NYRA phone and Internet will be greater than all five regional OTBs' account wagering platforms combined. If the state is looking

for one operator of an ADW, I suggest that you consider looking to NYRA.

Lesson Number 4, a wagering facility with amenities and appropriate staffing can be successful.

New York City closed their doors on

December 7th. The first day we were without
wagering was December 8th. At Belmont Park
we have an area called the Belmont Cafe. In
that huge building, it's the only area that
has any heat. And it has that heat because
that's where the trainers watch the horses
work out in the morning. It's got a
capacity of about 150 people. We opened
that up five days after New York City OTB
had closed. It had capacity for 150 people,
and within one week it was completely full
to capacity.

We decided on an expansion plan where we brought in heating and new plumbing into the bathrooms, we built out a simulcast area, we added numerous flat-screen televisions and related amenities -- there's full food service, full bar service. We

have capacity for over 500 customers, and we got that work done in two weeks.

The last three Saturdays, Belmont Cafe has had over 400 customers and they wagered over \$200,000 each day. In fact, this past Saturday we had 470 customers that wagered \$245,000. This is done with only eight pari-mutuel clerks and over 100 self-service betting machines. We believe that the Belmont Cafe will be highest-volume off-track betting facility in 2011 in New York State.

So what is the application of some of the lessons that we've learned, and how can that be applied to the regional OTBs?

NYRA became intimately aware of the operational and financial structure of New York City OTB through the failed Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings. NYRA's lessons were expensive, as NYRA continues to be owed over \$20 million from New York City OTB.

And we're very concerned about the financial condition, if you will, of the remaining OTBs. For example, today Suffolk

owes us over a million dollars, and they've advised us that they're going to have some difficulty making payments in the future, and we're working with them hopefully to do that.

But reducing payments by New York State OTBs to the breeders, purses and tracks is not the solution. If the OTBs want to change payment terms, then let's eliminate statutory payments and let's just negotiate business terms. And that might be a better solution.

In fact, in the case of Suffolk OTB, where NYRA is approximately 40 percent of the thoroughbred handle -- which is true pretty much for all the OTBs -- if they paid nothing to NYRA for our races, I believe if you look at the books they will still be not profitable in 2010.

The regional OTBs collectively have a hundred million dollars in operating and administrative expenses. I believe also that three out of the five OTBs in 2010 that remain will not have an operating profit

this year, and collectively the five will not have an operating profit. We have an important issue, obviously, that needs to be addressed.

I want to briefly mention out-of-state ADWs, because that's sometimes put up by the OTBs as saying that, you know, they compete unfairly and they don't return anything. I can tell you the out-of-state ADWs, which are regulated in their own states, they have agreements with the State Racing and Wagering Board. The top six ADWs made total payments to NYRA of \$19.6 million last year; 9.8 went to purses and 9.8 went to operations.

Besides questions involving state regulation of interstate commerce, there is no demonstration that a regulatory scheme to require in-state bettors to wager through in-state wagering platforms would ultimately inure to the benefit of the regional OTBs.

I was pleased to read some comments that Senator Bonacic made saying he'd like to bring the Breeders' Cup back to New York.

I agree with that. It was in Churchill

Downs last year; the two years before that,

it was at Santa Anita. It's going to be at

Churchill in 2011. But we're very actively

working on getting it for 2012.

We had a very productive meeting in

Nassau County this past weekend; they've

expressed some interest. We are probably

going to need some legislation that has been

done in the past, facilitating some waivers

of some taxes and some other things that

we're going to be speaking to you folks

about.

In concluding this part of my remarks, the regional OTBs have to be consolidated and they should be part of the retail network for the distribution of the New York racetrack signals. All tracks in New York do exactly what the OTBs do: We turn on the lights, we turn on the television sets, and we open up pari-mutuel wagering. In fact, all of the tracks, thoroughbred and harness, run their own OTB operations as simulcast locations when they're not operating. So

the OTB business is something that we're familiar with.

In addition to OTB consolidation, there are a number of critical industry consolidations that need to occur to improve the cost and improve service to the customers.

Number one, all racing entities should be consolidated on one tote platform. There are three tote companies. They all function in New York. In fact, our tote company is also Capital's Tote company, but we have separate contracts and obviously we could have some financial improvement.

And it also would be a great service to customers. You would have one tote machine that you could work on, whether you're at a harness track, thoroughbred, or at an OTB.

We also need to go to one statewide account wagering platform for phone,
Internet, mobile and off-track and on-track wagering. You look around the state, all the OTBs have hired third-party people to build an account wagering network for them,

and the only people making money on those operations are the third-party vendors.

And again, it would be a great help to the customers so they could bet on -- do an account wagering, whether it's phone,

Internet, they could go to the track, they could go off-track. Tremendous savings and tremendous convenience for the customer.

We should have a statewide television production and distribution system.

Currently NYRA and Capital OTB have substantial investments in television that could be combined to service the entire state and raise the quality of the racing product. Senator Bonacic has already spoken about his desire to see improved fan education and development of the fans. I think that's something that we could do very easily on that television platform.

And finally, we need a statewide branded marketing program that would include a customer rewards program and would provide consistent messaging in advertising, publicity and promotion. In addition,

targeted promotions would be developed for key racing events throughout the year -racetrack openings, stallion series, Belmont Stakes, Travers Stakes, et cetera.

Currently we have a tremendous opportunity in front of us. We can embrace it and develop new business opportunities that will benefit communities, New York State, and all racing stakeholders, including New York breeders, horsemen, racetracks and, most importantly, the racing fan, who is the engine that drives the industry. We can make huge strides, or we can keep on doing what we're doing with an inevitable disastrous outcome.

Many of the people in this room can help shape a better future for horse racing, Thank and I hope that we choose to do so. you.

> CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you.

We've been joined by Senator Golden and Senator Stewart-Cousins. Good morning.

Could you identify the gentleman to your right?

20 21

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'm sorry. 1 Patrick Kehoe is our senior VP and general 2 counsel, and he also doubles as the head of 3 legislative affairs. My apologies, Senator. 4 Let me just throw CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 5 out a couple of questions, if I can. 6 In your opinion, did the Legislature 7 make a mistake when they closed the OTB in 8 Manhattan? 9 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: It's a great 10 question. 11 I think in the near term, given the 12 state that the industry is in, I think it 13 would have been better if we could have gone 14 forward with the New York City OTB. 15 There were a lot of flaws in the 16 business model, as I suggested. 17 creditors committee, of which we were a part 18 19 and the harness tracks were a part, worked for a long time with DC 37, one of the 20 unions, to craft a plan that we thought 21 would reduce payments to us but it would 22

23

24

keep OTB going, would preserve those jobs,

although they agreed to cut some of those

jobs. And we were very much in favor for it. We lobbied with the Assembly to vote for it, we lobbied with the Senate to vote for it. And of course it did not get passed in the Senate.

Having said that, with it closed and it's done and it's over, we think there still remain some significant opportunities. So rather than talk about the merits of that plan or not, I think we need to focus on what we've learned about what the racetracks can do, what opportunities exist going forward.

You know, we've been talking for two
years about getting video streaming and
being competitive. One of the biggest lack
of competitive issues with other ADWs was
the fact that they could video stream races.
So we were pushing dollars out of New York
State even for New York bettors. And I was
glad to see the Racing and Wagering Board
doing that. There are some other things, as
I mentioned, that they've done.

So I think with closure behind us, and

it is behind us, I think there are ways that one could get back in the wagering business in the city -- that's for another day -- that could present some significant opportunity for the industry.

But, you know, when it closed, since they were our largest customer, they were about 12 or 13 percent of our revenue, there were outcries that we were going to go out of business. Well, we're not going out of business. We're here. We hope we can make up the hole that was created for purses and for NYRA. We're very much looking forward to the opening of Mr. Speller's great facility.

And by the way, Mr. Speller and his team have been great to work with. I agree with Senator Addabbo, who spoke about how good that company's been. They've been great in communicating with us.

So I think, you know, we move forward and we focus on the problems of the OTB, the fan education that you talked about, account wagering, television, marketing and so

forth.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: You indicated that it was about 12 or 13 percent of your revenue, the Manhattan OTB. I know it's a short window, but can you tell me what portion in this short period of time that you think has been recaptured for NYRA?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Sure. I can tell you on the net revenue line from handle for the month of January, our revenue and -- the revenue to NYRA for 2011 was a little bit higher than it was in 2010, even with our out-of-state export business being down about 8 percent. So on the NYRA revenue side, you know, we've maintained that.

Now, we've done some few things -we're now paying for the in-home at Channel
71, that's \$3 million a year. We're getting
buses. We average about 200 people a day
we're bussing from different points in the
city. So we have -- and that's in a pretty
gloomy weather month.

So we have a lot of optimism that on the revenue side we'll net out fine to NYRA.

Because the differential from a bet at OTB 1 was 4 to 1 for us, and for purses it was 2 about 2 to 1. You know, we have had a 3 shortfall of about a million dollars in 4 purses for the month of January. We think 5 that we can make that up. Our goal is to pay at least the same level of purses in 2011 that we did in 2010. That's going to 8 be helped to some degree by the VLTs that 9 are going to start sometime over the summer, 10 as Mr. Speller said. 11 So we feel that it was a big hole to 12 13

So we feel that it was a big hole to fill. We're optimistic that on the revenue side we can certainly make NYRA whole, and we're hoping that we can do it on the purse side eventually as well.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: I'm going to ask you a couple more questions because I got you there.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Good. You can get me here again if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Could you comment on the dark day payments and the -- I didn't see it in your notes -- and the maintenance

24

23

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of effort payments? Are they a thing of the past? How does it affect NYRA? I'd like your expertise on it.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: It's a great question. We don't make any maintenance of effort payments; that's really the relationship between the harness tracks and the OTBs. So I can't answer that.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Well, you can answer from your experience of being in the business, even though you're not hands-on -- if you know. If you want to.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'll just use one example. When OTB was created in the '70s, Capital OTB was created. And as a result of that, as I understand it, Saratoga Harness makes a payment to Capital OTB. And that payment's been set, and that's been ka-ching, ka-ching, ka-ching ever since that time.

Well, a lot has changed since that time. Now you have Saratoga Harness, which Saratoga County doesn't have, and the OTBs, except they've got one of the larger OTBs in

the Capital District in the harness track, because they do a lot of the simulcasting there. So that's a different model. Yet they're still sending money, Capital is still sending money to them.

And then VLTs came; that changed the economics. You've got a state-controlled or community-controlled OTB that's still making payments to a private entity, and the economics have been turned completely upside down, and the racing handle has declined.

So it just seems to me that one should look at that from a fairness perspective and from just an economic model standpoint.

That's, you know, the best example I can give you, but there are other examples throughout the whole state. I don't mean to pick on them.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator Farley has a question.

SENATOR FARLEY: Thank you, Charlie.

Let me begin by saying from my perspective,

and I think others in the Capital Region,

Saratoga is the crown jewel of NYRA. So

we're delighted to have you.

And incidentally, seated next to him is the son of Senator Paul Kehoe, one of the great jurists and senators and dear friend, and counsel to your group.

I have a question. Of course I think
you would agree that purses drive the
quality of your racing, generally speaking.
And there is a proposal that the Governor
has made for a 2.5 percent surcharge on
purses. How do you feel about that?
Because we're going to have to address that.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Sure. I believe what you're referring to is a proposal to take 2.75 percent from all earned purses.

So that would be deducted from purses that would have otherwise been paid to owners.

And as I understand it, the reason for that request is that the New York State Racing and Wagering Board is running at a deficit, so our regulator right now is losing money. And that doesn't seem to make sense.

I do think that there's an equity

situation in terms of where that money comes from and then where the money is going to be spent. We're one of two harness tracks in the state -- I mean thoroughbred tracks. There's eight other harness tracks. There's regulation of the harness tracks and thoroughbred tracks, Indian casinos and other things. And half of that 2.75 percent, as I understand it, would be about \$7 million or \$8 million. And our individual owners would be paying half of that money, and the use of that money would be certainly spread out.

so I think -- I understand the concern and the reason, that we've all got to belt-tighten here in the state. I just think that we'd like to work with the State Racing and Wagering Board and just find something that would be a little bit more equitable in terms of --

SENATOR FARLEY: Well, do you think that would have a chilling effect on the horsemen that would come to this state?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Look, our total

purses paid last year about \$105 million. 1 You know, \$3 million or \$4 million is 3 or 2 4 percent. You know, I can tell you right 3 now, most owners that own horses and race with us, even the very best ones lose money. 5 So I think anything that -- and we've lost a lot of owners already. We've lost --7 the foal crops have gone down 25 percent, you know, nationally. Those foal crops have 9 an impact on the number of horses that are 10 sold and the number of horses that go into 11 12 training and so forth. So I think that it's a fair statement 13 14 15

that any further pressure we put on owners is going to inhibit participation. know, how dramatic that would be, you know, I can't speak to. But I do think there's an equitable issue here that needs to be addressed, and we'll be talking to the board about that.

> Okay, thank you. SENATOR FARLEY:

Senator Golden. CHAIRMAN BONACIC:

Thank you very much, SENATOR GOLDEN:

Mr. Chairman. I apologize for being late.

20 21

16

17

18

19

22

23

1	We have another hearing going on, on local
2	cities, and that's why I was late.
3	Interesting testimony. I have just a
4	few questions. I know we see the OTB has
5	closed in the City of New York, a billion
6	down to \$750 million. And what portion of
7	that, I guess the telephone and Internet
8	operation of I guess it's about
9	\$250 million, I guess, or somewhere in that
10	category?
11	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: They were budgeted
12	to do about \$150 million in phone and
13	Internet. It's been declining. It was up
14	over about \$225 million. They were going to
15	do 150 125, and that was going to be over
16	the phone, and 25 was going to be Internet.
17	Internet was a relatively small piece.
18	SENATOR GOLDEN: And the phones?
19	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: The phone was 125.
20	SENATOR GOLDEN: And so that's what,
21	200 and
22	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well, it's 150:
23	125 plus 25.
24	SENATOR GOLDEN: And the OTBs that

are closed in the city -- you've had problems yourself. And you believe that could operate the track and some of these OTBs going forward?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'd answer that a slightly different way. In most racing jurisdictions, whether it's Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Illinois, Arizona, the OTBs, the off-track betting outlets, are retail distribution arms of the racetracks. For example, in Chicago each track will have their own OTBs; in other places they'll be a distribution arm of the OTBs.

So I'm not suggesting that NYRA should take over and run the OTBs, but I'm suggesting that the racing industry, the harness and the thoroughbred tracks together can create a more efficient, much more cost-effective way. Because we do -- as I said earlier, we do everything that the OTBs do, and we do a lot more.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Should New York City be reconstituted, the OTBs?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I think that what

you see in the most successful areas across the country now are off-track betting that accommodates hospitality -- sports bars, things like that -- where you can really provide an entertainment opportunity rather than just a pure betting environment. And I think it's a healthier, frankly, and a more competitive situation.

