1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2022-2023 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
6	
	Virtual Hearing
7	Conducted via Zoom
8	February 1, 2022 9:36 a.m.
9	9.30 a.m.
10	PRESIDING:
.1	Senator Liz Krueger
2	Chair, Senate Finance Committee
3	Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
4	PRESENT:
.5	Senator Thomas F. O'Mara Senate Finance Committee (RM)
. 6	Assemblyman Edward P. Ra
7	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
8	Senator Todd Kaminsky
L 9	Chair, Senate Committee on Environmental Conservation
0	Assemblyman Steve Englebright
1	Chair, Assembly Committee on Environmental Conservation
22	Senator Michelle Hinchey
23	Chair, Senate Committee on Agriculture
24	Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo Chair, Assembly Committee on Agriculture

1		Executive Budget ntal Conservation
2	2-1-22	intal Collservation
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Senator José M. Serrano Chair, Senate Committee on Cultural
5		Affairs, Tourism, Arts and Recreation
6		Assemblyman Daniel J. O'Donnell Chair, Assembly Committee on
7		Tourism, Parks, Arts and Sports Development
8		
9		Senator Kevin Parker Chair, Senate Committee on Energy and Telecommunications
10		Accomblyman Michael T. Cycick
11		Assemblyman Michael J. Cusick Chair, Assembly Committee on Energy
12		Assemblyman Harvey Epstein
13		Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy
14		Assemblyman Robert Carroll
15		Senator Dan Stec
16		Assemblyman Steven Otis
1,7		Assemblywoman Didi Barrett
18		Senator Anna M. Kaplan
19		Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick
20		Senator Pete Harckham
21		Assemblyman Robert Smullen
22		Senator John W. Mannion
23		Assemblyman Harry B. Bronson
24		Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation	
2		
3	PRESENT: (Continued)	
4	Senator Brad Hoylman	
5	Assemblyman Angelo Santabarbara	
6	Assemblywoman Carrie Woerner	
7	Senator John Liu	
8	Assemblyman Billy Jones	
9	Assemblywoman Marianne Buttenschon	
10	Assemblyman Philip A. Palmesano	
11	Senator George M. Borrello	
12	Assemblyman Chris Tague	
13	Senator Patty Ritchie	
14	Assemblyman Mark Walczyk	
15	Assemblywoman Rebecca A. Seawright	
16	Senator Sue Serino	
17	Assemblyman Chris Burdick	
18	Assemblywoman Karen McMahon	
19	Assemblyman John Lemondes	
20	Senator Brian Kavanagh	
21	Assemblyman William Conrad	
22	Assemblywoman Jessica González-Rojas	
23	Assemblyman Matthew Simpson	
24	Assemblyman Doug Smith	

1		Executive Budget ntal Conservation
2		iitai Conservation
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4		Senator Rachel May
5		Assemblyman Brian Manktelow
6		Assemblyman Zohran K. Mamdani
7		Assemblywoman Vivian E. Cook
8		Senator James Tedisco
9		Assemblyman Michael Durso
10		Assemblyman Erik M. Dilan
11		Assemblywoman Linda B. Rosenthal
12		Senator Daphne Jordan
13		Assemblyman Keith P. Brown
14		Assemblyman José Rivera
15		Assemblywoman Judy Griffin
16		Assemblyman Khaleel M. Anderson
17		Senator Andrew Gounardes
18		Assemblywoman Anna R. Kelles
19		Assemblyman Thomas J. Abinanti
20		Assemblywoman Pamela J. Hunter
21		Assemblyman Fred W. Thiele, Jr.
22		Assemblyman J. Gary Pretlow
23		Senator Leroy Comrie

Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend

1		Executive Budg tal Conservati			
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)			
4		Assemblywoman	Amanda Sept	imo	
5		Assemblyman Jo	hn T. McDon	ald III	
6		Assemblywoman	Jennifer Lu	nsford	
7		Senator Diane	J. Savino		
8		Assemblywoman	Jodi Giglio		
9		Senator Jabari	Brisport		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		LIST	OF SPEAKERS	, Part 1	
15				STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
16	Rory Chris	tian			
17	Chair	Service Commi	ssion		
18	-and- Doreen Har				
19	President NYSERDA	& CEO			
20	-and- Houtan Moa				
21	Executive NYS Office	Director of Renewable			
22	Energy Si	ting (ORES)		18	34
23					
24					

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation		
2	2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 1, Con	nt.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Ryan Madden Sustainability Organizer		
6	Long Island Progressive Coalition		
7	-and- Bill Nowak		
8	Executive Director		
9	New York Geothermal Energy Organization -and-		
10	Alex Beauchamp Northeast Regional Director		
11	Food & Water Watch -and-		
12	Richard Berkley Executive Director		
13	Public Utility Law Project of New York		
14	-and- Kim Fraczek		
15	Director	204	322
16	Sane Energy Project	304	322
17			
18	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 2	
19	Basil Seggos Commissioner		
20	NYS Department of Environmental Conservation	364	372
21		304	372
22	Erik Kulleseid Commissioner		
23	NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation	523	530
2.4			

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 2, Co	nt.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Richard A. Ball Commissioner		
6	NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets	598	603
7	Erica Goodman		
8	New York Regional Director American Farmland Trust		
9	-and- Katie Baildon		
10	Policy Coordinator Northeast Organic Farming		
11	Association of New York (NOFA-NY)		
12	-and-		
13	Dr. François Elvinger Executive Director		
14	New York State Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory		
14	Cornell University College		
15	of Veterinary Medicine -and-		
16	Libby Post		
17	Executive Director New York State Animal		
18	Protection Federation	679	693
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation		
2	2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 2, Co	nt.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Andy Bicking Director, Government Relations		
6	and Public Policy Scenic Hudson		
7	-and- Kim J. Elliman		
8	President and CEO Open Space Institute		
9	-and- Kate Boicourt		
10	Director, Climate Resilient Coasts & Watersheds, NY-NJ		
11	Environmental Defense Fund	700	711
12	Will Coté Parks Program Director		
13	Parks & Trails New York -and-		
14	Cathy Pedler Director of Advocacy		
15	Adirondack Mountain Club		
16	Kevin Chlad Director, Government Relations		
17	The Adirondack Council		
18	Katherine Nadeau Deputy Director		
19	Catskill Mountainkeeper	723	737
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 2, Co	nt.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Judith Enck President		
6	Beyond Plastics -and-		
7	Craig M. Cookson Senior Director, Plastics		
8	Sustainability American Chemical Council		
9	-and- Abigail Sztein		
10	Director, Government Affairs American Forest & Paper		
11	Association -and-		
12	Anne Germain COO and Senior Vice President		
13	of Regulatory Affairs National Waste & Recycling		
14	Association (NY Chapter) -and-		
15	Ryan Castalia Executive Director		
16	Sure We Can	750	768
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Part 2, Co	nt.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Bobbi Wilding Executive Director		
6	Clean and Healthy New York -and-		
7	John Bartow Executive Director		
8	Empire State Forest Products Association		
9	-and-		
10	Kate Kurera Deputy Director Environmental Advocates NY		
11	-and- Liz Moran		
12	New York Policy Advocate Earthjustice		
13	-and-		
14	Jessica Ottney Mahar Director, Policy and Strategy The Nature Conservancy in		
15	New York	803	820
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	2022-2023 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 2-1-22		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS	, Part 2, Co	ent.
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Adrienne Esposito Executive Director		
6	Citizens Campaign for the Environment		
7	-and- Meme Hanley		
8	NY Senior Program Director Land Trust Alliance		
9	-and- Emily Terrana		
10	Director of Organizing and Leadership Development		
11	Clean Air Coalition of Western New York		
12	-and- James E. Hanley		
13	Senior Policy Analyst Empire Center for Public Policy		
14	-and- Mark Dunlea		
15	Chair		
16	Green Education and Legal Fund -and-		
17	Patrick McClellan Policy Director		
18	New York League of Conservation Voters	838	
19	Conservation voters	030	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good morning,
2	everyone. My name is Liz Krueger. I am the
3	chair of Senate Finance.
4	Today I am joined by well, every
5	day in budget hearings I am joined by my
6	colleague from the Assembly, Helene
7	Weinstein, the chair of the Ways and Means
8	Committee.
9	And I just have lost my opening
10	statement, so one second, sorry.
11	Today's hearing is on environmental
12	conservation, and it's both energy and
13	environmental committees and agriculture and
14	parks, so we have quite a full hearing today
15	And I will be letting everybody be
16	introduced as I go through the opening
17	statement, which I have found.
18	So this is the fourth day of budget
19	hearings out of a total of 13 budget
20	hearings. We take turns running the budget
21	hearings. This is the fifth hearing, excuse
22	me, of the 13 hearings; yesterday we had two
23	hearings. So the EnCon hearing is sponsored
24	by the Senate today.

1	I just want to quickly go over a
2	couple of the rules of the road. If you're
3	not speaking, please keep yourself on mute.
4	Inevitably somebody doesn't, and it gets very
5	confusing.
6	Second, the government representatives
7	will be getting 10 minutes to testify, then
8	the legislators will be able to ask
9	questions. As I just said, relevant
10	committee chairs get 10 minutes to ask
11	questions, ranking members get five, and all
12	other legislators get three minutes. And we
13	will go back and forth between the Senate and
14	Assembly until we complete any given
15	testifier's questions.
16	Yes, this will be a long hearing today
17	because there are so many critical issues,
18	and so I suspect we will be here till
19	evening.
20	Again, over the course of the day, if
21	you want to ask a question, please raise your
22	hand with the "raise hand" button on the
23	bottom of your screen, and we will be calling
24	on you. And then after you speak, if you

1	wouldn't mind take your hand down so we don't
2	get confused and call on you again.
3	So again, good morning. This is a
4	mandatory budget hearing, as required by the
5	Constitution and Legislative Law. This joint
6	hearing of the Finance Committee and Assembly
7	Ways and Means will hear testimony from the
8	Department of Environmental Conservation, the
9	Office of Renewable Energy Siting, the Office
10	of Parks, Recreation and Historic
11	Preservation, the Department of Agriculture
12	and Markets, the Public Service Commission
13	and New York State Energy Research and
14	Development Authority, also known as NYSERDA.
15	Following each testimony there will be
16	time for questions from relevant legislators.
17	I'm going to introduce my Senate
18	colleagues who are here, then hand it over to
19	Assemblymember Weinstein. In addition, my
20	ranker on Finance is Tom O'Mara, but I
21	believe he has to be late this morning, so
22	I'll also be introducing
23	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, I'm on for now,

Liz. I'm on for a couple of minutes.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, excuse me. I
2	will let Tom introduce his own members since
3	he is here now. Thank you, Tom.
4	So just starting out with Senators who
5	are here: Brad Hoylman, Todd Kaminsky, chair
6	of EnCon, Michelle Hinchey seeing everyone
7	is always sort of a challenge for me.
8	There's so many hands up already. Senator
9	Anna Kaplan, Senator Pete Harckham,
10	Senator Pat Ritchie, who's I believe also the
11	ranker on EnCon. Oh, I wasn't supposed to
12	introduce her yet.
13	Senator John Mannion, Senator Rachel
14	May, Senator John Liu, Senator Diane Savino,
15	Senator Brian Kavanagh, Senator Andrew
16	Gounardes. Wow, people who stayed with us
17	all day yesterday are still back here this
18	morning.
19	I'm going to pass it to Tom O'Mara to
20	introduce the members of his caucus.
21	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
22	Senator Krueger. Good morning.
23	We have on our side with us our ranker
24	on the Energy Committee, Senator Patty

1	Ritchie. And we have Senator Jim Tedisco
2	with us.
3	And others will be joining throughout
4	the day. Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great. And
6	Helene Weinstein, please introduce your
7	members.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. We have
9	Assemblyman Cusick, chair of our
10	Energy Committee. Assemblyman Anderson,
11	Assemblyman Bronson, Assemblyman Burdick,
12	Assemblyman Carroll, Assemblyman Conrad,
13	Assemblyman Epstein, Assemblywoman Fahy,
14	Assemblywoman Gonzalez-Rojas,
15	Assemblywoman Hunter, Assemblywoman Hyndman,
16	Assemblyman Jones, Assemblywoman Kelles,
17	Assemblywoman Lunsford, Assemblyman Otis,
18	Assemblyman Santabarbara,
19	Assemblywoman Seawright, Assemblyman Thiele,
20	Assemblywoman Woerner. And I do expect other
21	members to join us.
22	Assemblyman Ra, our ranker on Ways and
23	Means, can please introduce the members of
24	your conference.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you. Good
2	morning.
3	We have Assemblyman Tague, who is our
4	ranker on Ag; Assemblyman Smullen, who is our
5	EnCon ranker; Assemblyman Palmesano, our
6	Energy ranker; and Assemblymembers Lemondes,
7	Durso, Simpson and Brown.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great.
9	Thank you.
10	And as more members join us over the
11	course of the hearing, we will try to make
12	sure to spot you and announce you at that
13	time.
L 4	With that, I would like to we're
15	not going to go to just the first testifier.
16	The three government testifiers have all
17	agreed they'd like to be on one panel, so
18	that we will let each of them speak for up to
19	10 minutes, summarizing the key points of
20	their testimony. And then when the three
21	have completed their testimony, we will open
22	it up to the panel for questions.
23	So we have, first, New York State
24	Public Service Commission Chair Rory

1	Christian. He will be followed by
2	Doreen Harris, president and CEO of NYSERDA,
3	then followed by Houtan Moaveni I'm sorry
4	if I just messed up your name who is the
5	executive director, New York State Office of
6	Renewable Energy Siting.
7	So let's start with Rory Christian.
8	Good morning.
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Good morning.
10	Thank you for having me today.
11	So good morning, Chair Krueger,
12	Chair Weinstein, and other distinguished
13	legislative members. My name is
14	Rory Christian, and I am the chief executive
15	officer of the Department of Public Service
16	and the chair of the Public Service
17	Commission.
18	The commission's regulatory
19	jurisdiction extends over New York's
20	investor-owned utilities, including six major
21	electric and gas utilities, five major
22	gas-only utilities, and two major water
23	companies. We have jurisdiction over small
24	telephone companies, hundreds of small water

1	companies, nearly 40 municipal utilities,
2	cable companies, power generators and energy
3	service companies.
4	The department the administrative
5	arm of the commission also provides
6	regulatory oversight of electric utility
7	operations on Long Island.
8	In fiscal year '22-'23 we are prepared
9	to take the next steps to implement the
10	nation-leading Climate Leadership and
11	Community Protection Act, the CLCPA, which
12	was recently passed by the Legislature in
13	2019. As the Climate Action Council
14	completes its work on the scoping plan on the
15	schedule required by the CLCPA, Governor
16	Hochul is moving in parallel to accelerate
17	some key actions we agree are necessary to
18	meet the CLCPA's ambitious emissions
19	reduction goals.
20	For example, Governor Hochul has
21	proposed to change the Building Code to
22	eliminate fossil fuel use in new construction

by 2027 and has proposed changes to the

Public Service Law that conform to the CLCPA,

23

including eliminating the requirement for
natural gas utilities to cover the costs for
the first 100 feet of supply line between a
natural gas main and the end user.

Governor Hochul also has set a target to electrify, or make electrification-ready, 2 million buildings by 2030, and the Governor is driving investments needed to accommodate 850,000 zero-emission vehicles on New York roads by the end of 2025.

We will take complementary actions at the commission, while also meeting our statutory mandate to keep all utility systems safe and reliable. We will continue to review rate cases through the lens of the CLCPA, so that we keep making progress in achieving clean energy objectives without disproportionately burdening disadvantaged communities. We will evolve our policy proceedings to more comprehensively address the CLCPA requirements.

And we will continue to support the electrification of transportation, through the successful rollout of the groundbreaking

1	electric vehicle infrastructure program known
2	as EV Make-Ready, which will deploy more than
3	50,000 new public and commercial Level 2
4	charging ports across the state by 2025.

This year we will also commence a proceeding to provide operating cost relief mechanisms for fast-charging infrastructure.

And with that I want to thank Assemblyman

Cusick and Senator Kennedy for advancing the underlying legislation.

Energy affordability remains a key priority, especially as we recover from COVID-19. The department is working with the utilities and our state agency partners to increase awareness of existing consumer protections and utility bill payment assistance programs to minimize service disconnections.

The commission has made significant improvements to the low-income energy bill discount programs administered by the major electric and gas utilities, most notably by adding \$129 million to the program last year. With that, we now dedicate more than

\$365 million per year to assist low-income
families with their utility bills.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This year we have also moved forward with implementing new initiatives advanced by the Legislature which are designed to improve utility services and accountability. These new initiatives include requiring utilities to publicly report executive compensation; implementing the new law to crackdown on robocalls; analyzing the feasibility of relocating underground all or most existing above-ground utility lines; initiating a proceeding that will address proposals made by electric utilities to undertake projects and programs related to storm-hardening and resiliency; and making it easier and more affordable for broadband providers to install internet service on utility poles.

We will also complete our broadband
mapping initiative on time in the second
quarter. The mapping will support
Governor Hochul's ConnectALL initiative,
which, at \$1 billion, will be the
largest-ever investment in New York's digital

1	1 . 6
⊥	infrastructure.

In addition to making it easier for internet providers to use utility poles and completing our broadband mapping initiative -- which will be used to pinpoint where broadband investments need to be made -- we are leading the effort to ensure every eligible New Yorker can take advantage of the federal government's \$14 billion Affordability Program that supports an up-to-\$30-per-month broadband subsidy for low-income households.

We greatly appreciate the partnership with many of your offices in consumer outreach on these issues, including helping to distribute our consumer survey for the broadband map and informing your constituents about the availability of the low-income broadband discount.

To accomplish the many new and important tasks before us, the Executive Budget proposes to increase our staff by 37 full-time employees -- the largest increase in eight years -- increasing our

Τ	workforce of 491 full-time employees. The
2	new personnel will focus on priority areas,
3	including CLCPA policy development,
4	resilience, utility oversight, and
5	enforcement. These employees will enhance
6	the work of advancing clean energy, driving
7	statewide economic growth, and creating jobs
8	in New York State.
9	Further, through positions like a
10	resident inspector at the Indian Point
11	decommissioning site, these employees will
12	help ensure the safety and reliability of our
13	regulated utility systems.
14	In sum, we are well-positioned to
15	deliver our core mission and meet the
16	Governor's ambitious agenda, and we are
17	grateful for the Legislature's support.
18	This concludes my remarks, and I
19	welcome your questions. Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. And
21	we'll get to the questions after all three of
22	you testify.
23	Next, Doreen Harris.
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Good

morning, Chair Krueger, Chair Weinstein, and
members of the committees. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify before you today.

I am Doreen Harris, the president and CEO of NYSERDA. I am pleased to appear here today to discuss the many critical climate and energy issues facing our state and nation.

Under Governor Kathy Hochul's

leadership, and guided by the state's

landmark Climate Act, we have taken

significant steps forward in advancing

New York's nation-leading clean energy and

climate goals. I am highly optimistic as we

focus our work on realizing a more equitable

clean energy future and continue to build

momentum in growing our green economy, which

is a focus of national and global attention.

The Climate Act established the

Climate Action Council, which I cochair with

Department of Environmental Conservation

Commissioner Seggos. The council was charged

with developing a Draft Scoping Plan to

reduce economy-wide greenhouse gas emissions

1	and meet the state's clean energy goals,
2	while centering benefits to disadvantaged
3	communities.
4	I am happy to report several key
5	milestones were met in 2021 under the
6	council: The timely public release of the
7	Draft Scoping Plan on December 30, 2021.
8	Also released were the Disadvantaged
9	Communities Barriers and Opportunities Report
10	and the Just Transition Job Study.
11	As we look ahead, advances in wind,
12	solar, energy storage, energy-efficient
13	buildings and clean transportation will
14	provide new opportunities to fulfill
15	New York's ambitious clean energy and jobs
16	agenda.
17	I want to highlight some of the
18	initiatives that NYSERDA is undertaking and
19	the many areas in which we have realized
20	substantial process.
21	As outlined in Governor Hochul's
22	Executive Budget, our next offshore wind
23	solicitation will occur this year and will
24	include a new nation-leading \$500 million

1	investment to develop offshore wind port
2	infrastructure and supply chain and create
3	2,000 jobs.

Additionally, we finalized contracts for the Empire Wind 2 and Beacon Wind projects, putting us halfway to the Climate Act's 9,000 megawatt offshore wind goal. The federal Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, or BOEM, announced this month the pending auction of new lease areas needed for additional offshore wind projects.

NYSERDA also finalized contracts with Clean Path New York and Champlain Hudson Power Express to deliver renewable power to New York City. And if approved by the Public Service Commission, these transmission projects, when combined with the deployment of clean energy and offshore wind, will reduce New York City's fossil fuel use for electricity by more than 80 percent in 2030.

As evidence of our progress, our combined pipeline of operating, contracted and awarded renewable energy projects is expected to generate approximately 63 percent

1	of New	York's	projected	2030	electricity
2	demand				

Our NY-Sun program has helped make New York a national leader in distributed solar. In fact, 2021 was a record-setting year with over 557 megawatts of projects completed. We are well on our way to achieving 6 gigawatts of distributed solar by 2025, and NYSERDA and the Department of Public Service have now proposed a framework for the state to achieve at least 10 gigawatts by 2030.

Scaling up building efficiency is a key priority for NYSERDA. The Governor, in her State of the State, announced a commitment to 2 million climate-friendly homes, and this includes a plan to achieve a minimum of 1 million electrified homes and up to 1 million electrification-ready homes by 2030.

This is anchored by a robust series of actions: Requiring zero on-site greenhouse gas emissions for new construction by 2027, upgrading appliance efficiency standards to reduce energy use while saving billions on

1 utility bills, and requiring benchmarking for
2 large buildings to track energy efficiency.

Duildings, Governor Hochul's Clean Green
Schools Initiative will benefit more than
1,000 underresourced public schools. With
additional funding provided through the bond
act, if approved by voters this year, the
Clean Green Schools Initiative will benefit
nearly 1 million students. The initiative
will help schools plan for and facilitate
clean energy and indoor air quality projects.

We are tackling emissions from the transportation sector with the state's \$1 billion investment that will grow access to and availability of electric vehicles and clean transit.

We are also building the green economy workforce by providing career pathways that place workers in clean energy jobs. We have committed nearly \$120 million for workforce development and training initiatives directly targeting low-income individuals, veterans, and disabled workers and helping integrate

1	these workers into the clean energy industry.
2	These efforts will help to train and
3	prepare more than 40,000 New Yorkers for
4	clean energy job opportunities and assist
5	businesses with hiring and training.
6	We are also integrating benefits and
7	program offerings. For example, all
8	large-scale renewable projects receiving
9	awards from NYSERDA will be required to meet
10	prevailing wage, and these renewable energy
11	investments will focus economic growth and
12	job creation in environmental justice areas
13	and disadvantaged communities.
14	As you can see, I am extraordinarily
15	proud of NYSERDA's leadership in advancing
16	clean energy and climate solutions on behalf
17	of the people of this great state. I take
18	great pride in the partnerships we have
19	forged with the Legislature as well as with
20	our sister agencies.
21	This concludes my opening remarks, and
22	I will now turn it back to Chair Krueger and
23	Chair Weinstein.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very

much.

And next we're going to hear from the executive director of the New York State

Office of Renewable Energy Siting. And I'm going to ask you to pronounce your name so I don't make a mistake again.

ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Good morning, Chair Krueger, Chair Weinstein, and members of the committees. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today. My name is Houtan Moaveni, and I am the executive director of the Office of Renewable Energy Siting.

ORES is a start-up agency which was created in the early days of a global pandemic. The challenges that pandemic posed for many areas of New York State government in terms of hiring and access to the office space and the need to avoid in-person public gatherings were also challenges that ORES faced.

ORES at all times worked to overcome these challenges to satisfy its legal obligations and accomplish its mission. This

1	included, in the first year of the office's
2	existence, promulgating the regulations
3	required by Executive Law 94-c.

The new office began accepting and processing siting permit applications, including transfers from existing Public Service Law Article 10 applications from the day that the office was created, pursuant to Executive Law 94-c. To date, the office has issued four final siting permits, while ensuring the protection of the environment and consideration of all pertaining social, economic and environmental factors with input from local government and host communities.

The office's decisions follow a detailed review and robust public participation process to ensure that its facilities meet or exceed the requirements of Section 94-c of the Executive Law and its implementing regulations.

While we are early in the Executive

Law 94-c process, the progress that we are

seeing indicates that the Office of Renewable

Energy Siting and the new process is

1	meaningfully advancing New York State toward
2	its nation-leading energy goals in a timely
3	and cost-effective manner.

By a point of reference, in the

11 years of Article 10 existence, only

16 projects have been certified and only one

project is in operation. The average length

of time for issuance of a certificate under

Article 10 has been approximately three and a

half years.

I once again wish to acknowledge my outstanding colleagues at ORES, DEC, DPS, Office of Parks, and Ag & Markets for their tireless efforts and dedication to the state's renewable energy mission during 2021. We look forward to continuing to work hand in hand with all stakeholders as the pipeline of 63 proposed siting permit applications are developed and constructed. These facilities are vital to meet the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act's carbon reduction and clean energy targets to combat climate change.

Again, thank you so much for this

1	opportunity. This concludes my initial
2	remarks. I look forward to your questions.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
4	much.
5	All right. So because we took the
6	three government reps as a panel and I'm
7	trying to make sure that everybody with their
8	hands up gets a fair chance to ask any or all
9	of them questions clock timer person,
10	listen carefully.
11	I'm going to give chairs 15 minutes in
12	total to ask questions of any or all three.
13	I'm giving the rankers 10 minutes in total to
14	ask any or all three. And then other
15	Senators, I'm giving you up to six minutes to
16	ask any or all three.
17	I still think we come out ahead if we
18	did each of them separately and made you
19	raise your hands three times for this panel.
20	So let's see if we can pull this off.
21	I'm going to start with Senator Kevin
22	Parker, the chair of Energy. Are you there,
23	Kevin?
24	SENATOR PARKER: I am. Good

1	morning
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good morning.
3	SENATOR PARKER: Madam Chairs and
4	colleagues. Thank you for this members of
5	the panel, thank you for this important
6	conversation. I think there's a lot for us
7	to talk about.
8	But to be quick about it, let me ask a
9	quick question from Ms. Harris at NYSERDA.
10	And I particularly wanted to get some
11	information about Tier 2.
12	I passed the legislation last year,
13	the Governor vetoed the program. And the
14	first RFP resulted in about 13 megawatts, and
15	the last RFP resulted in zero. So I wanted
16	to kind of see where we were with this Tier 2
17	program.
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well, good
19	morning, Senator. And thank you for the
20	question regarding Tier 2.
21	So indeed the Tier 2 program was
22	initiated by NYSERDA and the Department of
23	Public Service through a request from the
24	Governor. And it was intended specifically

to help retain existing renewables here in
New York State. I would say generally it is
critical that we maintain our baseline of
renewables toward the achievement of our
goals for sure, and the program was designed
with that in mind.

I am pleased to report specifically that this program has worked, coupled with buying through Community Choice Aggregation, electricity suppliers and private buyers, which are collectively supporting about 60 percent of these resources now.

So I believe generally that our Tier 2 program is working in concert with what has become a robust market interest in these resources, which has not only increased the scale, as I indicated, of interest, but also the price. These assets are paid more than tenfold the amount for the renewable energy attributes than they were paid just a few years ago.

So generally I believe a combination of voluntary interest, regulatory requirements and our purchasing combined to

1	make an effective program together to support
2	these assets.
3	We may need to make small changes to
4	the Tier 2 program as we move forward, and we
5	are certainly committed to doing so.
6	SENATOR PARKER: Thank you very much.
7	My next question is going to be for
8	Rory Christian. And it's really there's
9	actually two parts.
10	The first deals with the issue of
11	hydrogen, and where are we in terms of
12	understanding what we need in order to have
13	hydrogen as a viable source for energy
14	clean energy production in the State of
15	New York?
16	The second question I have well,
17	let's ask that one first. Thank you.
18	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you,
19	Senator Parker.
20	So the question of hydrogen as a
21	viable source is being considered and
22	discussed throughout the Climate Advisory
23	Council process. That's probably where the
24	greatest amount of discussion is happening

l within the state at this mome

In terms of application and feasibility, the utilities in the state are engaging in a number of pilots. I can't speak to the details of those pilots right now, but I know National Grid and NFG are each independently participating in a variety of efforts to determine the feasibility of hydrogen in end-use applications, everything from heating, cooking, and everything in between.

So those things are being determined, they're being investigated, and the results of those investigations will feed into what options we have available for using hydrogen in the future as a clean energy option.

SENATOR PARKER: Thank you.

So as a result of the CLCPA, kind of an unintended consequence, is that we kind of made peaker plants, you know, uneconomically viable in the state. We then had a number of cryptocurrency mining operations come in, purchase these peaker plants and start running them 24 hours a day, seven days a

1	week in order to run their cryptocurrency
2	mining projects.
3	And it seems to me that the
4	operation not the cryptocurrency
5	themselves, which I'm for crypto mining, I'm
6	for cryptocurrency in the state. But it has
7	to be, you know, in my mind, done both in
8	kind of consistent policies with the CLCPA
9	and our sustainable energy goals.
10	What is the PSC doing in order to stop
11	these peaker plants from running 24 hours a
12	day, seven days a week, and adding more to
13	the climate damage that the State of New York
14	has been doing?
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you,
16	Senator. I'm going to try to parse that out.
17	I know you talked about crypto in your
18	question. I just want to be clear, it's
19	focused specifically on peakers independent
20	of the crypto?
21	SENATOR PARKER: Well, I'm just
22	talking about the mining operations and the
23	amount of energy they use. I mean, my
24	biggest concern is not how much they're using

1	as much as the way that they're producing
2	that energy in a nonsustainable way and in a
3	way that I believe is counter to what we have
4	intended with the passing of the CLCPA.
5	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Got it, okay.
6	So I'll do my best to answer that question.
7	There's a lot in there. But first I want to
8	start by discussing crypto itself,
9	crypto mining.
10	The Public Service Commission does not
11	regulate crypto mining in the state. Our
12	regulation with respect to crypto mining at
13	most would extend to the plants themselves
14	and their operation. So I just want to flag
15	that.
16	Now, I believe what you're referring
17	to you may be referring to the DEC's
18	peaker rule, which was recently passed,
19	asking existing fossil-fuel-based capacity in
20	the city to retire by a certain date.
21	SENATOR PARKER: Yes.
22	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay. So in
23	terms of that, what I can speak to that is I
24	know there is a process underway to determine

T	what can be recried in a responsible manner
2	to ensure that we meet the requirements and
3	our obligations as a commission. And again,
4	reliability, safety, affordability and
5	environmental considerations are the four
6	pillars of our priorities.
7	So I know, for the time being, this
8	effort is underway. It's complicated
9	specifically because of the uniqueness of
10	New York City. As I'm sure you all know,
11	New York City is a load pocket with very
12	significant needs, and it has certain
13	requirements for in-city generation that must
14	be met. So the commission is considering all
15	options available. We're investigating what
16	options are viable that maintain those four
17	pillars I mentioned earlier: Affordability,
18	reliability, safety and environmental
19	considerations.
20	So these are all being looked at and
21	investigated as we speak.
22	SENATOR PARKER: Okay. And so
23	although I guess in your first answer you're
24	kind of punting on the idea of crypto but

1	these are related ideas, right? Like the
2	fact that these crypto mining operations have
3	come in and bought peaker plants and then are
4	operating them in contravention of the CLCPA,
5	my sense is that the PSC does have some
6	responsibility over that and should be
7	investigating that and understanding how do
8	we keep all agencies and all operating
9	interests in the State of New York operating
10	consistently with the policies that we put
11	forward.
12	I'm just I'm just you know, I'm
13	asking for a friend.
14	(Laughter.)
15	SENATOR PARKER: But just to move on
16	to another issue and I'm not asking I
17	mean, we should that should be a longer
18	conversation that we should have. And I'm
19	not asking you to do a back-and-forth right
20	now, but I'm concerned about that and
21	certainly wanted to have some understanding
22	about what we're doing policywise in the
23	state.

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Certainly. And

Ţ	I'm happy to have that conversation with you
2	offline to discuss further.
3	SENATOR PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	And then just kind of a broader
5	question around the CLCPA now that we've
6	actually passed the legislation, the
7	workgroups have put in, you know, their first
8	reports.
9	What's your sense about how do we pay
10	for like, you know, what the cost
11	of the you know, of actually implementing
12	the CLCPA, and what's your thoughts about how
13	we should be paying for that?
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank
15	you, Senator.
16	So certainly the question of how to
17	pay for the Climate Act objectives is one
18	that has been central not only to our work in
19	delivering the Draft Scoping Plan on time at
20	the end of last year, but specifically as we
21	pivot now into the public comment period
22	associated with that plan, and notably in the
23	development of the final scoping plan.
24	We now have a clear direction and a

1	directive as to what it will take to achieve
2	these objectives. And we also know that the
3	benefits of achieving those objectives will
4	far outweigh the costs. So I see that all in
5	a very positive light.