SENATOR GOLDEN: And that would obviously increase revenue and opportunity across the state; right?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Yeah. Just as an example, you can go down to Woodbridge,

New Jersey, where they opened an OTB about

20,000 square feet. It cost them \$8 million to build it. Their first year in business they did \$90 million, which was more than double the handle of any OTB in the State of New York.

So I think we're not talking -- you know, we're not projecting about things that haven't been tried and done, we're just comparing our performance here in this state, which is woeful in comparison to many

1	of the other states.
2	SENATOR GOLDEN: You hear a lot about
3	New Jersey and other states, about their
4	mutuels. And we have how many handles here
5	in the State of New York? And how do we get
6	those handles under control? Would one
7	handle be better, one mutuel be better?
8	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'm sorry, I
9	didn't understand the question.
10	SENATOR GOLDEN: There are a number
11	of handles that are across the state. How
12	many handles are there in this state?
13	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Handles?
14	SENATOR GOLDEN: Yeah. How many
15	mutuels we use how many? We had OTB
16	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Right. There's
17	five regional OTBs, eight harness tracks,
18	two thoroughbred tracks.
19	SENATOR GOLDEN: Okay. So each had
20	their own
21	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: They have their
22	own tote system, they have their own
23	betting
24	SENATOR GOLDEN: Tote system. And do

they have any tote systems in New Jersey? 1 2 3 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: 5 6 7 8 9 platform. SENATOR GOLDEN: 10 11 12 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: 13 14 to \$10 million a year range. 15 16 17 18 ticket virtually anywhere. 19 SENATOR GOLDEN: 20 21 22 23 24

One tote system or a number of tote systems? California is the biggest and best example of one consolidated Really, the state of New Jersey, aside from a couple of small harness tracks, is really controlled by Monmouth and the Meadowlands, and they're on one tote

And what would the difference be if we had -- what would the State of New York gain if we had tote?

In terms of cost? I would say it's probably in the \$5 million

But more importantly, you'd gain efficiency in terms of, you know, customer service and ability to go in and cash a

The deal that we failed to vote on -- and DC 37 obviously lost a number of employees, and gambling is shut down legally in the City of New York except for Internet and phone. One of the

reasons we entered into OTB was because of the illegality of gambling. The illegality of gambling is back in the City of New York.

So it would be in the interest of this obviously committee here and the State of New York to come up with a process by which we can get New York City back up and running and to come up with a plan that would bring everything under, if possible -- of course, this is my testimony here to you, asking what do you believe would happen if we had a procurement for gambling for the State of New York that went out, a request for proposal that would go out to cover all of the OTBs across the State of New York and the gambling at the racetracks that were owned by the State of New York.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I think if you have a third party to come in and operate the OTBs, we're going to be in the same mess five years down the road that we're in today. You're going to have a tremendous amount of infighting about where the money should go. And if it's a for-profit

business, you're going to be putting an organization in here whose stated goal should be to maximize shareholder return and not to maximize revenues that go to the industry. And that's the tug that you get.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Brick and mortar, though, is what the problem has been in the City of New York and probably a problem as well in upstate. I believe Internet and phone is the future of gambling. The tracks obviously play a significant role, because there'd be no racing here in the State of New York, this is the greatest state in the nation.

And I believe if we had the opportunity to put this out for bid that a third party coming in to operate the OTBs, the gambling operations here in the State of New York, I believe we'd have a lot of takers. And we'd probably come up with some decent dollars.

And I believe that they'd come up with a structure that works, not the present structure that's there.

What do you think about that?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Again, I think that you'd be putting the off-track network in competition with the racetracks. If you take our account wagering platform, we're going to be bigger than the five OTBs combined. You bring in New York City OTB to run it or let them run the whole state, we're going to be directly in competition with them.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Not a government agency. I'm talking about a private request for proposal with a private enterprise that would come in -- not a government entity -- take gambling away from the City and State of New York, put it into the people that know how to do this well, and put a bid out there that would allow for the racing and wagering for the State of New York, where you might yourself bid for it.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Again -- and if we ended up with it, that would be great.

Right now we don't have the cash to make such a bid.

SENATOR GOLDEN: You'd have to find some partners, I would imagine. But I believe that if we were reasonable to put together a request for procurement that would allow for this to go out to a public partnership, I believe that we could encourage gambling, get a better product at the end of the day, and bring in more dollars not just from the city but across the State of New York and outside the State of New York.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I guess, you know, what I would say is that I think you have very competent people across the eight tracks that we have that are conducting exactly what is going on in the OTBs.

And I would suggest to you -- and we could work on the math -- that bringing in a third party that would put themselves, you know, basically in a situation be competitive with the tracks, you're going to have a decline in monies to purses, you're going to have a decline in owners. And, you know -- but that's just my opinion.

I think it's -- you know, if the state wants to look at privatizing it and shipping it out, I think fine, but I think it's going to have severe consequences to the racing industry, which --

SENATOR GOLDEN: I think the opposite happens. I think when you privatize racing here in the State of New York, you open it up. You bring in people from around the world to take a look at this operation, and they enhance this operation by bringing fresh dollars and a fresh look at it and bringing this gaming to where it should be.

And that's without even going into the racinos or what should be casinos here in the State of New York, which will be a question right after I finish this -- this -- I got two more questions,

Mr. Chairman.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'd just make one comment, if I could, though. Which is that if you look at the for-profit models that exist out there in thoroughbred racing, they're Magna Entertainment, which just

underwent a huge bankruptcy proceeding, and their investors are running away from the racetracks because Mr. Stronach's put a plan to do that.

The other big for-profit company is
Churchill Downs, which has sold off some of
its racetracks, and their most recent
acquisition was buying a casino in
Mississippi. And if you look at what their
breeding industries have done and -- I just
don't think that -- you know, racing has
been a declining business and handle, as you
point out.

And I think that we have the ability, we have the largest betting base, we have the best racing, both in harness and in thoroughbreds, and I think collectively the racing industry should be allowed to conduct their own wagering.

SENATOR GOLDEN: I agree with you, I think we have some of the greatest racing here in this country. But I do believe we can do much, much better.

I think one of the reasons OTB closed

is because of the brick and mortar. You know how much money we could have made had we had the opportunity to set up sports bars or come in with a different concept or an idea and to bring those fresh ideas to the table and allow these procurements to go out so that we can make a profit in this industry and make this a favorable sport here again in this great state?

And that's before, again -- my next question is putting in the racinos or the casinos, future racinos, casinos. You can't do anything with the Indian-owned, you can't do anything with separate entities that are privately owned. But if we were to add the racinos with the potential of a, slash, casinos in the future, and we were to offer that to a bid as well as part of the racing industry, what do you think that does?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well, Mr. Speller spoke earlier. They have a very knowledgeable company, the biggest and best in the world, and they're not in the off-track betting business. There may be a

1	reason for that; I don't know.
2	I can't you know, I can't comment on
3	the casino/racino business, I can just
4	really speak to the racing side.
5	SENATOR GOLDEN: Well, you see
6	Yonkers is doing very successfully with it,
7	no?
8	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Absolutely.
9	SENATOR GOLDEN: And what are they up
10	to, their annual take last year, at the
11	racino?
12	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: You know, I don't
13	know what the handle figures are. I think
14	they're doing \$300 a day on their
15	machines
16	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Marty, I have five
17	other Senators that want to question
18	Mr. Hayward, so
19	SENATOR GOLDEN: Okay. I hear you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	I'm going to close. And I believe that
22	the this is an industry that should
23	and this committee here, under this
24	chairman, should take a look at how we can

in fact look at opportunities at enhancing 1 2 this industry. And I believe one of the issues that 3 should be on the table is privatization of 4 OTBs, tracks, OTB tracks separately, OTB 5 track racinos, OTB track racinos/casinos, 6 OTB regional, gambling regional, City of New 7 York only, Suffolk/Nassau only, upstate only. Bid it out and let's see what we can 9 do as a state under a new set of guidelines, 10 under a new approach to making this a 11 profitable and interesting sport as we go 12 forward, and making it profitable for all of 13 14 us. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator Martins. 16 SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you. 17 Good morning. 18 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Good morning. 19 SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you, 20 Mr. Hayward. 21 It appears that there are areas where 22 NYRA is in direct competition with these 23

regional OTBs. It's just -- it's a matter

of fact.

It looks like there are -- I guess in the aftermath of the New York City OTB closing and the aftermath of, you know, expanded VLT usage, do you feel that the paradigm between OTBs NYRA and the tracks needs to be reevaluated? Certainly you mentioned during your testimony there were comments about the contributions and the statutory payments between OTBs and the tracks and NYRA. Does that need to be reevaluated at this point as well?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Yeah, I think the -- the short answer is yes.

I think if you look at -- if you go back to approximately 2000, the OTBs across the state were returning almost a hundred million dollars to the communities. If you look at that money today, it's almost nonexistent.

So I think -- what does that tell you?

I think that if you were looking at the

OTBs -- and there's some merit to what he is

suggesting, the Senator is suggesting -- is

that there's tremendous duplicative function. We have a legal department that does all our simulcast contracts. Nassau, Suffolk all have legal departments that do their simulcasting contracts.

You know, when you get a president of OTB up here later and you're talking to them, I'd ask that president what the five OTBs do that he couldn't do alone. I think if -- you know, I just think there's a tremendous duplication. They should be bigger and better retailers and put the consolidated business functions in with the tracks and run that. I think that would mean a lot more money for the community. I think you'd have better operations.

I do think there needs to be a reset, and I applaud the Senator for, you know, being provocative and raising a lot of questions. But -- this is not the way that I think it should go, but I'm glad that people are asking those questions.

SENATOR MARTINS: But there is competition between NYRA and the regional

OTBs now. And certainly we can go through examples of where NYRA's efforts to expand its own profitability has -- or may -- also butt up against the OTBs and the regional OTBs' abilities to continue to provide for themselves, wouldn't you agree?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Perfect question.

Belmont Cafe --

SENATOR MARTINS: You read my mind.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: -- that \$245,000 that we got there on Saturday just didn't come out of the air. There's an OTB probably 3/8 of a mile down the road. We know -- the OTB manager's come in and told us his business is off 25 percent. But the purse monies from that wagering at Belmont is much more profitable for NYRA and for the horsemen than the payments that we get from the OTB.

SENATOR MARTINS: And hence my question, at some point when there is that kind of competition -- and we're talking about 3/8 of a mile; it may be even closer than that. You know, when you get to that

level of competition, is there not now an 1 imperative that we reevaluate the statutory 2 payments and the relationships between the 3 OTBs and NYRA to streamline, as you mentioned and as you suggested, but also to 5 reevaluate those statutory payments to make sure that we're not artificially creating a 7 crisis for our OTBs as well? 8 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Yeah, listen, 9 we're talking about bricks and mortar when 10 we talk about Hempstead Turnpike and 11 Belmont. You look at the Internet, you look 12 at the phone, there is no bricks and mortar. 13 Why should there be six Internet platforms 14 and all of, you know, subcompetitive to 15 what's out there across the state? 16 No, Mr. Hayward, 17 SENATOR MARTINS: I'm not suggesting Belmont as the only 18 example. But certainly a reevaluation of 19 the process itself --20 I completely agree NYRA CEO HAYWARD: 21 with you. 22 -- because there is SENATOR MARTINS: 23 a whole new paradigm out there that has to 24

be reevaluated. 1 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator Adams. 3 SENATOR ADAMS: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. Thank you as well, 5 Mr. Hayward. 6 First, I think that one of the 7 suggestions I believe we can do that would be beneficial to the entire industry is that 9 the entire committee go take a road trip and 10 really visit the tracks, the horsemen, the 11 industry, and we have a better 12 understanding. I know that was one of the 13 first things I did as the chair, and as the 14 ranker I would suggest that we do that as 15 well. 16 17 The second thing is the question was 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

raised about competition. We should not and we cannot create another environment where the industry is competing against itself. The Governor put in place five groups to look at various industries, and I believe the answers for the industry should come from the industry.

We should do the same, allow the industry to come with suggestions on what we need to do to deal with the issues in racing

in the State of New York.

My question to you directly is dealing with the employees of OTB. We laid off or terminated a substantial number of employees. Many of them do not have their health benefits. A lot of them are retirees who no longer have health benefits. What can we do to ensure that those employees who were part of the industry -- and these are all family members of the industry -- how can we in some way find a safeguard or safe landing for the large number of employees who no longer have any form of benefits at all?

I think it was a mistake that we allowed those benefits to expire. What can we do as an industry to look towards helping those employees?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: That's a great and complicated question. You know, we just -- again, I'll repeat -- we voted to keep

New York City OTB up and operating. They were willing, DC 37 in particular was willing to take a pretty significant haircut in jobs, and, you know, as we were willing to taking a significant reduction in our payments. Unfortunately, that didn't occur.

I think there needs to be a little more clarity, because I'm completely confused -- and I may not be alone -- as to what the obligations were of the state and the city when the state agreed to take over New York City OTB. And I think that if that were to be clarified, at least in the near term with these employees -- because when you read in the paper that all of a sudden they just got a notice, not only the employees but the retirees lost their healthcare benefits.

And, you know, I'm presuming that someone is looking into that, investigating that.

But, you know, if we came up with a reconstituted model for ADW, you know, there could be a significant piece of the income stream that would go to whatever benefits one wanted to. You know, we'd do something

to breeders. You know, there could be 1 something to the labor pool. You know, but 2 right now we're just so fragmented, there's 3 no one place you can go to get that money. 4 So that's what I would say. 5 SENATOR ADAMS: Thank you. 6 you, Mr. Chairman. 7 Senator Addabbo. CHAIRMAN BONACIC: SENATOR ADDABBO: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 Mr. Hayward, thank you very much for 11 your time and testimony today. 12 Historically we have seen that 13 racetracks become a different destination 14 when VLTs enter the picture -- increased 15 visitation, a different type of destination, 16 What changes might occur at Aqueduct 17 a spa. once the VLTs open up midsummer and going 18 forward? Any changes that NYRA foresees? 19 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well, one 20 significant change is that when -- you know, 21 we run at Aqueduct about six months out of 22 the year. When we leave there, we have a 23

base of our employees that finances HR and

so forth, but the rest of the building is just dormant.

One of the first things that we intend to do is take a page from New Jersey and build out a roughly 25,000-square-foot OTB operation, if you will. A simulcast center that would be a state-of-the-art sports bar, which would function as a sports bar and a betting parlor while we're running live there, and then it would function as an OTB and be a nice complement to the VLT facility. You know, we're hoping that we'll be able to do that once we get some cap ex monies from VLTs.

And we've talked with Genting about working together in doing some of the upgrades. They have a concern, which is legitimate, that we don't build this beautiful VLT operation and then have, you know, Aqueduct in the same state that's it in. So we clearly are going to use some of the cap ex monies to operate Aqueduct. And I think it will be not just a great place to go and play the VLTs, it would be a great

place to go and play the horses.

SENATOR ADDABBO: That being said, a segue into my last question. What is the possibility of night racing at Aqueduct? In an effort to increase, obviously, or assist an industry that could use the assistance, what would be the possibility of night racing at Aqueduct?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: You know, there's been some movement in the industry.

Churchill Downs put in night lights, and other people have spoken about it. We have a great example of failed night racing for thoroughbreds certainly here in the Meadowlands, which used to run a hundred days of night racing, opened up in the late '70s.

I think night racing generally is confined now to the -- what I would call the third- or fourth-tier tracks. It's tough on the horsemen. You know, the horses traditionally work out from 5:30 to 9 o'clock in the morning. So you have night racing, you have horsemen and their help

that are there, you know, until 10, 11, 12 o'clock at night.

There's no racing jurisdiction that I'm aware of where night racing has been introduced and it's been successful. So I don't know -- there would also be a lot more light in the community. I don't know how the people would feel about that particularly. But I think there are a good opportunities for development at Aqueduct; I personally don't think that night racing is one of them.

SENATOR ADDABBO: Is it something that possibly -- again, possibly -- you can foresee even considering somewhere down the line once Resorts World there at Aqueduct is up and running at a real full-time schedule?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: That's a good question. I think that Aqueduct is going to be an entirely different environment once you see what these folks are doing. And they're great casino operators. I would say, you know, everything should be on the table at that point.

so maybe my opinion is a little myopic, maybe it's steeped in history. You know, maybe I say we wouldn't do it. But we certainly might want to do it, because I think what Genting is going to do for Aqueduct, it's going to just to transform the place and transform the community, and we're very excited about it and we'll be open to any suggestions.

SENATOR ADDABBO: Excellent. Thank you, Mr. Hayward. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator McDonald.

SENATOR McDONALD: Thank you,

Chairman.

Thank you, Mr. Hayward. Thank you for your time and your testimony, and thank you for running those tracks for us.

It hasn't been a good year in 2010. We battled, they put off decision-making, and what was very disturbing was that the rumor hit every newspaper probably in the state of New York that Saratoga Racetrack was not going to race in 2010. The Chamber of Commerce, the fans, the small business

people, the large business people, the 1 tourists, everybody was concerned. 2 Our employees. NYRA CEO HAYWARD: 3 SENATOR McDONALD: Your employees, 4 absolutely. 5 It was very disturbing that a signal 6 went out like that week after week, month 7 after month, and it hurt the ability for your racetrack -- my racetrack -- to be able 9 to provide those jobs, that revenue for the 10 business community, those sales tax dollars 11 for the General Fund in New York State when 12 we took the hit. It was unfounded, and it 13 disturbed a lot of people and it hurt some 14 very serious average folk. 15 Now, I have a question for you. 16 probably know the answer as strongly as you 17 You going to open up this year on time? 18 do. NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Yes. 19 Do you hear that, 20 SENATOR McDONALD: folks? We're open for business. As the 21 Governor said a week ago, New York State is 22 open for business. Saratoga thoroughbred 23 track is open for business. No more 24

stories. All that is is shooting yourself
in the foot and hurting your neighbors and
the rest of the people throughout this great

Now, we've mentioned the various people who were involved in those -- what you do. From the horsemen and the breeders to the people selling the hot dogs, to the people running SPAC, to the museums, to the Adirondack region -- Lake George, Lake Placid, Lake Champlain -- they all rely upon the track to have a good year.

What can we do to make that happen, to help you?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well, we -- one of the things that came about as a result of our new franchise, which we got in October of 2008, was at Aqueduct and at Saratoga, they devised a local advisory community board. And so we have a board in Saratoga that's made up of five NYRA appointees, five appointees by the mayor, and five appointees by the county government. And we started meeting with them on a regular basis.

state.

1

2

4

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Joe Torani, who's the chairman, went around with other members last year, set up some committees, and they gave us a report, it's probably about 20 pages long, of things they'd like to see us work on at Saratoga. Mr. Torani just had some bypass surgery, he's getting back on his feet, and we're going to meet with a committee of his in March to do exactly that, Senator. going to go through their suggestions about what we can do, some of the things that we do that we can do better.

You know, we've always had a good relationship with Saratoga. We have a very We've been good relationship at Aqueduct. building the last few years to start a relationship at Belmont. So we are very plugged into the Saratoga community. I have a home in Saratoga, have for 20 years. we're very mindful of what's going on there. We appreciate the work of the committee that has some very good people on it.

> SENATOR McDONALD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC:

We're joined by

Assemblyman Jim Tedisco, another friend of 1 the racing industry. 2 Senator Golden would like to ask you 3 another question. 4 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Sure. 5 SENATOR GOLDEN: I have two questions. In the deal that we failed to vote on, 8 NYRA gave up some of its debt and you 9 actually paid somewhere around \$40 million, 10 am I right in those numbers? 11 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: We were owed 12 \$28 million. There was escrow money that 13 was put aside, as mandated by the State 14 Racing and Wagering Board, that when the 15 deal fell apart we got that money back. 16 we actually took a haircut of about \$20 17 million, 12 of which was purses, 8 of which 18 would have been money to NYRA. 19 And the deal that SENATOR GOLDEN: 20 was structured, OTB could not go back to the 21 State of New York, it had to go back to 22 NYRA, I believe. The State of New York had 23

no more jurisdiction over the New York City

OTB, that you were taking full responsibility of that.

What would be your plan going forward for the OTBs in the City of New York in that plan that was given to us by the second floor and put together by you and your colleagues?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'm going to ask my counsel to answer that in a second.

But there were a couple of aspects to that plan. You know, one was we gave up 50 percent of our dark day payments -- which I never really answered properly; we talked about the maintenance of effort. We'll get back to that -- 50 percent in the first year, going down to 20 percent. And the industry was going to -- other racetracks were going to make similar reductions.

And then I believe that some of the stipulations, one was that they couldn't go back into a Chapter 9. And then there was an issue about -- I think that if --

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Maybe Mr. Kehoe will jump in.

MR. KEHOE: That's correct. Under the plan that was worked out with bankruptcy court, they could not have gone into Chapter 9 bankruptcy. What would have happened is had they become insolvent again, the consortium of racetracks that were on the creditors committee that were going to take over the ADW business, the phone and Internet business, would have the ability, if OTB became insolvent after it reorganized at some point in the future, that those entities would step in and operate New York City OTB on the state's behalf, basically, as managers. But that was the trigger.

So rather than reorganize it and end up back in Chapter 9 at some point in the future, what we had asked for and what OTB had agreed to was if this experiment doesn't work, this reorganization doesn't work, then allow the racetracks to come in on your behalf at some point in the future and operate New York City OTB on your behalf.

SENATOR GOLDEN: Well, under your own study you would agree that OTB would not be

self-sufficient, so you would have had to go
in there to resurrect -- or to close a
number of the OTBs, come up with some type
of plan for the sports bar, and operate an
OTB out of the City of New York? Was that
the plan?

MR. KEHOE: The experts who were brought in, there were organization experts, were confident that they could run OTB with just a parlor structure. They obviously were going to have a reduced number of parlors. DC 37 had agreed to eliminate a number of their positions.

So it remained to be seen whether a scaled-down parlor structure in the City of New York could work. We had our doubts, but we obviously signed onto the plan. We were willing to watch.

But what we couldn't be in the position, both as NYRA and, we felt, as an industry, is to have uncertainty if it didn't work. And that's what we tried to put into the plan, is if that doesn't work, then we have the flexibility, as you say, to

try a different route with a different type of structure in New York City.

SENATOR GOLDEN: One of the issues we had is that we believed it was a fire sale to NYRA and that the DC 37 employees were destined to unemployment anyway. And the question that I think Senator Adams proposed was how do you bring some of those people back to the some of the deal that you had agreed to in that agreement that we failed to vote on. And the only way you can do that is by reconstituting a gambling operation out of the City of New York.

By your own testimony here today, you couldn't answer some of the questions because you just said it's too fragmented.

If it's too fragmented and it can't operate, obviously something else has to be brought in to allow for the operation not only in the city but across the state. Do you agree?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: I'm not sure what I'm agreeing to.

(Laughter.)

SENATOR FARLEY:

Don't agree.

SENATOR GOLDEN:

You had agreed that

the system, in the question that Senator

Adams gave you, that how could you bring DC

37 employees back. And you said you had no

way of answering that because the system was

too fragmented. And I believe you talked

about the system not just in the city but

across the state is too fragmented; correct?

So how do you plan that they'll survive in

an industry that's so fragmented here in the

(Laughter.)

State of New York?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Well, in the case of New York City OTB, they had 50-some-odd parlors. They had over 20 that were handling less than \$8 million or \$9 million a year. All 20 of those were losing money.

SENATOR GOLDEN: We agree.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: So, you know, you didn't need 50 parlors, you probably needed 15 different parlors. You may bring in a partner to help you raise the capital to do that, you know, on the hospitality side.

So --1 SENATOR GOLDEN: Was that in your 2 plans? 3 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: There was no 4 formal plan. 5 SENATOR GOLDEN: Well, was it discussed amongst your board? 7 There was the ability in MR. KEHOE: the plan for New York City OTB to partner 9 with the racetracks to build these 10 Woodbridge-type facilities. That was in the 11 1.2 plan. So that was in your SENATOR GOLDEN: 13 plan to go forward if OTB had failed --14 which we all believed it would have, the way 15 it was set up and structured -- so you would 16 have went in there and restructured it in 17 the City of New York. 18 But that just would have taken care of 19 the City of New York and NYRA at that point. 20 What would happen to the rest of the system 21 across the state -- Nassau, Suffolk, 22 Catskills, Albany? 23 I think that's 24 NYRA CEO HAYWARD:

what we're here to talk about today. 1 think that they can't continue to exist as 2 stand-alone entities. 3 So you agree SENATOR GOLDEN: something has to happen. And you would be 5 part of that process -- if in fact we went into a bidding process or a request for 7 proposal for a totally statewide operation 8 or a regional operation, you would be 9 10 participating in that bidding process? NYRA CEO HAYWARD: We believe, as 11 I've said in my testimony, that there should 12 be consolidation of the OTBs and that we do 13 a lot of the same functions that the OTBs 14 How the state chooses to go forward if 15 that's a merger, if that's shared services, 16 17 if that's an RFP, you know, we will 18 hopefully participate in trying to shape 19 that. 20 SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 The final speaker CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 22 to question is --23 What about the dark SENATOR GOLDEN: 24

days? You wanted to hear about the dark days?

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: He's going to cover that, but after Senator Martins.

SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you.

Just another quick question. I heard all of these wonderful plans for our sister facility to the west in Aqueduct but certainly didn't hear much specifics about any future plans that NYRA may have with regard to their facility at Belmont.

Perhaps you could share that with me.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Sure. We have -you know, the capital monies that we're
going to get through -- we're getting a
significant amount of money, probably in the
neighborhood of \$25 million, \$30 million a
year of cap ex money from the VLT operation.
We have retained an advisor to advise us
both on front and back side, to a lesser
degree at Aqueduct, more so at Belmont and
more so at Saratoga. So we have not
developed any definitive plans, but we're
working on those plans.

You know, the big issue about -Belmont is a huge facility, as you know. Is
the new franchise agreement there was some
language about in that agreement we turn
over about 28 acres to the state, which is
the parking lot across from Hempstead
Turnpike. Also, if VLTs ever come to
Belmont, the state has a right to take over
an existing 10 acres that would be right
adjacent to the building.

So I think before we go forward on any significant capital investment plan, it will be somewhat contingent upon where the state chooses to go as far as VLTs are concerned and if they're going to go at Belmont.

SENATOR MARTINS: Well, certainly in the absence of any direction from New York State, I would think that it would be incumbent upon NYRA to also come up with a plan for the facility, also taking into consideration certainly the needs of the local community and of the greater local community as well.

And my concerns are that as you move

forward unilaterally on a facility that is merely a few miles away, that we not forget that there is also a facility a few miles to the east that has to be looked at and cannot be overlooked.

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: We're very actively involved in the Belmont community, both with Elmont, Floral Park. Ed Ambrosino, who is the councilman from Hempstead, has responsibility for Aqueduct; we talk to him very regularly. So we're very involved in the community. We support a lot of the local community initiatives. And, you know, we are getting each of the towns to come in and have their own day.

So we're cognizant and we will work with the communities to keep them informed of what plans we have going forward.

SENATOR MARTINS: Nothing for the moment, though; correct?

NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Nothing significant for the moment. We will do some backstretch improvements. That big building that doesn't have heat and or

1	
1	air-conditioning is a big challenge.
2	SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Okay, I just have
5	to wrap this up.
6	Confirm for us that the Belmont Stakes
7	is going to run this year, and then just
8	talk about the dark day payments, whether
9	you think they should end or not.
10	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Yeah, Belmont is
11	going to run this year.
12	CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you.
13	NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Saratoga is going
14	to run this year.
15	Dark day payments are basically
16	payments that are paid to NYRA when wagers
17	are made on non-NYRA tracks. Some people
18	call them dark day payments, but they're
19	actually payments that are made on races
20	that are run, you know, while we're running.
21	The general dark day payment structure
22	is pretty much the same around the state.
23	We get 1.9 percent of those wagers, and we
24	get 2.54 for purses. So it's a total of a

1 little over 4.5 percent.

You know, there's two different pockets here. We get NYRA payments, which I mentioned earlier, about 2.6 percent.

Actually, up at Saratoga Capital we get 1.25 percent. If we got a competitive -- we could throw all the statutory stuff out and we could sit down and negotiate with the OTBs. I'd be thrilled to do that. We wouldn't have to worry about statutory, dark day, light day, NYRA day, any day.

But if we got a fair rate -- when we lost New York City ADW platform -- \$30 million in Internet, \$125 million in phone -- we got a lot more money percentage-wise from the out-of-state ADWs than we do from the in-state, New York OTB-run account wagering platforms.

So yeah, you could say there's no logic to NYRA getting dark day payments. In the case of New York City, you certainly would think so, and to a lesser degree Nassau.

But you could get rid of those dark day payments if you paid a proper market rate,

you know, for the live signal. 1 Thank you very CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 2 much, Mr. Hayward. Thank you, Mr. Kehoe. 3 NYRA CEO HAYWARD: Thank you. 4 Our next speaker, CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 5 Michael Amo, chairman, board of directors of the Thoroughbred Racing Fan Association. 7 Mike, I'm still wishing you a good morning. 9 MR. AMO: Good morning. 10 Thank you, Senator Bonacic. And thank 11 you for inviting us and ThoroFan to present 12 to you today. 13 Our presentation will really be about 14 an awareness of the role of the fan in this 15 whole process, a mission that I think has 16 been missed. We talk about all the numbers, 17 18 we talk about handle, but we forget where the handle comes from. So I want to talk to 19 you a little bit about where that handle 20 comes from. 21 ThoroFan is a national not-for-profit 22 501(c)(3) thoroughbred racing fan 23

association that was founded in 2008 and is

headquartered in the racing capital of the United States, Saratoga Springs. Our mission is to foster the growth of the thoroughbred racing industry by providing racing fans with an organization which will serve their interests. We believe the fans are as important to this sport -- in fact, critical to its survival -- and should be engaged and embraced by the industry in any problem-solving process.

First let me talk about the fan that bets all that money that we hear about today. Let me tell you, the fan is an amalgam, a very interesting amalgam of people: all ages, all genders, social strata and professions -- doctors, lawyers, et cetera.