When we look at the very specific costs associated with the Climate Act's achievement, it is likely true that it will be achieved through multiple means, both -- of course -- through programs like those that we're operating today, but also through an abundance of federal support, private market intervention, and I would say private market purchasing as well.

So the answer is going to depend on the specific initiative. But what we do know is that all of these considerations will be advanced in as robust a manner as they are today, as we consider various programs and policies to achieve those objectives.

SENATOR PARKER: Best no-answer in the history of this committee. But thank you.

I mean, do we have any sense of like what a rough number is of what we might need

1	to use yearly? Just short.
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
3	certainly when we look at costs versus
4	benefits as part of the Climate Act's
5	objectives, we're looking at the order of
6	hundreds of millions of dollars of costs and
7	hundreds of sorry, I'm getting myself
8	turned around. Billions, sorry. My units
9	are off.
10	Hundreds of billions of dollars of
11	costs, but hundreds of billions of dollars of
12	benefits.
13	So when we look at that generally,
14	Senator, that is a very small percentage of
15	our gross state product, on the order of like
16	1 percent or thereabouts. So really when
17	we're thinking about our investments, it's
18	really looking at spending those billions in
19	a different way.
20	One really interesting thing I learned
21	is a good number a good amount of our
22	energy spending now is actually directed out

of our state. So one major finding was that

we really have an opportunity to spend those

23

1	hundreds of billions of dollars within our
2	state to invest in jobs and our energy
3	future.
4	SENATOR PARKER: So what things can
5	the Legislature be doing in order to direct
6	our energy assets inward to the state, as
7	opposed to our energy going out of the state?
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So it
9	literally is an opportunity of what I
10	would say an opportunity of our generation,
11	Senator, to create literally hundreds of
12	thousands of jobs associated with those, as I
13	said, hundreds of billions of dollars of
14	investment.
15	And really when I think about the role
16	that we can be playing together with you as
17	the Legislature, it really is a goal of ours
18	to bring forth not only the workforce that is
19	ready to serve that industry but also the
20	economic development opportunities that will
21	come with it.
22	We're already seeing investments in
23	our state. A great example was an investment
24	in Western New York recently in EV battery

1	manufacturing. We need to work together to
2	bring those investments here and to have the
3	workforce ready to serve those industries.
4	SENATOR PARKER: Okay, thank you.
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
6	SENATOR PARKER: I yield the rest of
7	my time, Madam Chair.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	And we will appreciate each person who
10	might yield their time.
11	Helene Weinstein.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Before we go to
13	questions, we've been joined since we began
14	with Assemblymember Barrett, Assemblymember
15	Mamdani, and Assemblymember Buttenschon.
16	And we go to Assemblyman Cusick, chair
17	of our Energy Committee, 15 minutes.
18	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Mike, you're muted.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you, Todd.
20	Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Chair
21	Krueger.
22	The 15 minutes could be a blessing in
23	the sense that I think Senator Parker has
24	asked all the questions that many of us

1	probably	would	have,	but	Ι	will	piggyback	on
2	some of t	these.						

panelists -- I want to just follow up on the question of the cost going forward with the Climate Action Plan, and to ask -- maybe this is a NYSERDA question or a general question -- has there been an analysis or is there an analysis being done as we speak with the Climate Action Council on costs to ratepayers as we go forward? Because that is a big question that many of us get in our districts.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly,
Assemblymember. Thanks for the question.
And I'll try to build on the answer I had
just provided to the Senator.

So -- so the reality is that not all of these costs will be borne by ratepayers in the state. When we think about the future of what we need to achieve, it's really going to be a mix of not only funding sources but also policy levers that will help to achieve the goals of the Climate Act.

1	A great example would be this year's
2	proposal by the Governor to electrify or make
3	electrification-ready 2 million buildings.
4	That comes with a robust legislative agenda.
5	And so that isn't a cost necessarily that
6	will be borne by ratepayers but rather be the
7	product of codes, appliance standards, and
8	other efficiency measures.
9	But in the instance that there are

But in the instance that there are costs to be borne by ratepayers, what we all know is that any of those costs would be considered with the same scrutiny that we see now.

As -- a great example is the filing
that is before the commission now I
referenced with respect to the
Champlain Hudson Power Express and the
Clean Path New York projects, where it is
literally a significant document looking at
ratepayer impacts across classes, across time
and across geography. That's really how
we'll be thinking about each of these
programs, with that same scrutiny, should
those costs be proposed to be borne by

1	ratepayers.

2 ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And again,
3 I appreciate your answer.

And I would just ask that as the council moves forward with its work that there is a concern about energy affordability for ratepayers and for our constituents. If at some point as the council is going forward that something more specific maybe could be worked out, that people will have an idea -- you know, maybe not a specific idea, but a ballpark idea how this is going to affect them in paying their bills -- I know that many people now, it's a big percentage of their budget, their home budget and going forward.

And folks want to generally meet these renewable goals that we have set, but the concern I do hear and many of my colleagues hear is, How is it going to affect my wallet and my family as we go forward? So I appreciate that, President Harris.

I'll stick with NYSERDA right now.

I'm just -- I lost the president here. But

Τ	going let's see. President Harris, with
2	the federal funding that we're getting, do we
3	expect to have the involvement with the
4	distribution of federal funds for the
5	deployment of electric vehicle charging
6	stations?
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly,
8	Assemblymember. Can you hear me? You said
9	you'd lost me.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yeah, you're
11	back. You're back there, yeah.
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Oh, good.
13	Yes, certainly
14	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: I had a big
15	picture of Senator Kavanagh there on my
16	screen, so I'm glad to see you. So
17	SENATOR KAVANAGH: That can be
18	distracting.
19	(Laughter.)
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes. Yes. Yes.
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well, thank
22	you for the question.
23	And when we focus our efforts for
24	2022, it is very much through this lens of

1	what I would say is a unique and critical
2	opportunity to leverage federal investments
3	in pursuit of the Climate Act goals. And
4	certainly electric vehicle charging
5	infrastructure is among them for sure.
6	We are working already with the
7	Department of Transportation specifically
8	around the formula program that we anticipate
9	moving forward under the Infrastructure
10	Investment and Jobs Act. It is a massive
11	amount of investment that we see potentially
12	moving forward, around \$175 million total,
13	that is going to be hugely critical in
14	achieving specifically the penetration of
15	charging infrastructure that we need to
16	electrify our vehicles across the state.
17	So I would say that is one of our
18	primary focuses, among others, in our
19	engagement from the federal government.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Are there any
21	actions being taken now to facilitate the
22	deployment of the fast charging stations? Is
23	there any specifics you could fill us in on

that maybe is happening right now?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Oh,
2	certainly.
3	We have we have collectively, first
4	of all, contributed to a record increase in
5	the number of electric vehicles sold in 2021.
6	The number I had been told at the end of '21
7	was about 90,000 EVs on the road as of the
8	end of the year. And we are at about 9,000
9	charging stations across the state, just to
10	give you some idea of scale.
11	And so when we look into the future,
12	it's really all about expanding on that scale
13	that we have achieved and really need to
14	achieve moving forward. And that is going to
15	be the product of a number of different
16	initiatives that we have underway, both at
17	NYSERDA and then across other agencies as
18	well.
19	Collectively, we need to get to about
20	50,000 charging stations in the state by
21	2025, but we believe between NYSERDA, the
22	Public Service Commission's EV Make-Ready
23	program, NYPA programs, tax credits, and I

said federal funding, we feel confident that

1	we will be able to hit those goals.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Thank you. Thank
3	you. I'll switch over to the PSC right now.
4	Just basically on that same topic,
5	Chair Christian, you know, as was mentioned I
6	believe in your testimony, last year we
7	passed a bill that requires the commission to
8	undertake a proceeding to facilitate the
9	development of the fast-charging stations.
10	What is the status of those
11	proceedings, Chair?
12	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay. So I
13	think you're referring to the Make-Ready
14	initiative?
15	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Yes.
16	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay, great.
17	Just wanted to be clear.
18	So the PSC announced the Make-Ready
19	initiative I want to say 2020, July 2020, and
20	that established the 50,000 charging station
21	target by 2025. The goal of the program was
22	to stimulate I want to say \$1.5 billion in
23	investment to electrify transportation
24	throughout the state. With renewables

representing a greater portion of the state's
electrical supply, having EVs available was a
good way to balance that and leverage that
growth. There are synergies associated with
it too.

So the idea, through this program, is through thoughtful siting and charging infrastructure we can support reducing installation costs, improve the site -- the acceptance of the sites, the hosting of the sites, and maximize use for drivers.

Essentially place the chargers where they'll be used the most.

So the program itself is funded by investor-owned utilities in the state, and they create a cost-sharing program that incentivizes the utilities and the charging station developers to site that infrastructure in places that will provide the greatest benefit. So the order that we passed has a funding cap of roughly I want to say \$700 million for the program to run through 2025, and of that 700 million roughly 200 -- a little over 200 million is allocated

1	towards equitable access, ensuring that the
2	benefits are achieved in disadvantaged
3	communities.

Disadvantaged communities are also going to be eligible for a higher incentive level. They could ultimately get charging stations installed where the cost is fully covered.

So again, the goal of the program is to encourage private investment in publicly accessible fast-charging stations, with the goal of stimulating the EV market in New York State over the next few years. And again, the work is going to be done primarily by the state's investor-owned utilities. And again, it will run till 2021 {sic}. I believe a similar Make-Ready program, after the IOU program I just discussed, was also presented in the LIPA board meeting in December 2021.

ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Great. Well, thank you. Thank you, Chair, for that. It's very helpful for us in the Legislature.

I want to -- I don't want to leave out our panelist from ORES, so I'd like to ask

1	some questions to ORES. Executive Director,
2	I just want to ask: How many people work for
3	ORES currently?
4	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Thanks
5	for the question.
6	Since the early days of ORES's
7	existence, we have pursued two strategies.
8	Strategy number one, we formed a transition
9	team consisting of staff from multiple state
10	agencies, primarily from DPS, DEC, Ag &
11	Markets and Office of Parks, while we're
12	pursuing our second strategy on a parallel
13	path, which was recruiting and hiring
14	permanent legal and technical staff at ORES.
15	So currently ORES, as I mentioned, has
16	a team that credited a team. We have met
17	all our statutory and regulatory deadlines
18	and milestones for a pipeline of 63 projects.
19	Currently ORES has 11 full-time employees.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: And does that
21	handle all the permits that are coming across
22	your desks? I mean, is there I'm sure
23	asking this question to a head of an agency,
24	do you need more staff, the obvious answer is

1	yes.
2	But the question really is, is the
3	permitting being handled efficiently now with
4	the number that you have?
5	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI:
6	Absolutely, yes. We have based on our
7	available resources, we have managed the
8	current workload and we anticipate to be able
9	to manage the anticipated workload in the
10	next fiscal year as well.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. And when
12	we were forming this in the Legislature, and
13	the process for the siting, there were
14	concerns. And we're still hearing as
15	chair, I'm still hearing concerns from
16	members. There's a lot of concern that ORES
17	will take viable agricultural land and
18	override local concerns.
19	Is that happening, to your knowledge,
20	at this point?
21	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: This
22	topic is very near and dear to my heart. We
23	certainly recognize preserving our most
24	productive farmland in New York State,

1	consistent with the New York State
2	Constitution. And the office position is
3	that both renewable energy projects and
4	agriculture and farmland can coexist and can
5	and should maintain financial viability.
6	There is a balancing act on all
7	renewable energy development, including solar
8	and wind. We have developed a comprehensive
9	set of regulations, in consultation with my
10	colleagues from Ag & Markets. We strongly
11	believe that the regulatory framework would
12	allow us to consider potential impacts to
13	farmland and come up with appropriate
14	measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate such
15	impacts to the maximum extent practicable.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Appreciate that.
17	I didn't realize how fast this time
18	goes, so I just quickly want to ask, how many
19	sites have been fully processed at this
20	point?
21	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: So we
22	have issued, to date, only four final permits
23	with again, just going back to the
24	previous question, three out of four are

1	solar projects, and those they have de
2	minimis impacts to farmland. So
3	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: How many are
4	currently in the pipeline, would you say?
5	It's
6	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI:
7	Sixty-three.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: that are
9	done. How many?
10	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI:
11	Sixty-three.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN CUSICK: Okay. Well,
13	thank you. Thank you for answering the
14	questions.
15	And even though it's less than a
16	minute, I yield the rest of my time.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
18	Senate.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you so
20	much, Assemblymember Cusick. Appreciate the
21	time.
22	Next, to Senator Todd Kaminsky, chair.
23	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you very
24	much. My first question is going to be for

1	Chair Christian.
2	In light of the Texas catastrophe last
3	year, as well as a very interesting
4	Boston Globe story last week about
5	New England systems operators getting
6	together concerned about reliability,
7	Mr. Christian, are you able to just give us a
8	a very blunt statement about where you think
9	our grid reliability is right now?
10	How concerned are you, and how
11	confident are you that we're not going to
12	have a rolling blackout situation if there's
13	a snap of cold weather or something similar?
14	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Blunt statement.
15	Well, let me think for a second here.
16	What I can tell you is having lived
17	through the Northeast Blackout in the early
18	2000s, Katrina, Sandy and a number of other
19	events, I can say without hesitation that
20	New York's grid today is at the strongest
21	it's been in a generation.
22	The investments that we have made
23	here, the investments the utilities have made
24	in New York State to reinforce the grid and

1	improve its reliability are, in my opinion,
2	second to none. We have some of the highest
3	reliability metrics as recorded by the
4	industry. And if the indication for the most
5	recent storm is any indication of what we can
6	expect in the future, outages are addressed
7	quickly and with intent, with deliberate
8	intent.
9	So I can say maybe not bluntly, but
10	definitely with certainty that the grid is
11	better today than it was in the past.
12	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you. As you
13	consider taking peakers offline so, for
14	example, I have the Barrett peaker in my
15	district how are you going to go about
16	making those decisions? When will we get a
17	roadmap of when we expect to have more
18	renewables, less peakers?
19	And I hope in those considerations
20	you're also taking into account the
21	populations of the people who have lived
22	there and are certainly going to be losing a
23	large amount of tax income and have been

polluted on for a long time and then all of a

sudden it goes away -- which is good for the air, but may cause other collateral issues.

So I just want to get a sense of how we should be expecting that in New York over the next few years and when we'll get a sense of what will happen when.

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay. So in terms of the peakers themselves, you know, when I think of the peakers, I don't look at them in isolation, I look at them as a single part of the grid as it is today.

And I think of the investments that are being made through Governor Hochul's efforts to modernize the grid, but also through the CLCPA and other initiatives, they're all about creating the grid of tomorrow. And the challenge with the grid of tomorrow, which is not here yet, and we're not entirely sure how it's going to take place, it's hard to make predictions as to what form and shape that will take.

Ultimately we at the commission have to, as I've said before, stand by our main pillars -- again, reliability, safety,

1	affordability and environmental
2	considerations. And so those are going to be
3	the guiding principles about how decisions
4	will be made in the future.

Specific to peakers, I can't answer that question yet. And, you know, the main reason I can't answer that question yet is though we have a fairly good idea of our ability to hit the 2030 targets that we have set, others have -- there's a number of reports that raise questions as to our ability to hit the 2050 targets and what technology and how it will be deployed to meet those targets.

So to the degree we can lower emissions, we'll do whatever we can to make that happen. To the degree that we can address lost tax revenue, I think we have a fairly robust model for that already in the state, and I believe what we're doing through the Indian Point decommissioning could serve as a template for further decommissionings should they arise.

But ultimately the timeline and the

1	pathway have not yet been fully defined. But
2	as they are defined, again, they'll be in
3	line with the commission's guiding principles
4	and guidelines.
5	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you,
6	Mr. Christian.
7	Just to be clear, you know, there is
8	not yet I mean, there is a template for
9	Indian Point, but there is no conversations
10	going on with local school districts.
11	Senators Skoufis, Gaughran and myself, we all
12	have peakers that are going to be losing half
13	the schools' revenue over the next few years.
14	In light of LIPA and other tax challenges, we
15	obviously don't expect them to be peakers
16	much longer either.
17	But there's no one telling anything to
18	those school districts, and they're either
19	planning for massive tax increases or to
20	shutter. So I'd love to continue that
21	conversation with you in the future.
22	To switch gears very quickly, your
23	commission has worked very closely with us or
24	the American Water issue. Nassau residents

1	have been paying exorbitant prices for water.
2	Quick question for you: Have you heard from
3	anyone from the Town of Hempstead about the
4	South Shore Water Authority or any
5	Long Island localities about municipalization
6	of American Water's territory?
7	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So the specific
8	question, have I heard from anyone in
9	Hempstead, I do not believe I've heard from
10	anyone directly in Hempstead about the
11	municipalization of New York American Water.
12	I can speak to the provisions in the
13	order allowing for a study to investigate
14	municipalization, but aside from that I've
15	not had any direct conversations at this
16	time.
17	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay, obviously
18	I'll let you run your commission as you see
19	fit. But it might be worthwhile to reach out
20	to them.
21	You know, we established and the
22	Governor signed the ability to create a water
23	authority that could take over this, you
24	know, private entity that's really been

1	hurting our constituents. And if the Town of
2	Hempstead is not going to do anything about
3	it, it might be worthwhile for you to check
4	in to say: You realize you have this
5	ability. Do you plan on using it? What do
6	you need from us to help you?
7	The idea that this is just sitting
8	there and no one's doing anything about it I
9	think drives constituents crazy.
10	I'm going to now turn to Chair Harris.
11	On a level of 1 to 10, 10 being the most
12	confident, how confident are you that we are
13	going to reach 70 by 2030?
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Oh, I would
15	give that a near 10, Senator.
16	And I'll tell you, it is on the basis
17	of the pipeline of projects that I see moving
18	forward expeditiously, as well as the private
19	market response that we've had to the
20	certainty that we've provided with respect to
21	our goals.
22	I had mentioned in my opening
23	testimony that when we add all of the
24	projects that we have contracted and under

1	development as a state, we will be at
2	63 percent by 2030. And we see a future
3	where we see even more offshore wind and
4	other renewables being brought to bear toward
5	that 2030 goal, including our 2022 offshore
6	wind procurement.
7	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I don't think
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So the
9	state sorry.
10	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I don't think
11	"pipeline" is the word you want to use. But
12	I know what you mean.
13	(Laughter.)
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
15	hopefully a different version of the
16	definition. But yes.
17	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I know that "green
17 18	SENATOR KAMINSKY: I know that "green hydrogen" is one of the things being debated
18	hydrogen" is one of the things being debated
18 19	hydrogen" is one of the things being debated in the Climate Action Council, but it seems
18 19 20	hydrogen" is one of the things being debated in the Climate Action Council, but it seems that the Governor has taken a strong stand on
18 19 20 21	hydrogen" is one of the things being debated in the Climate Action Council, but it seems that the Governor has taken a strong stand on the side of trying to give it a go in light

1	You agree that we should do everything
2	we can to explore to see if green hydrogen
3	has a place in our economy trying to reach
4	the goals that are ambitious in the CLCPA?
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Absolutely.
6	The Governor's State of the State proposal to
7	participate in and win one of the Green
8	Hydrogen Hubs offered by the federal
9	government is an example of that commitment.
10	Just to give you an order of
11	magnitude, that is an 8 to 10 billion dollar
12	commitment by the federal government. We as
13	a state not only have the preconditions, I
14	believe, to not only advance a hub in
15	New York, but also to really think about
16	hydrogen and specifically green hydrogen as a
17	resource that can be brought to bear to help
18	us with some of these tough-to-decarbonize
19	sectors across our economy, as well as the
20	innovation needed to really bring solutions
21	forward to address some of your earlier

So we're excited and believe the time is right to not only advance the work we have

questions around reliability.

1	underway,	but	also	in	concert	with	the
2	federal go	overr	nment.				

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Can you flesh out some of the details in the Governor's budget about the electric school bus mandate by -- I think it's 2035? School districts and operators are asking me where is the money coming to do this, how is this going to happen, how soon. Are there any other details you could provide for us about that exciting mandate?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.

It is exciting, because when we think about our Clean Green Schools initiative that I had described in my opening testimony, it is also the case that we see a need to electrify transportation in the form of buses as well.

So we've already, through our truck voucher incentive program, brought forward rebates for electric trucks and buses, but when we think about school buses, it is the case that they are unique -- unique not only as to the opportunity but also the challenges.

Τ	so when we think about it, we see a
2	very significant investment from the federal
3	government, first of all, that we intend to
4	leverage toward the achievement of this goal.
5	As I had answered earlier, there's a big
6	investment from the federal government. But
7	also we see opportunities through this Clean
8	Green Schools initiative to advance
9	additional investments as well as a
10	fundamental shift as to how these buses are
11	purchased and amortized over time.
12	It's going to require a few changes,
13	but I would say one that is not only
14	necessary but a huge health benefit
15	opportunity for our students as well.
16	SENATOR KAMINSKY: That's great. I'm
17	going to Doreen, I may come right back to
18	you.
19	Mr. Moaveni, some questions for you.
20	There has been some consternation from
21	some renewable energy developers watching
22	your office move, especially in light of the
23	Heritage Wind project. And they're very
24	concerned that when you receive a suggestion

1	from the Wildlife Division of DEC, you are
2	taking it as gospel and kind of stopping
3	projects in their tracks or cutting them back
4	or paring them back.

Can you give us the exact order of operation of what happens when you receive a recommendation from DEC, how that impacts your decisions and whether you feel your office is moving along at the right pace to make sure we're reaching our goals?

ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Thanks for the question, Senator.

The office -- as a part of the office regulations, we have established a hearing process which is based on the DEC hearing framework which has been in place for over 40 years. So the office essentially implements that hearing process for -- in the case of Heritage Wind, which I believe that the decision speaks for itself. And I don't want to necessarily on this meeting get into the specifics of it.

A significant and substantive issue raises a part of the hearing process, and

1	that's really based on a project-specific and
2	site-specific wildlife/natural resource
3	impact. And given that this decision might
4	still go through an appeal process, I
5	respectfully have to limit my response to
6	I'm going to ask you to go back to the
7	decision, and I will be happy to answer any
8	specific question you might have about the
9	decision.

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Yeah, I will just say generally I think that, you know, your office was created because projects aren't moving fast enough. Our goals are really hard to reach as it is. And I'll speak for myself, we're hoping that you'll be able to move things faster. You know, a backlog of 63 projects is quite eye-popping, and we're hoping that you'll be able to move with all deliberate speed, and we want to help you in any way to be able to do that.

ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: So as a general matter, Senator, as long as a developer can address major issues and concerns raised by local government and host

1	communities and there is no significant and
2	substantive issue raised between the whole
3	hearing process, I'll go on the record to say
4	that we would like to issue those final
5	permits in less than eight months.
6	In cases that actually we have any of
7	those issues, we are going to use the full
8	statutory deadline that we have to issue
9	final permits.
10	As I mentioned, Senator, the office at
11	this point has met all statutory and
12	regulatory deadlines and milestones,
13	including this pipeline of 63 applications.
14	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
15	Ms. Harris, I'm going to end just with
16	two points. I just want to ask you to
17	address to see if there's something that
18	you're thinking about in performing your
19	jobs.
20	Two points that I've found to be
21	particularly frustrating in just daily life
22	and trying to make sure our state is in sync
23	with the goals that we've set. One is I'm
24	finding that when people are rehabbing their

homes or building homes that the experts and
the contractors they're talking to are not
advising them on green options.

In other words, you know, you ask your plumber what can I do, and they say, Here's the latest type of gas boiler. Sometimes a heat pump is not even something that is entertained or not seen as reliable. And I think when you lose that point of decision, you lose 10 years of that person, you know, not burning fossil fuels every day that he or she doesn't have to.

And the second thing is with state purchasing. It's just very odd to have SUNY build a dorm with a gas hookup, with the Long Island Rail Road to buy a diesel engine. So with respect to people's daily lives and their homes and with respect to SUNY purchasing, I'm not getting the sense that the state is viewing everything with the sustainability and green lens that the CLCPA requires to reach its goals.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you, Senator.

1	I would agree that we need to apply
2	the same pace and urgency in our buildings as
3	we have demonstrated to do so in our
4	power-generation sector. I would agree with
5	you because of the scale that we need to hit
6	to decarbonize our 6 million buildings across
7	our state.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I know you're aware, but when we invest money in workforce development, we do so through this lens that we need more people advancing building decarbonization and electrification in an informed and responsible manner. So I know I had a great tour of one of our training partnerships, as an example, for heat pump training programs that really is necessary to be expanded at the scale commensurate with the objective.

And similarly to your point, we need to lead by example as a state. That's one core principle of the Climate Act and one that I know we all take very seriously and we need to take seriously with every decision we make -- to your example, as the SUNY system and broadly as agencies as well.

1	So we do know Governor Hochul has set
2	forth a very direct agenda with respect to
3	green procurement by state agencies, and it
4	is something that I believe is central to the
5	needs that are inherent within the
6	Climate Act.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you. Thank
9	you, Chair Krueger.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
11	much.
12	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Before we
14	go to our next questioner, during this past
15	conversation we've been joined by our chair
16	of EnCon, Assemblyman Englebright, our chair
17	of Agriculture, Assemblywoman Lupardo. Also
18	Assemblywoman Cook and Assemblywoman McMahon.
19	And now we go to the ranker on Energy,
20	Assemblyman Palmesano.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And right before
22	you start sorry Senator Pete Harckham
23	has joined us, Senator Leroy Comrie. Senator
24	Jim Tedisco I think wasn't here earlier. And

1	no doubt some others who already have their
2	hands up who have also joined.
3	Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Is it okay to
5	go?
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, thank you.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Okay, thank
8	you.
9	The first comment I had real quick for
10	President Harris, just a couple of quick
11	things, first on the CAC comment period. I
12	first strongly believe that comment period
13	needs to be extended beyond 120 days for
14	something as far-reaching and impactful as
15	the CLCPA is going to have on our overall
16	economy and our residents. Four months is
17	not enough. I would argue we probably need a
18	year, but minimally nine months to get full
19	input from across the spectrum on this
20	far-reaching act.
21	And also I'm hoping that NYSERDA
22	you guys will release your E3 study that was
23	made to determine your cost-benefit analysis.
24	So now to my questions. I was glad to

1	see my colleagues Chairmen Parker and Cusick
2	talk about costs, and I wonder about the
3	costs. The fact of the matter is our
4	constituents farmers, families, seniors
5	are going to take it on the chin. That's a
6	fact.
7	And I hear often talk about

And I hear often talk about affordability and reliability coming from obviously the PSC and NYSERDA, but I don't see that. I see a lot of action around clean green and renewable.

I guess my, you know, first area is do you even consider the impact to the ratepayers on these programs? For example, I know in the three-year strategic plan from 2021 to 2024, NYSERDA had an anticipated commitment of \$12.7 billion which would have to be approved by the PSC. That's going to go right to the ratepayer. And this is on top of the 3.4 billion that was put in place as of the June 30th Clean Energy Fund quarterly report.

So on that front, do you even take into consideration the cost to ratepayers on

1	that front, and then on the other front
2	relative to electrification? I mean,
3	everyone talked about electrification, how
4	great that is, but the fact of the matter is
5	there are studies in I think in the CAC
6	report that talked about \$20,000 to \$50,000
7	for conversion costs. The Consumer Energy
8	Alliance talked about \$35,000; others are
9	saying more.

How do we quantify the impact this is going to have and tell people that they're going to have to pay \$35,000 to convert their homes over to be electrified? So in 2030 when their gas boiler goes, they're not going to be able to replace it with a gas boiler.

How do you expect and justify the amount this is going to cost us? And I know you always say the benefits outweigh the costs, which I wholeheartedly disagree with -- not when New York only contributes 0.5 percent of the total carbon emissions globally when China, which has 29 percent, continues to build coal plants and increased their carbon emissions by 9 percent in the

1	first quarter of last year.
2	So how do you address the cost issue a
3	little bit more, especially on the
4	electrification, \$35,000? You know, the
5	public has no idea this is about to hit them.
6	So how do you address that issue?
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Great.
8	Well, thank you. Thank you for the
9	thoughtful questions.
10	And just to double back and to ensure
11	you're aware, the study that you have
12	referenced actually was a critical foundation
13	for the scoping plan that was issued in draft
14	form at the end of the year and the
15	integration analysis that accompanied it. So
16	the study you referenced is available on the
17	Climate Act website, and if you I'll be
18	glad to follow up with a link just so you can
19	have it for a reference.
20	And also with respect to the comment
21	period, of course, we are excited about the
22	engagement we are about to undertake directly

with the public. And I would agree with you

that we of course need to maintain the

23

1	schedule established for the final scoping
2	plan. But to the extent that we need to
3	extend the comment deadline to accommodate
4	additional public feedback, we're certainly
5	open to doing so. So just to make sure I
6	close those out.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Thank you.
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: On the
9	issue of costs, rest assured these issues are
10	central to everything that we are doing. The
11	benefit-cost assessment that you referenced,
12	it's looking at societal benefits versus
13	societal costs. And that's critical in the
14	most macro of senses i.e., is this worth
15	doing. I am very confident that the answer
16	to that is yes, on balance.
17	And when we look at individual
18	programs, I couldn't agree more that we need
19	to look very carefully at the impact on
20	people, people who in many cases are
21	stretched to pay the bills that they have
22	today.

So when we think about what we're

doing, one thing I would like to build on is

23

1	the scale of the commitment that we are
2	making as a state. And when we think about
3	the scale that we are committing to as a
4	state and as a globe, what we see is a huge
5	movement that can improve costs
6	significantly. Technology costs, like we've
7	seen with solar, as an example, which have
8	been reduced significantly, almost an order
9	of magnitude over time.
10	And we need to see those same cost

And we need to see those same cost reductions with other technologies for those exact reasons you point out. And we need to scrutinize carefully the incremental costs for every decision we make.

A great example is NYSERDA's

Clean Energy Fund, which is actually designed to reduce, over time, ratepayer impacts of these collections. And the Public Service Commission's order which authorized the Clean Energy Fund through 2025 confirmed that.

So I would say I agree with you, and as every decision is made, it will be central to our considerations.

	ASSEMBLYMAN	PALMESANO:	Thank '	you.
--	-------------	------------	---------	------

I was going to talk a little bit about reliability, but I want to skip to another area issue, too, because there's been a lot of talk about electric vehicles and how great this is going to be for our state, for our environment.

But are you taking into account the intensiveness, energy intensiveness, the effort that has to go into mining these rare-earth materials, particularly when 70 percent of the cobalt comes from the Republic of Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo, which is having serious human rights and environmental issues with children dying, mines collapsing, they're dying and they're being maimed.

Don't we have an obligation, you know, instead of just pushing electric vehicles when 70 percent of the cobalt, which is made into these electric vehicles, is coming from there, where there are lawsuits and, again, where there are children dying, where there are children being maimed and individuals

1	being maimed. And this is a poor country,
2	and they're being taken advantage of by this.
3	Don't we have a responsibility
4	because we always talk about climate justice
5	and economic justice for disadvantaged
6	communities. This is something that it's
7	almost like, from my perspective looking at
8	this, like the end justifies the means. And
9	the fact of the matter is there's a major
10	problem. The same thing with lithium. And,
11	you know, China controls the market on
12	lithium.
13	Or, you know, let's talk about the
14	solar panels. They control the solar market.
15	Then you're going to have a major they're
16	going to control our whole market. But I'm

solar panels. They control the solar market. Then you're going to have a major -- they're going to control our whole market. But I'm very, very concerned about this child labor and these children being exploited in the Republic of Congo, where 70 percent of the cobalt is coming from. How are you addressing that issue? Isn't it going to be addressed?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, you're identifying what is in fact a global issue

1	with some of the materials that are
2	precursors to the technologies that we are
3	utilizing now. And we certainly are not
4	alone in those concerns, which I share with
5	you as well.
6	We see actually movement from the

We see actually movement from the federal government to address some of these issues. But as a general matter, it speaks to not only equity in the most global of senses, but also security.

And in this instance, this is where innovation really comes in, and this is why NYSERDA is committing \$800 million under the Clean Energy Fund to the topic of innovation, because we need better solutions, to your point, that minimize impacts but also create new solutions technologically to address the needs inherent within the Climate Act.

I would say also, just generally, the private market is responding to this challenge as well, with new technologies, new sources, and frankly new chemistries that can address some of the points you had made.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Well, I think

1	that's a really big issue with how we're
2	mining these materials and the fact that
3	China controls the market and, again,
4	what's going on in the Republic of Congo,
5	with 70 percent of the cobalt, and what's
6	happening over there.