We love the horse, we love the experience, and we love wagering on horses. Some of us making a living in the industry; I don't, but some of us do. Some of us even follow racing the same way others follow baseball, football, or some of the other mainstream sports.

A study undertaken a few years ago collaboratively by the National Thoroughbred Racing Association and ESPN Sports found that there were 29 million racing fans in the United States. Those are people who watch the Kentucky Derby all the way to they went to the races every day. But there's 29 million in that cohort out there. If you extrapolate that using census data, you find out that we're well over, in this state alone, 2 million people and maybe more that actually are thoroughbred racing fans.

Another way to look at that, and I alluded to it earlier, is the importance of the fan and his participation in the pari-mutuel system. Nationally -- and Mr. Hayward spoke about this a little bit -- fans wager \$12 billion per year on thoroughbred racing in the United States, \$12 billion. If you look at that 20 percent takeout that was talked about by Mr. Hayward, that portion is the amount that the fan, the wagerer, sacrifices to support the industry.

If you do that extrapolation, the fans of this sport contribute to the industry -- the horses, the owners, the industry -- \$2.4 billion a year. This alone would tell you -- and it's probably that way in New York if we do the same extrapolation -- that fans are serious, serious financial stakeholders in this game but oftentimes left off the table in the discussion.

And just as fans pay real money for the Jets tickets or golfer's greens fees, racing fans pay their way to go to the track in this way. So we don't want to assume that the fan is the guy with the shaded hat and the cigar that can't get a job. The racing fan is very dedicated to the sport and, as Senator Adams knows, is what really happens in Saratoga, as I said before, one of the greatest meets in the country.

So today, of the list of questions you asked us to look at, we selected three that we think we have some knowledge of and maybe give you some insight: Rebuilding the fan base was one of your questions -- we'll

address that; ideas of how we might better the sport; and what our thoughts on the interstate compact are.

Now let's talk about the fan base. In 2010 the Eclipse Awards, you know, the Academy Awards for racing, at the ceremony last month the word used most frequently by every one of the speakers was "fan," they all wanted to thank the fan. Team Zenyatta wanted to thank the fan. The headlines in the premier newspaper, the Thoroughbred Times, read, and I'll quote, "All about the Fans: Eclipse Awards Pay Tribute to Racing's Often Forgotten Crowd."

Mike Repole, owner of the two-year-old champion horse Uncle Mo -- and by the way, he probably will be the Kentucky Derby favorite if you want to book a bet on it, and he's going to probably, we hope, in Belmont maybe win the Triple Crown, the first one since Affirmed. This is a wonderful two-year-old; let's hope for the best. He was quoted, when he received the two-year-old award for that horse: "I want

to thank the fans, "he said. "You guys are the backbone of this industry. Without you guys, there is no sport."

so we believe our sport will be vastly improved if we place a lot more energy and resources in developing the fan base. When we bring people out to the track with giveaways, we need to focus on bringing them back as repeating customers. We need to cultivate them; we need to capture them and cultivate them.

How do we do that? I think one of the first things that we're weak in that we need to focus on is fan education. A survey by our group -- and I tell you personally how I learned about thoroughbred racing, and many of you may have the same experience if you're fans, is somebody took you to the track or sat you down and showed you how to read the Daily Racing Form, said this is the sport, it's like doing nine crossword puzzles a day. It's a wonderful cerebral activity, and we were taught by somebody.

There's a lot of resources to do that,

but we don't see a lot of that kind of stuff on-track in racing, even in Saratoga Springs.

In fact, I will admit in 2008, thanks to the support of Mr. Hayward and NYRA,
ThoroFan was able to run a free education
program on-track for fans. We wanted to see what the need was. We wanted to teach them about how not to be intimidated by the sport, how to learn how to enjoy it.

Let me tell you, Senators, we had 1200 people arrive at our venue during that Many of them were first-time period. They were encouraged to ask any comers. question they wanted to ask us. The one question that I heard stuck that just sort of in my mind was one person raised their hand and said, "What are the numbers on the starting gate for?" And I thought to myself for a moment, my God, I wonder how many other people out there don't know what that And yet they're here with their means? Maybe we'd better teach them a money. little bit about this sport.

1

And those are the fans that come to Saratoga.

So I think one of the things we need to think about when we do reengineering racing is we need to think about how do we build that fan base. And I think education is important.

One of the things I've talked to

Senator Adams about last year was the fact
that we have a wonderful community college
system that offers these non-credit courses.
Why don't we use it to educate the fans? We
did it. ThoroFan ran a great course at
Fulton-Montgomery Community College last
year, or in 2009, I believe it was, and we
had people coming out to learn about racing.

We have a great system; we don't use it. So I think we need to think about partnering to development curricula that will be useful to bring more fans to the track. And I think that is one of the ways to the future of racing.

There's a lot of things regarding the mechanics and structure that we've heard so

far today, and we'll hear more about it, but let's not forget that that's the base of that.

So what are some ideas that we think might help the sport? The Daily Racing Form weekend edition came out about a week ago and had an article that said "Is Racing a Sport on the Ropes?" And using their indices -- that was handle and attendance -- they suggested it was. Well, we frankly disagree. We think the business model might change, as you've heard today, and it should change, but we think it's not irrelevant. We think it's got a chance to move forward.

Alex Waldrop, who's the president and CEO of the National Thoroughbred Racing Association, was quoted as saying -- and he testified before your committee last year, I believe -- he was quoted as saying that we can't spend -- and we heard a little bit about it today, and we need to listen to it a lot more, because I think this is instructive -- he said, "We can't spend our time arguing over who among the tracks and

the horsemen get what share of the pie. We have to figure out how to serve our customers" -- that's the fans. "They're the ones that really matter."

And so I think that when we rebuild this model of racing in New York, let's think about that, let's think about the fan.

But let's be specific for one second.

Let's talk about how you can that. Our organization has a mantra of "the voice of the fan." We think the voice of the fan is critical. And if you accept that we're the backbone, we should be a voice.

Let me ask you this question. If you think of other sports -- and this will sound a little off-base, a little hard to grab onto, but there may be light in it. When you think of another sport, what sport can you think of where front-row seats and courtside seats are not available to the average fan but to the owners of the venue? Well, that's what we do in racing. Owners' boxes are on the finish line. Fans sit up the stretch, if they can get a seat.

I think that model needs to be looked at a little bit. But not just figuratively where they sit at the track, let's ask the question where else should they sit.

Similarly, we need to think about seats for fans on governing bodies, boards of directors and, yes -- of organizations that do racing, and yes, government commissions that you may form. What role should the fan play on these groups? We need to have a voice in the boardroom, not just at the betting window.

Mr. Hayward talked a lot about the benefit of live racing. We really believe that's critical. To quote Winston Churchill, or he's credited as saying, "There's something about the outside of a horse that's good for the inside of a man." And I guess I think that's very true in racing. To watch a horse with pounding hoofs come down the stretch at Saratoga or Aqueduct or Belmont just gives you an exhilaration that brings more to fandom and more to advertising the sport than it ever

has.

so we're concerned about a decrease in handle; we also should be thinking about a decrease in fan base. And that's a place we need to focus energies as well. And I think NYRA proved that for us. Mr. Hayward talked about the increase by trying to bring people to the track; he got an increase of over 30 percent. And I think it tells you the proof is there. You bring the fans out, you bring them to the track, you're going to have a better experience.

And if you want to go around the world, you can see the English model, the French, they do wonderfully on some of their festival days.

So let's talk about another one which is important to the fans, and that is to knock down the barriers between the fans and the athletes. Sometimes in our business of racing -- we hear a lot about the business of racing here -- we forget it's a sport and there are athletes and people go there for there enjoyment. If, without the fan,

racing would be in trouble, let's admit that without the horse it wouldn't exist. How many people are talking about that today?

These are the barriers that really block the fan from interacting with the excitement of the sport. We need to look at how we can change that. Access to morning workouts is critical to try to get a feeling -- Saratoga Springs has some problems with that, as probably Senator McDonald knows. We need to get people to see the race, see them in the morning. That's a way to fandom.

This is impeded by our own regulations, frankly. So we're not blaming NYRA or anybody. Regulations really restrict people who can get access to watching workouts in the morning or get on the backside or even get on some of the training tracks. And it's kind of strange, because it's argued under security. Yet for a few thousand dollars I can become part of an ownership partnership, know nothing about racing, never been on the back of a horse in my

life, don't know anything about the care of a horse or have any orientation, and get an owner's badge from the State of New York and

go anywhere I want on the track.

Well, why don't we think a little bit about how we open up and keep security and safety for people but the fans can have an opportunity to interact with the horse?

And the last, I think, is promoting racing under ways we can help. And I'll make this short to you because I think we've talked about it before. But there's a great opportunity if we look at bringing government and all the stakeholders together.

And I'll make it a simple statement, to show the entertainment. I propose we think about something like an "I love New York Racing" campaign. We have the economic development engine. It has so much implication. Why don't we get out there and create the theme for racing? Not just a theme for a racino or a theme for a track, let's create a theme for racing that excites

people about this wonderful sport and will allow them to come to it.

The last thing I'll say to you before I close, and that is the national interstate compact. It's a wonderful, wonderful proposal I know you guys have addressed. But the industry, our industry from the fan perspective needs that kind of leadership. We think of baseball or hockey or basketball and we think of a national commissioner. With racing we have individual owners, individual tracks across the country regulated by each state.

Can you imagine if last night's Super

Bowl -- and it was a good game -- was played

by Texas rules and not NFL rules, whatever

they may be? Well, that's what we have in

racing. Every state has their rules; they

work around them. Let's try to get this

compact off the ground so that we can have a

central body that helps coordinate racing.

And I ask you, because you know what I'm going to say now, is that when you do that legislation, think about the fan.

Think about in that legislation it talks about not just growing the handle or growing the money for racing, but that it grows popularity of the sport at the base.

so let me just close, in summary, and say I hope in the last few minutes you have come away with an understanding of my passion, I guess, for the sport and how important I think -- and I hope you now believe -- the fan is to this equation. We don't know it all, we don't run racetracks, we're not saying we can do that. But we're an important cog in this wheel, and we want to be heard. And we want our perspective to be considered as part of the solution you craft.

I hope all segments of the industry that we've heard today and we'll hear later will join with the fans and work to save our sport. For too long we have been operating in silos, and you see a little bit of it today, and maybe even worse, working like rowboats rowing against each other. Now is the time for us to come together and form a

_

group of owners, fans, horsemen, racing and training operators. Now is the time for us to take the "me" word out of this game and put the verbiage back to "us." Together we can bring more fans to our sport.

With a repopulated, vibrant fan base, our entire industry benefits. With new fans -- think about it -- come new players, come larger handles. With new fans come new owners, come more breeders. You start at the base. We're marketing to the next generation, and we've got to build the fan base. Now New York can once again, if we do this, become the leader that racing should be in the nation.

I want to end by saying we are very mindful of one of our ThoroFan advisory board members and Hall of Fame trainer Nick Zito -- who has, by the way, another horse that could do well in the Kentucky Derby, Dialed In -- who's on our advisory board. And when we asked him to join us, he said: "Of course. Because first and foremost, we are all fans."

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you very much, Mike.

We're not going to ask you any questions because we have time constraints for our other visitors, but we know where to find you in Orange County. Thank you for coming, Mike.

What we're going to do now, I'm going to ask the three OTB groups to come up to the table. That would be Donald Groth, the president and CEO of Catskill Off-Track Betting, John Signor, president and CEO of the Capital District, and Arthur Walsh, who's the general counsel of Nassau Regional OTB.

And when those gentlemen are done, we're going to have Joseph D'Amato come up, with Charles Degliomini, of Empire Resorts -- and you've got to help me out with that, Charlie, that last name -- and Phil Palermo of the Finger Lakes Race Track. So those two we'll ask to come up after these gentlemen are done.

Welcome, gentlemen. Since we're all talking about OTBs, I thought maybe you could give some thought to consolidating your remarks, so, you know, we don't have 10 minutes of each speaking saying the same thing. I don't mean to put you at a disadvantage, but as I say, we have a little time constraint. And we spent an awful lot of time with Charles Hayward.

Okay, go ahead, start it.

MR. GROTH: Senator, thank you very much.

I intend to cut my remarks short here as a courtesy, but I would like to take a moment to recognize someone in the audience here today who's not on the program, but who's of frail health but came here today.

Lenny Allen, the leader of the New York City OTB District Council 37 local of the employees, is here and shows the concern that they continue to have for the people who are out of work today because of the activities of the past several months.

I'm going to not present what I brought

2

4

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here to present, but I am going to dwell on an excerpt for a moment because of the time that you did spend with Charlie Hayward and some of what's been said.

And so I would say that there's a most modern sport of analyzing the impact or lack of impact from the closure of New York City OTB, offering specific concern for the New York Racing Association. officials and NYRA executives have been putting on a happy face to assert that NYRA will be just fine without those pesky millions from New York City OTB. And they were millions. But does anybody care about the millions of dollars that won't be bet on Monticello and other upstate tracks anymore? How will the revenues from betting be made How will the pools be affected at those tracks? How will they remain at 2002 or 2010 levels of unprofit at those tracks?

Over at NYRA, the media follows the Pied Piper in reporting, but anything is better than the 2.5 percent tracks get from OTBs. Well, hear me well, please, Senators.

NYRA was getting nearly 17 percent of its

New York City OTB handle from New York City

OTB, nearly 17 percent. Catskill OTB pays

over 16 percent to NYRA for the handle that

we handle, NYRA alone. And that's made up

of those other fees that you were trying to

ask Charlie Hayward about, the dark day

payments and the various out-of-state

premiums and bigger issues that have been

enacted through the years.

Now, there's no way to make up the \$20 million portion that New York City OTB was paying NYRA for the privilege of offering other non-NYRA racing as well. It may be able to pick up some of the money from some of the handle.

Sorry, my nose is running here; it's a little difficult.

New York City OTB handled more than \$243 million on NYRA races in 2009. And according to the annual report of the New York Racing and Wagering Board, New York City OTB paid NYRA more than \$41 million. This amounts to a nearly 17 percent, as I

said, return for NYRA, a far cry from the 2.5 percent continuously reported. And nearly half of the amount was for non-NYRA races and is required by the statute regardless of whether NYRA races or not.

In fact, of the \$107 million New York
State OTBs paid to the New York racetracks
in 2009, more than 57 percent of that was
for other racing, out-of-state racing having
nothing to do with the New York tracks. In
2009, the OTBs paid nearly 42 percent of
Vernon handle -- 42 percent of Vernon
handle -- to Vernon Downs, and fully
38 percent of Saratoga Harness handle to
Saratoga Gaming and Raceway. All the
harness tracks got more than 20 percent of
handle, except Tioga Downs, which only got
19.6 percent. Not 2.5 percent, not 4
percent, not 10 percent -- 20 percent and
more; 42 percent at Vernon Downs.

So I wanted to correct the record from my remarks here. You all have a copy of what I was going to say. There's much more that I would say, but I'd like to turn it

^

over to the other panelists to comment as well. And hopefully there will be questions from the panel.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you, Donald.

MR. WALSH: Thank you, Senator

Bonacic and members of the Senate Racing,

Wagering and Gaming Committee. We'd like to

thank you for having the opportunity to

speak today to discuss the challenges our

corporation faces and how we've addressed

them and share some of our thoughts on what

we think should be the future of racing and

wagering and OTB in this state.

First and foremost, the economic downturn has had a drastic effect on the business climate in New York State. Nowhere is it more evident than in the racing and pari-mutuel industry. While all the OTBs are facing the challenges posed by severe recession, there's a general nationwide decline in the racing industry. We are also faced with outdated and unbalanced statutory distributions that are imposed upon the OTBs. And with all this, Nassau OTB has

7 .

slashed expenses and increased efficiency by taking fiscally responsible and difficult steps.

Thus far, we have averted the crisis that was faced by the state's New York City OTB. In January 2010, the report of the New York State Governor's Task Force on the Future of Off-Track Betting made legislative and non-legislative recommendations on how we could improve the OTB operations to become more efficient. Under the new Nassau OTB administration that started in May of last year, led by our president, Joseph G. Cairo, Jr., we believe we've fulfilled these task force non-legislative recommendations.

First, Nassau OTB has streamlined their management and reduced costs. Our workforce has been reduced by 25 percent since 2009, which equates to salary reductions of over \$1.6 million. We had 14 brick-and-mortar branches in 2007; we have nine now.

Regarding certain expenses, we've reduced over 56 percent of expenses dealing with telephones, advertising and our tele-theater

expenses, which equates to almost half a million dollars.

At the same time, we've expanded our Fast Track locations. These are the non-brick and mortar locations which allows a patron to go to a local restaurant or pub to participate in wagering without actually going to an OTB. With limited overhead, the program generates revenue for Nassau OTB and provides income to the local establishments that are partners with us. We have 12 of these locations and plan on expanding them.

We've also had preliminary discussions with our union leadership and believe that through the collective bargaining process, increased labor costs will be marginalized for the benefit of the workforce and the benefit of our company.

On the positive side, our Internet wagering has increased by 20 percent since 2009, which equated to \$3.4 million in handle last year. With new technology, such as e-signature and e-wallet, we've made our platform more friendly and our customer base

has increased. With the help of Chairman Sabini of Racing and Wagering, we also participate in live video streaming.

All this growth area is good, but unless there's some regulation imposed on the out-of-state ADWs, they will be no help to us.

With respect to consolidation, while
the OTBs and the track are competing for the
same racing dollars, the OTB entities have a
decided common goal of promoting racing for
the mutual benefit of each other. Nassau
and Suffolk OTBs share a single telephone
account wagering operation at a call center
in Hauppauge. We also have partnered with
other OTBs in marketing and other
administrative functions. Our printing of
profiles and programs are shared by Suffolk
County.

Since the New York City OTB closure, the negotiation of simulcast contracts are conducted jointly with the other regional OTBs. We have weekly conferences and unite in interest to obtain the best rates and

terms available to host tracks throughout
the state and the world.

That being said, the New York State
Racing and Wagering Law dictates that OTB
provide its revenue to local governments.
Since 2004, Nassau OTB has distributed over
\$50 million in surcharge revenue and net
revenue to local governments. Consolidation
of OTB into a single entity would contradict
this mission and further penalize local
taxpayers. State consolidation of OTBs
would surely eliminate both the oversight
and revenue that state law assigns to host
counties.

With respect to a common tote system, we believe that would be unfeasible. We currently use Sportech, which has a North American data center. Our on-site tote support is provided by personnel that we share with Nassau and Suffolk.

The capability of all OTBs in New York

State servicing a single vendor would

require a substantial capital outlay of new

wagering terminals or a significant

programming effort to interface all the various types of terminals throughout the state. Given the current economic realities and the uncertainty of the racing industry, the tote companies may not be willing to make such an investment. In any event, the cost would ultimately be assumed by the user.

One of our largest challenges is the Internet wagering. We have a competitive disadvantage due to the flawed racing laws. Out-of-state Internet gambling sites are not subject to licensing or regulation by the state, and therefore the same revenue distribution requirements that we have are not shared by them.

In addition, New York State taxpayers and racing interests lose tens of millions of dollars in handle as a result of New York State residents placing bets through unregulated out-of-state sites. The out-of-state ADWs are able to offer rebates because the rates they pay are less than we pay. They are not typically under the

Ü

regulatory scrutiny as we are, and they choose jurisdictions that have the lowest common denominator of regulatory scrutiny, and they have no strict oversight.

The risk of unregulated Internet gambling and ADWs or, rather, poorly regulated Internet gambling, should be clear to every member of this committee. There is no meaningful limitation on participation of underage gamblers or problem gamblers.

There is no assurance of the integrity of the operator or the game or the fact that payouts actually will be received. There are concerns with money laundering, protection against security breaches, hacking, and information or identity theft.

From an economic standpoint,
unregulated wagering as it exists today
fails to provide any positive benefit to New
York State in the form of taxes or jobs.

With respect to dark day payments that the chairman brought up, when it was first enacted, it was intended to be an offset to the harness tracks to allow us to have

simulcast of out-of-state thoroughbred racing. However, the tracks now enjoy revenue from video gaming operations.

Likewise, the maintenance of effort
payments were provided for harness tracks in
2003 to make up the anticipated shortfall in
revenue after the state gave us the ability
to offer nighttime thoroughbred simulcasts.
The formula is so flawed that payments are
calculated by date and not by day of week.
For example, a Saturday in 2002 which
becomes a Wednesday, a very light racing
day, in 2011 subjects us to the same payment
obligation. Calculations are not adjusted
to account for demand, economic decline, or
similar factors affecting OTBs.

The Racing Law needs to be amended to eliminate dark day and maintenance of effort payments to those tracks with video gaming operations. With the authorization of video gaming at the tracks now providing significant revenues to the racing business, these protectionist payments are no longer needed.

As far as our challenges in the future, with the closure of the city OTB in December we initially sought saw an uptick in business in our western region, which is a Fast Track location and a parlor -- which are, as Mr. Hayward said, within a mile of Belmont. However, since Belmont Cafe opened, and as of January of this year, we've actually experienced a substantial decrease, roughly 20 percent, at these two western locations. The reason is simple. NYRA is now operating an OTB parlor in Nassau County without the benefit of surcharge revenue to Nassau County.

Although NYRA has promoted increased foot traffic at Belmont Cafe of over 6,000 bettors since its opening as a successful attempt to lure former New York City customers, in effect the location has lured Nassau County customers as well. Clearly, Nassau OTB and Nassau County are not being dealt a fair deck.

Faced with the unfair competition from many sources -- the out-of-state ADW,

Belmont Cafe now in our backyard, and soon

NYRA with the VLT operation when it opens in

Aqueduct a mere 7.5 miles from our western

border -- Nassau OTB confronts an uphill

battle for the wagering dollar.

Nassau OTB is entitled to likewise enjoy an additional revenue platform and receive comparable treatment as others in the industry. The time has now come for Nassau OTB, for the benefit of the taxpayers in Nassau County, to be awarded VLT gaming. We need the revenue. We have the right to be treated equally. We need the relief now. Our residents deserve nothing less.

In closing, Nassau OTB submits that by law the OTBs in the state were designed to stem the tide of illegal gambling in the state, raise a reasonable amount of revenue to support local government, and ensure that off-track betting is conducted in a manner comparable with the well-being of the state's horse racing and breeding industry.

With long-awaited legislative reform and the opportunity to avail itself to new

opportunities, we at Nassau OTB believe 1 these statutory requirements will continue 2 to be met. 3 Thank you. Thank you, CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 5 Mr. Walsh. 6 7 Mr. Signor. Thank you, Chairman, and MR. SIGNOR: 8 9 thank you, members. What happens a lot in these racing 10 hearings is that what gets lost is that the 11 OTBs have a dual mission. We support the 12 racing industry, but we also provide money 13 to localities, to counties. Just in we're 14 sitting in Albany County, Capital OTB 15 provides a million dollars a year to Albany 16 County, \$300,000 a year to the City of 17 Albany. 18 And, you know, a lot of times the 19 racetracks get up here, NYRA -- you know, I 20 didn't hear NYRA mention the taxpayers once 21 in their whole presentation. So I would say 22 in all this let's not lose focus that the 23 taxpayers need to benefit. And that's one 24

of our charges as an OTB.

I'll be as brief as I can be. I just want to go through -- because there's a lot of issues about OTBs and are they bloated and inefficient. I'll just tell you about Capital OTB, which probably -- and I know a lot of the other OTBs, as Arthur mentioned, have followed suit.

We've cut spending six years in a row, a total of about \$6 million. We've cut personnel from six years ago from 445 staff down to 245. That's a 45 percent cut. We have the lowest corporate salaries in 20 years at Capitol OTB. We are using technology increase our business through the Internet. We're going to do about \$22 million on the Internet this year. And that's up considerably over last year.

You know, we're the OTB that has a TV station. Nobody promotes racing more than Capital OTB and the other OTBs. We're able to do it through our TV station. We show racing every day, all day. And we do a lot of information to bettors, trying to help

casual fans become more educated about the game.

And we work with tracks. All the OTBs work with tracks and try to build relationships. Last year I can tell you Capital OTB reached out pretty much to every track in the state to work out a marketing plan so we could try to benefit both entities. Every track -- you know, every track -- I should say only one track went forward and worked with us, and that was Vernon Downs.

And Vernon Downs, it was a win-win for both entities. Vernon Downs' handle, at a time when Capital OTB handle went down last year about 8 percent, I think, Vernon Downs' handle went up about 18 percent. So we worked out a plan, we drove our bettors to Vernon Downs races, and it was a win-win for both entities.

I think, you know, the relationship between tracks and OTBs should be closer along those lines. There should be marketing plans worked out with both

entities so we can drive the bettors to the

New York product. And it turned out to be a

win-win.

In terms of consolidation, you know, I would just say look at a couple of reports. The Office of State Comptroller did a very thorough audit on all the OTBs, especially the regional OTBs. And it was released in May of 2010. And in that report the word "consolidation" or "merger" never came up.

They looked at our issues, they saw we were doing the right thing, they saw we were cutting spending and we were trying to market and grow the industry. And they identified, you know, a number of issues that they recommended to the state to look at and implement those to help the OTBs and help the racing industry, and I'll touch on those in a second.

Also, the report of the Task Force on the Future of OTBs that came out in January of 2010, same thing. They identified identical issues that were identified in the Office of State Comptroller report. We

,

would just ask that you look at those reports and see what they said, because they took months and months reviewing our operations.

And then also, you know, there's something about being closer to the local level, having localities run the entity. The counties run the OTBs. We don't run the OTBs, the counties run the OTBs. I have 17 board members, they're all appointed by 16 counties and the City of Schenectady. They run the OTB. They benefit from the OTB.

And Governor Cuomo, I think it was in his State of the State, announced that there were going to be I think 10 regional economic panels to look at every aspect of the state. It just -- it shows you the state, that there's no one size fits all, you have to look at every region, and there's benefits from being closer to the localities.

As far as I think -- Senator Adams
isn't here, but I thought he had a very
important point, which was have the industry

bring the issues to the state. Let us work together to come to you with a package of changes that would help the industry. I know I've reached out to racetracks. I've been dealing with Chris Riegle at Finger Lakes, and the OTBs and tracks are trying to get together and come up with some of the issues that we think we can agree upon, come to you and get changes.

They include, as Arthur pointed out, the out-of-state Internet sites. And in our meeting, Chairman, I highlighted that very much. That's between \$300 million and \$500 million being bet by New York State residents with out-of-state Internet sites. The Breeding Fund doesn't see a penny, local governments doesn't see a penny of that, nor does the state. So there's a half a million dollars being bet by New York State residents and nobody benefits other than NYRA.

And, you know, Charlie was here saying he'd rather have a bet go through an out-of-state Internet site. You know, from

_

my vantage point, that's not looking at trying to protect the racing industry in New York and, again, trying to protect the taxpayers of New York.

We estimate that if we're able to regulate them or prohibit them, it's going to bring between \$40 million and \$50 million back for local governments for the state and Breeding Fund. And we think that's an issue -- and, Chairman, you spoke about that -- and we think that's an issue we really should look at.

You know, what can we do going forward?

I agree in the shared services. I agree
that the OTBs and the tracks, if we can get
together, should have a single Internet
site, should have maybe an upstate and
downstate phone center, should have a single
marketing plan to promote not just an OTB or
a track but to promote racing overall.
That's the way to grow fans in New York
State.

Also, and I give Charlie credit for commenting on the hold harmless payments or

the maintenance of effort payments. You know, the laws were written 30 years ago in terms of the payment structure, the statutory scheme on what OTBs pay to the tracks. We have a situation now where Saratoga Harness is making a lot of money from the VLTs, and that's great. We supported the tracks when the VLT issue came up, the OTBs supported that. But we're now paying Saratoga Harness \$2.8 million a year, and we made \$300,000 off their races. So we lose \$2 million by taking Saratoga Harness.

Now, it's not Saratoga Harness's fault. It's the Racing Law. And we're just saying look at it at this time, and it's not Capital OTB that's losing the money, it's the taxpayers. That money would otherwise go back to the counties, go back to the 16 counties that we represent and the City of Schenectady. So we believe that's an issue that needs to be looked at and there needs to be some equity brought about on that issue.

And we also believe that -- you know,

and Donald touched on it in terms of New York City OTB. At a time when we're talking about -- and a lot of your questions in the hearing was about how to grow the industry, what issues can you do. Well, at this time we can't let that \$500 million in handle that was being bet at New York City OTB branches just go away. They're not people who are going to go to Aqueduct, they're not people who are going online. Somehow we have to get those branches back up and running.

And I know the OTBs, in my discussions, we'd be happy to work with the Senate and the Governor's office and the Assembly to try to find a way, either through us or a partnership with the tracks, to get those branches back up and running. We think that that handle, the longer they're closed, the more likely those people are just going to find something else to spend their money on and they're never going to come back. I think the window to get those people back is very short.

And I know Senator Golden had a proposal that would have the OTBs help open and oversee the branches. I think NYRA, if I'm not mistaken, has the ability to open tele-theaters under the current law there. In my view, something has to be done and it has to be done relatively soon to capture those bettors, because the trend -- the down trend is -- you know, the trend of racing is a downturn and we can't afford to lose those bettors at this time.

And I would just touch on a couple of things that NYRA -- you know, that Charlie raised. I'm not sure -- you know, NYRA runs a great product. It's the best racing in the state. I have a great relationship with NYRA. But I'm not sure of the last time they actually made money. So I make money, Nassau makes money, Catskill makes money. I'm not sure the last time NYRA made a dollar. So I would just keep that out there; you know, think about that when you listen to what is said up here.

Again, in terms of the taxpayers, you

know, Charlie mentioned the 5 percent surcharge. Well, yeah, it's true, it's not done anywhere else. But that money goes to the counties. The 5 percent surcharge that they take at branches helps reduce taxes at the county level. It's money that helps fund important programs at the county level. So I wouldn't pooh-pooh that so quickly. That's probably tens of millions of dollars that help county governments run.