I want to get to the reliability issue. I don't hear a lot of talk about reliability coming out of the CAC. The most important thing we have to have is reliability. I know there's provisions in the CLCPA to say if there's a question about reliability, to put the brakes on. And I would urge you to pay attention to that, because I think that's a big issue. You know, even the NYISO's expressed concerns.

And the fact of the matter is when we talk about full electrification, when 60 percent of the people in New York State heat their homes with natural gas -- it's like 94 percent in Western New York. With the freezing temperatures we have, how can we sit there and say we're going to rely on the solar and wind when we don't have the storage

Т	capacity to provide heat and lights to our
2	families, to our small businesses, to our
3	manufacturers who rely on that?
4	I think there's a tremendous
5	reliability issue here. And the heat pumps,
6	from everything I'm reading about it, they
7	don't do well in Arctic temperatures or cold
8	temperatures, where in the North Country
9	they're below zero, or in Western New York.
10	I mean, that's a problem. But reliability is
11	an important issue that needs to be
12	addressed, and I think you absolutely need to
13	keep an eye on that. Because if we can't
14	meet our reliability needs, then we shouldn't
15	be moving forward with this.
16	And it goes back to that, the
17	reliability and the affordability for people.
18	And I just how do you address the
19	reliability? Because the costs regarding
20	reliability is a big issue. People need to
21	have lights on, the heat on, because
22	otherwise if people can't heat, they're going
23	to die.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I don't think

1	he's given you time to answer. You may need
2	to get him the answer offline.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Well, I think
4	reliability is a good answer for everybody,
5	given the far-reaching impact of this,
6	Madam Chair.
7	And in the time we have, I think
8	President Harris, being the cochair of the
9	CAC, should be able to answer the members of
10	this body about reliability, which is a key
11	component of our energy
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: She's run out of
13	time, unfortunately, with your questions.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Right. This is
15	a reminder for questioners to leave time for
16	the answer to your question.
17	And we'll now we've been joined by
18	Assemblyman Dilan, and we'll turn it over to
19	the Senate.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
21	much, Assemblymember.
22	Our next questioner is Pat Ritchie,
23	the ranker. Hi, Pat.
24	SENATOR RITCHIE: Thank you. Thank

1	you.
---	------

2	President Harris, I'd just like to
3	start off by saying I appreciate your
4	statement that you're going to look at the
5	impact on people. Many of the people that I
6	represent right now are struggling, and so
7	this extra cost, honestly, they're not going
8	to be able to they're not going to be able
9	to do anything to offset that.

Home heating costs are up 20 to 30 percent this winter, and we have already had, in my district, multiple days of 20 to 30 below zero. There was a mention of heat pumps a few minutes ago. I have a constituent who just bought a new heat pump and invested in it, and the heat pump will not work because the weather -- the temperature is so cold.

So either for NYSERDA or PSC, can you tell me what is causing the huge spike with regards to heating costs? And is there anything that can be done immediately to help homeowners?

24 NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.

1	Chair Christian, maybe would you like me
2	to start with that?
3	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yeah, I can
4	follow up after, yeah.
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Okay,
6	certainly.
7	So we certainly do need and continue
8	to prioritize affordability and, to the prior
9	question, reliability in every decision that
10	we make. Certainly we at NYSERDA have a
11	number of programs that are focused on just
12	that, which is bringing forward solutions to
13	help address people's challenges with bills
14	and other, I would say, adoption of
15	technologies that really can help them in the
16	long term, not only from a cost perspective
17	but, again, from a decarbonization
18	perspective as well.
19	When we talk about heat pumps, I need
20	to say: Heat pumps work in cold climates.
21	They work in northern Europe, as an example,
22	where it's even colder than it is here today,
23	at a scale which is commensurate with the

scale that we really need to achieve as a

1 state to achieve the Climate Act goals

But it is the case that we need installations that are smart, that are coupled with highly efficient homes, and technologies that are designed to work in cold climates like upstate New York in particular.

So for your particular constituent, I would hope they would reach out directly to NYSERDA, as we're happy to help with the solutions that are needed to achieve that objective.

Generally, though, with respect to the variability and I would say the challenges of fluctuating prices, it is largely the function of the grid that we have today, which is highly reliant on natural gas and volatile prices that come from forces far outside of New York.

So ultimately one primary goal of the future that we see is to address some of those issues with volatility and prices. The adoption of electrification technologies and a highly clean, localized grid can really

1	help in that respect, and it is a future that
2	we see as beneficial for reasons as
3	described, including the question of stable
4	pricing that people can plan around.
5	Chair Christian, anything you would
6	want to add?
7	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I think you've
8	done a great job of capturing the global
9	perspective of it. And I want to you
10	know, I think it's important to recognize
11	that this is truly a global issue. Energy
12	costs in Europe right now are among the
13	highest they've been for a variety of
14	reasons, some of which are supply-based, some
15	are political in nature.
16	But ultimately there are two sides to
17	the cost conversation. There's the cost of
18	the commodity itself, and then there's the
19	cost of the infrastructure needed to bring
20	that commodity to that person's home, be it
21	natural gas, electricity, whatnot.
22	You know, looking at what the
23	Public Service Commission regulates, we
24	regulate investments in that infrastructure.

1	And thinking through where those have been
2	over the last two years, I think back to 2021
3	in particular, you know, given the quantity
4	of dollars utilities have requested, and I
5	look at the approvals that the PSC has
6	provided. Ultimately when you compare the
7	two, the PSC has approved cost increases of
8	8 percent of what the utilities originally
9	requested.

So we've done a fairly aggressive job of keeping costs for infrastructure in line.

And that's the role that the commission and staff will continue to have and hold.

But again, from an affordability perspective, I also want to reinforce the existence of the various energy affordability programs that exist within the state, many of which have been expanded in response to the hardships individual consumers are experiencing as a result of the pandemic.

So in addition to maintaining a posture where we're rigorously reviewing the costs for the infrastructure needed on an ongoing basis, as a core responsibility of

1	our role we're also ensuring that those in
2	need have the resources with which to
3	continue and maintain the service upon which
4	they rely.
5	SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay. And just as a
6	follow-up to the Assemblyman's question, what
7	is the state doing to ensure that the
8	reliability of the energy system will not be
9	impacted by the provisions under the CLCPA?
10	I don't know if you want to address
11	that or NYSERDA wants to.
12	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yeah, I can take
13	that.
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Okay. I
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Well, I'll take
16	this one.
17	Again, in terms of that is the core
18	function of the Public Service Commission,
19	one of the core functions, to ensure
20	reliability.
21	Again, the Draft Scoping Plan has been
22	released. A final plan, as you all know, has
23	not been finalized. So the exact steps and
24	actions we will be taking to meet the goals

1 have not been fully defined

We have a clear path on where we need to go with respect to installing renewable energy. And as has been stated by President Harris, we're well on our way to meeting those goals for 2030. The key is then establishing the infrastructure and system to ensure the reliability is met.

So that is at the forefront of our work. That's ever-present in our thinking and our approach and is a key priority and something that will not go unaddressed as we move forward.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay. I'm going to kind of change directions here. My next question is about the 500 million for the development of offshore wind ports' manufacturing supply chain infrastructure.

Can you tell me where the money will be used and where the wind turbines will be located?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.

This year's Executive Budget from Governor

Hochul includes a \$500 million line item for

the provision of investments in New York's
port infrastructure.

That is anticipated to be expended over a period of five years, just for clarity, because it is the case that these ports will take a number of years to be developed and advanced and ultimately serve the industry.

So New York, under the Climate Act, has the largest offshore wind goal in the nation. And what we are seeing is really the manifestation of the achievement of that goal with significant investments by the private sector in our ports, in supply chain activities, and in jobs that are really bringing forward what I would say is a once-in-a-generation industry right here in New York.

So the Governor's commitment is really intended to build on what we're seeing. We already have five ports that are serving the industry. And we know we can, with this investment, achieve not only a number of additional ports or expanded ports serving

1	the industry, but we expect three-to-one
2	leverage by the private sector with that
3	investment. And it could be coupled with
4	what we see are significant investments from
5	the federal government as well.
6	So what we expect to see are new
7	activities advancing across the state and
8	thousands of jobs to support it.
9	SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay. Can I just
10	follow up sorry, can I follow up with one
11	question?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
13	SENATOR RITCHIE: So if you have a
14	community that is totally opposed, is that
15	something you're going to work with the
16	community? How will that play out?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
18	certainly when it comes to projects and
19	ports is your question both?
20	SENATOR RITCHIE: Mm-hmm.
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
22	We take very seriously not only community
23	engagement but community support for
24	projects. We actually at NYSERDA have a team

1	that it is their exclusive job to work
2	directly with host communities to help ensure
3	that they have the tools and resources needed
4	to engage fully in processes like the siting
5	office's processes and beyond.
6	And what we see are communities that
7	are raising their hand to host projects, and
8	those communities that need additional help.
9	That's what we're here to do, to help make
LO	projects sited responsibly that we can all
11	support.
12	SENATOR RITCHIE: Thank you.
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
15	much.
16	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. We've
18	been joined by Assemblyman Walczyk,
19	Assemblywoman Septimo, Assemblyman Erik
20	Dilan.
21	And I go to our ranker on EnCon,
22	Assemblyman Smullen.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you very
24	much, Chair.

1	What I'd like to do is go to the
2	questions that I'll ask President Harris and
3	Chair Christian. I'll state the questions,
4	and we'll come back and answer them or have a
5	dialogue about them.
6	For President Harris, why are we
7	betting on air-source heat pumps for new
8	construction when their efficiency at
9	minus 5 degrees is suspect?
10	Second question: For hydrogen
11	production in New York, where does the
12	electricity actually come from, and what is
13	the conversion efficiency rate?
14	Third question: Why are we betting or
15	electric school buses versus converting to
16	clean diesel technology?
17	Fourth question: What is the cost
18	estimate of the hydropower Quebec line, which
19	will bring electricity from Canada to
20	New York City?
21	For Chair Christian: Will you pledge
22	to help publish the full results of the
23	broadband map in real time? And lastly, can
24	you please explain the rate hike agreement

1	that postpones the 7-mile Albany Loop
2	pipeline?
3	Are we still on the screen here?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes. I'm
5	here. I'm here. I'm just making sure I have
6	all of these written down. I will
7	hopefully
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Circling back to
9	you, President Harris, can you talk to me
10	more about air-source heat pumps for new
11	construction? You know, we live in the
12	North Country, minus 5 degrees. Aren't these
13	new air-source heat pumps for super low
14	temperatures very expensive?
15	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So with
16	respect to cold-climate heat pumps and heat
17	pumps in general, we see this as a critical
18	technology to help to address issues with
19	emissions and the utilization of natural gas
20	in heating, and in some instances other
21	activities taking place in homes.
22	So when we look at Governor Hochul's
23	2 Million Homes Initiative, we are focusing
24	on new construction, first of all, in the

1	first instance, with the goal to really bring
2	forward electrification technologies in the
3	time frame of 2027 in the outyear, for the
4	new-construction homes.

When we look at what is actually true on the issue of cost, in new construction it's a very modest increase on the order of a couple of percent as to the capital cost of systems like this in new homes. And that is why we want to start there, because we know we can achieve these objectives in these new-construction activities where the cost differential is rather insignificant at the scale of a new home.

It is also the case that we need to build these homes, to your question, in a form that is what we would say is electrification-ready. And by that we mean the homes need to be built with a very high level of efficiency and a very what we say is robust shell, so that we are limiting really the need to heat and cool the home in the first instance.

But what we see in very cold climates

1	is high efficiency and high effectiveness of
2	this technology as a general matter, with
3	those preconditions in mind.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: So moving on,
5	for hydrogen, where does the electricity
6	where is it actually going to come from? And
7	what is the conversion efficiency rate for
8	that hydrogen?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So there
10	are a number there's quite a rainbow of
11	hydrogen technologies. When we talk about
12	hydrogen, we are looking primarily at green
13	hydrogen, which is created by electrolysis,
14	actually. It's the electricity
15	essentially the electrolysis of water using
16	renewable electricity.
17	And another technology that you might
18	hear is pink hydrogen, which is from
19	nuclear-derived electricity, as an example.
20	When we think about this technology,
21	it does not have associated greenhouse gas
22	emissions, which we see as a huge benefit,

given our goals. And as to the efficiency,

my understanding is it's around 80 percent,

23

1	to directly answer your question with respect
2	to the energy efficiency of that electrolysis
3	process.

ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Okay. And, you know, as we deal with this mandate on electric buses for schools, wouldn't an interim step for some people in, say, the upstate areas or the North Country, be to convert to clean diesel technology so they could have, you know, energy density to make sure that they wouldn't overload the grid before it's ready to handle this? This is only three years away.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, we certainly have invested in clean diesel school buses as -- through existing initiatives.

We also, however, see a future where the electrification of school buses can improve significantly air quality results and ultimately cost results as well for school districts. For those same reasons that we really talked about with respect to homeowners and the need, again, for a

1	reliable and a I would say a stable cost
2	of operating those buses as well.
3	So, you know, it's a question of
4	scale. When we look at the scale of our bus
5	fleet, we see a huge opportunity for cost
6	efficiency and specifically as battery costs
7	are coming down over time.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Well, speaking
9	of scale on buses, what is the cost estimates
10	for a clean diesel bus today versus an
11	electric bus today?
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So we are
13	actually looking at cost premiums you
L 4	know, I want to I have to get back to you
15	on that. I want to make sure I quote it
16	exactly correctly. I would have to give you
17	a ballpark, sir. So I'll have to get back to
18	you on that one.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you for
20	that. Because costs do matter.
21	Speaking of that, what is the cost
22	estimate for the hydropower Quebec line to
23	bring electricity from Canada to New York
2.4	City2

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes. So we
2	have a filing before the Public Service
3	Commission right now which is subject to
4	public comments through the next week or so.
5	And in that filing we did produce a very
6	robust assessment of costs.
7	And I think the most relevant cost for
8	you for this purpose would be the projected
9	ratepayer impacts of these projects, which
10	together would be about \$2 a month for an
11	average residential consumer.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: How much is it
13	going to cost to construct the line?
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: The
15	estimate from the company was on the order of
16	\$3 billion, the company developing the
17	TDI, developing the actual transmission line.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Okay. Thank you
19	very much.
20	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Chair Christian,
22	I'm very interested in broadband for our
23	rural citizens. Are we going to be able to
24	get the results of the broadband mapping

1	project in real time so we can see where to
2	invest the federal and state dollars that are
3	coming to upstate New York?
4	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So if it's okay
5	with you, I'd like to actually piggyback off
6	of something President Harris just said about
7	EVs, to discuss another benefit.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: No, sir, I'm
9	focused on
10	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay, broadband
11	it is.
12	So the mapping initiative is going to
13	be released this May, so we'll have that
14	available. And just to be clear, the
15	initiative is not a snapshot it's going to
16	represent a snapshot in time, but it will be
17	continuously updated throughout several years
18	to ensure that we see progress on where work
19	is needed as that map is deployed.
20	I've been made aware that some
21	counties have actually requested access to
22	the map early, and we're looking over whether
23	that can be done. But ultimately our goal is
24	to have the map deployed on time, and

1	everything seems to be moving with that goal
2	in mind.
3	Additionally, I believe we're going to
4	be having several public hearings, the first
5	of which is going to be before the end of
6	this month. I'll double-check the date and
7	get back to you. But we should be holding at
8	least eight such hearings to discuss the map
9	and the details within it.
10	And so the broadband initiative is
11	moving along and we expect it to yield some
12	significant benefits in the near and the long
13	term.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: On behalf of all
15	of those counties, please get them the
16	information as it comes in real time. Many
17	of them are doing broadband studies
18	themselves, and they could really use that
19	help from the state.
20	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Of course.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Can you please
22	explain the rate hike agreement that
23	postpones the 7-mile Albany Loop pipeline?

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay. So the

1	Albany Loop pipeline in question you're
2	referring to I believe is was the proposed
3	reliability project that NIMO presented in
4	its most recent rate case.
5	The project was supposed to be about
6	7 miles of gas mains to connect one end of
7	the existing gas transmission loop in
8	Albany I think in the town of Bethlehem
9	to another part of the loop in the town of
10	Troy.
11	Ultimately, NIMO agreed to withdraw
12	that as part of the settlement agreement that
13	was made with several other parties as part
14	of their rate filing. It was accepted by the
15	other parties and ultimately approved by the
16	Public Service Commission in our most recent
17	session.
18	That's the background of the case.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Okay. So no
20	pipeline, which means no gas, which for
21	until our full electrification takes hold
22	then, you know, the people in that area have
23	to pay more, essentially, so.
24	Okay, well, I really

1	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: ACTUALLY
2	sorry, sir, that's not actually the case.
3	Sorry, I should have expanded on that a
4	little bit.
5	The purpose of the proposed
6	infrastructure was a reliability need. As
7	we've done in other rate cases, we've asked
8	utilities to investigate alternatives. And
9	in this settlement agreement, other
10	alternatives were proposed that would allow
11	for continued service at the same quality,
12	the same safety, the same reliability, but at
13	a lower cost.
L 4	So those initiatives are actually
15	taking place. I can get back to you with the
16	details on what those actual things are. But
17	ultimately the need for the loop was
18	determined by NIMO to be unnecessary as a
19	result of those discussions through the
20	settlement process put forward by the PSC.
21	So
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	We've been joined by Assemblywoman
24	Glick

1	(Overtalk.)
2	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you for
3	your
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: and we'll
5	send it back to the Senate.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
7	much, Assemblywoman.
8	All right, we have now finished the
9	chair and ranker period, unless Helene or
10	myself jump in at some point.
11	So now people are going to six minutes
12	in total to ask their questions of the three
13	representatives.
14	I'm sorry, Assemblyman Ra, I didn't
15	mean to diss you in that either. I see you
16	raising your hand down there. Take it up
17	with Helene.
18	And the next speaker is Senator Brad
19	Hoylman, who just walked away from his chair.
20	Come back, Brad Hoylman, come back.
21	SENATOR HOYLMAN: I'm back. Sorry.
22	It was very bright in my office. Thank you,
23	Madam Chair.
24	This is for President Harris. Just a

quick couple of questions about the NYSERDA grant program.

You may or may not know there are two fossil fuel energy generation plants being proposed for one of the largest residential developments in my district, and in fact the entire city, StuyTown-Peter Cooper Village, which is owned by Blackstone, the private equity firm that is heavily invested in fossil fuels to the tune of \$20 billion.

StuyTown has over 11,000 apartments, over 20,000 residents. And Blackstone has proposed two of what are called combined heat and power plants, CHP plants, which while they generate steam and electricity, they burn fossil fuel, natural gas.

And the power plants have been subject to a great deal of concern from the community and elected officials because of the health and environmental consequences for this neighborhood, which already has the second-highest levels of air pollutants, according to city data, and is located directly across the street from the

1	14th Street Con Edison 660-megawatt power
2	plant.
3	But my question is in July of 2018
4	clearly before your time NYSERDA awarded a
5	million and a half dollars in grants through
6	the Clean Energy Fund to this project.
7	So I ask, as we make strides to meet
8	our CLCPA mandates, why would we be providing
9	public funds for clean energy to new fossil
10	fuel infrastructure? And secondly, is there
11	any ability to end the disbursement of this
12	grant that's being awarded as the project
13	meets certain milestones given the
14	mismatch between the mission of the
15	Clean Energy Fund and the environmental
16	impact of a fossil fuel plant like this?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
18	Thank you for that question.
19	And I am aware, as you point out, that
20	this was an award from NYSERDA back before
21	the adoption of the Climate Leadership and
22	Community Protection Act. I think I had been
23	told it was around 2017, which is roughly

consistent with your recollection.

1	In general, first of all, these types
2	of programs have been evolved certainly with
3	the adoption of the Climate Act, which sets
4	forth parameters that change the nature of
5	NYSERDA's investments, along with many
6	others. So we certainly are transitioning
7	programs and have transitioned programs like
8	that and other legacy programs to really
9	focus on the resources and technologies that
10	are part of the climate agenda of the state.
11	As a general matter, first of all,

As a general matter, first of all, this is a contractual commitment of NYSERDA and it is not one that we would renege on for the reasons of market certainty, certainly, and adherence to our contractual requirements. However, as a general matter, it is not an area that we're investing in under the Climate Act.

And for what it's worth, there are benefits of this type of an installation certainly that we hope will be afforded through this installation, notably with respect to efficiency and reduction in energy consumption.

1	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Well, given what we
2	know now and what we've embraced as a state
3	through a constitutional amendment and
4	through amazing path-breaking legislation
5	like the CLCPA, is it worth us taking a look
6	at these type of grants to see if we can claw
7	them back? I mean, is a commitment that
8	endangers the future of our planet really one
9	that we should honor?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well, we're
11	always looking for opportunities to improve
12	installations like the one that you're
13	referencing. And I will note, obviously,
14	that the project itself will be subject to
15	the provisions within the Climate Act with
16	respect to emissions and the like as well.
17	But as a general matter, we have
18	pivoted, focusing on electrification, and
19	that's really the focus of our investments as
20	described here today.
21	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Could I get a
22	commitment from you to look at this
23	particular award, see how much has been
24	distributed, and make a determination whether

1	it's still worthy of future disbursements?
2	Or are we just giving them a blank
3	check even with the strides we've made in
4	recent years on curbing fossil fuel
5	emissions?
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: You have my
7	commitment that we will certainly look at the
8	project and its evolution and development to
9	maximize all of the provisions I just
10	described specifically emissions
11	reductions, efficiency improvements and the
12	like, in light of the Climate Act. You have
13	my commitment to do that.
14	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you so much.
15	I greatly appreciate it.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
17	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you,
18	Madam Chair.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much.
21	Assemblywoman?
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We do have our
23	Ways and Means ranker, Assemblyman Ra, who
24	will get 10 minutes now before we go on to

T	the other members.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chair.
3	Appreciate it.
4	I do quickly just want to point out,
5	with regard to the PSC, I know the Senator
6	had raised it earlier, the Town of Hempstead
7	did appoint their commissioners for the
8	just last week, actually for the
9	South Nassau Water Authority. So they are
10	moving forward.
11	But, you know, Commission Chair, just
12	some further questions regarding that. I do
13	represent also a portion of the northern
14	part, and with the whole New York American
15	Water issue I know there were some
16	reservations within the department regarding
17	the sale that was approved.
18	I'm just wondering, going forward now,
19	you know, everybody knows that the key to all
20	of this is the property tax burden that is
21	being imposed on the ratepayers. I know that
22	was obviously an obstacle with regard to the

sale. It's something that, until there's

some type of municipal entity, doesn't really

23

1	go	away.

So just wondering how the department is moving forward with, you know, the transition to a new owner, with it looming that perhaps these areas are all going to get broken up, both on the south and northern part of their holdings.

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So, you know, ultimately the department is ready and able to assist in any further consideration on what the next steps will be, be it municipalization or some other action.

We're monitoring the status of this initiative, and ultimately we'll be in communication with the various representatives to understand their goals and intent and what the next steps will and should be.

You know, I think ultimately, you know, to the point you made, the customers have been long burdened by high costs for the water that they use daily, and again, these costs have been driven largely by taxes and have nothing to do with the provision of

1	water. So one of the things that if I'm
2	remembering properly, the agreement includes
3	a rate freeze that will be immediate, so that
4	will be very much, you know, assistance to
5	customers in establishing some relief and
6	protections.

But ultimately whether

municipalization actually happens, I believe

that decision is up to the local

municipalities themselves. But whatever

choice is made, ultimately the Public Service

Commission is here to support that choice and

provide assistance as needed.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Absolutely. And we certainly would appreciate, you know, that continued partnership as we move forward with this, you know, in both the northern and southern areas.

You know, I think what the ratepayers want is pretty clear. But we also appreciate that this is complex and there are differing solutions as to how we're actually going to do it, whether it's in some areas perhaps neighboring entities that may take over

1	pieces of it, and others just, you know, a
2	brand-new entity and you know, who owns
3	what and all that stuff. So thank you for
4	that.
5	The other thing I wanted to talk
6	about, this proposal regarding disallowing
7	utilities from recovering the cost of the
8	first 100 feet of natural gas infrastructure.
9	You know, I assume this is to kind of
10	discourage new natural gas hookups for homes?
11	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So I yeah, so
12	I can't speak to the I'm trying to
13	remember the exact language in the
14	legislation, excuse me. But the 100-foot
15	rule you speak of is something that was
16	established at the very onset of utility
17	development as we know of today. And it's

established at the very onset of utility
development as we know of today. And it's
long been seen as an incentive to help
customers, particularly new homes, homes that
don't have gas service, connect to the grid
by making it easier. So what the 100-foot
rule ultimately allows is that first 100 feet
of service between the gas main and your home
would be covered for free.

1	That rule is a vestige of previous
2	policies designed specifically to expand and
3	accelerate the growth of our gas use within
4	the state. Given the passage of the CLCPA,
5	given the direction that we're moving as a
6	state towards significant reductions in
7	emissions and also the need to electrify, one
8	would ask the question and I think this
9	dovetails onto a question previously asked
10	about incentivizing infrastructure that
11	emits should this continue?
12	And, you know, ultimately the
13	legislation is attempting to bring in line
14	Public Service Law with the greater goals of
15	the CLCPA by eliminating this de facto
16	subsidy of providing natural gas.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So I think you
18	know, I can appreciate that. But, you know,
19	as some of my colleagues have mentioned, when
20	we look at this whole thing, you know, we
21	can't completely look at it in a vacuum. So,

you know, what are we at this point -- if

you're taking a home that maybe is utilizing

something that is much less clean right now

22

23

1	than natural gas and we're taking you
2	know, we're disincentivizing them to
3	switching to something that's cleaner, it
4	seems to me that, you know certainly I get
5	that that's the long-term goal.
6	But, I mean, aren't we perhaps keeping
7	a home on something that is less clean and
8	less green by not allowing them to get a
9	natural gas hookup, which we know many
10	residents want to get, both in terms of
11	affordability and in terms of it being
12	cleaner than what they may be currently
13	heating their homes with?
14	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Assemblymember,
15	that argument is exactly an argument I have
16	made myself in the past when I was an
17	advocate for the environmental community.
18	And in the past, that argument is 100 percent
19	accurate.
20	Where we are today, though, things
21	have changed significantly. Today and
22	there's several studies which I'm more than
23	happy to share with you, done by a variety of
24	different organizations. It's been shown

1	time and time again that natural gas as an
2	intermediate step actually increases our
3	costs over time. The ability to convert an
4	individual from oil to electric is actually
5	far more cost-effective if done directly
6	rather than first transitioning to natural
7	gas.

So I think President Harris said this earlier in her comments, that NYSERDA is constantly looking at incentives to readjust and realign them with needs. And I know I'm confident that provision of incentives to encourage those customers not using natural gas, using dirtier fuels, converting them to electric is likely going to be a significant priority and a significant funding area going forward.

So I don't believe those customers are going to be left without. And I believe those are actually the ripest customers for conversion to electrification over the next few years.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chair.

And please, I would appreciate if you can

1	send over any of those studies to me.
2	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Absolutely.
3	Happy to do so.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
5	I will yield back the rest of my time.
6	Thank you, Chair.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
8	Senate.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Our next is Michelle Hinchey,
12	Agriculture chair. Hi.
13	SENATOR HINCHEY: Hello. Thank you
14	very much, Madam Chair.
15	My first question is for Mr. Moaveni.
16	We are seeing large-scale solar projects
17	being targeted on farmland because it is
18	easier and it's cheaper, and especially
19	having happening with predatory practices.
20	So building off of Assemblymember
21	Cusick's comments or questions, I believe you
22	answered that you would come up with
23	solutions to protect farmland. Can you talk
24	about what regulations you have implemented

1	to protect farmland and therefore our food
2	supply today?
3	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Sure.
4	Thanks, Senator.
5	As I mentioned in my earlier comment,
6	the office recognizes the importance of
7	preserving our most productive farmland,
8	consistent with the New York State
9	Constitution.
10	And as far as I know for the first
11	time in New York State, we have established a
12	regulatory framework to study the impacts of
13	renewable energy development on farmland.
14	So in our Exhibit 15, as part of the
15	regulations, we have established a set of
16	requirements to be provided that would enable
17	the office to review the proposed facility
18	and make determinations on a case-by-case
19	basis.
20	The regulations are based on a
21	hierarchy of avoid, minimize or mitigate
22	significant adverse impacts to farmland
23	resources to the maximum extent practicable.
24	I don't want to go through the entire

1	regulatory process, but just to outline a few
2	steps, the office staff work very closely
3	with my colleagues from the Department of
4	Ag & Markets, and with applicants, to avoid
5	significant impacts to farmland before an
6	application is submitted. As a part of
7	Exhibit 15, an applicant is required to
8	provide an agricultural plan in which they
9	need to outline specific steps that they will
10	take to minimize or mitigate impacts.

We have a requirement to comply with Ag & Markets construction standards, and we have specific requirements for compliance with decommissioning and restoring the site, again, consistent with the Department of Ag & Markets. And also a requirement to have an on-site agricultural inspector to make sure that during the construction we don't make permanent damage.

So I believe that the regulation process that we have in place at this point will provide enough information for the office to take this in the context of case-by-case and project-specific review and

1	approval process. And again, if we look at
2	the list of projects that have been approved
3	by the office, so far none of those
4	applications have significant adverse impacts
5	to farmland.
6	SENATOR HINCHEY: So I would argue
7	that that's actually incorrect, because my
8	second question is the fact that when I look
9	across my district, which is a lot of
10	farmland as you know, I represent five
11	rural counties what we're seeing is
12	there's actually very little care for
13	regional impacts, specifically around
14	farmland, but general regional impacts.
15	For example, in the Town of Coxsackie
16	alone, one town in Greene County, there are
17	10 solar projects sited. Two have been
18	approved, and the two of them take up
19	9 percent of the land of that town.
20	In Montgomery County, we are seeing
21	several multi-thousand-acre projects being
22	proposed in neighboring towns all
23	farmland.
24	So what we're doing is we're setting

1	up these areas to effectively be dead
2	counties. And so it would it seem to me that
3	the regulations that are in place right now
4	are not working.
5	But also I'd like to ask, on that
6	regional perspective, what is your agency
7	doing to look regionally to ensure that
8	you know, I agree, we need more solar
9	development and we needed it five years ago,
10	if not longer. But what are we doing to look
11	at the regional impacts of communities so
12	that we're not disproportionately impacting
13	very specific regions, as I'm describing to
14	you now across my district? And it's
15	happening all over upstate New York.
16	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: So,
17	Senator, two points.
18	Point one, those projects that you are
19	referencing are not permitted under an
20	Office of Renewable Energy Siting regulatory
21	scheme or statutory scheme. So point one.
22	And point two is that at this point,
23	as I mentioned, the regulations that we have

were adopted, promulgated last year, and are

1	still like new. I'm not aware, again, as I
2	said, any of those projects to be reviewed
3	and permitted under Executive Law 94-c.

With respect to the second question, with respect to evaluating cumulative impacts of this project, yes, that's a -- I share the same concern that we have to look at the proposed pipeline and we have to look at the project-specific and site-specific impact, as well as look at the cumulative impact of each and every one of those projects. So this is part of, as I mentioned, a regulatory scheme already that we will be requiring and we'll be conducting a thorough evaluation on a case-by-case basis.

SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you. Yeah, I look forward to working with you on that, because what we're seeing playing out right now is kind of antithetical to saving our food supply and also keeping -- making sure that our upstate towns are still viable.

I know I have very little time left, but for the sake of possibly having an answer, for Mr. Christian: Curious, any

1	details on the ConnectALL initiative that the
2	Governor has outlined? Really excited about
3	that. But what we've seen historically is
4	broadband money that has been allocated goes
5	to kind of further buildout or protecting
6	urban areas and less of the last mile.
7	So can you in very quick seconds
8	describe how that will go to more upstate
9	areas?
10	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yes. We're
11	using the funds to pinpoint where broadband
12	investments need to be made, and we're also
13	supporting a \$30 a month permanent broadband
14	subsidy for low-income households. So in
15	addition to doing infrastructure where it's
16	needed most, we'll be providing funds to
17	individuals who need it to get that broadband
18	service.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	Now we'll go to Assemblyman Epstein.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
23	Chair.
24	And thank you all for being here and

1	sticking with us now just a couple of hours
2	in. We have some more time to go.
3	So I want to just follow up on
4	Senator Hoylman's point about the two
5	proposed CHP plants that are going to be in
6	our district, you know, that StuyTown has now
7	proposed, especially across the street from
8	Con Ed. What can we do now, since it doesn't
9	comply with their CLCPA goals, to ensure that
10	those plants won't come online?
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
12	Assemblymember, I would say that my answer
13	with respect I must say I'm not a hundred
14	percent confident that NYSERDA is involved in
15	the second project, which I can seek to
16	confirm here.
17	But as a general matter, these
18	projects will need to advance consistent with
19	the CLCPA with respect to specifically
20	with respect to emissions goals and the like.
21	So there are there's a question of
22	fuel eligibility as it exists moving forward,
23	and then emissions considerations, which are

both going to be critical, I would say, with

1	these type of projects moving forward.
2	That said, I would say to the extent
3	that NYSERDA I would make the same
4	commitment to you that I had made earlier,
5	which is we will strongly engage to improve
6	those projects to the extent possible should
7	they both be supported by NYSERDA.
8	As to the permitting, of course, that
9	would be a different question altogether.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yes. Obviously
11	the DEC, we'll talk to them more about that.
12	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But I just want
14	to make sure that we have your commitment
15	that we will be engaged in a conversation,
16	because our community really opposes those
17	projects.
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, you
19	have it.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So I want to
21	talk to PSC just for a second, because I
22	wanted to you know, we've had a concern
23	around utility issues and utility shutoffs,
24	you know, now that the moratorium's expired.

1	How many people, do you know, are behind on
2	their utilities and risk a shutoff?
3	And the second question is in the
4	context of that, and the context of the
5	pandemic, I'm wondering what the logic and
6	the rationale was for a rate hike for people
7	who are obviously struggling already, which,
8	you know, can push people further into
9	poverty.
10	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I'll try to
11	answer your first question.
12	So the exact number of people, I don't
13	have that available at this time. I do know
14	that there's about 1.7 billion that's
15	billion with a B that is owed. And I'm
16	pretty sure that's over a million customers.
17	But I'll have to get that number verified and
18	get back to you on that.
19	In terms of addressing this issue, you
20	know, first off, there's a number of
21	protections already in place. I'm sure
22	you're already familiar with the Home Energy
23	Fair Practices Act, HEFPA, that already has

provisions for deferred payments and other

1	protections to ensure that disconnect doesn't
2	happen, specifically during winter when
3	people need heat. We have provisions in
4	place to ensure they don't lose that heat.
5	So that's kind of a founding principle.
6	The pandemic has resulted in the
7	creation of several additional protections,
8	one of which is bill payment assistance
9	programs, where we're actually providing
10	funds to help individuals pay their bills.
11	The second being a moratorium on customer
12	shutoffs.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But the
14	moratorium doesn't exist anymore, it expired,
15	right?
16	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Correct. But
17	that was in place, and that's
18	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I want to know
19	what we're doing going forward. You know, I
20	only have a few minutes, so I just want to
21	know what we're doing going forward to
22	protect people from shutoffs. And I really
23	do want to know why we wanted to do a rate
24	hike in the middle of this.

1	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay. So to
2	answer what we're doing going forward, we've
3	already moved forward to raise the budget for
4	the Energy Affordability Policy Program, so
5	that went up I want to say by 50 percent
6	from 200-odd million to a little over
7	\$350 million. And that's expanded
8	eligibility for individuals who can be a part
9	of that program as well.
10	And to address your other question
11	about rate hikes in a period of where
12	people are having affordability issues, it's
13	a delicate balance, ultimately. And I
14	recognize the sensitivity. We all at the
15	commission, and in the Department of
16	Public Service, recognize that.
17	But I want to highlight, again, when
18	we look at what utilities have requested,
19	meaning this is the ideal, what they think
20	they need to fund infrastructure growth, I
21	think the order of magnitude of that request
22	was well in excess of \$400 million.
23	Ultimately, the Public Service Commission in
24	2021 roughly approved 8 percent of that in

1	terms of rate increases.
2	So I want to stand firm with my
3	previous assertion that we have done
4	everything in our power as an agency to
5	mitigate the impacts on rates to customers by
6	aggressively reviewing and triaging what is
7	needed when it's needed most.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I do I want
9	to be able to move on because I don't want to
10	run out of time here. So I just want to move
11	on to charging infrastructure.
12	Two things. One is just, you know,
13	spending government dollars on non-publicly
14	available charging stations that require a
15	paywall.
16	Two is really making sure that we're
17	putting chargers in, you know, places across
18	the state you know, but fast chargers,
19	Level 2 chargers.
20	And the three is like having usable
21	websites for consumers so they know where to
22	charge their vehicle.

24

So an example is, you know, if I'm

going -- and my district is in Manhattan, so

1	if I go to Niserda's website to find out
2	where there's a charger available, it doesn't
3	give me any information about whether it's
4	going to cost me \$5 or \$50 to charge my car
5	because there might be a paywall.
6	How can we get this information to the
7	consumer so they can have good choices about
8	being able to charge their vehicles?
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay, I will
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Oh, I was
11	assuming that was for me, but please.
12	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: No, well, I was
13	going to punt that.
14	(Overtalk.)
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: But I'll stay
16	with it quickly. The EV Make-Ready program I
17	believe includes many of the things you have
18	just mentioned. I will personally need to
19	re-review; it's been a little while, and
20	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It doesn't. It
21	basically you can basically have a
22	paywall. We can pay for a paywall so a
23	private garage, a paywall we basically
24	allow private developers, you know, companies

1	and buildings, to do that.
2	So I know I'm out of time. I really
3	would like to know, can we get a website that
4	works for the consumer? And can we ensure
5	that, going forward, our government dollars
6	will be used for publicly available charging
7	stations across our state so the public can
8	actually feel comfortable buying EV cars?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
10	That is the
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The answer is
12	either yes or no. And if we could have a
13	quick yes or no and then move to the Senate.
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes. The
15	answer is yes. And specifically
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, so now
17	we're going to move on to the Senate.
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yup.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. Thank you.
20	Sorry, we just have to keep rolling.
21	And our next Senator will be
22	Senator May.
23	SENATOR MAY: Thank you so much. I
24	have a few questions for each person on the

1	panel. The first one, for the PSC, I'm
2	interested in the ConnectALL initiative.
3	It's exciting. It's great that the Governor
4	and the President want to pour a lot of money
5	into getting everyone connected.
6	But we also know that the
7	United States has higher costs for internet
8	connectivity and cell service than a lot of
9	other countries do, and it's because of
10	consolidation and lack of competition.
11	So I want to know if you support
12	robust efforts to promote municipal networks
13	or communications union districts, like they
14	use in Vermont, to bring the costs down for
15	end users and promote access and economic
16	opportunity.
17	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So I assume that
18	was directed to me. I unfortunately am
19	uncomfortable answering that question, in
20	part because it's something that if it were
21	to appear before the commission, my answer
22	may bias the direction of that.
23	But what I will say is if that is

presented as an option to the commission,

1	it's something we would certainly consider.
2	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you.
3	And then for the NYSERDA president,
4	I I'm really disappointed that this
5	Governor is continuing the practice of
6	sweeping funds from the Regional Greenhouse
7	Gas Initiative into the General Fund instead
8	of earmarking them for projects specifically
9	aimed at addressing climate change, for
10	example.
11	What is your feeling about that? And
12	would you support I have a bill that
13	passed through the Energy Committee to
14	prevent that from happening. Are you in
15	support of that?
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
17	Senator. It is the case that we have the
18	transfer specifically for green energy tax
19	credits as part of the fund transfers that
20	you're referencing.
21	And the good news there is that is
22	used to support technologies like solar
23	adoption, which I think we would all agree is
24	critical to our decarbonization goals.

1	And then obviously the second piece
2	that you're well familiar with is the
3	transfer to the DEC Environmental Protection
4	Fund that is to support projects that have
5	been identified for clean energy initiatives.
6	So certainly I'm sure Commissioner Seggos
7	would be glad to talk about the utilization
8	of those funds as such.
9	However, as a general matter, I am
10	pleased to say that I can say that these are
11	commitments that are consistent with the
12	Climate Act. And with revenues of
13	167 million or more projected under RGGI, we
14	have some we have a complement of programs
15	that I'm particularly proud of this year.
16	SENATOR MAY: But isn't it true that
17	23 million of that were taken out of the
18	environmental side of things in the budget?
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: It's true.
20	It's certainly solar adoption is in line
21	with the RGGI regulations. So in that in
22	my view, it is in alignment both with the
23	Climate Act and the RGGI regulations as well.
24	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Let me ask you a

1	different question, which is about industries
2	developing in upstate New York. We want to
3	encourage that. But I'm very concerned that
4	our freshwater lakes, which are an
5	increasingly rare resource around the world
6	because they have immense cooling capacities,
7	I'm concerned that they're going to be
8	increasing targets for very energy-intensive
9	industries like bitcoin mining that don't
10	result in big job gains or major benefits to
11	local economies, but really use that resource
12	and contribute to greenhouse gas emissions.
13	Are there discussions about regulating
14	energy demand with respect to the public
15	benefit that comes from new projects?
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
17	certainly I'll just say briefly we at NYSERDA
18	do not have a regulatory role regarding the
19	industry.
20	However, I will say with any new or
21	growing industry, and cryptocurrency included
22	within it, we certainly need to make sure
23	that this development complies with the
24	Climate Act, full stop. And so we need to

1	look at balance and at economic development,
2	job opportunities and other benefits, but to
3	also align with our efforts to reduce
4	greenhouse gas emissions.
5	SENATOR MAY: Okay. And then,
6	finally, I have a question for Mr. Moaveni
7	from the Office of Renewable Energy Siting.
8	You talked about robust public process
9	in making siting decisions, and that's
10	wonderful. But I am hearing about predatory
11	practices by solar and wind developers who
12	require nondisclosure agreements and
13	otherwise strong-arm landowners and their
14	neighbors to prevent open discussion of their
15	proposals.
16	Are you aware of this? Is this
17	something you're able to do anything about?
18	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: I'm not
19	aware of that. You know, I would appreciate
20	if we can discuss it offline. I certainly do
21	want to take this very seriously at ORES, so
22	yeah, please let me know. This is the first
23	time I'm hearing about it.
24	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you very

1	much.
2	Thank you, Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
6	Assemblywoman Lunsford.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Thank you
8	very much.
9	So my first question is for
10	Mr. Christian. Earlier you mentioned burying
11	utility lines, which is speaking my language.
12	And I am wondering if you can tell us a
13	little bit more about that project, about
14	projected costs and timelines and how we
15	could leverage that to bury broadband fiber
16	at the same time.
17	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So to be
18	respectful of your time, I'm not in a
19	position to answer that question to the level
20	of detail I suspect you would want. I'm sure
21	you know that we burying these lines is an
22	important part for ensuring reliability.
23	Exactly how we're going to go about doing it,
24	again, as I mentioned earlier, is going to be

1	part	of	that	work	and	investigation.
---	------	----	------	------	-----	----------------

Happy to keep you apprised as we move
forward with that, but I can't provide much
more details than that at this time, I'm
sorry.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Please do.

That is an important issue for me and my
district, so I would be very interested in
learning how we can be supportive of that.

For whoever can appropriately answer this question, I am wondering if we have any actual measurable projections on what our energy needs will be over the next five, 10, 15 years as we electrify vehicles, as more people convert their homes to electricity, and how confident we are that our grid can grow at the rate we need to support that broader energy goal as it balloons.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank you, Assemblymember. This is a topic actually that was in part of our integration analysis. And so I'm wanting to give you as many details as I can.

When we look at the future -- again,

1	our 2050 future under the Climate Act we
2	see even with aggressively managed load
3	i.e., efficiency to the maximum extent
4	possible we see electric consumption
5	doubling and the peak load nearly doubling by
6	2050. And because of this electrification we
7	also will see a future where that peak occurs
8	in the winter rather than in the summer. So
9	it is a fundamentally different grid,
10	certainly when we get to 2050.
11	And I can say, as part of the
12	assessment under the integration analysis,
13	we're well-positioned to ensure a reliable
14	grid in that 2050 future, not just with the
15	resources that we have today, but also with
16	new technologies that we see even being
17	adopted in Europe, as an example, such as

resilient grid in those future years. 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Thank you. 23 And to piggyback a little bit off of

18

19

20

21

24

Senator Hinchey's questions about preserving

long-duration energy storage and hydrogen is

something we discussed today, all of which

can be utilized to help ensure a reliable,

1	our farmland, would there be any reasons we
2	could not leverage our brownfields to serve
3	as land for solar expansion?
4	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Is that
5	a question for me or President Harris?
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Whoever is
7	most appropriately suited to answer that.
8	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Doreen,
9	you want to go first and then I'll go next?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Of course,
11	certainly.
12	It's also a topic we are spending a
13	lot of time on, both with our NY-Sun program
14	and with the Build-Ready program that puts
15	NYSERDA in the seat of developer, advancing
16	projects on underutilized land. And both
17	have a strong disposition to utilize those
18	lands, such as brownfields, for solar
19	development, both with incentives and with
20	identification.
21	So if you have any brownfields in
22	mind, send them our way. We'd love to take a
23	look at them.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Will do,

1	thank you.
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
3	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: And I'll
4	just add, if I may very quickly, you know,
5	the office is not in the business of
6	necessarily advocating or promoting where
7	renewable energy projects can be deployed,
8	but Executive Law 94-c provides that any
9	facility that is proposed on underutilized,
10	generally describing it as lands used as
11	brownfields, the office is required to issue
12	a final siting permit within six months.
13	And that's from my perspective,
14	that would be, in practice, an encouragement
15	for developing these type of facilities in
16	New York State.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: All right,
18	thank you very much.
19	And I'll yield back my time.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	You know, a couple of my Senators went
22	off to committee meetings, so I think the
23	next up is Senator Borrello.
2.4	I'm sorry are you there

1	Senator Borrello? I don't see nim
2	responding.
3	Let's move to Senator Jordan. I see
4	her.
5	SENATOR JORDAN: Thank you.
6	So this question is for Mr. Moaveni.
7	I have celebrated with certain
8	municipalities in my district in their ribbon
9	cuttings for solar fields because they wanted
10	them. And there are other municipalities in
11	my district that are fighting against large
12	solar fields.
13	How is ORES handling the siting of
14	large solar fields in municipalities where
15	they really aren't wanted and don't fit in
16	with their zoning laws? The localities I'm
17	speaking of look at this as an overreach of
18	big government and not accepting the will and
19	the vision of the local government and the
20	people that live there.
21	How is ORES handling this?
22	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: To
23	answer the question, Senator, we have the
24	best communities in New York State, and they

1	deserve the best form of government and the
2	best public servants. So from my
3	perspective, which I've experienced this very
4	specific issue you just raised firsthand
5	throughout my career, I believe that the key
6	to a successful siting process is prepared
7	local governments and well-informed
8	communities.
9	Building local support for major

Building local support for major renewable energy facilities is just as important as getting ORES approval, if not more important. And the Executive Law 94-c and its implementing regulation provide multiple opportunities for local governments and -- for meaningful engagement with local governments and public participation.

Just really to outline a few provisions that we have in our statute and in the regulations, one is no application will be deemed complete by the office without proof of meaningful engagement with local governments and having meaningful public participation.

Second is the office requires

applicants to reach out to local government and communities -- as I mentioned, before an application is submitted, so we can discuss the scope of the projects and for an applicant to learn more about specific issues and concerns at the local level and try to address them.

applicant is required to provide funding so that local governments and communities can participate in the siting process. The office is required to put together a draft permit which is based on an application that would be submitted. One of the specific exhibits that we have in our application would be outlining steps that an applicant has taken to engage with local government and address the issues, so as with our local community members.

So I can go on and on about the subsequent steps in the process, but we believe that local government participation and community engagement in this whole process is super-important, and we are taking

1	it very seriously in our application review
2	and issuing final permits for these
3	facilities.
4	SENATOR JORDAN: That's all, you know,
5	well and good. And I understand the process.
6	I've been watching a town go through this
7	process and, you know, it's been a
8	back-and-forth between the solar company and
9	the officials in the town as well as many,
10	many residents who are against it. And they
11	feel that this is still just going to come
12	down on them whether they want it or not.
13	And, you know, they're looking at
14	trying to get an acceptable plan. However,
15	it's really something that's not wanted in
16	this small rural scenic town. And, you know,
17	in the end is 94-c going to just knock out
18	what the local government and most of the
19	residents want?
20	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: So I
21	think again, as the final decisionmaker of
22	this office, I cannot without, you know,
23	knowing all the information and based on
24	basically all the information and required

1	specific findings that we have on the facts
2	to make a general statement that yes, we
3	will, or we will not.
4	I mean, that as I mentioned, this
5	is something that we will consider on a cas

is something that we will consider on a case by case and based on the project's specific facts, you know, containing all the necessary site-specific conditions and concerns, and in light of CLCPA targets and environmental benefits of these facilities.

SENATOR JORDAN: Right. So in the end what you're saying is you will consider all of this, but in the end you have the final say.

ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: We have staff -- like, you know, Executive Law 94-c provides that the Office of Renewable Energy Siting can only issue a final siting permit if the proposed facility would comply with all applicable local laws and regulations.

In making that determination, the office may elect not to apply in whole or in part any local laws if the office can make a finding that it's unreasonably burdensome in

1	light of the CLCPA targets and environmental
2	benefits of the facility.
3	So we will, you know, comply fully
4	comply with our statute and regulations. As
5	I mentioned, this is something that I believe
6	we are going to be able to evaluate and make
7	appropriate determinations on a case-by-case
8	basis.
9	SENATOR JORDAN: Okay. Even when
10	local zoning laws say that 10 acres you
11	can't have a solar farm more than 10 acres,
12	and yet there's hundreds of acres proposed.
13	I would think that would be an automatic,
14	like, no for a solar field larger than
15	10 acres.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm afraid we're
17	out of time
18	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Go
19	ahead.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry, can
21	you answer that as a yes/no question for the
22	Senator?
23	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI:

Unfortunately, much as I wanted to answer yes

_	of no, that's not a yes of no answer.
2	SENATOR JORDAN: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, so
4	we'll need to take that offline or maybe
5	someone else will ask it later. Thank you
6	very much.
7	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
9	Assemblywoman Kelles.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Hi, good
11	morning. I'm just going to jump right in,
12	given the time constraints.
13	Two quick comments, one to
14	Chair Christian.
15	I very much appreciate the
16	EV Make-Ready program. My one concern is the
17	focus on incentivizing private investments,
18	which is pretty much what we've done with our
19	broadband up to now. And there has been a
20	difficulty, of course, given the for-profit
21	model, to get to the most rural areas. So
22	I'd love to see this program also incentivize
23	nonprofits and municipal governments from
24	participating in this.

1	And to President Harris, with all due
2	respect, with respect to RGGI, in follow-up
3	to Senator May's comments, the existence of
4	the tax credits predate the CLCPA and RGGI.
5	So in pulling 23 million every year
6	totaling over 200 million to date from
7	RGGI does deprive disadvantaged communities
8	of significant funds in direct investments
9	that is required by the CLCPA that was
10	created after these credits were put in
11	place. So I just wanted to make that point.
12	And then back to Chair Christian, how
13	many jobs, if any, have cryptocurrency mining
14	projects created in New York State?
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I do not know
16	the answer to that question.
17	As I stated earlier, we do not
18	regulate the mining activities themselves or
19	cryptocurrency. Our regulation extends only
20	to power production in that context. But I'm
21	not aware of the number of jobs that industry
22	has created in New York.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Right. But
24	part of the review of the application is what

1	the benefit is to the community. So if it is
2	using a significant amount of energy, it is
3	increasing ratepayers' bills but it's not
4	making any jobs. That would be a
5	consideration, would it not?
6	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yes, I believe
7	so. Again, I'd have to look at those
8	directly.
9	The issue with cryptocurrency, to my
10	knowledge, is largely its potential effects
11	on our emissions portfolio overall, and
12	that's where much of my attention has been
13	paid in understanding the issue and figuring
14	what engagement and actions the PSC can
15	actually take on that matter.
16	But to the specific level of number of
17	jobs created or things like characteristics
18	like that, I'm simply not as informed.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Yeah, I would
20	love to see a more comprehensive evaluation
21	given that, you know, we only have so much
22	renewable energy we can produce, we have so
23	much energy demand. Any energy demand that
24	consumes what benefits it gives back to the

1	community are really important. And if it's
2	a very small amount of jobs for the amount of
3	energy it uses, I think that's really
4	important.
5	But thank you so much.
6	And to President Harris, what is the
7	status of our renewable energy to meet
8	existing demands? And has there been an
9	assessment to see if renewables can meet our
10	existing needs plus the cryptocurrency as it
11	expands? Right now, by the end of this year
12	I think the estimate is about 1.5 gigawatts
13	of energy will be used for cryptocurrency
14	mining, which fuels about 800,000 homes. So
15	just giving the scope of it.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank
17	you, Assemblymember.
18	I would say in the first instance your
19	question had asked how much renewable is
20	serving New York now. Is that correct?
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Yes, correct.
22	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So most
23	recently our most recent progress report
24	indicates about 27 percent of our electricity

last

1	is rene	ewable	that	serv	<i>j</i> es	New	York	at	this
2	point.								
3		ASSEM	BLYWOM	IAN F	KELI	LES:	So	same	as
1		70.1 1	4		- (1	1		c

4 year. About 4 percent of that being from
5 solar and wind, most of that being from
6 hydroelectric to date.

7 NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: That's 8 correct.

with respect to the impact of crypto on load, and notably as to the utilization of renewables, it's for the exact reasons that I described earlier. The fact that when we look at new industries we certainly look at, on balance, what they offer with respect to energy efficiency — back to the things you know better than many. Proof of work versus proof of stake is a good example in crypto terms, but also how many jobs, what is the economic development opportunity associated with it.

Because it is true, just sort of back of the envelope, there could be a very significant impact on New York's load resulting from crypto, depending on the

1	penetration of the resource.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you.
3	And back to you, Chair Christian. Has
4	the PSC examined any next-use possibilities
5	for natural gas infrastructure to lower the
6	cost of decommissioning those facilities,
7	like using the pipes for hydrogen
8	distribution or for even broadband fiber
9	lines, for example?
10	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yes, we are
11	investigating different options for reusing
12	different types of infrastructure, aligned
13	with the needs of the clean energy future
14	envisioned by the CLCPA. Those are ongoing.
15	I want to cite the power grid study
16	which was released I want to say last
17	year, as an example of a study that we've
18	recently done on that matter. And I think
19	over the next few years you're going to see
20	quite a few more highlighting different
21	opportunities in the form of white papers or
22	studies either directly from DPS or in
23	partnership with other agencies.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Wonderful. And

2	So I want to one more comment and
3	one more question. It would be really
4	helpful, I think, to have a study to see
5	where sufficient {Zoom interruption} excess
6	solar, wind and water power exists I'll
7	repeat that. To have a study to see where
8	excess solar, wind and water power exists to
9	do the generation of hydrogen in a green way
10	with renewable energy infrastructure. I'd
11	love to see that put in place. I'm a little
12	concerned, if we do massive expansion of
13	hydrogen, that we make sure it's renewable
L 4	energy from renewable energy.
15	And then the one question, what
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me,
17	Assemblywoman.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I'm sorry, I'm
19	out of time.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yup, you've
21	used your question time up.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I will ask
23	privately offline. Thank you so much.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1 I will check that out.

1	That's fine.
2	So we turn it over to the back to
3	the Senate.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good catch,
5	Helene. Thank you.
6	Next to Senator George Borrello, who
7	we did find, yes.
8	SENATOR BORRELLO: Yes, thank you,
9	Madam Chair. I appreciate that.
10	This question is directed to
11	President Harris. Thank you very much for
12	joining us here.
13	I have major concerns with the idea of
14	building offshore wind in our freshwater
15	lakes, Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. When I
16	posed the question previously to the head of
17	NYSERDA about the study that was underway
18	that NYSERDA was sponsoring, he actually
19	didn't have wasn't aware of it, quite
20	frankly. So it was very troubling to me that
21	there is a study underway, and I've heard
22	nothing about the study.
23	I wonder if you could update me on

where we are with this offshore wind study

1	for the Great Lakes, particularly Lake Erie
2	and Lake Ontario, and where we are in that
3	process right now with that study.
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
5	And we have been hard at work in advancing
6	the study that was actually required by
7	Public Service Commission order in 2020.
8	We anticipate actually delivering that
9	study over the summer to the commission
10	for or to the public for consideration,
11	according to the schedule laid out in the
12	order.
13	We have been hard at work really
14	looking at the resource from multiple
15	factors. I'd say it's known as a
16	feasibility study, so it's an initial
17	screening of the potential that it may
18	provide.
19	As part of it we have had a number of
20	public meetings and have engaged with a
21	number of stakeholders one on one. I'd
22	personally be glad to meet with you directly
23	if you have specific questions. But I would

say the level of engagement has been

1	extensive throughout the year, and I
2	personally am looking forward to the study's
3	findings as well as any next steps it may
4	recommend.

SENATOR BORRELLO: Thank you. I did participate in some of those public meetings.

I do have some concerns, though. I looked at the list of people that are working on this study, and I don't see anybody that actually has any interest outside of green energy, quite frankly.

You've got a lot of engineers and people that are involved in green energy and potentially people that are representing companies that could profit from an offshore wind project in Lake Erie, but I don't see anybody that knows anything about hydrology, about, you know, waterfowl or the ecology of the lake. I don't see anybody that actually knows about our fisheries that are -- which are critically important to our area. And certainly the fact that we have 11 million people that draw their drinking water out of Lake Erie alone.

1	So how can you make a fair assessment
2	to deliver to the PSC if you don't have a
3	balanced approach to who's analyzing this
4	information?
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Totally
6	agree.
7	This study is comprehensive in the
8	sense that it is it's evaluating, to your
9	point, environmental, maritime, economic,
10	social issues as well as the economic
11	opportunity and of course the grid
12	opportunity as well.
13	We have a series of consultants that
14	are working with us, including those that are
15	experts in the fields that you had
16	identified. And I want you to know when we
17	think about the resource, we are doing so
18	comprehensively.
19	SENATOR BORRELLO: Well, I'd like to
20	see a list of those consultants. Because
21	nobody on the list of people that appears in
22	the study are actually anything other than
23	experts on green energy. And that is very
24	troubling because they obviously have a I

1	would consider a slanted view of how this
2	what the outcome of this should be.
3	So that's and let me also say that
4	we don't know what's at the bottom of
5	Lake Erie, but I can tell you it's nothing
6	good, and it's been covered up. You know,
7	our industrial past, the fact that Lake Erie
8	was declared a dead lake in the 1970s because
9	of the toxic waste that was dumped into it
10	for decades, the last thing I think we need
11	to do is dig up the bottom of that lake and
12	release those toxins back into the drinking
13	water of 11 million people.
14	So the fact that you have people that
15	are essentially only pro this project on this
16	study is very troubling, and I would
17	seriously ask you to strongly consider
18	changing out some of these folks so we get a
19	more balanced approach.
20	But I appreciate your response,
21	though. And
22	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
23	And we

SENATOR BORRELLO: -- moving along

1	quickly to another topic now.
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yup.
3	SENATOR BORRELLO: Right now Western
4	New York, where I live it used to,
5	actually, be energy independent, until the
6	closing of the two power plants in Western
7	New York. We now import power from some of
8	the dirtiest old-fashioned coal plants in
9	America, places like Homer City,
10	Pennsylvania.
11	So how do you rectify the fact that
12	New York is now importing more power than it
13	ever has from some of the dirtiest sources of
14	power in America? How will that how are
15	you going to become a you know, whether
16	it's 70 by '30 or 100 percent renewable, if
17	we're building more pipelines, if you will,
18	to import power, instead of just saying we're
19	actually going to truly be renewable, how
20	does that work? How does that work when
21	we're building new power lines right now to
22	dirty old-fashioned coal plants?
23	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
24	Senator. One thing that we look at every

Ţ	year is actually what is contributing to our
2	consumption. That's the goal of the
3	Climate Act, is how are we consuming energy
4	here in New York.
5	So we do import in two ways. We
6	import primarily from Quebec, which is
7	primarily hydropower, as one would expect,
8	renewable hydropower. I think that was about
9	7 percent of our load that was served by
10	renewables from Quebec.
11	And then we do import about 6 percent
12	of our load from PJM to our south and to our
13	west.
14	And ultimately that is reflected in
15	the achievement of our goals. This is all
16	embodied in our accounting. And as the mix
17	of resources in Pennsylvania shifts, so will
18	our accounting thereof.
19	As of now, I think it's actually
20	the majority is natural gas and nuclear, with
21	a smaller amount of coal. But so you know,
22	this is all accounted for.
23	SENATOR BORRELLO: Right. But the
24	bottom line is it's a shell game. You're

	importing power and saying we re green here
2	at the source. That's the troubling part.
3	That's not a real commitment to actually
4	doing it, it's actually a commitment to
5	pretend that we're doing it.
6	But thank you anyway.
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we have
11	Assemblyman Otis.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you, Helene
13	And I have a few hot issues, and I'm
14	going to move quickly.
15	Chairman Christian, I would thank
16	you for your testimony. Would like to offer
17	some help in terms of promoting a
18	multi-agency discussion about broadband and
19	digital equity. And it sort of goes like
20	this. A lot of the emphasis has been on
21	broadband mapping and what we're going to do
22	in terms of broadband deployment.
23	But to reach those excluded from the
24	digital world, digital literacy, we need to

1	be upgrading our commitment to digital
2	inclusion programs, a model that is being
3	used successfully on a grassroots level in
4	New York State and around the country.
5	And would like to I think the
6	obvious point is, and there's a survey that
7	is going on now by a different state agency I
8	think to analyze where we have gaps. But
9	people that really are not digitally don't
10	have digital access can't really participate
11	to that. We need to be able to reach those
12	folks.
13	So I make an offer I am chair of
L 4	the Science and Technology Committee in the
15	Assembly to try and work with a few of the
16	state agencies to try and promote the digital
17	inclusion model and get that more robustly in
18	your broadband analysis.
19	And I'll take comments from either of
20	you on that, since you both nodded, and then
21	I'll move on to some other topics.
22	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I'll start. I'd
23	welcome the opportunity to engage in that.

Anything we can do to increase awareness and

1	engagement is something I want to prioritize.
2	So I'd be happy to talk about this further
3	with you and see where it goes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: That is great.
5	That is great.
6	Moving to the cryptocurrency
7	proof-of-work mining issue. You know, the
8	issue it points up something that we're
9	going to have to keep a track on, which is
10	unanticipated energy demands that really
11	throw away our ability to meet our climate
12	change goals.
13	And, you know, in the same way that
14	the federal government outlawed gas guzzlers
15	many years ago, we need to look at activities
16	to see if the efficiency paradigm is being
17	applied to new activities. And clearly in
18	the cryptocurrency world there are efficient
19	ways to do authentication and there are
20	inefficient ways to do authentication.
21	And so, Chairman Christian, on that
22	issue, one piece of this is the Public

Service Commission currently regulates after

the meter, when the energy is produced and in

23

1 the grid and in to customers.

at whether we should do legislation that
would give Public Service Commission some
purview over the behind-the-meter activity,
whether it's for proof-of-work mining or
other kinds of things that we don't even
anticipate now, to make sure that as a state
we're on top of energy uses that could be
inefficient?

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: You've raised some important points. And I can't comment on the need for regulation or legislation or even what shape or form that should take.

I'm not in a position to make comments on that, unfortunately.

What I can say is that we are looking at the crypto issue, as I mentioned earlier. Your statement about the difference between -- I want to say it's proof of stake versus proof of -- I forget what the analogy is. But yes, there's a difference in energy intensity, but there's also a concern that one is not as robust from a security

1	perspective.
2	So ultimately, from my perspective, I
3	look at crypto as one of many different
4	industries that are in New York. In terms of
5	our authority to regulate the mining itself,
6	again, we do not have that authority.
7	However, the authority we do have extends to
8	our ability to minimize the rate impacts that
9	such companies may have on our customers.
10	And, you know, forgive me, I'm trying
11	to recall a specific example from some
12	documents I've read recently, but I know in
13	some municipal areas crypto mining has
L 4	accounted for almost 33 percent of the
15	utility's load, and in those areas we have
16	authorized or we have worked with utilities
17	to put forward changes in rates that will
18	minimize the negative impacts on the
19	residents of those communities as
20	crypto mining comes into play.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: That's great. I'm
22	sorry to interrupt, but I have limited time

and I want to hit one more topic --

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Sure.

23

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: with President
2	Harris.
3	We're actually having a hearing on
4	November 10th on EV charging stations, and
5	hopefully both of your agencies will
6	participate in that, because there's a good
7	story to tell in terms of some of the
8	rollout.
9	But to follow up on Assemblyman
10	Epstein's comments, there is a big concern
11	about the lack of public access to charging
12	locations and the lack of visibility of
13	charging stations as an impediment to people
14	having a comfort level to buy electric
15	vehicles. So we'd like to have a more
16	in-depth discussion about the deployment
17	process in a visible way and get any comments
18	from you today on that, but hopefully see you
19	on November 10th.
20	And one other topic, which I want to
21	not let you talk about EV charging stations,
22	but solar on schools. It seems that NYSERDA
23	has had a program for many years for solar on

schools. It seems underutilized. It seems

1	that we should be pressing that more because
2	we could be do a lot more on rooftops and
3	school buildings.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
5	Assemblyman.
6	And back to the Senate.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
8	much.
9	And I think we are up to Senator
10	Sue Serino.
11	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you,
12	Madam Chair.
13	My question is for Chair Christian.
14	And I apologize if this was already asked,
15	but my office has been getting countless
16	calls from frustrated energy consumers who
17	are being hit with massive bills due to
18	billing errors.
19	And my understanding is that some of
20	the electric companies may have switched
21	their billing vendors, so consumers were not
22	getting bills for months and getting hit with
23	a huge bill later. Like there was an example
24	of somebody that their bill was \$300 every

1	month	and	then	they	got	hit	with	а	bill	that
2	was th	nousa	ands o	of dol	llars	S .				