And as far as the out-of-state, you know, we talked about the out-of-state

Internet sites. No money's going to the Breeding Fund, no money's going to the state, no money's going to local governments.

And Donald touched on it as well in terms of NYRA and the payments they get from the OTBs. In 2009, we did \$62 million on the NYRA product; we paid them \$6.5 million. That's about 11 percent. So NYRA's getting over 10 percent from every OTB in the state. It far exceeds anything they're getting from any out-of-state Internet sites.

2

1

3

5

6

7

0

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, there is a nuance where on a direct bet from NYRA it's probably lower because there's a whole statutory scheme on an out-of-state bet; we have to pay NYRA to take a bet on an out-of-state. So maybe there's a way to massage those rates so NYRA would get similar money from the OTBs if it came through us and if it came through an out-of-state entity. I'm just throwing that I think there's ways to address that issue so maybe NYRA wouldn't be so fervently against, you know, regulating or trying to do something with the out-of-state Internet sites. Because right now the only one benefiting is NYRA, nobody else. The state, breeding, local taxpayers don't benefit.

And I think that's it.

thing hit me as you were speaking. If there was a consolidation, whether it was done under the umbrella of one OTB that we felt was more efficient than the others or a separate entity, couldn't part of the formula be a return of monies to the

taxpayer, county taxpayer?

So when you kept stressing, remember,

OTB gives money to the taxpayer, that's

something that could be resolved in part of
a formula, could it not?

MR. SIGNOR: Yeah, it could be. I think, the OTBs have built the best pari-mutuel network in the nation. At no cost to the tracks, at no cost to the taxpayers. It was created and it brings in money for everybody.

I would say that the biggest issue, in my view -- and everybody talks about it. I think Charlie talked about it that five years ago there was \$400 million more in handle and now it's gone and the OTBs are paying less to NYRA. Well, that's because it all went to out-of-state Internet sites.

I mean, if there's a way to close that spigot, then I think you can make a lot of entities healthy in New York State. I don't think it's as difficult as it's being made to be. I don't think you have to, you know -- do the OTBs have to do things?

Absolutely. Should we have shared services?

Absolutely. I've been a big proponent of that for years. And I think we're making headway in that direction.

But the other issue is the out-of-state
Internet sites. If you're able to close
them down, it probably means extra
\$30 million in handle to me, which is \$3
million to my bottom line, which I'm able to
give to the localities.

If you can address the payments to the regional harness tracks. We're not saying cut it all off, we're just saying make it more equitable. That's another, you know, half a million, million dollars.

In two actions, there's probably, you know, tens of millions of dollars that helps the OTBs, doesn't really hurt the tracks that much, and brings more money to the state and local governments. It's -- you know, I just think -- I think we're making this issue out to be a lot more difficult than it has to be. I think there's probably three or four simple steps that could be

taken -- you know, maybe get everybody in a room and say, "This is what we're doing."

And I think Senator Golden scared a lot of tracks when he said, "Oh, we're going to put it all out to bid." I don't think the tracks want anything to do with that. And I don't think the tracks want anything to do with somebody bidding on the OTBs. They want you guys to hand us to them. That's what they want.

so I would say there's probably three or four steps that could be taken that could help the industry as a whole and could strengthen the OTBs. And by strengthening us, you're not helping me or Don or Arthur, you're helping the taxpayers. You're going to bring more money to local governments.

MR. GROTH: Senator, last year a task force was created by the Legislature to study the future of off-track betting in New York. And it doesn't get much recognition today because it recommended that you change the laws to benefit the OTBs so they could stay in business and they could profit and

provide revenue to localities.

I daresay that if it had said kill the OTBs, it would be the greatest document in the world because we could rely on this task force; after all, the task force said kill the OTBs.

I submit that you must turn to this document and rely on that task force. And if you don't want to rely on the task force of a previous Governor or a previous

Legislature, perhaps you would rely on the report of the State Comptroller, who said the same thing. These laws need to be changed to bring the money home to the OTBs so they can operate.

Mayor Bloomberg said it back when he was going to kill New York City OTB. He said it again last month when New York City OTB died. It wasn't OTB. It isn't OTB. The OTBs -- when Senator Golden talked about the best people, these are the best people. We've been doing it for years in New York State very successfully, always producing a profit when others have failed. And so the

mayor of New York said you can't pay out

120 percent of what you have, it just

doesn't work.

And he wasn't going to do it. The Governor took it over; he didn't do it. Can't do it, it can't be done. Everything will fail. When the OTBs fail, the tracks will fail. And it's silly. It just needs to be changed so that the OTBs can keep some of the money that they've been required to pay out through a series of amendments to the law so they can continue to be the goose that lays the golden egg. It's real simple. And I invite you to consider that.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you, Donald.

You know, I remember when Senator Adams was the chairman of Racing and Wagering, he brought everybody together over to the Capitol in a big room. And I'm a private-sector guy. I think like private sector. And when I see five OTBs with five administrations, with five CEOs, with five counsels, to me it cries out to be one. And yet not destroyed. If we're going -- if

benefits of OTB -- and that's a deliberation 2 for everyone with our committee -- to me, if 3 you get a CEO that's the brightest and 4 understands the OTB system, why do we need 5 6 five? 7 MR. GROTH: Because, Senator, that very bright businessman -- and we could name 8 six of them or 200 of them, you could name 9 any one you want -- is not going to be 10 interested in this unless you change the law 11 so he doesn't have to pay out 120 percent. 12 I respect what you CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 13 say --14 Because if you change the 15 MR. GROTH: law not to pay 120 percent, it's just fine 16 the way it is. 17 No, I respect what CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 18 you said about some of the laws may be 19 antiquated and have to be changed. 20 understand that from all of our private 21 meetings that we had before this hearing. 22 But I come back to that one element of 23 why do we need five CEOs. Why can't one do 24

we're going to retain the integrity and the

it?

MR. GROTH: I have a more basic question. New York is the only state that has seven harness tracks that are being propped up by OTB, which is being accused of not having -- I mean, this is ridiculous. We're not the inefficient ones.

What's happening to the money that we give these people and these failed businesses to prop them up? Where are the efficiencies there? Where's the concern about too many harness tracks? We have several breeding funds in New York State. We have four thoroughbred tracks. No other state has this.

So I think perhaps there's been a lot of delusion and propping up of businesses that may be past their time, and now we're going to blame it on the OTB. I'm sorry, Senator, I can't agree that it's the OTB fault. It just isn't.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Okay, thank you,
Mr. Groth. I hope you feel better with your
cold.

I have no other questions. Does anyone?

SENATOR MARTINS: I do. I have.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Senator Martins.

SENATOR MARTINS: Thank you.

It appears from the testimony this morning that everyone agrees that we have to continue to focus on racing. Everyone is trying to promote racing. You've heard from NYRA, we've heard from ThoroFan, and now we've heard from OTBs. Everyone I believe genuinely has the best interests of the industry at heart.

But what I keep running into is an antiquated regulation system that has, in lieu of updating regularly and reevaluating regularly and allowing the stakeholders to participate in that reevaluation process, has been allowed to linger perhaps a little too long. And if there's a theme that I have from our discussions here today and our testimony here today, it's that that process itself has to be reevaluated, as does the participation of various stakeholders and

interplay between the various stakeholders. 1 I'll take our example of Belmont and 2 Nassau OTB. With the success of Belmont 3 Cafe, Mr. Walsh, does any of the monies or 4 any of the bets that are taken at Nassau, at 5 Belmont Cafe, inure to the benefit of the 6 7 Nassau taxpayer? MR. WALSH: It's my understanding It's surcharge-free, so there's no 9 benefit going to the --10 SENATOR MARTINS: So to the extent 11 that that is expanded and does cut into the 12 operations of Nassau OTB, there is no 13 benefit to the local community from those 14 bets. 15 16 MR. WALSH: No direct benefit, 17 correct, no. SENATOR MARTINS: Given the current 18 scheme and current statutory payment 19 20 schedule. And that's why perhaps we need to reevaluate those as well. 21 Senator, this would be 22 MR. GROTH: true of NYRA is allowed to expand into New 23 York City. There would be no surcharge 24

revenues there such as are required of an off-track betting corporation.

SENATOR MARTINS: I appreciate that.
Thank you.

Let me ask you just one last question, because I heard during your testimony that you had spoken about Fast Track and Fast Track being an opportunity to expand OTB's presence in the area without having the overhead that normally accompanies expansion of parlors and the like.

How has that worked with Nassau OTB or any of the other regional OTBs? And are there any concerns or any pressures on any of the OTBs with regard to that expansion? Because it seems that it's a cost-effective way of getting the product and the racing industry, making it available in different venues where people are already sitting in a local forum -- sometimes we're dealing with restaurants and local bars -- that it would probably be a great place to do that.

MR. SIGNOR: Yeah, absolutely. And Senator Golden mentioned this point about

20

21

22

23

24

going into sports bars and other facilities. I mean, we've already done that. We're in 30 different locations, you know, Fast Tracks -- we call them EZ Bets -- which is basically sports bars, bowling alleys, golf courses, restaurants. And what we're trying to do is bring it to a more casual setting and create racing fans. Bring it to a sports bar where you have people who like sports who may, you know, go there with a buddy, a racing fan, and then they become a racing fan, and we just grew -- you know, we're able to grew the number of racing fans in New York State. So it is a cost-efficient way to grow the industry and create racing fans.

There is a tweak in the law which we have to get approval from either NYRA or the regional harness track if we're within 30 or 40 miles. So they have the ability to say, you know -- I'll give you one example. If we have a branch where we're losing money and we say, Okay, we don't want to keep that branch open because it's losing money, we'll

move it to an EZ Bet, we'll move into an EZ 1 Bet, a sports bar or some kind of tavern 2 where it will be more cost-effective. 3 probably won't do the same amount of handle, 4 but it will benefit the taxpayers, benefit, 5 you know, Capital OTB. 6 But we've run into instances where 7 we're denied the ability to do that. So the 8 track may say, "No, we're not going to allow you to open this facility." And so we're 10 put in a situation where we either close a 11 branch and lose a lot of that handle, 12 because it may be in a location where 13 there's no other branches around, or we keep 14 a branch open that's unprofitable. 15 SENATOR MARTINS: So you have the 16 opportunity to retain efficiencies --17 Right. MR. SIGNOR: 18 -- to cut your SENATOR MARTINS: 19 overhead, and again to become profitable --20 MR. SIGNOR: Right. 21 -- but there is an SENATOR MARTINS: 22 opportunity also for the track to --23 MR. SIGNOR: Yes, to deny us that 24

ability, yes. And it's happened numerous times.

MR. WALSH: But the way the statute is written, it's within 40 miles. Only after 30 miles can they unreasonably withhold the consent. I think it's 1008 of the Racing Law. So for the first 30 miles, they have carte blanche, the track, veto power to do it. And as you know, our county is not 30 miles long, so . . .

SENATOR MARTINS: You went right to my point, Mr. Walsh. So if we take 30 miles from a track like Belmont, you're dealing with the entire Nassau OTB regional or geographic area not being able to expand its Fast Track capabilities because there's a veto capacity within the regulations and the current regulatory scheme that prevents you from doing that.

MR. SIGNOR: And the logic really isn't there to deny it, because if we close a branch, they're kind of the hard-core bettors. They're the guys going to the branch. If you're going to close a branch

and open an EZ Bet, those guys may not want 1 to go to that EZ Bet, they may end up going 2 to the track. They may say, "Okay, the 3 track's closer, I'm going to go to the track." 5 So it's really illogical to deny it, but, you know, in many instances they're 7 denying it. 8 SENATOR MARTINS: It just goes to my 9 original point, that the regulatory scheme 10 as it comes to racing needs to be 11 reevaluated, and perhaps this is an 12 13 opportunity to do that. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Okay. Gentlemen, CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 15 thank you very much. 16 Our next invited guest is Joseph 17 D'Amato, chief executive officer, and 18 Charles Degliomini -- I think I pronounced 19 it better that time -- executive vice 20 president of Empire Resorts. 21 We're also going to ask Phil Palermo to 22 come down, the senior director of operations 23

of Finger Lakes Race Track.

Gentlemen, thank you for being here.

Okay, you're on, Charles.

MR. DEGLIOMINI: Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Charlie Degliomini -- many people in this room know me as Charlie D. -- and I am the executive vice president of Empire Resorts. Empire Resorts is the publicly traded owner of Monticello Casino & Raceway.

Seated next to me is Joe D'Amato, our CEO, who will later address some brief concerns regarding racing and gaming.

First let me thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, for holding this hearing and providing us the opportunity to address you. We are especially appreciative, Mr. Chairman, that during your tenure representing the 42nd Senate District you have been a partner in our efforts to provide quality racing and entertainment in Sullivan County. It was disturbing to us to read published reports in the wake of New York City OTB's closing that questioned your commitment to

Monticello Raceway. We know nothing could be further from the truth.

As the chairman is fully aware,

Monticello Casino & Raceway is New York

State's current gaming and racing partner in

Sullivan County. I am proud to report that

since our opening in June of 2006,

Monticello has contributed over \$192 million

to New York State education, over

\$62 million in payroll to our employees,

\$32 million in purses to our harness

horsemen, \$14 million in benefits and taxes

to the State of New York, \$3.8 million to

the Town of Thompson, \$1.8 million to

Sullivan County, and \$1.7 million to the

Village of Monticello.

In addition to these financial contributions to the state and local governments, we also employ over 312 local residents and we host a harness horsemen colony comprised of over 500-plus members who earn their livelihoods from our harness racing operations.

The operations of a VGM gaming and

racing facility in New York State has not always been easy for us. The last budget process resulted in the loss of 1 percent of our video gaming machine vendor rate. This resulted in an annual loss to Monticello Casino & Raceway of approximately \$560,000.

The impact of closing New York City OTB has taken an even greater toll on our business. The New York State OTB closing makes it impossible for Monticello and its horsemen to recover the \$7 million owed in pre-bankruptcy petition payments and wipes out approximately \$2.4 million in annual future payments. Half of these amounts would have been paid to our horsemen.

As we move forward, with your help and the leadership of this committee, it is important that we as an industry address the issues that continue to plague our racing and gaming products. Those issues, we believe, if solved, could help racing in New York as well as increase gaming revenue for education.

Horse racing is a vital and important

component to the New York State economy.

Monticello takes pride in our racing program and our partnership with our harness horsemen. As we look at the future of racing, there are some significant issues that must be addressed. In particular, the industry must find a way to merge the interest of the tracks with the interests of the OTBs in order to provide a better and more comprehensive betting option to our fans.

Traditionally, the OTBs and the tracks have fought over the same shrinking pool of bettors. This direct competition does not benefit the OTBs, the tracks and especially not the racing fans. If anything, our product must change with the times. We must utilize technology and make it more convenient for our fans to enjoy the excitement of New York racing.

No one understands financial pressure better than we do. We recently restructured \$65 million in our debt and hope that we have turned the corner by managing smarter.

Frankly, we reinvented ourselves and we believe that the regional OTBs will have to do the same.