And a lot of folks are living paycheck to paycheck. And, you know, I understand that some people are getting the payment plans and the like, but this is incredibly unnerving that this has occurred on the scale it seems to have happened on. So, you know, like I said, a lot of my folks live on fixed incomes and it's just not acceptable.

So what is the PSC doing on this issue in regards to consumer protection? And what's your response to the folks who are really struggling because of this issue?

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So first off, you know, we take seriously any energy provider's efforts to serve customers in New York. And we've had, over the history of the PSC, several proceedings discussing the role, oversight and existence of ESCOs, energy service companies in the state. So that's an ongoing matter that's a high priority of the commission that we aggressively look towards and monitor.

1	You know, we investigate claims
2	aggressively. So if any customers have
3	issues with energy service companies, happy
4	to investigate those if they notify us.
5	Unfortunately, that's usually how we discover
6	there are issues, we are notified.
7	Regarding the though you didn't say
8	this, I'm wondering if your question also
9	relates to an ongoing billing issue with
10	certain customers in Central Hudson's service
11	territory. Is that okay. So that's
12	another issue that we are very much aware of.
13	In fact, I have two friends who have been
14	personally affected by that who have shared
15	some of their trials and tribulations with
16	me. So we definitely looked into that.
17	We know Central Hudson is working to
18	correct the issue, and I believe in the
19	intermediate phase they have suspended late
20	payment charges and they are absolutely not
21	disconnecting consumers for nonpayment.
22	So, you know, the two priority things
23	to focus on, those have been dealt with.
24	In terms of addressing the situation

1	going forward, you know, our staff is going
2	to continue to monitor the situation until
3	the billing issue is itself addressed. And
4	ultimately customers that are affected by
5	this, they should be we're ensuring that
6	provisions allow for them to make periodic
7	payments and not a big lump sum payment. I
8	know it would be a shock to me if I didn't
9	get a bill for several months and then I did
.0	and it was five times higher than what I
.1	would have expected for a single month.

So we're trying to ensure that customers are protected in that way. And, you know, we're working with Central Hudson and the other providers to ensure that those protections are in place and that customers can manage the situation as it develops.

SENATOR SERINO: I just hope it gets done soon, as I'm sure that you do too, because the calls that we're getting are just -- you know, folks are devastated. And especially now with the cost of everything rising.

I used to get calls a lot from

1	seniors, which we still do, but now we're
2	actually getting them from young families too
3	that are just panicking. So it's something
4	that I'm glad that you're aware of and it
5	sounds like you're working on, and so that's
6	great.
7	Thank you very much, Chair.
8	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Assembly.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
12	Assemblyman Carroll.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you so
14	much, Chair Weinstein.
15	And thank you, Chair Christian and
16	President Harris and Executive Director
17	Moaveni, for your testimony today.
18	What I am interested in and concerned
19	about is New York reaching its goals set
20	forth in the CLCPA. As you all are very
21	aware of, you know, we get about 6 percent of
22	our energy in New York State from wind and
23	solar. The only reason we're not pumping
24	more carbon into the air is because of

1	generational hydroelectric dams in upstate
2	New York, very old nuclear power in
3	Lake Ontario. And I know that we're going to
4	have a lot of new renewables come online in
5	the next few years.
6	However, I don't believe it will be
7	enough. And I want to ask all of you, why
8	are we handcuffing the New York Power
9	Authority? Why in this budget are we not
10	saying, Let's open up the doors, we have
11	interconnectivity issues throughout the state
12	where we've got solar and we've got wind, and
13	we're not getting downstate. Why don't we
14	use the power of NYPA to get it downstate?
15	We've got projects that are having
16	trouble getting off the ground. Why aren't
17	we allowing NYPA to own and operate
18	generation stations over 25 megawatts? This
19	seems completely counter to the CLCPA.
20	And then it's just mind-boggling that
21	still, in 2022, we are subsidizing fossil
22	fuels at \$1.5 billion when we could be

putting that money, again, into NYPA to get

us to reach our goals.

23

1	So I get that that's a compound
2	question. But, you know, we have got
3	6 percent wind and solar. I don't think that
4	we're going to reach these goals of
5	70 percent just with Long Island wind.
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
7	Assemblymember. I appreciate it.
8	And I I'll save you my optimism
9	about the pipeline of projects, but suffice
10	it to say I feel really good about where
11	we're positioned now with the projects that
12	are moving forward.
13	However, it is also true and I do
14	agree that it's going to take all hands on
15	deck, including the force that is NYPA, to
16	help us responsibly and timely reach our
17	goals, for sure.
18	So we see great private market
19	response to the demand that we've established
20	through the Climate Act, and I'm particularly
21	encouraged by the roles that NYPA is playing
22	now, notably with respect to transmission
23	development as a great example,
24	involvement in a number of notable

1	projects across the state as well as
2	owning and operating renewables for their
3	public customers as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: But Ms. Harris, why not right -- so why is it -- if it's good for the goose, why not the gander? If it's good for public entities, why aren't we unleashing NYPA into the private market, into the residential market?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So my point of view is we have significant market response from the private sector in renewable energy development -- in fact, a pipeline far in excess of our goals at this point. And my point of view is that NYPA is serving an equally critical role in the roles that they have assumed already.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: But so -- but what? And maybe I'm ignorant. I'm ignorant to lots of things. What -- why are we coming up with arbitrary caps on NYPA? Why can't they own and operate renewable generation over 25 megawatts? Why aren't we letting them have a jump ball, so to speak, for

1	interconnectivity, so that we can actually
2	connect the power downstate because these
3	are huge problems right now. And NYPA right
4	now can't do anything.
5	Why wouldn't we if we I'll take
6	your premise that the private market is
7	chockful of pipeline-ready projects. Why
8	don't we let NYPA jump into that stream and
9	be a player?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So as I see
11	it, the private market is doing what it
12	should and the public sector is doing what it
13	should at the scale we need to reach our
14	goals.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: So are you
16	saying that you think that it is appropriate
17	for NYPA to play no larger role than it
18	currently has as it is, you know, written out
19	in legislation and policy?
20	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: What I
21	would say is the framework that we have built
22	is working well. That would be my point of
23	view as to my assessment of the market.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: And you believe

1	that the private market is going to continue
2	to build out enough renewable resources to
3	meet the goals of the CLCPA?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: I believe
5	that the private market is, as we speak,
6	building the portfolio as I said, as we
7	speak, to get to 63 percent by 2030.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: I mean,
9	Ms. Harris, to be perfectly fair, that
10	number I've been in the Assembly for six
11	years now. This is my sixth budget. That
12	number has been at 6 percent these six years
13	I've been here, which is not a tremendously
14	long period of time.
15	However, we don't have many more years
16	left. We've got, you know, eight years to
17	build out a tremendous amount, and we've done
18	almost nothing in six. If we didn't have
19	Robert Moses-built hydro in this state, we
20	would be nowhere. If we didn't have the
21	hydro from Quebec possibly coming down to
22	New York City, we would be buying dirty
23	fossil fuel from Pennsylvania.
24	I mean, I just I feel like your

T	optimism is very rose-colorea. And it seems
2	completely counterintuitive and
3	counterproductive to not say let's at least
4	unleash NYPA so that we have all hands on
5	deck, like you said we must have. Because it
6	doesn't seem like the private sector really
7	is building enough renewable energy to meet
8	the goals that the Legislature set out.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
10	Assemblyman.
11	We'll move to the Senate.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
13	much.
14	We move to Senator Tom O'Mara, who is
15	the ranker on Finance, and so he gets
16	10 minutes.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
18	Chairwoman Krueger and Chairwoman Weinstein,
19	for your excellent work on these hearings.
20	I want to follow up on where
21	Assemblyman Carroll left off. And I'm
22	looking right now at the New York ISO fuel
23	mix chart. Assemblyman Carroll is right on
24	point. Currently there's 51 percent of our

Τ	energy being supplied by natural gas, which
2	is 18 percent of it, and 33 percent of it is
3	dual fuel, which is natural gas and other
4	fossil fuels. Hydro is about 18 percent;
5	nuclear is about 22 percent. Wind is
6	6.5 percent, and other which includes
7	solar is less than 2 percent.
8	You know, we are talking about
9	grandiose plans in this climate Green
10	New Deal that we're working on, and frankly
11	I'm stunned, Ms. Harris, by just hundreds of
12	millions of dollars of costs rolling off your
13	tongue like it's nothing. We are nowhere
14	close to being able to meet these demands.
15	Already on our utility bills, between
16	a variety of charges such as the SBC, the
17	RPS, ZACs {ph}, MFCs, CES, RECs, ORECs
18	making up a substantial portion of the
19	delivery cost to utilities to ratepayers
20	across New York State.
21	Now, with the new transmission lines
22	that you're talking about, the TDI and the
23	Clean Path, the Tier 4 ratepayer costs on

these are going to add, from my

1	understanding although you're all hiding
2	it very well from the public the
3	additional cost to ratepayers to get
4	electricity downstate, upstate ratepayers are
5	going to be paying residentially 5 percent or
6	more increases on their utility bills.
7	Businesses, up to 20 percent or more on their
8	utility bills.
9	Can you explain to me how this is in
10	any way feasible or rational to do on upstate
11	New York ratepayers to get electricity to
12	downstate where it's needed?
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
14	Senator. I'll try to build on my prior
15	statements in the hopes that you know,
16	perhaps it may make sense for Chair Christian
17	to also weigh in as to his and the
18	commission's oversight of issues of cost.
19	Which I assure you, I share the strong
20	disposition to take them seriously in every
21	decision that is made. And notably, the
22	commission should speak to that as to the
23	robustness of our assessments.
24	But as a general matter, what we see

1	generally is an investment, an investment in
2	the transition that the Climate Act
3	necessitates and I would say that the world
4	requires as a general matter.
5	And when we look at the benefits of
6	these investments, they outweigh the costs.
7	So when we look at it on a societal basis.
8	So that's why
9	SENATOR O'MARA: What are the societal
10	benefits you generally talk about that are
11	going to cost us hundreds of billions of
12	dollars? When we account for, in New York
13	State, 0.4 percent of global emissions
14	that when we eliminate those to zero,
15	which I'm in support of going towards and
16	getting to, in a reasonable and rational
17	process that we can afford, and not devastate
18	our economy and the affordability of New York
19	State in the process.
20	We need to do it in a rational way.
21	That 0.4 percent, if we eliminate it
22	completely, we'll have no impact on the
23	global climate that is causing the major
24	disasters that we deal with that we're trying

1	to	address	with	our	clean	energy	programs.

We should be putting money into resiliency rather than this clean energy pie in the sky, because we're going to have no impact on what the global climate is in New York State by eliminating our 0.4 percent of emissions.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,

Senator.

To directly answer your question, when we look at benefits, we're looking at of course avoided greenhouse gas emissions in the first instance, but also healthful benefits to society. So by that we talk literally about life, what are we saving in light of people's health and in their lives themselves.

And of course when we look at benefits, we look at economic benefits. To your point, when we deliver renewables from upstate New York into New York City, it comes with a substantial investment in jobs and infrastructure that we aim to benefit New Yorkers as much as possible, certainly.

1	So we do need resilience, I completely
2	agree with you. We need to protect our
3	infrastructure and our state against the
4	threat of climate change, and we are
5	investing as such as well.
6	Would it be useful for the chair to
7	address the issue of ratepayer impacts,
8	though, Senator?
9	SENATOR O'MARA: Yes.
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
11	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Good afternoon,
12	Senator O'Mara.
13	So I mentioned earlier in my testimony
14	during the Q&A that the department
15	aggressively reviews the rate cases provided
16	by the utilities to examine the rate impacts
17	on customers. And I highlighted when
18	compared to what the original request was to
19	what was finally approved, those rate impacts
20	were significantly lower than what was
21	originally presented by the utility.
22	So as an agency, we take it very
23	seriously what the costs are going to be.
24	But as I was hearing your argument

1	earlier against the idea of pursuing
2	renewable energy
3	SENATOR O'MARA: No, I'm not I'm
4	not against pursuing renewable energy.
5	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Oh, I misheard,
6	I'm sorry.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: Not at all. I'm all
8	for it. I am for pursuing it on a rational
9	and feasible basis, not at a breakneck speed
10	that's going to devastate our economy and
11	crush affordability of New York State.
12	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I see. So a few
13	things to be clear, then.
14	What we at the commission are moving
15	forward with, and what Doreen and others are
16	doing as well, we're doing it in response to
17	the fact that the CLCPA is a law and we have
18	to comply with that law and we have to do so
19	in the most in accordance with the
20	requirements of the law, which set a timeline
21	for certain metrics, 70 percent by 2030,
22	100 percent emissions-free by 2050.
23	As President Harris and I have stated
24	previously, we have the infrastructure

1	investments sorry, let me rephrase that.
2	The plans to get to 70 percent or 63 percent
3	are already moving forward, we have those in
4	place and that will be deployed.

Moving forward, getting from that
70 percent to 100 percent is going to be a
challenge. And that's a challenge that
cannot and should not be downplayed. And it
will require a wholesale rethinking in not
just the nature of the grid as we know it
today, but our relationship with energy as a
whole. There are many, many potential
pathways to getting to that destination. But
what you see coming from our agency, DPS, and
from NYSERDA as well, the effort is to
identify the least-cost pathway to get there
based on the technology and practices that
are commercially available today.

The goal is not to present a pie in the sky, hopeful, optimistic view. The goal is to present realistically what is possible with what we have today and what can we accomplish tomorrow.

24 SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you for that --

1	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: And there are a
2	variety of reports that we prepared and
3	documented. Happy to discuss those at
4	length.
5	SENATOR O'MARA: I firmly disagree
6	that we have the technology and innovation to
7	be able to get there today, and these goals
8	we have set are unreasonable.
9	But if I could just change gears with
10	the 2 minutes I have left. Why are we
11	approving a TDI pipeline to come down the
12	Hudson River with Hydro-Québec Power and not
13	have an interconnect on that somewhere along
14	the Hudson River for upstate New York power
15	to be able to get into or take power out of
16	that in upstate New York?
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
18	Senator. Actually, the point you just made
19	is one reason why we have advanced two
20	projects for the PSC's consideration. In
21	large part, we see them as highly
22	complementary to one another, where we have

the Clean Path New York project bringing

upstate wind and solar and hydro into the

23

1	city, and the Champlain Hudson Power Express
2	bringing in wind and hydro from Canada into
3	the city, collectively making a significant
4	impact on one of our hardest-to-make-
5	renewable grids in our state.
6	So we see them as complementary, and
7	we see them as an opportunity for the private
8	market to participate no matter the
9	geography.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, I disagree on
11	the lack of an interconnect on the TDI,
12	because that would certainly add to the goals
13	of what we have of redistributing power from
14	upstate to downstate.
15	Now, one last question. On all the
16	surcharges that are on utility bills that I
17	listed at the outset, collecting what will
18	ultimately be billions and billions of
19	dollars from ratepayers on the utility bills,
20	why do we not have any independent audit of
21	these charges being collected and how both
22	the Public Service Commission and NYSERDA is
23	expending those or intending to expend those?
24	If you could address do you have

1	concerns with having an independent audit of
2	those funds?
3	(Pause.)
4	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Sorry, sir, was
5	that question for me or President Harris?
6	SENATOR O'MARA: For both of you, I
7	believe. Auditing of these massive amounts
8	of dollars that are being collected off
9	ratepayers' bills, an independent audit of
LO	those.
11	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I will have to
12	get back to you on that, Senator.
13	Fundamentally, I don't have a problem with
L 4	transparency and oversight. Where I need to
15	get more aware of is the totality of the
16	process. I know I have met with NYSERDA on a
17	number of occasions to discuss these funds.
18	And ultimately that's an ongoing process that
19	the commission engages in.
20	I'm not entirely sure if it meets the
21	requirements that you have in mind, but I'm
22	open to having that conversation and
23	discussing that further.
2.4	SENATOR O'MARA: Well. I don't believe

Τ	it does, but my time is up. But one last
2	just comment
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: No.
4	SENATOR O'MARA: in the light of
5	transparency.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Tom, why don't
7	you take it offline with them
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Just 30 seconds,
9	please, Madam Chair. When we're grouping all
10	the witnesses together, it's very difficult
11	to get points in that are extremely
12	important.
13	And we talk about transparency; we
14	need transparency for the public of New York
15	State on what these costs of this green
16	energy plan is going to be. Because it's all
17	being whitewashed, it's not being disclosed
18	publicly, and people are going to be shocked
19	when they're hit in the pocketbook with these
20	unrealistic expenses and goals. Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	All right, back to the Assembly,
23	Helene Weinstein.
2.4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been

1	joined by a number of members: Assemblyman
2	Abinanti, Assemblyman Pretlow, and
3	Assemblyman Jonathan Rivera.
4	And we go to Assemblyman Zohran
5	Mamdani for six minutes.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you so
7	much, Chair Weinstein.
8	I'll just jump straight into it to
9	make use of the time. We have a target of
10	reducing greenhouse emissions 85 percent by
11	2050 and a zero emissions grid by 2040. And
12	we've also heard today that there is not a
13	clear plan to meet these goals. We heard
14	earlier from NYSERDA and PSC that we are only
15	on track for 63 percent by 2030, the majority
16	of which is Champlain Hudson Power Express,
17	which is not new renewable generation and
18	that we also don't have a clear plan to phase
19	out peakers.
20	And while the Governor has said that
21	she wants New York to lead the country in
22	renewable energy, we are still stuck at just
23	6 percent for wind and solar.
24	I do not understand how all of these

1	facts can exist alongside your statement,
2	President Harris, that "the private market is
3	doing what it should and the public sector is
4	doing what it should" at the scale we need.
5	Why doesn't the state itself directly build
6	new renewables, as outlined in the Build
7	Public Renewables Act?
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
9	Assemblymember.
10	Certainly as I have communicated and
11	as I strongly believe, we are poised to meet
12	or exceed 70 percent renewables by 2030. And
13	that is a year not far from now, I am well
14	aware of it. And I believe that we as a
15	state, and the private sector, have combined
16	forces to bring forth projects and resources
17	to bear to reach or exceed that goal.
18	So I believe strongly that we are on
19	the right track and that we have the
20	preconditions to achieve the goals of the
21	Climate Act.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: But at the very
23	least, why not allow the state to compete on
24	a level playing field with capital?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: I believe
2	strongly that the state has taken the roles
3	that are most rational and allow the scale to
4	be achieved in ways that are, as I said,
5	indicative of the private/public partnerships
6	that are necessary to achieve these
7	objectives.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I think my core

ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I think my core concern -- and I know this is one shared by many of my colleagues -- is that currently we have a hand tied behind our back with regards to what NYPA is authorized to do.

At this very time the Governor has proposed a policy change that would allow investor-owned gas utilities to build district heating, to build heating loops, geothermal, and yet we're not equipping our own public utility to do the same thing.

And it makes no sense to me given that NYPA is such a successful entity, and yet we are not even allowing it to compete with capital that we know from so many different instances does not actually serve our constituents in the way that it should with

1	regards to the quality of service as well as
2	the price of service.
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So the
4	roles that NYPA is taking today I would say
5	are hugely impactful toward the achievement
6	of our goals. The partnerships specifically
7	that they have forged in the realm of
8	transmission and the leadership that they are
9	showing is absolutely instrumental, including
10	partnering with a number of the
11	private-sector development companies like
12	Clean Path New York, as an example, to tie
13	into one of the statements that you had made.
14	So NYPA is playing a role. It's
15	playing, in my view, a very critical role
16	today.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Okay, thank you,
18	President Harris.
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I want to move
21	over to the issue of a statewide gas ban.
22	The Governor has laid out a timeline for
23	2027. And do you believe that this timeline

meets the urgency of the climate crisis?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thanks for
2	that question.
3	I will say the Governor's proposal is
4	not a gas ban. So just for clarity, the
5	proposed legislation is not a gas ban
6	directly, it is an objective to require zero
7	greenhouse gas emission buildings by 2027.
8	So how will we do that? As I have
9	described, we have a really exciting package,
10	first starting with building codes related to
11	on-site emissions requirements for new
12	construction and renovation of buildings. We
13	see appliance standards as critical to
14	improving efficiency, and we see benchmarking
15	as an opportunity to have a better to the
16	points made transparency around buildings
17	compared to one another.
18	So generally this 2 million homes will
19	be achieved through a variety of means, as I
20	have just described.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: So, President
22	Harris, what are your thoughts about the
23	incorporation of Assemblywoman Gallagher and

Senator Kavanagh's All-Electric Building Act,

1	which would end gas use in new construction
2	in immediate effect?
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So what we
4	have learned and thank you for pointing
5	that out. Over the past year we've been very
6	hard at work advised, notably, by a number
7	of sector-specific advisory panels. And we
8	take very seriously the expertise that they
9	bring to bear on the objectives of the
10	Climate Act.
11	And specific to this 2 million
12	buildings initiative that I had just
13	described, it may be the case that in
14	sub-subsectors we are able to accelerate
15	earlier than 2027 single-family construction,
16	as an example, perhaps, where it may make
17	sense.
18	But what we have learned is this is
19	the pace that we need to achieve the goals of
20	the Climate Act. And starting in this sector
21	is a really smart thing to do as well.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: So I thank you
23	for your answers. I very firmly disagree in

terms of the pace that is required by the

1	climate crisis, and very much believe that we
2	really do need to compete with capital and
3	authorize NYPA to do so in its most effective
4	way.
5	But thank you for your time, and I
6	thank all of the other panelists for theirs
7	as well.
8	I give back my 9 seconds. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We'll take your
10	9 seconds, thank you very much.
11	Next we have Senator Brisport.
12	SENATOR BRISPORT: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
14	And President Harris, I'd like to just
15	circle back again to the Build Public
16	Renewables Act, just because I am listening,
17	I'm hearing what you're saying to my
18	colleagues about, you know, your opinions on
19	where we are with the public sector and the
20	private sector. But I'm just curious of the
21	reasoning of why we would put this handicap
22	on the public part, the public sector of the
23	industry.
24	Because it seems to me that if it were

1	true, if you truly did believe that we were
2	on track to meet these goals, that in the
3	same way that NYPA is handicapped and
4	prevented from expanding, the private sector
5	could also be handicapped. Then we could say
6	that no new private-sector companies could
7	come into the sector and build. Then we
8	could say that the current companies that are
9	building out renewable energy could be capped
10	and would need to present no new projects to
11	you.

I mean, do you feel the same way -- in the same way that NYPA is not allowed to expand, do you feel like right now we could cap it at exactly what's going on in the private sector and say we don't need any new projects than what's been presented?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
Senator. I am definitely not saying we're
where we need to be with respect to
private-sector involvement in this
transition. We really are at the beginning
of this significant change from 2022 to 2050,
and we need all sectors to be contributing

1	fully. And beyond power generation, of
2	course, and beyond.
3	What I am saying specifically is the
4	private sector has responded fully and
5	completely to the objectives that we have set
6	forth for renewable power generation
7	projects
8	SENATOR BRISPORT: I'm just
9	respectfully, President Harris, are we at the
10	beginning, like you said, or have they
11	responded fully? Are we at the beginning, or
12	like do we need
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yeah, what
14	I wanted to convey is that there are many
15	sectors of our economy that we need to
16	decarbonize. Power generation is sort of the
17	leader, if you will. And in that instance,
18	the private market has responded fully.
19	In fact, this year alone we have
20	almost 20 projects that or last year
21	alone, almost 20 projects that entered
22	construction, large-scale renewable projects.
23	And that's very much the resources that we

are looking for to decarbonize our grid.

1	My point was that we need the private
2	sector to both build on that success and
3	enter other sectors at that same scale.
4	SENATOR BRISPORT: But I'm just not
5	hearing a response to like parity between the
6	public sector and the private sector.
7	Why should it be the private sector is
8	allowed to do more than it currently can do
9	but NYPA cannot do more than it currently
10	does?
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: As I had
12	indicated, it is the case that NYPA is
13	playing a critically important role and a
14	substantial role, at that, in partnering and
15	building transmission projects and serving
16	their customers with renewables.
17	So that is a very expansive role and a
18	hugely necessary one for our state.
19	SENATOR BRISPORT: But yeah, but
20	President, I'm not asking about the current
21	role they're playing. The question is
22	whether or not the you know, it's about
23	the disparity between the fact that NYPA is
24	disallowed from playing a larger role, where

1 the private sector is	not.
-------------------------	------

The private sector is free to build out as many new projects for public renewable -- excuse me, for renewable energy as it would like. Right? A new solar company wants to come into New York and build out an array, you know, in two or three years, they're allowed to do that; the private sector continues to expand. NYPA is not.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Understood.

Well, certainly I would say NYPA does have an expansion that could be advanced with their existing customer base, as an example of places that NYPA could and should be supporting moving forward.

When I think -- when I speak to renewable power generation at scale, I want to be clear: NYSERDA plays a critical role there too, from a public sector perspective, by establishing the procurement goals, by running competitive solicitations and contracting with those private entities to build projects. So in that way, it's very

1	complementary with NYPA's role as well.
2	SENATOR BRISPORT: I'm still thank
3	you. I'm just still not hearing the
4	opposition to allowing them like the option
5	to for NYPA to build new public energy.
6	What is the opposition? Is there
7	something we should know about NYPA that
8	you're not telling us? Like what is the
9	opposition to allowing NYPA to have a greater
10	leeway in building out these projects?
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
12	Senator. I'm not intending to to avoid
13	your question. My view is that NYPA is
14	playing a very significant role as it is
15	SENATOR BRISPORT: I mean, that
16	that doesn't answer my question.
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: in
18	serving their customers and in transmission
19	development.
20	SENATOR BRISPORT: Well, I'm asking
21	why they can't do more. And so when I hear
22	you say they're doing enough currently,
23	you're saying that they should not do more.
24	I'm hearing that you're saying that NYPA

1	should not do more should not be more
2	involved than it currently is.
3	So why? Why should NYPA not be
4	allowed to do more than it currently is?
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Because
6	fundamentally I believe the scope of what
7	they're delivering is already significant.
8	That's that's the reason.
9	SENATOR BRISPORT: Okay, thank you.
LO	I will cede the rest of my time.
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Back to the Assembly.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
15	joined by Assemblyman McDonald, and we go to
16	Assemblyman Burdick.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
18	Chair Weinstein and Chair Krueger and to the
19	panelists.
20	And first, just some housekeeping. I
21	would just ask that the chairs kindly share
22	with the members the contact information of
23	panelists so we can contact them offline.
24	Also, any studies and reports that are being

1	provided,	if	they	could	be	shared	with	the
2	members.							

To President Harris, first, I completely agree with the views of my colleagues that NYPA's authority should be expanded.

Some studies and document requests, it would be very helpful if you could provide us energy use projections, how they will be met, including the growing renewable portion.

Second, the state's investment, both private and public, in meeting CLCPA. We obviously can find the public portion in the budget but you refer a lot to the private sector in meeting CLCPA. So I still am concerned that the state's investment is insufficient. But with that said, I'd appreciate whatever estimates of both public and private investment is being provided.

Also would appreciate receiving the studies that had been requested regarding electrification as a better path than natural gas as an interim step, which would be more expensive.

1	I'll set out all my questions and then
2	have you answer.
3	How does NYSERDA collaborate with
4	other state agencies to set its own goals,
5	your goals, for meeting CLCPA? And who
6	follows through on them?
7	Next is, is there consideration being
8	given to discontinuing the practice of
9	transferring Regional Greenhouse Gas
10	Initiative funds to the General Fund?
11	Questions for Chair Christian.
12	Hardening the grid: I think it's great that
13	New York State rates high in meeting metrics.
14	Have those metrics been ramped up to
15	recognize that major storm events are
16	occurring much more frequently? And if so,
17	how are those upgraded metrics implemented in
18	the utilities' capital plans?
19	You referred to burying lines. Would
20	there be grants for pilot programs for
21	municipalities?
22	Community Choice Aggregation is one of
23	the tools to achieve CLCPA goals.
24	Sustainable Westchester CCA program is the

1	first and largest in the state, and they've
2	made great efforts to build it, but there's a
3	great deal of uncertainty around community
4	solar incentives and the pause on opt-out
5	solar approvals has had serious adverse
6	impacts. Please let us know when we can
7	expect approvals for the opt-out.
8	Last is DPS was working on a
9	significant revision in regulations
10	pertaining to CCA programs to remove
11	obstacles. I have missed it, but we started
12	requesting this three years ago and as I
13	understand, it still hasn't been issued.
14	Please advise when this will be issued or
15	whether statutory authority is needed.
16	So I guess, first, President Harris.
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank
18	you, Assemblymember. I'll try to be brief
19	and leave time for Chair Christian as well.
20	But with I think your first
21	question really had to do with the
22	implementation of the Climate Act by other
23	agencies as well. So it's important to note
24	that we are all bound by the provisions

1	within the Climate \mbox{Act} as to our decisions.
2	Section 7 of the CLCPA is a great example of
3	it.
4	And in how Chata of the Chata

And in her State of the State,

Governor Hochul committed to issue a new executive order on green procurement for state government, which is in line with the CLCPA. And that is a very transparent and accountable process to measure our progress and the commitments that we need to do as state agencies and lead by example.

Your second -- a great example of partnership, you had asked about it, I'd really love to mention our partnership with Homes and Community Renewal, who helped to cochair one of the advisory panels and subsequently, in their capital plan, have made substantial commitments to investing in building decarbonization and electrification for their portfolio of projects. So it's a great example.

ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I'm sorry, but could you move to the other question?

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yup. Yup.

1	With respect to the Regional
2	Greenhouse Gas Initiative, this year's
3	operating plan does include the transfer, as
4	has been in prior years, for programs related
5	to solar tax credits and the Environmental
6	Protection Fund, both in line with the
7	Climate Act.
8	Chair Christian?
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you,
10	President Harris.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
12	Chair Christian?
13	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Regarding your
14	two questions, the first related to metrics.
15	The metrics used are largely based on
16	outages, number of outages that occur, number
17	of customers that are affected, length of
18	those outages. So they are largely
19	independent of changing weather. And in fact
20	continuing to perform well with those metrics
21	in spite of increasing weather is actually a
22	fairly commendable thing to point out.
23	Ultimately we've
24	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Okay, and

1	burying lines?
2	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: increased our
3	own standards to ensure reliability during
4	storms and require that emergency response
5	plans be updated regularly and reviewed and
6	approved by the PSC annually.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Okay, and
8	burying lines?
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Your next
10	question, regarding Community Choice
11	Aggregation you know, as you probably
12	know, we moved forward with the statewide
13	plan in I believe 2016, and in 2020 we moved
14	forward with a Long Island version of that.
15	We have an ongoing effort now that we believe
16	should be in place by this summer to discuss
17	the benefits and ramifications of potential
18	rollout of a opt-out CCA for the state.
19	And we can speak to more details on
20	that at your pleasure.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Okay, and then
22	I'll just follow up with you, if I could, on
23	that last question.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If you could

	get wiltten lesponses, Assemblymembel, the
2	time is up.
3	If we can get written responses to the
4	many questions
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: that Chris
7	posed, and we will share them with all the
8	members on the panel.
9	To the Senate.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	I believe I'm the last Senator in
12	line. I was trying to just bat cleanup,
13	everyone.
14	So I'm a little concerned about some
15	of the questions you were asked, and I just
16	want to double-check the facts by asking you
17	to answer or confirm my understanding.
18	That actually electrification is going
19	to save ratepayers money over the current
20	system, the stronger our electric grid and
21	options for renewable energy, the lower we're
22	expecting the rates to be on ratepayers. Is
23	that true?
24	I guess it's PSC.

1	(Pause.)
2	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So I don't
3	remember stating that the way you just stated
4	it. But ultimately as we move towards a grid
5	that is based largely on renewable energy, we
6	avoid a pitfall that has long plagued the
7	energy sector of this country, and that's
8	significant swings in the cost of the
9	commodity.
10	I did not experience the Iran oil
11	crisis in the '70s directly, but anecdotally
12	I know that affected the lives and livelihood
13	of many of my family and I'm sure many of you
14	as well. By moving to renewables we can
15	avoid the significant impacts a similar
16	occurrence like that may create. So that's
17	one of the many potential benefits.
18	Additionally, keep in mind the
19	transition to renewable energy is not simply
20	we're going to build more renewables and call
21	it a day. This is a multipronged approach
22	that's changing the nature of the grid and,

as I said earlier, our relationship with it.