It would be the recommendation of
Monticello that the following changes be
made to the regional OTBs to ensure their
continued operation in partnership with the
tracks. First, the operation of the
regional OTBs must be downsized. This
includes the consolidation of jobs and
titles, which I think has been addressed
before. There are too many entities doing
the same job. These functions and jobs
could be consolidated and could make for a
much more fluid operation.

Further, it would probably be necessary to close unprofitable parlors as well as streamline operations and make the best use of technology. Technology is the key. We presently see it with the casino gaming industry, and the same is true for racing. The state and our industry must incorporate the best and latest technology to provide a better product to our fans and incorporate

this technology into our operations and those of the regional OTBs.

As we look at the regional OTBs -- and again, Monticello believes that it's important to better operate these entities to increase racing handle and enthusiasm -- we look to the New York City OTB model as a cautionary tale. During those negotiations and the subsequent legislation, there was a great deal of shared sacrifice by all the parties. Everyone, including the unions, gave up something for the greater good of the industry. The same case should apply moving forward.

One aspect that will be important in revamping the industry, and I think this has been discussed before, is advance deposit wagering. Most ADWs operate out of jurisdiction with a low tax rate. Operating costs include tote charges, state tax, host fees, streaming charges and Internet and phone costs. Since they are not required to split revenues with horsemen or pay regional commissions to the tracks, they are able to

offer rebates of up to 12 percent to their customers, giving them an edge over the OTBs and the tracks which presents a dilemma.

We cannot allow entities to accept wagers from New York residents without contributing to the in-state racing industry. I have heard that the OTBs are negotiating with the ADWs for a percentage of the ADWs' New York handle. The OTBs are not a racing entity and should not receive payments that will not benefit racing.

The payments should go back into the industry where they were taken from. This is where New York could have an edge over the ADWs. Charge them 10 percent plus and cut into the rebate monies they can offer. Five percent will go to the industry, and the other 5 percent split between the state and the OTBs.

Finally, the state should require
yearly audits of revenue and expenses
encompassing a review of operational
procedures and practices that are no longer
relevant.

These ideas and many others may help simplify the current system and allow the OTBs and tracks to operate more cohesively for the benefit of the fans.

At this point I would like to turn the mike over to my CEO, Joe D'Amato.

MR. D'AMATO: Thank you, Charles.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I appreciate the opportunity. I will not read from my prepared remarks.

I'll try to keep this very short to keep the committee on time frame.

Charlie brought up about technology. I think we can all look at our own personal lives to talk about technology and the impact that it's had on our lives. One day pages were great, one day cellphones. Now we're into iPads, I don't know, iPhones, everything. And it goes a way to focusing on the guest and the bettor as to how they want to do things and how convenient it is for them.

The casino side of gaming has been very, very in the forefront of this. We use

things such as ticket-in, ticket-out
technology. Our casino marketing systems
give us a lot of advantages to know our
customers. We do kiosks for marketing and
for self-service of our guests. So the
focus is consistently on guest service.

There's been some discussion that there's been a saturation of racing and gaming in the area. I think what has happened to us is we've lost business to other jurisdictions and also to in-state tribal casinos who have certain marketing advantages and tax-rate advantages over us.

We are still -- and I want to just focus for a minute on Monticello. We are seeking to try to expand our property. We are challenged from a -- the current credit markets present certain challenges to us. Charlie indicated we just spent considerable time restructuring our \$65 million in debt. We are in a much better financial position than we were when I started with the company about 17 months ago. We want to add jobs to Sullivan County and permanent jobs and

construction jobs.

To help us along this line, we think the committee could be supportive of us in the following areas. One is in Governor Cuomo's budget he provided legislation for free play. We think the committee should support that and move it forward.

We at Monticello would like the consideration of our vendor capital award to be reinstated so that we could start putting some additional money into our property and also help us finance our expansion.

One of the hallmarks of gaming, at least from my perspective, is competition.

I've spent 40 years of my life in competition. I'm not afraid to compete. I would ask for more of a level playing field, especially on the tax-rate structure and on the marketing side. I know to compete.

I've worked for some of the best gaming companies in the world, so I think I bring a certain level of knowledge. And all I want is that level playing field; I'll compete.

It was brought up earlier today,

Mr. Speller talked about first passage. I think it's something that New York should seriously consider and be supportive of and give the citizens of the state an opportunity to vote on whether this is something they would desire.

And I think these type of steps will help ensure not only the gaming industry but also the racing industry. It will give us some permanency, so to speak, to our ability to support the racing industry.

In summary, Mr. Chairman and committee members, what we want to do at Monticello is start the first step to transform it into a regional destination resort. We cannot compete on the convenience level of gaming market as perhaps Yonkers or Aqueduct could, being so close to the City of New York. We gave to rely on people coming a certain distance. We are one of the least populated counties in the state. And part of the overall structure and long-term plan is to build a regional resort.

And I think as part of that, as I

stated before, that we will not only be able 1 to support gaming and jobs in the area but 2 also support the racing industry as we go 3 forward. 4 I'll be happy at the end to take any 5 questions from the committee. Thank you for 6 your time and attention, Mr. Chairman. 7 Thank you, CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 8 Mr. D'Amato. 9 MR. PALERMO: Good afternoon, Senator 10 Bonacic. 11 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Good afternoon, 12 Mr. Palermo. 13 Thank you for allowing MR. PALERMO: 14 Finger Lakes to comment on various topics. 15 In an ideal model for managing wagers 16 off-track, privatize each of the five 17 remaining OTBs. Each regional racetrack 18 should be given an opportunity to operate 19 regional OTBs. In almost every other 20 jurisdiction, the racetracks control their 21 own in-state distribution networks, and the 22 result is sound business decisions making an 23

industry grow.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ideally, there should be one advance deposit wagering -- ADW -- system for the whole state owned by the racetracks. The ADW handle should be allocated to each racetrack based on the players' zip code location and then treated the same as an on-track wager.

The second topic, limiting out-of-state ADWs from accepting bets from New York State residents on New York races. Finger Lakes agrees with restricting unregulated out-of-state ADWs from accepting any wagers from New York State residents. It's been reported that up to \$500 million in handle could be potentially recovered by in-state entities if New York State could stop out-of-state unregulated ADWs from accepting If even a wagers from New York residents. portion of this could be recaptured by New York racing entities, it would be a major boost to the financial health of the New York OTBs and the New York racing industry.

The Senate introduced a bill in 2010

that would make it a felony for an out-of-state ADW to accept a wager from a New York State resident without first being licensed by the New York State Racing and Wagering Board. We fully support this concept and encourage the Legislature to give it its full consideration as both the state and industry are being deprived of their fair share of revenue stream.

Third topic, on whether New York's gaming market is oversaturated. New York City OTB has proven what oversaturation can do to a market. Mismanagement of salaries, labor and expenses created a business model which resulted in bankruptcy. The five remaining OTBs are essentially duplicating the same organization structure five separate times over. When combined with their history of somewhat bloated expenses, New York City OTB's bankruptcy is unlikely to be the last one we see.

By privatizing the five OTBs, the state would be effectively rolling them into an existing racetrack operating structure who

can operate them more efficiently.

As to the gaming market in New York
State, recent experience has shown that
having six OTBs and 11 separate racetracks
divides the market into razor-thin slices so
that everyone is scrambling to survive and
no one has any real economic incentive to
expand their racing business. The state
should be very cautious in regards to any
further gaming expansion so as not to
duplicate the dichotomy of the current
racing landscape.

The witnesses' ideas to better the sport of racing. Racing needs to be marketed as an event, similar to other limited-season sports such as football or baseball. Imagine a football season lasting eight months instead of four. People would lose interest since it's no longer a condensed event. Additionally, few NFL players would be able to complete a full season without injury due to the extent of the longer season.

The same analogy can be applied to the

racing industry. The shorter the meet, the more popular the sport will become. Saratoga is a prime example of this, as well as places like Gulf Stream Park, Oak Lawn Park, and fairgrounds, all with seasons ranging from six weeks to four months. reduction in race days will create larger field sizes, purses, less injuries, increased competition, better breed of horses, and improve the overall health of

interest and wagering as well.

Also, we believe that New York State should adopt a statewide marketing campaign promoting the sport and economic impact it contributes to the state. Also, simplifying the wagering process and educating the public on the information available to them to better understand the sport is another critical step towards attracting fans to the racetrack.

the racing industry. This will increase fan

The last item, the proposed interstate racing compact. Finger Lakes agrees that the concept of having an interstate racing

compact would benefit the industry since it 1 would allow for standardization for rules 2 and regulations. Because of the 3 complexities, we believe it would be more 4 feasible to have a regional compact 5 segregated by time zones -- eastern, central 6 and Pacific times -- as opposed to trying to 7 have the entire country on board. 8 regionalizing the compact, we feel it would 9 be easier to develop and enforce the 10 outcomes from the compact on a smaller 11 As the compact evolves, it would 12 then make more sense to expand the concept 13 into other regions. 14 Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you. 16 Could the Just a quick question. . 17 racetracks themselves form a coalition and 18 take over OTB operations other than NYRA? 19 MR. DEGLIOMINI: Certainly. That's 20 entirely possible. 21 I just hope we all 22 MR. D'AMATO: could get in the same room and agree today 23

24

is Monday.

So the answer to the question is yes.

But I think part of the problem, Senator, is every time we get together, we seem to blame everyone else other than ourselves. And we're never part of the solution, it's always someone else's problem. And I think that is a critical component. But the answer to your question is yes.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: I have no further questions.

Gentlemen, thank you very much for coming.

MR. D'AMATO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Our last set of speakers is going to be Joe Faraldo, who is the president of the Standardbred Owners Association; Jeffrey Cannizzo, executive director of the New York Thoroughbred Breeders, and Richard Violette, president of the New York Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association. So come on down, whoever is here.

And while these gentlemen are coming to the table, let me just say that I have

received written testimony and other

communications received and anticipated from

the City of Saratoga Springs and the Finger

Lakes Horsemen Benevolent and Protective

Association.

Okay, you decide who wants to start.

Thank you for your patience, by the way. I know you've been sitting up there a long time.

MR. FARALDO: I would like to thank you, Chairman Bonacic and the committee members, for the opportunity to testify today. And I want to acknowledge right up front how grateful we are to the chairman for seeking the input of our industry right at the outset of the legislative session.

My name, as you said, is Joe Faraldo.

I'm president of the Standardbred Owners

Association, which has approximately 1,000

members, horsemen that race competitively at

Yonkers Raceway. I'm also here advocating

today on behalf of the Empire State Harness

Horsemen's Alliance, which is a statewide

organization comprised of the SOA, Harness

Horsemen's Association of Central New York,

Monticello Harness Horsemen's Association,

Saratoga Harness Horsemen's Association, and
the Western New York Harness Horsemen's

Association.

I'd like to talk just briefly about the State of New York's harness industry. If you asked me what the overall state of New York racing is, I suppose I would say it was strong but extremely wary.

We are strong because the thoughtful video lottery terminal program initiated by the New York State Legislature has created an unprecedented renaissance in harness racing and standardbred horse breeding across the state. But at the same time we are extremely wary of possible threats to this current well-being, be they in the form of potential givebacks to OTBs, reduced payments to our industry, or proposed new regulatory schemes that could have devastating impacts on our local racing product.

But first, some of the good news.

Thanks to the increased purses generated by VLTs at the New York harness tracks, we are attracting investment in our breading and agricultural sectors like never before. New farms and training facilities are opening in regions across the state, and our New York standardbred horses are commanding the highest prices by far at public action. At the most recent national sale, for example, New York-breds averaged more than \$36,000, while our nearby competitor, Pennsylvania, which also has a major VLT initiative, barely averaged more than \$27,000 for their get.

Beyond the positive impacts on the breeding industry, these increased purses have led to a much higher quality of racing which has directly increased our harness racing handle. At Yonkers Raceway, where my people ply their trade, our handle has increased from \$181 million in 2009 to \$230 million in 2010, a \$49 million increase directly attributable to a better racing product that is drawing more interest from

racing fans across the country.

Unfortunately, despite this good news, there are some potential storm clouds on the horizon that we would like to bring to your attention.

Off-track wagering in New York, a multifaceted issue fraught with possible danger for the harness industry. In order to provide at least some clarity on the harness industry's position, we offer the following three basic beliefs about off-track wagering in New York. I'm cutting this down substantially.

First, the racing industry should control off-track wagering, period.

Government's role should be twofold when it comes to racing or off-track betting:

Regulate it and tax it.

Two, the proposals now before you to eliminate maintenance of effort and dark day money ignore the history and threaten this recovery that we've been experiencing in our industry. What the OTBs propose is that eventually they should no longer be required

to pay the industry for the very product
that the industry provides to it and upon
which OTB derives its revenues. The
legislative mandates they complain about
were put in place because the OTBs were
making conscious business decisions damaging

New York State's racing industry.

Three, New York State and our New York tracks need to do more to promote our own racing product. While NYRA stepped up quickly to get their signal back on the air in New York City and make off-track wagering through their own system as easy as possible, New York harness tracks, including Yonkers Raceway, the largest and most significant in the state, have not moved nearly as quickly. We need harness racing fans to be able to easily find new New York races, be they on television, on the Internet, or on their handheld devices.

There was some talk about the national racing compact. This is potentially very damaging to New York racing, and it has no demonstrated upside. Beyond the universal

to what?

apprehension generated by off-track wagering topics, another issue in which you will find nearly unanimous concern among New York horsemen is the proposed national racing compact. This proposal would compel New York State to enter into a multistate regulatory compact that would have the power to regulate significant aspects of New York racing without the need for New York legislative or industry advice or intervention.

As you might imagine, many of us in the industry are extremely concerned about such a proposal. And unfortunately, many of the outstanding questions, issues and suggestions that we have had with the compact last session have never been substantially addressed.

On our side, on the harness side, we have one other issue that's very important to us -- and I know it wasn't listed on your agenda -- and that is some workers' compensation reform. Because we have many of the people who supply services to our

business, like farriers or blacksmiths, shippers or veterinarians, who are now being assessed to the harness horse industry owners as part of the assessment that they have to pay workers' compensation premiums on.

We have introduced a piece of
legislation or have developed a piece that
we'll be seeking your assistance on in
passing this session that simply clarifies
the Workers' Compensation Law as it pertains
to the harness racing industry and would
exclude those widely acknowledged
independent contractors from wrongly being
considered employees. We hope that with
your help we can make this common-sense
change this year.

And I want to thank you for the opportunity of receiving my testimony today.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Joseph, thank you.

Jeffrey Cannizzo.

MR. CANNIZZO: Thank you, Chairman Bonacic, Senator McDonald, and Assemblyman Tedisco, for taking time here today and

allowing the thoroughbred breeders to give their perspective on the overall future of racing and wagering here in New York State.

I think that the difference that I want to point out -- we've covered a lot of the same topics, so I'd like to keep this short and sweet to the point of why we are here. The breeding industry, the horsemen, these people sitting here at the table, the difference is that we're talking about the supply side of this industry and we're talking about the actual jobs and positions that occupy this industry here in the state.

And that's the real difference between the people here and some of the other people you had earlier. But we're talking about jobs and economic development, which should be probably the two most important things that are going on in New York State right now, some of the most important.