Historically the electric grid as it's

23

1	been designed has been designed as a
2	largely to respond to whatever we demand of
3	it. Whether I'm turning on one light or
4	200 lights, the grid needs to be available to
5	provide that service. Two-way communication
6	between users and the grid were limited to
7	whatever the meter reader would read at the
8	end of the month.

The litany of proposals that are being put forward include -- I don't want to use the term "smart grid," I feel like that's been used a lot. But it will make the grid smarter, more intelligent, and much more flexible. Instead of it being purely responsive to whatever our needs may be, the grid and individual customers will be able to communicate with each other to adjust as needed.

So one hypothetical scenario that I would -- you know, to illustrate this point, if we have an abundance of wind, we can send price signals to individuals, letting them know, hey, there's a lot of wind, power is cheap, you could run your high-energy-

1	intensive appliances now. Or we could send
2	signals to battery storage facilities letting
3	them know now is the time to charge.

The point is in the past, when the grid was first established and run, these capabilities were not there. So we're not only increasing the amount of renewables, we're increasing our ability to utilize those renewables effectively and affordably.

And ultimately, yes, in the near term there will be costs to make this transition happen. But one thing I would be remiss if I did not mention, the grid is already fairly old, so the costs to maintain the grid are already there and it's something that should not be ignored. What we're talking about doing today is not to simply rebuild the grid as it was, but to take the opportunity where the grid needs to be replaced and upgraded and maintained and, instead of replacing it with something similar, replacing it with something that's more suitable for this future that we envision.

24 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank

1	you. Follow-up, I think probably also for
2	you, discussion about are we really ready for
3	EVs and EV buses and won't that increase the
4	costs or risks of overutilizing our electric
5	grid.

My understanding is EVs are actually cheaper to own, much cheaper to fuel, and I just can't imagine a storyline where we're going to use up our capacity for electricity because we shift to electric vehicles. Am I right or wrong?

PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I would agree with your view that -- sorry, I would disagree with the view that adding EVs to the mix is going to utilize the grid in a way that would hamper our ability to move towards our 70/30 goals.

Ultimately -- and again, I have -- I can think of at least three different studies that would support this, one from Union of Concerned Scientists, another from EDF, and another from RMI. But multiple organizations support the view that EVs can actually serve as a hedge. The example I mentioned earlier

1	where we have an abundance of renewables
2	again, you can't turn renewables on or off.
3	They're simply there when they're there. The
4	sun shines, the wind blows. That will not
5	always tie up with when demand is needed.
6	The EVs, whether they be a bus or a
7	personal vehicle or some other form, when not
8	in use can serve as a balancing agent,
9	allowing us to capture that energy when it
10	otherwise would not be utilized because it's
11	overabundant, and then potentially put that
12	energy into the grid in times of greatest
13	needs.
14	So I view EVs as technically and
15	materially an asset to our future grid, and
16	I'd be hard-pressed to imagine a future
17	without them.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	And I believe that Dr. Harris
20	explained about heat pumps earlier, that
21	there is no problem in very cold climates for
22	utilizing heat pumps. Did I hear you
23	correctly?
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,

1	Senator.
2	And so my specific point was that heat
3	pumps can work effectively in cold climates
4	and in fact do work well in cold climates, at
5	a significant scale in Northern Europe, as an
6	example.
7	But it is the case that they need to
8	be engineered, sized and designed
9	appropriately, and that the building
10	itself in the case of many, their home
11	needs to also be as efficient as possible;
12	i.e., insulated and resilient in its design.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So yes,
15	that is my statement.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, sticking
17	with NYSERDA, so NYSERDA did a benefit/cost
18	study for the Climate Action Council,
19	estimating the state needs to spend at least
20	\$10 billion a year through 2030, and more
21	after that, to fund all the policies
22	necessary to meet our legally mandated
23	climate targets

Can you tell me what the state's

1	currently spending annually toward that
2	\$10 billion? And how much new money for
3	climate mitigation is included in this year's
4	Executive Budget?
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
6	certainly. So it is the case that when we
7	look as an administration of Governor
8	Hochul's, we take climate change very
9	seriously, and we are pursuing an aggressive
10	climate agenda, as was indicated in her State
11	of the State and in her Executive Budget.
12	Which includes investments across all sectors
13	of our economy.
14	So I would say, first of all, it is a
15	top priority from a budgetary perspective.
16	But notably, it is also the case, and has
17	been discussed at length in this hearing,
18	that there are also other levers, including
19	off-budget funding that is being committed
20	toward the achievement of the Climate Act
21	goals. When we look at it generally, this
22	year alone, we are expected to commit over

\$30 billion off-budget to achieve Climate Act

goals, primarily in the power generation

23

1	sector but also in transport and in
2	buildings.
3	And then, of course, we have many
4	other levers we can achieve the 2 million
5	buildings as a great example of a legislative
6	agenda to help achieve our goals as well.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: But if I were to
8	ask you for a master list of how much money
9	is being spent related to climate change,
10	could you pull that out of the budget for us?
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: With
12	respect to the budget, certainly. But I
13	would probably also want to include the
L 4	off-budget list, Senator.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good. Off-budget
16	as well, yes. Thank you.
17	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Happy to
18	provide that.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. I'll be
20	happy to share it with everyone, Senate and
21	Assembly.
22	This came up once, but I just want to
23	clarify. So the Executive Budget proposal
24	needs to be consistent with Section 7 of the

1	CLCPA. And I'm just I mean, that's by
2	law. So I'm and you talked about the
3	Governor going to do an executive order on an
4	assortment of things related to that.
5	What happens if something in the
6	budget language doesn't jive with CLCPA?
7	Who's the arbiter of getting that fixed?
8	(Pause.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Uh-oh, I stumped
10	everyone.
11	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: (Laughing.)
12	Well, I'm thinking to myself, of course, as
13	I I'm thinking about the Executive Budget.
14	I haven't seen any signal to the otherwise.
15	So I'm thinking about it from a legal
16	perspective, of course.
17	I would imagine, in that instance, if
18	there were something out of alignment, it
19	would be resolved through the budget process,
20	and raised accordingly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And then just
22	finally, does the Executive Budget adhere to
23	the mandate that at least 35 percent of clean
24	energy spending be dedicated to benefit

1	environmental justice and disadvantaged
2	communities?
3	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Well,
4	certainly with the frameworks that we are
5	required, under the Climate Act, when we
6	think about the 35 and 40 percent
7	consideration, as you have pointed it out, it
8	is with respect to our collective investments
9	in clean energy. So to the extent that we
10	have agency investments, they certainly do
11	comply.
12	And when I think about the provisions
13	within the budget, I would say the same. The
14	Bond Act is a great example of it, but also
15	as we implement at NYSERDA our programs under
16	the Clean Energy Fund and beyond.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I'm going to
18	cede back the rest of my time. Thank you
19	very much for your testimony today.
20	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we have I
22	think about 10 Assemblymembers. So just
23	interrupt me as we go through the list if any
24	Senators get added.

1	So we'll go to Assemblywoman Barrett.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you.
3	Thank you all for your leadership and your
4	patience.
5	I'm going to there's been a lot of
6	very specific and detailed information, so I
7	have one specific question for Chair
8	Christian, and that is about what you're
9	doing with cellphone service. I know, you
10	know, broadband has been a huge priority, but
11	I can't drive from my Albany office to my
12	Hudson office without losing phone calls
13	multiple times. So I want to know where that
14	is on the radar screen.
15	And then I have a sort of
16	philosophical question for all three of you
17	to, you know, get your perspectives on this.
18	The legislation that we passed is
19	called "Climate Leadership," which we all
20	know what that means, and "Community
21	Protection Act." We are a home-rule state.
22	And I'd really like to understand from the
23	leadership of all three of your offices, how
24	you view and prioritize and balance the

1	community protection piece with the climate
2	leadership as you as we move forward.
3	So whatever order you want to do this
4	in, please you've got 4 minutes and
5	52 seconds to finish up. It's all yours.
6	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Why don't I
7	start off.
8	So your first question concerns
9	wireless communications. So ultimately
10	I'm trying to remember the specific date, but
11	I know sometime in the mid-'90s the Public
12	Service Law was modified to give the
13	commission regulation of wireless service.
L 4	It's been it was previously limited to
15	federal law limited by federal law.
16	So ultimately da-da-da-da-da.
17	We know how important it is. It's definitely
18	a priority of the work that we're doing,
19	particularly in rural areas. And wireless
20	companies do come to the commission, and
21	department staff, regularly for assistance
22	related to their networks and service. I'm
23	thinking out loud here. And one potential
24	option may be to pursue something similar to

1	the broadband map mentioned earlier, to
2	identify areas where investments are needed.
3	Not committing to doing that right now, but
4	I'd like to look at the issue further, follow
5	up with you, and maybe have a discussion on
6	what form that may take.

Regarding the CLCPA, execution of the CLCPA from the commission perspective largely takes form within rate cases. We've had several rate cases over the past few months, most recently the NIMO rate case in which the Albany project — that was part of that. We discussed that earlier today.

But ultimately we have taken a variety of steps within the rate case to try to align with the CLCPA. We've been prioritizing the removal -- sorry, yeah, the removal of leak-prone pipes. We've developed enhanced methane detection systems and programs.

We're discontinuing the marketing of natural gas efforts -- that lines up with the hundred-foot rule that we were talking about earlier. And also providing educational information to customers and industry about

1	alternative	options	for	heating	and	pathways
2	for reducing	g emissio	ons.			

We also have prioritized energy efficiency in demand response as part of the effort to minimize capital projects that may be necessary for larger investments. These are fairly low-hanging-fruit investments, so to speak, that can address many issues.

Now, related to the second part of your question on the CLCPA, how do we ensure that the benefits actually accrue in disadvantaged communities, that the definition for disadvantaged communities is being developed. I know with a recent meeting an interim area has been set and established. But once that definition is established, we'll be in a much better position to laser-focus those benefits and --

sorry to interrupt. But, I mean, the definition -- are we just -- I mean, how does a rural community that is actually potentially disadvantaged by one of these sites end up being part of the conversation

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: So can I --

1	if it's not sort of the classic part of your
2	definition of community a community in
3	need and what you're protecting?
4	That's kind of what I'm trying to get
5	at here.
6	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I think I'll
7	need to follow up with you a little bit on
8	that one.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Okay.
10	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: In part you
11	know, again, much of what we are doing,
12	particularly with the broadband initiative,
13	much of that focus from an infrastructure
L 4	perspective is actually going to be targeted
15	at rural communities. So that that's
16	something that we already have a fairly clear
17	need on.
18	In terms of the CLCPA, I think one of
19	the broader concerns that has been addressed
20	in the meetings has been how broadly do we
21	define what a disadvantaged community is.
22	Once that definition has been codified, I
23	think we'll be in a better position to answer

the question you pose. But until then, I

Ţ	don't want to speculate and, you know,
2	potentially misdirect and mislead.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Mr. Moaveni?
4	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: I
5	mentioned this earlier, that the office
6	recognizes that public participation is a
7	critical part of Executive Law 94-c, and the
8	regulations provide local government and host
9	communities with increased transparency and
10	protection over major siting concerns.
11	The regulations provide local
12	governments and the public to participate in
13	the siting process through multiple
14	opportunities, including preapplication
15	consultation, which is a mandatory
16	consultation that the office will not deem
17	any application complete without proof of
18	meaningful engagement with local government
19	and host community members.
20	The office provides the new siting
21	process provides local government and
22	community members with funding to participate
23	in that administrative hearing. And also
24	those groups then have an opportunity to

1	participate in the whole administrative
2	hearing and raise significant substantive
3	issues that the office will take into
4	consideration in making final decisions for
5	those projects.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Can I get
7	President Harris, or maybe do we have to do
8	this offline?
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: If you could do
10	that offline, that would be helpful.
11	And we'll circulate any I just want
12	to remind the chairs, commissioners, that any
13	answers that are sent to members offline need
14	to also be sent to my office and Senator
15	Krueger's office so we can circulate to all
16	of the members the answers to the questions.
17	So next we'll go to Assemblywoman
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN:
20	Assemblywoman González-Rojas.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ-ROJAS: Great.
22	Thank you so much for your testimony.
23	Senator Krueger may have touched on
24	this a bit, but I want to just dig in a

4			
	little	\ hıt	$m \cap r \cap$
1	T T L L T T	: L/ _ L.	THOTE .

The 2022 Regional Greenhouse Gas
Initiative, their operating plan transfers
over \$50 million to the Clean Energy Fund and
the Long Island Power Authority. Are these
programs that the funds are supporting, are
they in compliance with the environmental
justice spending requirements? Particularly
as we have not yet completed that definition.
How is that spending meeting those needs and
requirements?

And then what percentage of the RGGI funds are currently directed to the environmental justice and low-to-moderate-income communities? And what does that look like? Again, even at a point where they're not fully defined, but we have to start mapping those investments, and I want to hear more about that.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank you, Assemblymember. I'm wanting to make sure I convey the fact that every decision that we make, including our RGGI operating plan that was just approved by our board, is

2	And in fact the operating plan that
3	was just approved contemplates over
4	40 percent of those funds to benefit
5	disadvantaged communities, according to the
6	definition that we are aware of and that, per
7	the prior point, that the Climate Justice
8	Working Group is advancing soon for public
9	comment as well.

So it is central within the RGGI operating plan; it's central within the Clean Energy Fund and beyond.

I'm particularly excited, actually, this year by some of the initiatives that were part of the operating plan, notably clean energy hubs as an example of a program that is designed to build regional and local capacity within disadvantaged communities to improve not only engagement but also the acquisition of these services that we have just described.

But certainly, beyond that, we continue to invest in our workforce and in our communities consistent with the

1	Climate Act.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ-ROJAS: Great.
3	And this question is for the PSC. I'm
4	hearing from residents throughout the city
5	who are receiving what it feels like
6	surprise bills. I know other members have
7	raised this, the costs from companies like
8	Con Edison. A colleague in fact sent me a
9	copy of their Con Ed bill where they had
10	combined gas and electricity charges over
11	\$800 for a 34-day billing period between
12	December 15th of 2021 and January 18th. So
13	this is like their last bill. The combined
14	delivery charges alone for this colleague
15	were over \$550. And the supply charges were
16	upward of \$300, which I understand is not
17	regulated.
18	And I know that Con Edison is
19	proposing higher rates in 2023 that would
20	increase overall customer electric bills by
21	11 percent and gas bills by over 18 percent.
22	So can you explain how we get to our

CLCPA goals while ensuring that our

working-class ratepayers aren't bearing the

23

1	brunt of hundreds and hundreds of dollars of
2	electricity and gas costs in the process?
3	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you. I'll
4	start from the back and work my way forward.
5	So in terms of consumer protections,
6	as I mentioned earlier, we have the backbone
7	of the Home Energy Fair Practices Act serving
8	as the foundation for which many consumer
9	protections in the state are founded.
10	And that allows for deferred
11	compensation agreements, it has provisions on
12	how and when you can shut a customer off.
13	For example, customers cannot lose their
14	heating service for nonpayment during the
15	heating season. So these things are about
16	protecting safety and ensuring people have
17	the ability and options to pay at a later
18	date and time if they're hit with significant
19	bills.
20	On top of the existing provisions of
21	the HEFPA were certain COVID-19 emergency
22	provisions enacted about shut-off
23	moratoriums. Those have since lapsed. But
24	ultimately, even though they did lapse, they

1	provided some protection for a good deal of
2	time, and we still have the underlying HEFPA
3	upon which we rely from a consumer protection
4	perspective.

Now, that is in addition to -- I said this earlier; forgive me, I'm trying to remember the exact numbers. We have a significant increase in funding for our energy affordability programs. We increased the budget from roughly \$230 million to around \$360-odd million. So we've taken steps to not only protect consumers but provide them with funding for affordability issues.

The second part of your question related to rates, and I want to highlight just in 2021, when we look at what utilities originally requested at their preliminary rate request and what was actually provided at the end, ultimately the department provided roughly 8 percent of what that initial request was.

I'm not saying this is something that
will continue going forward, nor am I

1	claiming that this will apply to Con Ed that
2	you cited those numbers earlier. But I do
3	want to use that as a reference point that
4	what you originally see at the very beginning
5	of a rate case is not always what you end up
6	with.

And to make the counterpoint, we've had some instances where utilities have requested rate increases and we've decided that their requests were too low and they needed even higher numbers.

But ultimately the goal of the commission is to ensure the main pillars of our regulation -- our obligations are met to regulation. That's safety, affordability, reliability and environmentally appropriate service.

And it is a balance. You know, we must maintain health and well-being of the system and these investments are with that goal in mind. But we try to ensure that the investments are affordable and needed for customers.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ-ROJAS: Eight

1	hundred dollars doesn't feel affordable for
2	a, you know, small family. So I just want to
3	name that.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	And now we'll move to Assemblywoman
6	Woerner.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you,
8	Chair Weinstein. And thank you, President
9	Harris and Chair Christian and Mr. Moaveni
10	for your testimony today and for the great
11	work that you're doing.
12	I have many questions, but I'm going
13	to try and narrow it down, since I only have
14	three minutes or six minutes, I guess.
15	So the first question I have is to
16	Mr. Moaveni. I know that the survey has
17	been or the mapping survey has been
18	launched. What is the response rate so far,
19	and what are you doing to market the
20	broadband survey such that we get a truly
21	complete picture of not just where the gaps
22	are but where the gaps in meeting a standard
23	service level are?
24	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: That

1	sort of question I think Chair Christian
2	would be better suited to answer that
3	question.
4	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yeah, thank you.
5	So the broadband survey is underway.
6	We anticipate its completion in the next few
7	months. And at that point what you'll have
8	is a map highlighting in real time what is
9	going on from a broadband perspective within
10	the state. So we'll know areas where
11	broadband is available, yes or no. We'll
12	also know the speed of the broadband and the
13	general availability of the service and what
14	services are available to consumers.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Excuse me for
16	interrupting.
17	What has the response rate been so far
18	to the survey? Are you getting people
19	responding to it? Because I don't think it's
20	well publicized. That's my question.
21	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay, I can't
22	speak to the response rates. I do know that
23	responses have been coming in fairly
24	steadily. I can check with staff, and that's

1	something I can follow up with you after the
2	call.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you.
4	Because I would our broadband initiative
5	is really dependent on getting those maps
6	right, and that's going to be dependent on
7	getting input from people across the state.
8	So my second question is probably
9	directed at President Harris. What role do
10	you see for waste energy systems,
11	specifically anaerobic digesters as part of
12	the renewable energy mix in New York State?
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank
14	you. Nice to see you again, Assemblymember.
15	So there's a couple of things embedded
16	within that question. First of all, what
17	constitutes renewable has been a focus
18	previously as to sort of What counts towards
19	70 by '30, according to the Climate Act.
20	But and in that realm, those types of
21	facilities certainly are not eligible for the
22	Climate Act.
23	However, as we look at our current
24	accounting as part of the Climate Act, I

1	would say an open question will be
2	fundamentally where waste fits into the
3	broader planning beyond 2030 of the
4	state. We had a waste panel that was
5	actually established as part of the advisory
6	process, and I would say that panel did look
7	at digesters as a means to reduce emissions.
8	But the key was that they needed to have
9	beneficial uses collectively.
10	So this is going to be one that is
11	considered over the coming year, as I said,
12	as we look beyond 2030 into the broader goals
13	of the Climate Act.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Okay,
15	terrific, thank you.
16	Then of the programs that are proposed
17	for EV charging we've talked a lot about
18	how important it is to really get that EV
19	charging infrastructure expanded across the
20	state. It occurs to me that consumers are
21	used to fueling their cars at a gas station
22	and that the big opportunity is to get the
23	private sector to be willing to add, to their

product mix at gas stations and convenience

1	stores, charging stations.
2	So my question is of the programs that
3	are proposed for EV charging infrastructure,
4	which of those programs would support gas
5	station and convenience store owners to make
6	the investment to add EV fast chargers to
7	their product mix?
8	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So I'll take
9	that one, Assemblymember.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Sure.
11	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So the program
12	itself is open to all, not necessarily
13	specific to any particular industry. So gas
14	stations, convenience stores, Walmarts,
15	whatever it may be, if they had an interest
16	in providing that, there are existing
17	programs through utilities throughout the
18	state that they could pursue to install that
19	infrastructure. And those programs have long
20	existed.
21	The new Make-Ready program, which was
22	announced in 2020, it calls for 50,000

additional charging stations -- sorry, 50,000

in total charging stations by 2025. With,

23

1	again, the goal of that, we're providing
2	\$700 million, of which 200 million is going
3	to go towards low-income communities.

And in terms of where those locations are going to be deployed and allocated, we're looking at both ensuring that access is a prime consideration wherever they're going, so we don't want any issues where a charging station is deployed and it's used once a month or something like that. An underutilized station is an ineffective station, ultimately.

So the siting of these things will be based on need, based on where cars are deployed and where people are going, and that's an evolving and fluid situation that will change over time. But the funding is available and individuals can pursue installations.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: My
understanding is that one of the -- one of
the reasons why the private sector,
particularly the small gas stations and
convenience stores, have been reluctant to

1	make their own private investment is that the
2	demand charges, because we're you know,
3	we're still at the beginning of the adoption
4	curve, the mainstream adoption curve for EVs
5	to begin with, that the demand charges
6	associated with the businesses will go up
7	because of an infrequent use right now of an
8	EV charging station at a gas station, for
9	example.
10	You know, obviously a larger venue,
11	like a Walmart or a mall, is going to have
12	more traffic. There's more cars that will be
13	there. But as I said, the consumer behavior
14	links fueling their car to going to a
15	Stewart's, for example. And the demand
16	charges are a problem.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
18	Assemblywoman.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you,
20	Chair Weinstein.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
22	move on to Assemblywoman Fahy. (Pause.)
23	If she is not here, we'll move on to
24	Assemblyman Lemondes.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Did you say
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, here is
3	okay, here's Assemblywoman Fahy.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: I'm sorry, I
5	missed the last few minutes, I had to step
6	out with my son.
7	But I just want to say echo very
8	much the comments that you've heard today
9	regarding EV infrastructure and the need for
10	some very, very serious speed on that. I
11	also have the bill on electric buses and
12	the electric school buses, I should say,
13	although I want to see transportation as well
14	taken care of.
15	I know you've answered a number of
16	these questions today, so I'm just going to
17	echo the comments on those. And I think
18	getting the infrastructure ready is just
19	absolutely critically important. Broadband
20	continues to definitely be an issue in the
21	district as well, and I'm going to turn it
22	back over and I know I'll shock the chair
23	with this, but I'm going to turn over the
24	remainder of my time, as we've been

2	But I do appreciate the all the
3	comments that have been made today and want
4	to continue to work with you oh, last
5	comment. I also really appreciate Doreen
6	Harris at NYSERDA, I really appreciate you as
7	president mentioning the appliance bill as
8	well, and energy appliances. We talk so much
9	about infrastructure, we talk about building
10	efficiencies, all very needed. But as you
11	know, I had the bill on vampire electronics a
12	couple of years ago and I worked very closely
13	with your shop and have a couple of related
14	bills to that.
15	So small appliances, large appliances,
16	all of that adds up. And I don't think we
17	can be aggressive enough. So look forward to
18	continuing to work as well as on carbon

So I'll turn that back to the chair, and thank you so much for the opportunity here.

23 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sure.

removal initiatives.

24 Assemblyman Lemondes.

19

20

21

1	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Le-MON-deez.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Lemondes,
4	sorry.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: That's okay.
6	My question is for President Harris.
7	Thank you for joining us today. I appreciate
8	the opportunity to interact with you. I have
9	three short questions.
10	The first one is, does NYSERDA
11	envision a complete phaseout of nuclear power
12	over the next decade or two? Number one.
13	Number two is will NYSERDA respond to
L 4	the if you have not already the October
15	14, '21, New York Independent System Operator
16	letter? And to date, I am told that there
17	has been no response to that letter. So if
18	you could tell me, will that letter be
19	responded to and when?
20	And third, if you could clarify
21	NYSERDA's position on the impacts of wood
22	burning for upstate New York residents who
23	often, by the thousands, either heat
24	completely with wood or offset their energy

1	costs with wood and are recognizing that wood
2	is a renewable resource, et cetera.
3	Thank you.
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
5	Assemblymember.
6	I believe your second question related
7	to perhaps a petition that the
8	Independent Power Producers had filed with
9	the Public Service Commission. And in that
10	instance I think I would probably ask
11	Chair Christian to weigh in as to the status
12	of that petition, if that was your question.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Yes.
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Okay. Let
15	me try the first and the third and then
16	Chair Christian, if that's okay.
17	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yup.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Perfect.
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Great.
20	With respect to nuclear power
21	generation, first, notably, it is the case
22	that we are implementing the program for the
23	zero-emission credits as authorized by the
24	Public Service Commission in support of the

1	upstate nuclear fleet and will continue to do
2	so according to the contracts that we have
3	signed.
4	With respect to the longer-term
5	assessment, I will say that the Draft Scoping
6	Plan that is out for public comment now does
7	include the continued operation of that fleet
8	toward our broader 2040 and 2050 goals. So I
9	would just say, as a baseline condition, that
10	was certainly the assumptions that had been
11	made.
12	Chair Christian, do you want to go
13	next on the IPPNY petition, and then I'll
14	talk about wood briefly?
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yeah, I fear I'm
16	in a position where I'm not in a good place
17	to comment on that right now. I'm happy to
18	follow up with you. But again, it's a
19	petition coming before the commission, and
20	this may not be the best venue to comment on
21	that the specific items on that. But
22	happy to follow up with you
23	(Zoom interruption.)
24	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Okay. So as

Τ	long you will follow up, though?
2	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yes, absolutely.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: All right,
4	thank you.
5	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
6	And then on the question of wood
7	burning, just for absolute clarity, there is
8	nothing in the scoping plan that would
9	contemplate a ban on wood burning at all.
10	Instead, there is an assessment of
11	electrification, certainly, for heating that
12	may result in a reduction in wood burning,
13	particularly upstate. But as a general
14	matter, there is no assumption of a ban of
15	any sort.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Okay, thank you
17	very much.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	So now we go to Assemblywoman
20	Buttenschon.
21	Is she here? Then we'll go to
22	Assemblywoman Septimo. There we go.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEPTIMO: Hi, there.
24	Thank you so much.

1	And thank you to the presenters who
2	have been here and spent so much time with us
3	this morning and this afternoon. I will be
4	brief.

To President Doreen Harris, I wanted to just come back to the idea that RGGI dollars being pulled into the General Fund are aligned with the CLCPA. First, I know you'd mentioned before that because of the solar credit program that it would sort of still work.

The notion of a credit, the notion of someone receiving a tax credit means that they would have to spend money up front. And when you're talking about environmental communities, many of which are low-income, people are just not -- sort of don't have the capacity to do that kind of spending up front.

And so thinking about how you're imagining that the solar credit program will balance 35 percent of those dollars coming back to that community when that's the reality.

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: I do
2	appreciate the question. And I think
3	certainly looked at in isolation, it is the
4	case that there are so many pieces coming
5	together to bring forward the solar goals,
6	and in fact the expanded solar goals, as
7	identified by Governor Hochul last year.
8	It is the case that we need all hands
9	on deck, as with many things, and when I
10	think about tax credits, it's a piece of a
11	bigger puzzle that collectively can aid in
12	achieving our solar goals, which are
13	integrated with our goals to provide 35 to
14	40 percent of benefits to disadvantaged
15	communities.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEPTIMO: Great. So I
17	think I'm happy that that was the answer,
18	because I think it really underscores the
19	idea that there is no way to really guarantee
20	that those solar credit dollars will make it
21	back into the communities.
22	If that money stays in RGGI as it is,
23	that's \$8 million going to environmental
24	justice communities guaranteed, right,

because that's what the law says. If you
raid the fund and move it into the solar
credit program, then you're removing the
guarantee that those \$8 million would go to
those communities. And that is cause for
alarm for me, already asking environmental
justice communities to shoulder more burden
by removing resources that are guaranteed
from them.

And secondly, I think just specifically to talk about RGGI raiding, RGGI is a program that was created after the solar credit program, and so the idea that RGGI funding is being pulled into the General Fund to pay for a program that existed before its existence sort of undercuts the point of RGGI existing at all. And I think it kind of violates the spirit of why you create a new program.

And so ultimately really want to urge you all to reconsider this RGGI raid for your 21-day and 30-day amendments, because I think raiding RGGI funds (a) is going to cost environmental justice communities at least

1	\$8 million. And this was just a really bad
2	habit of the last administration to kind of
3	repurpose this funding over and over, and
4	we'd love to see that really end now.
5	And I will yield the rest of my time
6	to the chair. Thank you.
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
9	So now we go I see Assemblywoman
10	Buttenschon is on camera. So to you.
11	Somehow Marianne, you're speaking
12	but we try again? We couldn't hear you.
13	Can you speak?
14	We're not hearing you. I think we're
15	going to actually have to skip you you may
16	have to log out and log back in. So why
17	don't you do that yeah, we still don't
18	hear you. So why don't you log out, log back
19	in, and we'll go to Assemblywoman Glick.
20	Is she available? There's Deborah.
21	Great. You're on, Deborah.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thanks so much.
23	We have enforced ADD by having our
24	tablets on one table and our desktops over

The question that I have, and maybe —
it's going back to the renewable sitings. We
made some changes to accelerate the ability
for these larger projects to come online. I
know my colleagues who represent areas where
there's a lot of farmland at threat — and I
agree with their concerns wholeheartedly. I
may represent Lower Manhattan, but my folks
like to eat too. They have become friendly
with a lot of farmers, and those concerns are
raised directly with my constituents.

One of the issues that I don't think's been discussed is what is the review -- these projects, in order to keep the solar array safe from the incursions of vegetation, you know, use, according to what I've been told, a great deal of herbicides. And there are projects that are near other viable land, and there are concerns about water quality and groundwater spoilage, for lack of a better word.

And I'm wondering whether there's any part of the review -- it's not a SEQR review.

1	That may have been for smaller projects, but
2	the larger projects are on a modified review.
3	Do you include any review around what
4	materials will be used and whether or not
5	those will migrate into groundwater and
6	damage streams or other or the water
7	supply of neighboring farms and ranches? Or
8	<pre>just people's wells?</pre>
9	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: I
10	suppose that question is for me
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Yes, indeed.
12	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Sure.
13	It's a very, very good question.
14	So from as I mentioned earlier, the
15	office must consider the environment and
16	consider all pertaining social, economic,
17	public health, safety and local input in
18	making a final decision about these projects.
19	We promulgated a comprehensive set of
20	regulations to address major siting concerns
21	and potential adverse impacts of these
22	facilities, to allow us to evaluate first,
23	to receive the necessary information to make
24	appropriate findings and evaluate and make

1		
1	aecisions	accordingly.

So on the list of items that you just mentioned, I can just tell you all of those are covered by our regulations, including potential impacts to water resources, doing certain surveys pre- and post-construction to make sure that we are not potentially contaminating any local public resources and water resources. I can go on and on.

And with respect to just public health and safety, it's a paramount concern of this office. We take that very seriously, and we look at it in the context of side-by-side, project-by-project specific, and in light of the CLCPA targets and the environmental benefits of these facilities.

So I certainly respectfully refer you to the regulations that are posted on our website, and I would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, one other question on that. We -- these facilities, for their own security, have very large, high fences -- I would think to keep out people,

1	but also to keep out wildlife that might come
2	over the you know, into their area.
3	But in some instances those rather
4	industrial what is the without going to
5	your website, can you give me some idea of
6	how far they have to be from individual
7	homes? Because I've heard that there are
8	some places where somebody has bought up
9	parcels and assembles a large parcel, and
10	it's isolating people's homes, you know, and
11	they feel like they are in prison because
12	they have chain-link fences all along the
13	opposite road from them.
L 4	Is there some buffer distance from
15	private residences that are required?
16	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: So yes,
17	we have setback requirements in our
18	regulations as like the absolute minimum
19	safety requirements. If I remember
20	correctly, it's 200 feet from any public
21	residence.
22	We look at the local laws and consider
23	all the again, the project-specific and

site-specific factors, and we reserve the

1	right to adjust that minimum setback
2	requirement on a case-by-case basis.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Well, I
4	appreciate that. But I would suggest that
5	that may not be sufficient for private
6	residences.
7	Thank you.
8	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: Sure.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We move on
10	to let's see if Assemblywoman Buttenschon
11	is if we're able to hear her now.
12	Maybe you have a different device that
13	you can try and just let your office call my
L 4	office if you're if we're able to hear
15	you.
16	So we're going to go to Assemblyman
17	Anderson.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you so
19	much, Chairwoman Weinstein.
20	And thank you, President Harris and
21	the rest of the folks who are on tonight's
22	panel.
23	My first question is for PSC. In
24	terms of regulating utility companies, it

1	looks like you guys put in the Executive
2	Budget an additional four full-time employees
3	for support and investigations of utility
4	performance and enforcement. What does that
5	exactly amount to, those four employment
6	positions, in the face of constituents that
7	may face higher-than-normal electric bills
8	and working through some of those issues?
9	That's my first question.
10	My second question for you,
11	Chair Christian, is utility companies often
12	provide utility to public housing
13	developments, but yet there is no liaising
14	between the public housing, you know,
15	authorities and instances in that respect.
16	So I'm just wondering with the additional
17	staffing that you all have proposed in the
18	budget, will there be better liaising or
19	dedicated liaising for between the
20	residents of public housing developments that
21	are provided a utility and the company?
22	My questions for I'm just going to
23	shoot them all out because we have a limited
24	time. My questions for President Harris,

1	just sort of want to drill down a little
2	further on the question that my colleague
3	Mr. Mamdani asked about the New York Power
4	Authority. It looks like there's additional
5	funding there's, excuse me, a decrease in
6	funding to NYPA proposed in the Governor's
7	budget.
8	But I'm just wondering what I guess
9	what preventative what measures are being
10	put in place to ensure the growth of NYPA and
11	the expansion of NYPA given the limited scope
12	that NYPA has currently?
13	And then I'll pause to get answers
14	from Chairman Richards {sic} and then
15	President Harris, and then I have my last set
16	of questions for NYSERDA.
17	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Okay, so I will
18	move quickly.
19	So the Executive Budget increase that
20	you're seeing this year, if approved, would
21	allow us to hire 37 new full-time employees.
22	And to reiterate, that's the highest increase
23	we've had since 2014. And that actually
24	restores us to the staffing level we had a

1	few years ago. So this will definitely help
2	us address a number of the new priority areas
3	created by the passage of the CLCPA,
4	particularly with deployment of renewables,
5	deployment of CLCPA policy, resilience,
6	oversight enhancement, so on and so forth.
7	To address your specific question
8	regarding customer outreach and helping
9	consumers, as it is today, our agency
10	staff our Consumer Services Unit does that
11	outreach, and they represent roughly
12	20 percent of our agency staff. And a
13	portion of that allotment of 37 would likely
14	go towards that group. We're working out
15	exactly those allocations
16	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: I'm sorry, but
17	Chairman Richardson {sic}, what do you mean,
18	that group? Are you speaking specifically
19	about public housing residents getting
20	liaising liaisoning support?
21	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So, sir it's
22	Christian, by the way.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Christian. I'm
24	sorry, Christian.

1	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: It's okay.
2	That's fine.
3	I'll answer that question next in a
4	moment. But no, I'm not talking specifically
5	to public housing. This is our Consumer
6	Services Unit that's applicable to all
7	customers for all utilities throughout the
8	state.
9	Specific to public housing this is
10	a subject near and dear to me specifically
11	because of my time with the New York City
12	Housing Authority in years past there is a
13	liaison or should be liaisons already in
14	place between the utilities specifically
15	NYPA and the New York City Housing
16	Authority. I believe these are still in
17	place. If not
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: I can assure
19	you they're not. I've had gas outages as
20	well as power outages in the short year and a
21	half that I've been in office, and getting
22	PSEG alive on the phone, for example, for
23	those units has been frustrating just to say
24	the least.

1	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Oh, I see.
2	Okay. So this is something I'm very
3	interested in following up with you on and,
4	if you're comfortable having a conversation
5	after this meeting, would love to understand
6	the concern and which public housing
7	authorities are in question. I think there
8	may be disparate experiences across the
9	state, and I'd love to better understand
10	that.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you.
12	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: And really
14	quickly, for President Harris, my two
15	questions for you.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you,
17	Assemblymember. I apologize, there was one
18	question with respect to NYPA that I'd be
19	glad to take up.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Yes, okay, so
21	then let me throw my NYSERDA in really
22	quickly.
23	Offshore wind projects that are
24	projected to take place in and around the

1	Rockaway Peninsula, just off the shores of
2	it, you know, I'm not hearing much from
3	NYSERDA about MWBE contracting and hiring
4	requirements and it's definitely concerning.
5	So I just want to know what the goals are and
6	how the agency plans to reach it.
7	So those, NYPA and then the MWBE for
8	offshore wind.
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
10	Well, on the NYPA part, I would have
11	to defer you to NYPA refer you to NYPA,
12	sorry, just because I don't have particular
13	expertise with respect to the transfers that
14	are typical for NYPA into the State Budget.
15	So I'll leave it at that.
16	On the offshore wind front, we have an
17	incredibly strong commitment and in fact a
18	contractual one that the developers engage
19	and, to the extent possible, contract with
20	MWBEs. So I'd be glad also to understand the
21	source of that information, as it is very
22	much not consistent with our objectives.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you very

1	much.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we think we
3	have Assemblywoman Buttenschon. She's gotten
4	the tech help to redo the computer. So
5	Marianne? Let's hold our fingers crossed.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Can you
7	hear me?
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Definitely.
9	Okay, you're on.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you
11	so much, everyone, for your chairs, to our
12	panelists.
13	Many of my colleagues have brought the
14	questions up concerning rate increases, the
15	wood burning, to just name a few, that
16	reflect my district. However, I do have two
17	questions.
18	Delivering quality and reliable
19	internet access to all New Yorkers is a
20	priority. However, I've heard from my small
21	companies in the district that provide this
22	service, and they have stated that the PSC
23	filings have become complicated and detailed
24	to the point that they either have to hire an

1	outside consultant or dedicate staff to meet
2	the needs of these filing deadlines.
3	Can you advise me if there's any plans
4	to assist our small businesses with this
5	overwhelming task, as well as the overlapping
6	of federal filings so that they could merge
7	together?
8	And I'll give my second question
9	regarding solar energy. Our solar goals are
10	commendable, but I have concerns about the
11	conversion of our much-needed productive
12	farmland to solar industrial parks. So my
13	question is how many state-owned properties
14	currently host or are being considered to
15	host industrial solar parks, as well as with
16	the new NY-Sun program, do we prioritize
17	utilizing developed and/or urban-suburban
18	properties over our farmlands?
19	Thank you.
20	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: So I'll start
21	with your first question regarding internet
22	filings.
23	So generally speaking the process that

we have -- the process we have has been in

1	place for a very, very long time. I don't
2	know the exact length of that time. But
3	ultimately it's a uniform process that all
4	utilities must comply with, be it Con Edison
5	or a small smaller telecom company.
6	I'm not entirely sure what the issue
7	is, as it was presented to you. However, I
8	am curious to learn more about the problems
9	they encountered in the process and see if
10	it's possible we could have discussions with
11	those affected and come up with a way to
12	streamline that process in some way.
13	I know that our offices do assist
14	companies in developing their proposals, so
15	that's potentially an option that we could
16	pursue. But ultimately I feel like a
17	follow-up would be the best way to address
18	that issue that you've raised today
19	regarding
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So I guess
21	I just want to ask, so you haven't required
22	more filings for these companies over the
23	last
24	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: No, the filing

1	process is fairly consistent and uniform for
2	everyone.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And it
4	hasn't become more detailed or complex.
5	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: No. No.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you.
7	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: No problem.
8	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: With
9	respect to your question about state-owned
10	properties, in fact I would say that that is
11	a central consideration of our Build-Ready
12	program that NYSERDA is implementing and
13	looking at what is deemed underutilized sites
14	for solar installations. And in fact we have
15	worked very closely with OGS and others to
16	sort of winnow down state-owned properties
17	for consideration and are advancing a number
18	of sites now through that process.
19	And in addition to your question
20	around our broader goals, as a general matter
21	it will serve us all well to site solar on
22	underutilized land. And that is
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: So again,
24	how much state property at this point is

1	being utilized for solar industrial?
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: I
3	apologize, I will have to get back to you
4	with the exact metric. But I'm remembering
5	dozens if not more that we were looking at; I
6	just don't have the installation numbers,
7	which we'll get back to you on.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: And as
9	you're aware, the question revolves around
10	the concern of the farmers in my area that
11	so again, do we prioritize developed property
12	in urban and suburban settings over our rural
13	farms? Or is there no priorities?
14	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: There are
15	certainly priorities both in our NY-Sun
16	program with programs or subsets that are
17	focused on brownfields, landfills, rooftops
18	and the like, as examples.
19	And then further through our
20	large-scale renewables program, we actually
21	provide a framework that preferentially
22	benefits developers who avoid those lands
23	altogether as well. And certainly a great
24	partnership with the Department of

1	Agriculture & Markets in that respect.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Okay. So
3	again, would it be 50 percent that you see
4	moving towards developed, or are you moving
5	more towards the farm properties? Or you're
6	going to have to get back to me.
7	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: I would
8	have to yeah, I don't
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: Thank you
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUTTENSCHON: I look
12	forward to that, and others. So thank you.
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I'm going to
15	call on Assemblywoman Griffin. She was
16	having some connection problems, so she may
17	be on her phone. So let's see if that works
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, this is
19	Judy Griffin. Can you hear me?
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we can,
21	Judy, we can hear you.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, thank
23	you, Chair. I will try to be brief.
24	My first question is to Chair

1	Christian of the PSC. Following up on
2	Senator Kaminsky's and Assemblymember Ra's
3	statements or questions about the legislation
4	we passed to lay the framework for the
5	municipalization of water, I just wondered,
6	now that it's moving along, commissioners
7	have been chosen, it seems that to move it
8	forward there's going to be a need for an
9	allocation of funding.
10	And I wondered what your thoughts are
11	on that you know, if it seems like that
12	should be laid aside or we should request
13	that it be laid aside. So I just wanted your
14	feedback on that.
15	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I regret that
16	I'm not entirely sure how to answer that
17	question.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay.
19	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: But from the
20	perspective of the PSC and just my
21	understanding of the process, the recent
22	order we established kind of lays out a
23	framework and a study which can be done to
24	enable municipalization. But in terms of the

1	specifics bening it and the mechanics of it,
2	again, that's something that the local
3	authorities and the organizations established
4	with that goal in mind I think it's the
5	North Shore Water Authority and the South
6	Nassau County Water Authority
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Yes.
8	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: ultimately
9	the decision to move forward with that would
10	be in their hands. But I can't speak to it
11	more than that, unfortunately, I'm sorry.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. And
13	then another question related to that is
14	in during this time Liberty purchased
15	New York American Water. And I know that
16	part of this legislation was there was a
17	freeze on rates. But I just wondered, does
18	anything change because it was bought out by
19	a new company? Or is PSC still going to be
20	able to impose that freeze in rates?
21	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: I'm sorry,
22	impose that I'm having trouble hearing
23	you.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Oh, I'm sorry,

1	maybe you'll hear me now. I'm wondering with
2	the with part of that agreement was
3	there like there was a rate freeze on any
4	more rate hikes to American Water customers,
5	who are now Liberty customers. And I just
6	wondered, with the new company that bought
7	American Water, is that rate freeze still in
8	effect?
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Yes, absolutely.
10	That was a condition of the purchase, and
11	that is in effect, yes.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay, very
13	good.
14	And then I just have one question for
15	Chair Harris of NYSERDA. And the question is
16	back in the fall we had an En Con hearing,
17	and I asked questions about New York Solar
18	does not give incentives or rebates to
19	Long Island solar customers, and this has
20	really negatively impacted the solar market
21	on Long Island. And I just wondered, are
22	there any plans to provide for any incentives
23	or any rebates for Long Island customers?
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.

1	Thanks for the question.
2	I would say as a general matter
3	Long Island is well out of the curve with
4	respect to solar deployment. In fact, I
5	think the number I had seen recently was over
6	750 megawatts of solar deployed across
7	Long Island. So as a general matter, really
8	good progress there.
9	And I would say beyond that, as we
10	look to our 10 gigawatt goal that we have now
11	initiated with the Public Service Commission,
12	I would say that the LIPA board and the LIPA
13	team would generally look to contribute
14	commensurately through their own programming
15	that may be supported, you know, through
16	various means, is probably the best way to
17	put it.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. All
19	right. Well, thank you very much. I
20	appreciate your answers. Thank you.
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GRIFFIN: Bye-bye. I
23	will concede the rest of my time. Okay,

thanks.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We WIII go to
2	Assemblyman Walczyk.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thanks so much,
4	Madam Chair.
5	Madam President, nice to see you and
6	converse with you again. Appreciate all the
7	answers today.
8	You told Assemblywoman Lunsford that
9	we're looking at double peak and double
10	overall for electricity usage in New York
11	State, was that right? Did I hear that
12	right?
13	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS:
L 4	Approximately, yes. That's a 2050 number.
15	But yes, that's the
16	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Is there any
17	kind of idea or perspective of the breakdown
18	of industrial versus homeowner? Would we
19	anticipate the majority of that would be on
20	the homeowner?
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Can you
22	expand on that question? I want to make sure
23	I enter it factually.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Yeah. If you're

1	doubling the overall electricity usage in
2	New York State, how much of that would be on
3	industrial purposes?
4	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Got it.
5	My recollection is it was actually a
6	fairly even split. The integration analysis
7	that we produced provided a split between
8	residential and then commercial and
9	industrial. And if I recall, I think it's
10	about half and half.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Pretty even?
12	Okay. What and I've asked some of these
13	questions before, but you probably have some
14	more numbers these days.
15	What number of homes in New York State
16	have 100-amp service or less? Do we have any
17	perspective on that?
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes. Yeah,
19	thank you for that question. I know we had
20	talked about this a year ago or thereabouts,
21	or maybe less. And it is something we've
22	been working on carefully. Because as you
23	have indicated, and we agree, electrifying
24	homes is going to potentially necessitate

1	upgrades to fully electrify. So the panels
2	that may be existing may need to be upgraded
3	to have 200-amp service, and in some cases
4	even more, depending on how much
5	electrification we're talking about,
6	particularly of vehicles.
7	And as I believe my team has shared
8	with you, but I have now further confirmed,
9	it looks like on average we are looking at a
10	need for an upgrade of about a quarter of our
11	building stock to that type of service. So
12	again, it would be about a quarter that might
13	have under 200-amp service now that would
14	need to be upgraded.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Okay, so you're
16	operating under the idea that 200-amp service
17	is going to be suitable for most homeowners
18	in New York State?
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: That's
20	correct. The higher amperages would be
21	necessary perhaps if you had a more than
22	one vehicle to charge at a time or other
23	heavy high-load needs.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: And maybe you

1	know this answer, Madam President, or maybe
2	we have to tap one of your colleagues here.
3	What would the average cost for a homeowner
4	to upgrade from 100-amp to 200-amp service
5	look like?
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So I think
7	I would have to ask a colleague for that.
8	When we look at the broader needs for
9	electrification, I look at it on a full
10	capital cost basis, which would include both
11	the upgrades as well as the provision in this
12	case of heat pump technology to electrify the
13	heating and cooling.
14	So unless Chair Christian has anything
15	to add, that's the general I look at it
16	collectively rather than the very specific
17	cost of the upgrade.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Okay. If we're
19	going to collect them, then, I guess you've
20	got the upgrade of insulation in order to
21	make heat pumps even marginally feasible for
22	the purpose of heating at least air source

heat pumps, right? You've got the upgrade of

a 100-amp to 200-amp service for at least a

23

1	quarter or 25 percent of New York State
2	homeowners. You've got the upgrade of the
3	transmission lines possibly that could be
4	increasing the capacity for each neighborhood
5	to be able to handle all of those doubles in
6	amperage service to those neighborhoods.
7	When you total all that up, what does
8	it look like for the individual homeowner in
9	those 25 percent cases?
10	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes. So
11	the metric that I had wanted to reference
12	that I had earlier was really with respect to
13	new connection, Assemblymember. So if you're
14	looking for sort of a retrofit cost, I
15	probably shouldn't attempt an answer.
16	But if you're interested in sort of
17	what is a new electrified home cost on a
18	capital cost basis versus one utilizing gas
19	for heating, I'd be glad to provide that.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Yeah, really I
21	think and honestly, this would be some
22	suggestion or direction back to you. I think

given population trends and the fact that we

lost half a million people in the last two

23

1	years,	we're	really	not I	looking	at a	whole
2	lot of	new bı	uilds in	n New	York St	tate.	

I think retrofit, especially when 3 4 you're talking about poor and disadvantaged 5 New Yorkers, is probably the best way to look. We have a really old housing stock in 6 7 the district that I represent, and it's 8 dissimilar than most districts in upstate 9 New York, where we'll be looking at shifting 10 from those gas appliances that run pretty cheaply and, in large, efficiently to heat 11 12 homes especially. And if you're shifting 13 that home to, you know, \$10,000 or \$15,000 14 worth of heat pump technology, \$5,000 in National Grid upgrades for the service to 15 16 that home, the internal guts to electrify -and then we haven't even begun talking about 17 the cost of installation of new insulation 18 19 that we're buying from China, you can see 20 that tally can get up -- I mean, I've heard figures anywhere from \$10,000 to \$50,000 for 21 this 25 percent of New York homes that you're 22 talking about. 23

24 Am I in the right ballpark here?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yeah, I
2	would say that it very as you have
3	accurately identified, and on the basis of my
4	personal experience, it does very much depend
5	on the nature of the fuel that you are
6	currently using.
7	And so for me, in a rural area where
8	the predominant heat source is oil or
9	propane, it actually makes much more economic
10	sense in the very near term to electrify my
11	home. And then as those costs come down, we
12	get closer to parity and reach parity for
13	other natural gas customers as well.
14	But the capital cost of this equipment
15	roughly is in line, as I understand it, with
16	your estimates.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: I thank you
18	for that. I do appreciate it.
19	I'm going to jump geopolitical real
20	quick. I think most of us realize that China
21	is not a really excellent climate partner
22	when it comes to all of the goals that we've
23	set forth. I think many of us wish that they
24	would jump on board.

1	Is there anything the CLCPA, our
2	statute, the Governor has presented that will
3	require us or will prohibit us from buying
4	all of these products, from insulation to
5	mini-splits, to, you know, all of the
6	technology heat pumps, wind, solar, all of
7	those things anything to prohibit us from
8	purchasing those from China?
9	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly
10	the Buy America provisions from last year's
11	budget is a good example of something that
12	we're working now to implement, really
13	looking at our domestic supply chain and
14	fundamentally what areas, as to your point,
15	of the foundational equipment we could and
16	should support not only from New York but
17	frankly from the U.S. And I know
18	President Biden is his policies in
19	Buy American are quite similar.
20	So I would say, with respect to
21	renewables, we're hard at work in that
22	regard.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thank you for
24	the time.

1	Thank you, Madam Chair.
2	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	We go to Assemblyman Brown.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you, Chair.
6	My question is really for any of the
7	three panelists. Just to put it into
8	context, my district, District 12, has the
9	Northport Power Plant. I could actually hit
10	it with a stone's throw from my house.
11	And I had two questions that relate to
12	as we move towards an all-electric economy,
13	my question is how are we going to bridge the
14	tax base for host communities that rely on
15	those property taxes to subsidize the school
16	districts?
17	Last year, in a one-house bill, there
18	was some money that was set aside for that
19	school district, East Northport School
20	District, and some others, to help lessen the
21	blow from a recent tax certiorari case.
22	And what is the long-term plan where
23	if we're replacing the so-called dinosaur
24	plants with alternative energy, there is as

1	far as I know no taxes that are going to, you
2	know, stem from offshore wind or solar
3	installations. So how do we make up the
4	difference and how do we help host
5	communities make that transition to an
6	all-electric economy? That's my first
7	question.
8	And I might as well just get my second
9	question out too. As an owner of an electric
10	vehicle, I do appreciate the fact that
11	gas-powered vehicles pay a gas tax which
12	helps subsidize the repairs on our highways.
13	And as more and more electric vehicles are
14	put on the road, how are we going to make up
15	the differential in the gas tax?
16	(Pause.)
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: That's for any of
18	the panelists.
19	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
20	Well, on the first topic, it is true
21	that there are a few different work streams
22	that are underway around the transition, as
23	we call it, to clean energy. Your particular
24	example is one that I think is likely going

1	to be true for other communities as well. In
2	fact, the Just Transition Working Group has
3	focused on this point directly over the past
4	year or more.
5	So the ESD program, the Electric
6	Facility Cessation Mitigation Program, is a
7	good example of a framework that is helpful,
8	at least in the first instance, to ensure
9	what I would say is will allow the
10	transition to occur in a more equitable way
11	by providing economic development
12	opportunities in those communities.
13	It is also the case that we at
14	NYSERDA and really to help these
15	communities in this transition have
16	developed a site-reuse toolkit to aid the
17	communities in navigating the site reuse
18	questions and, frankly, to determine the best
19	productive new use for retiring
20	infrastructure as well.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: So President
22	Harris, I appreciate that. And I also
23	recognize the fact that there's a gigantic
24	substation that's next to the power plant.

1	And the reuse and redevelopment, you know, of
2	that is very important to our community.
3	So I look forward to, you know, being
4	involved with those discussions along with my
5	Senator colleague.
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank
7	you. And certainly hope to engage with you
8	directly if there are opportunities to do so.
9	On the tax question, this has actually
10	been a significant point of discussion among
11	the Climate Action Council members, and
12	specifically the Transportation Advisory
13	Panel, which includes obviously my colleague
14	and, most expert on this topic,
15	Commissioner Dominguez.
16	However, I would say the final scoping
17	plan is really going to be looking at what we
18	call economywide strategies because of the
19	fact that there will be a necessary shifting
20	of sort of the sources and uses of funds
21	through this transition. This is indeed a
22	national and a state issue together.
23	And I would say also, as to the point

with respect to federal interventions, the

Τ	formula funding is an opportunity for us to
2	advance again that economywide strategy as
3	well.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Great.
5	And my last question I have I think is
6	more directed to Chair Christian.
7	Assemblyman Thiele has a bill, LBDC Number
8	136010600-1, which calls for the
9	municipalization of LIPA. It never really
10	sat well with me, and the more I got involved
11	with the LIPA lawsuit, the recent one, why
12	LIPA is not subject to the PSC. You want to
13	take a stab at that and the proposed
14	municipalization and how you feel about the
15	proposed municipalization?
16	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: A couple of
17	questions in there.
18	So I don't feel one way or the other
19	about the proposal. I know municipalization
20	was first proposed or most recently
21	proposed in the wake of Tropical Storm
22	Isaias, and that was viewed as an option to
23	achieve a number of the objectives set out
24	for reliability. Ultimately and forgive

1	me, I'm trying to remember the exact details
2	here. But I believe the settlement with
3	PSE&G Long Island ultimately achieved all the
4	goals that were set forth at the beginning of
5	that process.
6	So, you know, the ultimately if
7	there's a choice to municipalize, as I've
8	said with other instances, it would be a
9	local choice. It would take several years
10	and ultimately need to be vetted. And that's
11	all I can really say to that.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have two
L 4	members before we go to the panel of
15	public so we have Assemblyman Manktelow,
16	and he'll be followed by Assemblyman Tague.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
18	Chairwoman.
19	President Harris, just a question
20	going back on something you said earlier
21	today, quite a bit earlier. You said there
22	are health benefits to the students that are
23	riding electrical buses. Do you remember
24	saying that?

1	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Oh,
2	definitely. Yup.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So I did some
4	research here, and talking to many of the bus
5	mechanics and engine mechanics, and what
6	they're telling me now is with the new
7	standards and the diesel engines, that the
8	air going into those engines are cleaner than
9	the air coming out the back side.
10	So is there something that we can do
11	to maybe slow down the push of electric buses
12	to help the schools get through this hump?
13	And also not just the schools, but talking to
14	the local electric suppliers, you know, it's
15	going to take time to put these substations
16	in, get the power to them. Is that a
17	consideration?
18	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.
19	So I'm glad you're doing your research as
20	well.
21	So when I talk about the school bus
22	proposal within the budget, I think it's
23	important to note that there are a few
2.4	different ways to advance this type of

1	initiative. And the specific language is
2	zero-emission school buses. So just for
3	clarity, I want you to know that, you know,
4	there could be multiple pathways to achieve
5	that objective, depending on how again,
6	what technology is brought to bear,
7	fundamentally, to achieve that zero-emission
8	framework.
9	So with that said, I would say in the
10	near term, as with many things, we see a
11	future that points to electrification as the
12	most direct and near-term opportunity, but
13	with medium and heavy-duty vehicles like
14	buses, I would say there are other
15	technologies that are advancing in parallel.
16	So I think it could be the case that
17	your specific question could be fulfilled in
18	another way as well.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Okay, thank
20	you for that.
21	One other question, Madam President.
22	You were talking about wood burning earlier,
23	and I know in my district we have a lot of
24	people that burn wood. We have a lot of

1	Amish families and communities that that's
2	all they use. And you said there was nothing
3	in the policies or whatever we're going to do
4	to stop that. Is there ever going to be a
5	charge to them for burning wood?
6	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: So just to
7	be clear, I'll say it again: Our scoping
8	plan does not contain any recommended actions
9	related specifically at wood burning. And in
10	fact it definitely does not include a ban or
11	a proposed ban.
12	As I had indicated, it is likely the
13	case that residential wood use could decrease
14	in the future, along with efficiency
15	improvements and electrification as
16	prescribed. And frankly, in some of these
17	very cold climates in the northern part of
18	our state, it could be needed to bolster a
19	heat pump during periods of really, really
20	cold temperatures.
21	So as a general matter, that's the
22	framework. There is no contemplated fee or
23	anything of the sort related to it.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Okay, I

1		1.1 1
1	appreciate	tnat.

2	I'm not sure who to ask this question
3	to. But as we continue to move forward with
4	green energy, more electrification, when
5	we're not putting any more pipelines in, when
6	we're not putting any more fuel oil
7	containment locations, what happens when the
8	power goes out? What is the backup?
9	PSC CHAIR CHRISTIAN: Well, I'll if
10	you don't mind, I'll answer a little bit of
11	this.
12	So the goal of all of our efforts, and
13	you've heard bits and drabs of this
14	throughout my testimony today, is to make the
15	system as reliable and as connected
16	interconnected as possible. The existing
17	grid as we have it, or as it was originally
18	built, was very much a command-and-control
19	grid: Centralized power in one place, users
20	of that power in another, and power moved in
21	one direction.
22	What we're proposing is a grid that is
23	far more interconnected, where power

consumers are also power providers. So an

individual with a solar on their roof, an EV
in their garage, could as much use power from
the grid and provide power back to the grid.
So in systems where we do have outages, where
a power plant isn't working or we're not
providing enough power, that ecosystem of
distributed resources envisioned for the
future can provide that additional point of
resiliency. So that's one.

In addition to that we are talking about making the grid itself much more robust. So I mentioned earlier an undergrounding study that we're pursuing, and that's going to help with making the lines less prone to storm damage.

And then finally, with the individual homes themselves -- and Doreen mentioned this earlier -- we want to make sure that they're well-insulated and that they can essentially use less energy when it is needed most. So if you imagine an older home, it's very leaky, you have that oil burner burning, you're using a lot of oil to keep that place warm, and much of that heat is flowing out

1	the leaked-neat gaps in the windows and the
2	roof. By tightening those homes and making
3	them airtight, you need significantly less
4	energy to keep that same space warm.
5	So there are a lot of things that are
6	being built in right now from multiple
7	perspectives. None of them by themselves is
8	sufficient to meet our needs. But
9	collectively, they work together to create a
10	system where we can not only use less energy,
11	but create a more resilient grid that can
12	take us to the future.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: All right, I
14	appreciate those answers. I know my time is
15	up. So the executive director for Renewable
16	Energy Siting, I'll send you my question.
17	So thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
19	Assemblyman Tague, the last member with
20	questions before we move on to the panel.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Well, thank you,
22	Chairwoman. And I'd also like to thank
23	Chairwoman Krueger, our ranker, Ed Ra, and
24	Senate Ranker O'Mara. Thank you and your

1	staffs. I know this is a tough job, and
2	we're probably not even at halftime yet, so
3	it's probably going to be another long night.
4	But thank you very much to you folks and your
5	staff for this hearing.
6	I'm going to start off asking
7	President Harris a couple of questions. And
8	please, if I've asked the wrong person,
9	Mr. Christian or Mr. Moaveni, please feel
10	free to chime in.
11	But we had a hearing earlier this year
12	as well, and I think Assemblywoman Rojas and
13	myself both asked a question that never got
14	answered. And I'm going to ask it again.
15	What is the definition of environmental
16	justice community? And what is the also,
17	what is the definition of a disadvantaged
18	community?
19	I'm going to follow up to that. And
20	how do we determine that those communities
21	indeed fit that definition? And then what do
22	we do differently with those communities than
23	we do with other communities?
24	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Certainly.

And I'm glad you've asked the question again so that we can make sure we get it answered.

So the Climate Justice Working Group has certainly been hard at work over the course of the last year and beyond, first to develop a draft set of criteria to identify what disadvantaged communities are -- we call that an interim definition -- so that we could begin to direct our funds accordingly, consistent with the Climate Act objectives.

So I would say in the first instance there was an interim definition which now actually has been advanced to a draft definition that will be subject to public comment over the course of 2022. And fundamentally what we're talking about is a set of criteria that can allow us to look on a map and identify communities where we want to direct our investments and the benefits thereof.

So there's a really long list of criteria that are indicators to help do so, and then specifically there was a proposal to expand beyond that to include any low-income

1	nousehold no matter where they live in the
2	state so that we captured even, you know, the
3	more rural communities where the draft
4	criteria might not pick up.
5	So I would say that is going to be the
6	subject of a public comment period very soon,
7	120 days. And my hope is at the end of the
8	day we have a very clear framework that we
9	can all work from to direct these well-needed
10	investments.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Okay. Then I
12	just I have two other quick questions.
13	Actually, one's more of a statement.
14	We've talked a lot about wind power
15	and solar today, and I think that
16	Senator Hinchey, she and I we represent
17	much of the same area. I think she did a
18	very good job of explaining the concerns that
19	all of us have with the usage of farmland, so
20	I'm not going to get into that.
21	But I've heard several times today
22	that NYSERDA and the CLCPA put benefits of
23	the investment, which you put in the billions
24	of dollars you put the benefits higher

1	than the costs. But I have some concern
2	there because do we really know what the
3	benefits are? I mean, can you sit here today
4	and tell us what the benefits are? And to be
5	quite honest, really, no one has knocked down
6	a dollar amount to exactly what this is going
7	to cost.

NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Yes, thank you for that question as well.

So the framework that was part of the scoping plan is what we call a societal look at costs and benefits. And certainly this is on the basis of a well-established body of work when we talk about what the benefits are.

So generally, by category, I think about the first in the realm of emissions reductions central to the Climate Act, certainly. Second, health benefits that will come in the realm of better health overall, avoided hospitalizations and deaths resulting from these emissions. And then third, the economic benefits that will come from the investments as well.

1	So I would say we worked very hard to
2	ensure that through that assessment we used
3	not only the best body of literature and
4	analysis, but also put it to bear on our own
5	policy, which when collectively, on a
6	societal look, was all added up, we saw
7	significant net benefits through these
8	investments.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: I appreciate I
10	appreciate your time. I think this is to be
11	continued. But I appreciate your time,
12	appreciate all of you for testifying today.
13	Thank you, Chairwoman.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
15	Krueger, so the Assembly
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes. The
17	Assembly is complete?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: is exhausted
19	and has exhausted our list.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Laughing.) And
21	the Senate has also exhausted our list.
22	And as many people said, to be
23	continued because, as I often am caught
24	saying, if we don't address climate, pretty

Τ.	much everything else we care about becomes
2	moot pretty quickly.
3	So I want to thank all three of our
4	panelists for spending so much time, and for
5	so many thoughtful responses. And I think
6	you have a better sense of where the concerns
7	of the Legislature lie. But I assure you
8	that we want to work with you because we need
9	to be successful and we need you to be
10	successful.
11	So I'm going to excuse you all and
12	continue with the panel of nongovernmental
13	representatives of course you can stay and
14	listen. You can stay all day and night if
15	you like, that's what we'll be doing.
16	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
17	Thank you for having us. I really appreciate
18	it.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much.
21	NYSERDA PRESIDENT HARRIS: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	ORES EXEC. DIRECTOR MOAVENI: And for
24	the opportunity.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	I'm going to read through the list of
3	the nongovernmental testifiers for this part
4	of the hearing and then just ask you each to,
5	in the order I read your names, just then
6	start to testify.
7	You each have three minutes, and then
8	all legislators have up to three minutes to
9	ask questions of the panel.
10	The Long Island Progressive Coalition,
11	Ryan Madden, sustainability organizer; the
12	New York Geothermal Energy Organization, Bill
13	Nowak, executive director; Food & Water
14	Watch, Alex Beauchamp, Northeast regional
15	director; Public Utility Law Project of
16	New York, Richard Berkley, executive
17	director; and Sane Energy Project,
18	Kim Fraczek, director.
19	And just in the order I read you, you
20	should start your three minutes of testimony.
21	MR. MADDEN: All right. Good
22	afternoon at this point, everyone. Thanks
23	for slogging through.
24	My name is Ryan Madden, with the

1	Long Island Progressive Coalition. Long
2	Island is on the frontline of climate change,
3	and we pay some of the highest electricity
4	rates in the country. It is with the reality
5	of the climate and energy crisis and our
6	ongoing commitment to climate justice and
7	energy democracy that we offer the following
8	for inclusion in this year's budget.