The breeding industry on the thoroughbred side and in general the equine industry is the second largest agribusiness in New York State. There's about 35,000

jobs that occupy this industry collectively across this state in 50 different counties.

We contribute about \$2 billion to the state's GDP and generate over \$100 million

in taxes every year.

Again, for the purposes of what I want to talk about is really about the supply of this product and the reality of if the people in these positions that we're talking about didn't exist, there wouldn't be any horse racing. I mean, there's two major components of this industry. It's the horseman and breeder which are providing the product and service, and the horse player. Simple. Two groups. The person that puts their \$2 in the machine that generates money that flows through everyone's hands and the people that are supplying the product and the service that's out there to wager on.

And in saying that, you know, on average NYRA and Finger Lakes conduct about a thousand races a year for New York-breds, people that are breeding horses here in the state. So it's a major component of racing

′

that exists. Without people breeding in New York, there wouldn't be New York racing as we know it today.

Every additional horse that's in a race generates about \$140,000 of handle. What does that mean? Well, on average, New York-bred races hold more horses or a larger field, so to speak. For every day of racing. So typically a horse that's bred in New York is in a race that has more horses, which generates more money. Well, if there's more money generated from handle, it filters back down through the system back down to the taxpayer that we've been talking about. So it's an important entity of providing them supply.

In 1973, the state created a Breeding
Development Fund which has steadily
increased the quality and quantity of people
that are partaking in the breeding industry
in New York State. There's been a perfect
storm over the last few years within this
industry, and particularly in the breading
side of this industry. We've had a

nationwide recession. There's been delays in the VLT contract, as everyone knows.

There's been a long, drawn-out demise of New York City OTB. And we've had competition from other states that are surrounding New York that have created a contraction in the breeding industry.

What does that mean, and why am I even talking about that? Well, in the last three years, two of the largest farms in New York State closed. These were the leading breeders, they earned the most amount of money, they employed the most number of people and the most horses in the state. They all closed their doors and left. That accounted for about 400 brood mares.

Well, why is that important? Every single brood mare that's in this state can attribute to three full-time positions. So there's 1200 jobs that are gone within the last three years just because people couldn't hold out to the storm that was happening here.

We have neighboring states like

pennsylvania, for example, that have a
better awards program. Their programs grown
3 percent larger than ours in the last few
years. Our 2009 foal crop, the number of
horses that were born here, was down
15 percent from the year before, which was
down 21 percent from the year prior to that.
So in five years, we're seeing a

significant -- almost a quarter of the

horses that were being bred here are gone.

The other important component of this is that, you know, you talked about private business. Breeding horses isn't a turnkey solution, it's a three-year window. So people are investing down the road from three years from now. Well, in 2012, I'll tell you, there's going to be 500 fewer horses here in New York State. Well, 500 fewer horses in New York State is going to create a serious problem, supply side, for racetracks to put on the same number of races. So we're looking at some significant issues here down the road.

So in saying that, there's every sign

right now that things are going to potentially turn the corner with Aqueduct's VLT parlor up, potentially up and running by the summer, with the vacuum that was left from New York City OTB and the efforts that NYRA has made to pick up that business and expand. But in saying that, I receive calls every day from people outside of the state that would look to invest in New York, open a farm in New York, come to New York, move their horses here to New York, employ more people here in New York. They see the opportunities that are there.

But the problem is that every few months we stick our foot in our month. Back in the fall, with New York City OTB, prior to them closing, the Aqueduct news just came on board, people were excited about New York again. The phones were ringing: "How can I come into New York, how can I play in New York, I want to." A month later, New York City OTB goes down the tubes, media is all over it, the phone calls stop. "I can't come to New York, you guys don't have it

figured out yet. There's still problems
there."

Well, just a few weeks ago, a week ago, the new purse tax. There's a significant issue with that. You know, 2.75 percent on top of the taxpayers' dollars, which is the horse owner -- they are a taxpayer in New York State -- that's bad buzz for New York. Why would I want to come to New York when you're going to double-tax me on money that I'm investing in this industry? So there's problems that we're creating on ourselves that still exist.

But my point is that we're in a unique position now to address all of the components that we've been talking about.

And I think if we do it properly and correctly, New York's probably going to be the only place to play, because all the issues we're talking about are national issues as well. So we have a really unique opportunity to get this equation right if we really want to follow suit.

And I'll shift gears and end on this.

It's actually been amazing to watch what 1 NYRA's done with this Belmont Cafe that 2 we've been talking about. So in a matter of 3 one month -- one month, 30 days -- NYRA's been able to open the most successful OTB 5 outlet on their own grounds by doing a few 6 simple things: Turning on some TVs, putting 7 heat in the room, maybe turning the heat up, 8 and providing transportation to that 9 facility. In one month, they've created the 10 largest OTB parlor in New York State. 11 Well, what does that say? 12 rocket science. It's pretty simple. 13 just need to get it right. 14 And in saying that, I'll let Rick talk 15 a little bit. 16 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you. 17 18 Thought you spoke well. Mr. Violette. 19 MR. VIOLETTE: Chairman, thank you 20 very much for having us. Gentlemen, thank 21 you for -- actually it's been, I'm sure, 22 torturous, a little bit, for you guys to be 23 sitting here. 24

I'll just quickly touch on the Belmont Cafe. It was actually about 72 hours that NYRA got it up and running.

And I do wish Senator Martins was still here. I'm from Mineola, from his district, and one of the questions I wish he had asked was who in their right mind would have an OTB 3/8 of a mile from the racetrack. That simple logic, that is what we've been under for the last 30 years, and it obviously needs to change.

Reasonable businessmen could sit down here and without patronage or territorial initiatives understand that five administrations, five CEOs, five legal departments -- five -- is a waste. And unfortunately, waiting for leadership from the OTBs to decide that that is one of their solutions is frustrating and a waste of time to unfortunately listen to that time and time again.

Somebody needs to take charge and lead the way here. And I'm hoping, Senator, that -- I know that's been part of your

thought process going forward. But it seems to me there are two options, one to either have the racing industry itself, the racetracks, take over the OTB system, or to have one streamlined, statewide OTB system. Otherwise we're just shifting chairs on the Titanic and nothing's being done to fix it.

I won't touch on -- we will file some written submissions because there's a lot of topics and I know we are very much under the gun here timewise. The one issue Senator Farley touched on quickly, and Jeff just did, in this new proposed budget there is a 2.75 percent new tax -- they want to call it a surcharge or whatever, but it's a tax -- on purse money statewide.

The justification supplied with the budget is factually incorrect. One of the highlights that came up from the Budget Office was that it's not right for the taxpayer to support the industry by having the Racing and Wagering Board borrow money to support themselves. Factually, there are a number of problems with that.

O

_ -

Number one, it seemed to be understood that statewide all agencies were going to be requested to provide a budget that included a 10 percent reduction. The Racing and Wagering Board's budget included a 10 percent increase. So right off the bat, there are problems with that. And part of that increase and why they went after the owners to pay for this \$3 million just for NYRA racetracks was they said that the increase of drug testing on race horses had increased, it was the biggest increase, and that's why they went in that direction.

That's also factually incorrect. One of the reasons that the testing facility was moved from Cornell to Morrisville was because of the savings that Morrisville was going to provide, that they were standing by a budget -- the 2009 budget, and there has been no significant increase in the testing. In fact, the horsemen, NYRA horsemen, paid for half a million dollar steroid testing equipment that first Cornell used and then it was transferred over to Morrisville.

О

On top of that, two years ago -- it's been a year implementation -- they charged \$10 per start from the owners that originally was supposed to go to drug testing. It eventually really went into their general budget. But that's another million dollars of new funds that they took out of the horsemen's hide.

In their \$24 million budget they allocate about \$14 million that it costs for them to supervise and oversee horse racing. We have the numbers here. And again, going back to the original premise that taxpayers shouldn't be paying for the Racing and Wagering Board, they don't. Through direct payments to either the Racing and Wagering Board or to the state general budget and to local municipalities, there's over \$55 million that comes directly from racing.

This increase of 2.75 percent means another \$6.7 million to \$7 million increase on top of that \$55 million. So it's absolute fallacy that the state is somehow subsidizing the Racing and Wagering Board.

So there are fundamental flaws with all the information used to prop up this new tax on horse owners. And it has a real effect. There are 800 empty stalls at Belmont Park right now. Racing entities have left the state. There are other states where it is more economical to run their racing operations that offer the same amount of purse money. Purse money rules all. Saratoga is the best racing maybe in the world, but if we don't keep competitive with the purse money that we offer, that will eventually crumble as well.

Jeff mentioned that we had a number of farms close down. We have a number of horsemen that have gone to Philadelphia, gone to Maryland, gone to New Jersey, staying in Florida. We were encouraged by the Genting movement to have VLTs, and now all of a sudden in the new budget we have this new basic 3 percent tax. The brakes went on. What is going on in New York? We haven't even seen any of the benefits of VLTs, but we're taking more money out of the

horsemen's hide.

And just to quickly give you a little example, it costs about \$50,000 a year just for the training and maintenance of a race horse in New York State. That's not the purchase price, it's not the fees for stallion or anything, just the maintenance to train a race horse.

There's a hundred million dollar gap
between the purse money available in
thoroughbred racing and the expense just for
the maintenance of the racing animals.
Again, not the capital investment, just the
maintenance. And to continually chip
away -- three years ago purse money was
\$121 million. It's a little over \$100
million in the past year. That's almost a
20 percent decline.

The state owes the horsemen \$12 million in the money that New York City OTB defaulted on. There's \$20 million that is owed to NYRA; 60 percent of that is owed to the NYRA horsemen. To add another \$3 million on that is just back-breaking.

And other than that, I'll just 1 invite -- hopefully we can have some 2 sit-downs with everybody on a face-to-face 3 It's actually a great opportunity moving forward. I think we have some real 5 moveability. Unfortunately, some losses are inevitable. I do think NYRA has been 7 remarkable as far as they've been aggressive 8 in chasing the dollars that might have been 9 lost through the closure of New York City 10 And hopefully, moving forward, they'll 11 get aggressive in opening some of the 12 simulcast parlors within the year in New 13 York City. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you. 16 Have you been speaking to the 17 Governor's office at all as to this proposed 18 surcharge on the purse? 19 MR. VIOLETTE: We have made forays 20 and have not received a one-on-one yet, 21 let's put it that way. 22 Have they given CHAIRMAN BONACIC: 23 you a point person?

They have not yet, no.

2 CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Okay, thank you. Anyone else have any questions of these 3 gentlemen? Assemblyman Tedisco. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN TEDISCO: Yeah, thank 6 7 you, gentlemen, for being here, for taking the time to be here. 8 I just want to reinforce what you've 9 said about this tax. Because if you listen 10 to the New York State Division of Budget, 11 it's just an increase in the surcharge. 12 Well, you and I know -- and everybody in 13 this room and New York State mostly knows --14 if it looks like a surcharge or a fee, you 15 can call it a pickle or you can call it a 16 tree -- you know, if it walks like a duck, 17 quacks like a duck and looks like a duck, 18 it's a tax in New York State. This is a tax 19 in New York State. 20 The mindset from our new administration 21 has been great. They want to reduce the 22 size of government, they want to do more 23

with less, and that's fantastic. But this

MR. VIOLETTE:

1

defies that logic. Because we didn't lose 200,000 jobs or lose 2 million in population or lose \$70 billion in tax receipts from 1995 because we don't tax enough, regulate enough, or mandate enough. Just the opposite.

And what the Division of Budget should be doing, I think, is streamlining regulations and mandates so they can reduce the cost to them. Because they say they don't have enough money to regulate your industry. Well, they don't have enough money to regulate your industry, the small breeders and the thoroughbred owners and other small businesses because they create too many regulations.

If they streamlined them, they'd have enough money to do so, but they'd also be able to invest in your industry and incentivize your industry and other small businesses in New York State.

So, you know, many of us are on track with what you're saying here, we understand it. We just maybe need some help in making

the argument to our leadership now, because
we like a lot of things on the second floor
that's being said right now, but this defies
what has been said about no new taxes in
New York State and incentivizing small
businesses to keep populations here and

workers here.

I guess my question to you is how many jobs are going to be lost or what's going to happen, are we going to lose a lot of our breeders or our small horsemen here because of an increase of close to 3 percent?

MR. VIOLETTE: The job loss is significant. And they don't necessarily, within our industry, go on unemployment. They leave the state. They go and try to get a job in another state. So that 800 empty stalls over at Belmont probably represents about 600 jobs that are no longer in Elmont alone. So it has a huge effect.

Horse owners are an easy target -they're the wealthy, they can afford the
racing and the million-dollar purses is all
you hear about. The families -- the

Vanderbilts, the Whitneys -- where the bottom line was kind of on the back burner, those families are gone. Our industry is now a bunch of businessmen willing to invest in a sport where they know they're going to lose money. Ninety percent of owners lose money every single year. That's not even a little bit of a fabrication like we heard some of it earlier. Ninety percent.

All they want is a fighting chance to break even. And they'll chase that opportunity, whether it's in New York State or Pennsylvania or elsewhere. We have to be a more user-friendly state and a more attractive state because those bottom-line-conscious businessmen now provide those 35,000 jobs that are in the state. And like I said, just at Elmont there's 600 or 800 that are gone.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Okay, I think we're going to end this meeting. I want to thank the gentlemen for testifying today.

Senator McDonald has some recognitions, and then I just want to give a minute of

concluding remarks. Senator McDonald.

SENATOR McDONALD: I want to thank all the people who testified. You three folks there, you're preaching to the choir, okay? And it gets a little agonizing after a while that they're not paying more attention to this industry. If you live in the areas that -- you know, all of us I think who are here probably live in this horse-racing industry, in that geographic area -- you know how important it is to so many different people, all different backgrounds.

We've had a bad year in 2010. Okay?

They ignored it, and they threatened even

Saratoga and other communities with closing

down. But we're turning that around. We've

got commitments. And I think what we're

trying to do here is state, and the chairman

has said it, our commitment to moving

forward and getting organized.

Now, we've had two folks from my city and Jim's city, Deputy Mayor Shauna Sutton and Deputy Finance Commissioner Kate Jarosh,

who specifically came down here and sat through the whole, what, three-plus hours or whatever it was of this presentation. They have a resolution, and that resolution I believe is pertaining to the casino proposal. Am I correct?

The City of Saratoga Springs
unanimously voted against that city proposal
down in the Catskill area, and their
resolution states why. And I think Jim and
I want to make sure you are recognized and
appreciative that you came down here from
Saratoga -- not that far, by the way. All
part of the Capital Region. But thank you
for making the trip.

Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BONACIC: Thank you, Senator.

Just a point of information. We have requested that anyone that wanted to give written testimony, we will keep this hearing open to receive that until February 18th at 5:00 p.m.

I want to thank all the speakers that

J	
1	spoke today for sharing your experience,
2	your knowledge, and your point of view. We
3	will digest it all.
4	And I'm going to conclude this meeting
5	now; it's exactly at 1:30 p.m. on
6	February 7th. The meeting started at
7	10:30 a.m. Thank you all for being here.
8	(Whereupon, at 1:30 p.m., the
9	public hearing concluded.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	