We join with hundreds of organizations across New York State in calling for the inclusion of a \$15 billion investment in climate, jobs and justice, a figure that represents the investments necessary to meet our goals under CLCPA, a figure that was most recently validated by New York State itself. The Climate Action Council recently adopted NYSERDA's estimate that we need a minimum of \$10 billion annually, with increases every year starting now, to maximize the benefits and reduce climate risk.

The Governor's Budget includes a \$1 billion increase to the Environmental Bond Act, along with other important climate spending, but it does not nearly reach the

1	scale that we need. And without proper
2	funds, we risk turning the CLCPA into a paper
3	tiger.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We will need to determine a long-term revenue stream to meet these figures over time, but this year must be the year that we acknowledge the reality of what's needed and commit to it.

We must include the Long Island Power Authority Commission Bill in this year's budget, along with a sufficient appropriation in order to staff and support it. The time to reimagine LIPA is long past due. Our utility and the systems of oversight around it have failed Long Island and the Rockaways over and over again. It is time to end decades of failed public-private partnerships that have put profits over people. We need true public power. LIPA can save nearly \$1 billion over the next decade through municipalization. It can lower rates, improve service for customers, invest in resilient infrastructure, and improve its crisis management with these resources.

1	This commission process will develop
2	the roadmap for the restructuring of LIPA so
3	that it is led by those most impacted by
4	decisions concerning our energy system. With
5	the end of PSE&G's contract in 2025, there's
6	no time to waste.
7	We must also include the NY Build
8	Public Renewables Act in this year's budget.
9	Currently NYPA is legally prohibited from
10	owning more than six utility-scale generation
11	facilities. BPRA would remove this
12	limitation, allowing NYPA to scale up
13	renewable energy generation faster, cheaper
L 4	and more efficiently, with the workers and
15	communities in mind, in ways the private
16	sector will never do.
17	As the country's largest publicly
18	owned energy provider, NYPA can take
19	advantage of having some of the highest-rated
20	green bonds in the country to make the
21	up-front investments that are necessary to

And according to research by UPenn, BPRA

22

23

put us on track to meet our renewable

mandates. This proposal is revenue-neutral.

1	could add up to \$90 billion to the state's
2	economy over the next decade and up to
3	51,000 sustained and largely union jobs.
4	And finally, we must include funding
5	in the budget to ensure that the Office of
6	Renewable Energy Siting can hire an Indian
7	Nations liaison in order to facilitate
8	consultation, provide a single point of
9	contact for nations, developers, regulators,
10	and others, and help guide conversations or
11	mediate should applications or permitting
12	plans become controversial. This will be
13	crucial to ensure our build-out of renewables
14	does not impact or destroy Native nations'
15	cultural resources, including marked and
16	unmarked graves and former village and
17	hunting sites, among others.
18	Thank you for your time.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Next?
21	MR. NOWAK: Thank you, Senator.
22	My name is Bill Nowak. I'm the
23	executive director of the New York Geothermal
24	Energy Organization, a nonprofit trade

1	organization.	We	have	about	300	paid	members
2	in 66 differen	t co	ompani	ies.			

I'd like to speak in favor of including four bills that are currently before the Legislature as part of the budget process. And primarily I wanted to talk about two related to geothermal energy: The geothermal tax credit bill that would give a 25 percent tax credit up to \$5,000 for geothermal installations, and that's sponsored by Senator Kennedy and Assemblymember Rivera, and the sales tax exemption bill, by Senator Sanders and Assemblymember Rivera.

Both geothermal and solar access renewable energy and provide a host of economic and environmental benefits, in line with New York's priorities. And we're looking to get parity with solar in terms of the way it is incentivized in New York at this point. There are four elements to the solar incentives: A federal tax credit, rebates, a state tax credit, and a sales tax exemption. And all four together make solar

1	affordable. We did it on our house, and it
2	took all four of those to make it affordable
3	to us.

Only two of the four are available currently for geothermal, the federal tax credit and the rebates. So we really need a sales tax credit and the sales tax exemption.

It was clear to all who watched the Climate Action Council process that it will be difficult to find the dollars necessary to make transition to renewables affordable in New York State. There's only so much that ratepayers can pay through the systems benefit charge and other means. And I submit that a tax credit that utilizes the progressive income tax is the fairest available source of funds as we look to increase incentives.

These two bills passed in 2015 unanimously, with bipartisan sponsorship and support, and I salute all the legislators on the call who were part of that effort. The Governor at that point vetoed them, saying they were outside the budget process and

1	NYSERDA hadn't yet completed its plans for
2	electrification of the building stock.
3	Neither of those apply at this point. We
4	want to do it within the budget, and NYSERDA
5	is clear on electrification as one of its
6	main strategies.

So we urge you to include these two bills. I also urge the codes and standards bill from Parker and Fahy, which would save consumer dollars and allow the codes to regulate greenhouse gas emissions. This is very important.

I want to compliment the Governor for her sunset on new construction that shows up in her budget. It sends a clear message that the end is near for fossil fuels, but it doesn't go quite far enough. We would like to see the Kavanagh/Gallagher bill that sets the sunset date at 2024 included. It's just plain wrong at this point to build a building with fossil fuel heating systems that will last another two or three decades spewing climate pollutants.

Thank you very much.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Our next is Food & Water Watch.
3	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Thank you, Senator.
4	I'm Alex Beauchamp. I'm the Northeast
5	region director at Food & Water Watch. We
6	are a national environmental group focused on
7	fighting for those things we can't live
8	without: Safe food, clean water, and a
9	livable climate.
10	I submitted written testimony; I'm
11	going to try to just summarize it quickly.
12	Like others, we were really excited
13	that the Governor put a gas ban in her
14	Executive Budget, only to then be
15	extraordinarily disappointed that the
16	timeline is way, way, way too far out. We
17	can't wait five years to put a ban on gas and
18	other fossil fuels in new buildings. As Bill
19	just pointed out, it's unconscionable to
20	build any buildings reliant on fossil fuels
21	right now. And there's no technical reason.
22	This isn't really even a cost argument;
23	there's no cost reason to wait till 2027.
24	There is, perhaps, a political will

1	issue here. Either we have the political
2	will to stand up to the oil and gas industry
3	and the real estate industry, or we don't.
4	There is no policy reason to wait five years.
5	We shouldn't do it, and I urge the
6	Legislature to adopt the timeline on the
7	Kavanagh/Gallagher All-Electric Buildings
8	Act, which sets the ban starting the final
9	day of 2023.

And I'll just say, you know, there are always voices -- there are always reactionary voices telling us to go slower. I've been to a million of these hearings. They're there always. There's always those folks saying, Let's not go too fast, it might cost a little bit of money, don't do it.

And for those of us that really are concerned with the climate crisis, I think we ought to start asking ourselves, When is the last time they were right? Like what is the last action this body or any legislature has done that was too fast, where we look back and say, You know what, we were wrong, we should have slowed down a little bit on that

1	provision that moved us off fossil fuels. It
2	has not happened. The reverse has happened
3	all the time, every year, year in, year out.
4	So just to say those reactionary
5	voices are wrong. They always have been
6	wrong. They'll be wrong in February of 2023
7	when we have this same hearing.
8	And finally I want to close you
9	know, we're at Food & Water Watch strong
10	supporters of the Build Public Renewables Act
11	also. Assemblyman Carroll's bill is needed.
12	We are not going to hit our renewable goals
13	if we leave this up to the magic of the
14	market. It's clear as day.
15	What's also clear as day after this
16	morning's exchange between multiple
17	legislators and the administration is we're
18	probably not on the verge of convincing them.
19	Right? So we are going to need leadership
20	from the Legislature to get this passed. I
21	urge you to put it in both one-house budgets.
22	It's very clear it's going to take real
23	leadership on this side. And, you know, I'm
24	hopeful that in a post-Cuomo world that will

1	be easier and we'll have a more independent
2	Legislature. You should push back and put
3	that in both one-house budgets.
4	And thanks so much for the time to
5	speak.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	And PULP? Are you here, Richard
8	Berkley?
9	MR. BERKLEY: And I will begin by
10	unmuting myself.
11	Thank you, Senator Krueger
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	MR. BERKLEY: and thank you,
L 4	Assemblymember Weinstein, and all of the
15	members that are here today.
16	I'm the executive director of the
17	Public Utility Law Project of New York. We
18	represent the interests of New York's low-
19	and fixed-income consumers. And I want to
20	talk to you about the most difficult crisis
21	facing low- and middle- and low- and
22	fixed-income consumers right now.
23	There are more than 1.3 million
24	households, almost 4 million New Yorkers, who

1		are behind more than 60 days on their energy
2		utility bills, for a total of more than
3		\$1.7 billion. There is no prospect that they
4		will be able to pay those bills without use
5		of some of the American Rescue Plan monies.
6	;	We have contacted the Governor and spoken to
7		her energy team and have asked the Governor
8		to put in her budget \$1.2 billion from the
9	1	ARP money to buy down the arrears, which have
10		increased by more than \$1.25 billion since
11		February of 2020.

As you have seen in the budget, although we still have hope for the 30-day amendments, the Governor has not responded. We therefore respectfully request that the Legislature put \$1.25 billion from ARP funds into its one-house bills to deal with the arrears of all of your constituents.

The second thing is that we have also asked for \$200 million in tax credits. The simple fact of the matter is that the state only collects information on collections and arrears from energy utilities. And that is why we're asking for cash in the budget only

for those utilities.

hundreds of millions of dollars of arrears in the water industry, and there are some unknown amount of arrears in telecom, telephone and internet. Therefore we ask for tax credits upon those entities showing proof of the arrears of their consumers and showing that they have forgiven, dollar for dollar, the debts of those consumers. We believe that tax credits should be awarded to those companies to incentivize them to do this.

There are a number of other things
that are necessary to deal with this arrears
crisis, but I tell you, the more than 100,000
families on Long Island, the more than
600,000 families in New York City, the more
than 600,000 or 700,000 families around the
rest of the state need your help, and they
need it now. We were almost \$700 million in
arrears before the pandemic because the
low-income program that you heard
Chair Christian discuss earlier was
insufficient and was not sufficiently

1	reformed to meet the needs of New Yorkers.
2	If we allow this arrears to be an
3	anchor around the neck of all these
4	New Yorkers, it will drown their communities
5	in debt for at least the next decade. It
6	took more than 10 years to recover from the
7	Great Recession, and the amount of utility
8	arrears, the job losses as we all know
9	are far worse now than they were during the
10	Great Recession.
11	COVID also showed us the vital need
12	for broadband, and we'll be working on that
13	with you this year too.
14	And I thank you for the time to come
15	before you today and talk about the arrears
16	crisis, because it is a defining moment, and
17	I ask the Legislature to lead as effectively
18	as it did for tenants. Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	And Kim Fraczek, Sane Energy.
21	MS. FRACZEK: Thank you so much.
22	Thanks for the opportunity to provide
23	testimony today.
24	In the 10 years Sane Energy has been

1	pushing for New York to move to a renewable
2	economy and despite the 2014 decision to
3	ban fracking and many hard-fought battles by
4	local communities to halt fracked gas
5	infrastructure the construction of
6	fracked-gas infrastructure has far outpaced
7	the adoption of renewable solutions. The
8	development of solar energy has really
9	crawled along and has mostly benefited
10	corporate giants like Goldman Sachs rather
11	than local economies, thanks to Governor
12	Cuomo's market-based approach to building out
13	renewable infrastructure.
14	We've heard a lot today from NYSERDA
15	that the same magical market mechanism is
16	still being pursued, which won't allow for a
17	transition of justice if we prioritize
18	shareholders over New Yorkers, while many
19	legislators voice concern about their
20	constituents in NYSERDA's designated
21	disadvantaged communities.
22	If we mandate that NYPA retrofits all
23	public buildings, that would fill a hole that

the private sector won't prioritize. And

1	that	would	reflec	t in	the	societ	al	benefits
2	such	as hea	althy,	safe	and	happy	nei	ghbors.

We are encouraged that Governor

Hochul's budget includes aspects of the

Renewable Heat Now legislative package,
including changes to our building codes,
public service law, ban on fossil fuels in
new construction, and incentives for
geothermal. But overall, her budget fails to
meet the dire climate moment we find
ourselves in. And it falls short of meeting
the goals of the CLCPA. And it does not
measure up to the emphasis she placed on
addressing the climate emergency in her State
of the State.

The science is clear: We must act now, and with urgency, to avoid climate catastrophe. This is why we're asking for the State Legislature to include the Build Public Renewables Act, the All-Electric Buildings Act, and all other parts of the Renewable Heat Now bill package in the one-house budget.

Banning fossil fuels in new

1	construction beginning in 2024 and allowing
2	NYPA to build renewable energy at the scale
3	the climate crisis demands will create tens
4	of thousands of good-paying union jobs.
5	We have submitted more detailed
6	written comments. We really need the
7	Legislature to really step up here.
8	You know, Sane Energy was an
9	intervenor in the National Grid downstate
10	rate hike cases from 2019 to 2021, and
11	through this proceeding we assessed that the
12	corporate giant aims to expand and extend the
13	life of fracked gas and ignore state climate
14	and environmental justice law. And instead
15	of holding National Grid accountable to the
16	New York State law, the Department of
17	Public Service argued that the law didn't
18	apply to rate cases.
19	So local residents who got involved in
20	the proceeding were floored that the company
21	and the state agency could just blatantly
22	ignore public comment and the law, causing
23	them to feel angry and powerless.
24	So we just really need you to take

1	action with us, and we're here to work
2	together as a team.
3	Thank you so much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you all.
5	And now we're going to take people
6	the Senate, and then I'm going to call the
7	Assemblymembers after, because I think I'm
8	playing both roles at the moment.
9	So Todd Kaminsky.
10	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you, Chair.
11	I have a very quick question for
12	Mr. Berkley. Good to see you, Mr. Berkley.
13	Can you just quickly tell us what LIPA
14	should be doing better? I mean that in every
15	aspect you wish to address it in. What
16	should we be doing to hold LIPA accountable,
17	and what should it be doing better?
18	MR. BERKLEY: Thank you, Senator. A
19	pleasure to see you, too.
20	Well, honestly, LIPA should be doing
21	everything better. But let me give you a
22	little more detail than that.
23	It needs to be more accessible to the
24	public. As you know, at every major storm

1	LIPA has been downgraded on its lack of
2	ability to communicate to the public. It
3	needs to work harder to do that.

Number two, LIPA is apparently unable to run its computer system properly. And as you know, it had to be back out of its upgraded outage management system during the storm because it didn't work and they had not tested it, and they apparently -- there's no way to determine whether or not they run the appropriate scenarios for those problems.

It needs to also work better on lowering the cost of energy on Long Island. That's difficult. It needs to be involved more in promoting renewable energy on Long Island and following what I like to say is PULP's mantra, which is "more green for less green."

I think LIPA has come a long way, but
I think it needs more community control than
it has right now. And it needs to get rid of
PSEG at some point in the future. I know
that they say that they're doing better, but
I don't believe that we've seen a private

1	company come in to run LIPA, at least since
2	Sandy or even before Sandy, all the way
3	back to the end of Shoreham that has run
4	the system properly.
5	Thank you.
6	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you. And
7	thanks for your work on behalf of hardworking
8	Long Island ratepayers. Appreciate it.
9	Thank you, Chairwoman.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
11	Senator.
12	Assembly.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We'll go first
14	to Assemblyman Mandam Mamdani, and then
15	Kelles and Carroll.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you,
17	Chair Weinstein. I promise it gets easier
18	with time.
19	I wanted to thank everyone on the
20	panel for coming and giving testimony. I
21	have three questions that I wanted to pose.
22	I will just go through each of them right
23	now.
24	For Alex, from Food & Water Watch, I

1	wanted to know if you could answer, are you
2	worried about the potential of the state
3	weakening New York City's gas ban if we pass
4	something at the state level that moves
5	slower than what the Council has already
6	passed?
7	For Richard Berkley at PULP, you know,
8	New York State received much higher than
9	expected tax revenue and a significant amount
10	of federal pandemic aid, yet amidst this
11	economic outlook there are cuts to our
12	climate projects and policies as proposed by
13	the Governor this year in her budget,
14	including for your organization. How will
15	the proposed \$1 million cut to the
16	Public Utility Law Project of New York affect
17	your work? What will the impact be?
18	And then for Kim from Sane Energy
19	Project, how has your work with local
20	communities and New York City shown why we
21	need immediate inclusion of the Build Public
22	Renewables Act and the All-Electric Building
23	Act in the budget?
24	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Sure, so on the gas

1	ban question, I am worried about it is the
2	short answer.
3	I mean, look, the state can and should
4	go faster than what the city did. Right? So
5	if we pass the Gallagher/Kavanagh bill,
6	that's the end of 2023, that is more
7	aggressive than New York City. That is what
8	the state should do.
9	That said, if we fail in that somehow,
10	you know, there is a long and particularly
11	on environmental issues a long, sordid
12	history of this state preempting local laws
13	or weakening local laws, everything from
14	plastic bags to you could go through one
15	example after another. That must not happen
16	here. So if it is slower, we ought to
17	include language in whatever final
18	negotiation that allows cities and
19	municipalities that want to move faster to do
20	so.
21	All of that said, if we get the
22	timeline right, none of that matters.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you.

MR. BERKLEY: Thank you for the

1 question, Assemblymember Mamdani.

So the Governors never put funding in for PULP. And occasionally when the Legislature puts it in, as I thank the Legislature for 40 years of supporting our organization that works on behalf of your constituents, occasionally Governors take our money out of the budget after the Legislature has put it in if they disagree with us.

But quite frankly, if we were to receive no funding from the state for the next fiscal year, the 1.3 million households who are in danger and teed up for shutoffs beginning in late May or early June will have no organization with legal service attorneys that is able to assist them on the basis of expertise like we have.

We train all over the state. But when I say that in a good year we train a couple of hundred attorneys, we are irreplaceable to assist your constituents, as much as we want to train every attorney in the state and every not-for-profit group to help out low-and fixed-income New Yorkers.

1	Thank you, Assemblyman.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you,
3	Richard.
4	MS. FRACZEK: And can I answer? I
5	know that the time ran out.
6	But just to respond to the question
7	about the Build Public Renewables Act, I
8	mean, we noted you know, we logged, we got
9	several dozen people involved in the
10	National Grid downstate rate case here at the
11	Public Service Commission, and it was
12	astounding to local residents who had never
13	even heard of this process before to see
L 4	basically like how the sausage is made. And
15	they were shocked that big, shareholder-based
16	corporations were making decisions for their
17	future and their health and safety and our
18	climate, ignoring public comment. And people
19	are all of a sudden like, What happens if we
20	just own our power?
21	And I the New York Build Public
22	Renewables Act I think is a slow and steady
23	way to start moving us toward a different
24	economic model. Because it's clear that this

1	economic model is not serving the
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. The
3	time has expired.
4	MS. FRACZEK: Okay.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
6	Krueger, do you have any more Senators?
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: No, actually. So
8	I'm just going to let you roll with the
9	Assemblymembers.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. We have
11	quite a few, but everybody is going to be
12	very good and stick to the three-minute time
13	limit.
14	So next we have Assemblyman Palmesano.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Yes, my first
16	question for Mr. Nowak, with the geothermal
17	energy, I wanted to ask a question about
18	air-source heat pumps.
19	I know a number of people, they might
20	install an air-source heat pump because of
21	cost or they might not have the space for an
22	outdoor collector system. With that, along
23	comes with that is that sometimes they
24	would you know, because they're not as

1	efficient in colder temperatures, which we've
2	been talking about, as I understand it. So,
3	you know, temperature lower than 4 degrees,
4	it's my understanding some air-source heat
5	pumps have an automatic backup switch, so
6	that would be like for a conventional power
7	supply, like natural gas or something like
8	that.
9	How do you reconcile that with the
10	CLCPA when you're talking about air-source
11	heat pumps?
12	MR. NOWAK: Sure. And to be clear,
13	I'm with the geothermal heat pump
14	organization.
15	But with air source, some people leave
16	a gas or a wood-burning system as
17	supplemental, and that's not ideal. You also
18	could have an electric resistance heater
19	that's included within the air-source system,
20	and that, you know, could get you through the
21	cold spell.
22	We advocate for geothermal because the
23	systems are going to get very inefficient
24	when they go to that, when they go to

Τ	electric resistance, so it really reduces the
2	return you get on the amount of electricity
3	you put in.
4	So, you know, to us it's important
5	that there be a really good percentage of
6	geothermal heat pumps installed as part of
7	moving forward. We need both
8	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: What would be
9	the cost of a geothermal heat pump? What's
10	the cost of a geothermal heat pump?
11	MR. NOWAK: It varies. I would say
12	for a typical house, similar to the solar
13	system we installed here, which was \$27,000
14	and wound up with the various incentives,
15	it wound up saving us money from day one.
16	A geothermal system on a typical house
17	might be
18	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: How many
19	families do you think could afford \$27,000 or
20	even \$20,000 or \$15,000?
21	MR. NOWAK: Sir, I'm not sure you
22	heard me. With the incentives, we were
23	saving from day one. There were incentives
24	that covered much of the cost, and the rest

1	of it went to on-bill financing. We pay
2	less.
3	So any family, if the situation was
4	similar to ours, it would work fine for that.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Okay. But you
6	saw that up-front cost. Okay, thank you for
7	your time.
8	My next question is for Kim. I know
9	you're for full electrification, you're
10	advocating for that. With the costs that
11	have been estimated, even the CAC estimated
12	\$20,000 to \$50,000 in their report. The
13	Consumer Energy Alliance has estimated
14	\$35,000, you know, which we talked about
15	heat pumps, all the insulation, the whole
16	upgrade of the system.
17	How can a family afford that when
18	you're talking about \$35,000 per family,
19	how it doesn't seem like anyone's
20	addressing that with the CAC. What do you
21	recommend to address those issues? Because
22	that's just that's a lot of money.

23

24

MS. FRACZEK: Yeah. I mean, there's

legislation on the table right now that NYGEO

1	is working towards for making the geothermal
2	incentivized. Right now our economy is so
3	tilted to incentivize fossil fuels from being
4	cheap and easy. But in fact like I grew
5	up in fracking country in Pennsylvania, so I
6	know that this stuff is very expensive to
7	operate, but the economy is slanted in
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thanks. Thank
9	you. I just want to I just really want to
10	remind colleagues that you can't ask a
11	question as the clock runs down to zero and
12	expect an answer.
13	But if questions go unanswered, please
14	send the answers to the Assembly chair of WAM
15	email and the Senate Finance email, and we
16	will distribute that to all members.
17	Our next member to ask questions,
18	Assemblywoman Kelles.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Hey, everyone.
20	Thank you so much for being here.
21	I have questions for three people, so
22	I'm just going to put it out there and if you
23	could all answer in the allotted period.
24	My first is for Bill Nowak. My

1	understanding is that the solar the
2	incentives immediately cover up-front costs,
3	so opposite of what my previous colleague
4	said. Can you clarify that?
5	Second is what is in the budget for
6	removal of the hundred-foot and allowing for
7	geothermal sufficient? So that's for Bill.
8	For Richard, just a quick question.
9	With the removal of the
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can the clock
11	start working? Excuse me, Assemblymember,
12	somebody is on your the timekeeper is on
13	your side. Can we start the timeclock?
14	Thank you.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: For Richard, I
16	would love to know if given the moratorium
17	ended on the shutoffs for utilities, what you
18	expect the impact would be and whether or not
19	the programs we already have in place are
20	sufficient or where we will expect to see
21	some major negative consequences.
22	And third, for Alex Beauchamp, if you
23	could just talk briefly about what the costs
24	of not going fast enough would be. I loved

1	your comments. But not doing it in 2024,
2	what would be the infrastructure that would
3	be built, the impact on people, EJ
4	communities? If you could just talk about
5	the impact of not doing it, that would be
6	great.

7 So start with Bill.

MR. NOWAK: Okay. So in response, yeah, I'm glad you wanted to clarify that, because what we're paying on our solar system right now is less from day one, including the up-front costs. And the same thing could be true with the geothermal system that had the right incentives in place that I outlined and that took into account the storage benefit that geothermal systems provide.

Regarding the hundred-foot rule, we were really glad to see in the Governor's budget that they're looking to do away with that. I think it's going to be a process that is going to involve some negotiation. I know the Legislature will be coming out with something shortly similar to that, and I think some good negotiations between those

1	two bills will provide what we need.
2	But it's just not fair at this point
3	that gas systems are being subsidized for
4	those up-front costs but homeowners have to
5	pay the costs of the piping for a geothermal
6	system.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so
8	much.
9	Richard?
10	MR. BERKLEY: Thank you for question,
11	Assemblymember.
12	So the short answer is that our
13	state's programs are insufficient to deal
14	with the problem of arrears. For example,
15	only 34 households out of more than 77,000
16	that have applied for utility arrears money
17	in the ERAP program have received anything.
18	It's only a couple of thousand bucks. As you
19	know, OTDA has had a lot of problems with
20	that.
21	The water arrears money that came from
22	the feds also may is only \$60 million.
23	New York City alone has a \$600 million
24	residential water arrears.

1	I can keep going, but we are
2	working with the PSC to try and create new
3	programs, but we need the assistance of the
4	Legislature. We should not have a situation
5	where existing consumer protection law, which
6	will allow you to get a deferred payment
7	agreement that can last a hundred years we
8	shouldn't be in a position where we're
9	creating generational debt for your
10	constituents. We need to deal with it now in
11	the budget.
12	Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you.
14	Thank you so much.
15	And Alex Beauchamp?
16	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Yeah. I mean, I could
17	go on forever, but since there's a time
18	limit, it's worth noting the Climate Action
19	Council looked at that cost question and saw
20	that or calculated that the cost of not
21	acting is \$80 billion more than aggressive
22	action to move off fossil fuels. That's
23	before you even look at the vast benefits you
24	get from acting swiftly and urgently on

1	climate.
2	And of course that's dollars, right?
3	The human cost is almost incalcucable
4	incalculable. And maybe with that horrible
5	pronunciation the time ran out.
6	(Laughter.)
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so
8	much.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	We go to Assemblyman Carroll.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you,
12	Chair Weinstein.
13	And thank you so much to all the
14	advocates here today for your tireless
15	support of not just public power and
16	ratepayers, but for our environment. And
17	none of what we've been able to accomplish
18	these last number of years would have
19	happened without all of you, so thank you.
20	My question is, you know, we just
21	heard from NYSERDA and the PSC that the
22	private sector is on the precipice of solving
23	the needs of renewable energy, that we have
24	so much in the pipeline that we are going to

1	$m \triangle \triangle +$	011	ale	and	possibly	haanva	+ h am
_	IIICCC	Our	goars	and	POSSIBLY	CACCCG	CIICIII.

2	Now, I feel like that seems a little
3	bit unlikely. But what are you all seeing
4	out there? Are we actually on that precipice
5	of building the amount of renewable energy we
6	need to meet the goals of the CLCPA? Or have
7	we just had a few large ribbon-cuttings of
8	some wind farms on Long Island? And we have
9	interconnectivity issues upstate, we've got a
10	lack of siting of, you know, solar and wind
11	projects to create the kind of diversity and
12	connectivity and distribution needed. We
13	have a lack of battery shortage, and that
14	is why the bill that I carry, the Build
15	Public Renewables, is so important, so that
16	we actually use the heft of the state
17	government to make sure that we meet these
18	goals.
19	So, I mean, is there anything that
20	NYSERDA and the PSC were saying earlier is
21	true? Or are these just large
22	ribbon-cuttings that look good in press
23	releases?

MR. BERKLEY: A quick answer,

1	Assemblyman, for the low-income and
2	fixed-income community: No private developer
3	is interested in building renewables for
4	those groups, plain and simple, period.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you, Rich.
6	MR. MADDEN: Yeah, I think there's
7	also some really important notions around
8	community benefits and, you know, prevailing
9	wage and union standards and stuff. Like no
10	private developer is going to do something on
11	their own accord. Like they always have to
12	be dragged across to ensure good community
13	benefits, provide ownership stake in
14	anything.
15	So just the idea I mean, this is a
16	fundamental like ideological divide in kind
17	of in like the status quo. Like the same
18	people who have gotten us into this mess are
19	going to now reap the benefits of this
20	transition. I mean, BP is one of the
21	stakeholders in an offshore wind farm. It's
22	ludicrous. Like we need a different economic
23	paradigm, and expanding NYPA is one of the
24	ways to do that.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: So in essence,
2	Ryan, Rich, you're basically saying, look, at
3	best, you know, we're going to have an
4	environmental justice catastrophe. Maybe
5	we'll find some renewable power for wealthy
6	folks downstate, but for poor folks
7	throughout the state, you know, it's business
8	as usual unless we do something
9	transformational like build public
10	renewables.
11	MR. MADDEN: I would agree with that.
12	MR. BERKLEY: I would too.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN CARROLL: Thank you so
L 4	much.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	We move on to Assemblyman Manktelow.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
18	Madam Chair.
19	I have a question for Alex, please.
20	Can you hear me, Alex?
21	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Yeah, I can, go ahead.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: As you were
23	saying in your testimony I read it it
24	says "This legislation will require new

1	buildings to install heat pumps that run on
2	electricity, in place of gas boilers and
3	furnaces, to heat, cool and provide hot water
4	by the end of 2023."
5	My question I guess is off in our
6	rural areas, where we don't have the
7	infrastructure, we don't have the electricity
8	to do that and one of the things that
9	we're pushing in our rural areas is helping
10	individuals with mental illnesses through
11	transitional homes, helping them get
12	restarted, we're working on homes for our
13	homeless.
14	So in this situation, what do we do?
15	Do we not build those facilities for those
16	individuals because we don't have enough
17	electricity, or do we move forward with what
18	we have and get them started and get them
19	into homes and then down the road change it
20	back the other way?
21	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Yeah, I mean, I
22	obviously can't speak to the individual
23	projects.
24	I guess what I would say is that, you

1	know, we've been pretty proud of the
2	coalition fighting for this bill, and proud
3	especially that a lot of groups fighting
4	really hard for development of low-income
5	housing, affordable housing, have been a big
6	part of the campaign and don't see a gas ban
7	as a barrier to the construction of
8	affordable housing at all.

I mean, the reality is that the cost picture is just not near what it was five or 10 years ago. We can do this now. The question is whether we're going to commit to do it or not. But there's nothing all that special about any given building, right? We have the ability to do this; we should just do it.

at new construction, I know there's a project going on right now. But -- and I know that it's going to have gas in it. But if this was in place right now, that project wouldn't move forward and those individuals would not be in that house within a few months.

So in that situation, you know,

Ţ	what what do you do? Do you
2	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Oh, I see. Yeah.
3	Well, not really, right? So we're talking
4	about the permit level. So if
5	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Oh, so this is
6	only for permanent individuals?
7	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Yeah, yeah well,
8	no, no, no, we're talking at the permit
9	level. Right? So it's the moment that a
10	building goes in and tries to get the permit
11	to build.
12	So if you have that and we're like
13	breaking ground tomorrow or January 1,
14	2024, in this instance it doesn't affect
15	that. Right? You were talking about so
16	that's the thing. There's already a long
17	timeline, right? And no need to wait until
18	2027 to have newly permitted buildings make
19	this transition. We ought to go much faster.
20	The example you're talking about I
21	believe would not be covered under the
22	legislation we're talking about in the first
23	place.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Okay. All

1	right. Well, that's good to know. I
2	appreciate your input.
3	And Madam Chair, thank you for the
4	question.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can we go to
6	Assemblyman Burdick.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
8	Thank you, Chairs, and thank you, panelists.
9	I completely agree with Assemblymember
10	Carroll's observation that without your
11	advocacy, we would not be where we are having
12	made the strides that we have made but
13	also need to do much more.
14	A question for Mr. Nowak. I too am
15	concerned about up-front costs for geothermal
16	and, for that matter, any energy efficiency
17	measures. Are there financing arrangements
18	under which the savings on utility bills
19	would more than cover the installment
20	payments for the geothermal upgrades? I know
21	that NYSERDA in the past has provided
22	financing and incentives like that.
23	And a question for Ms. Fraczek, and
24	apologies if I mispronounced your name. I

1	share Assemblymember Carroll's View that
2	we're not doing enough. That's one of the
3	reasons that I'm cosponsor of his two bills.
4	But during our discussion with NYSERDA,
5	President Harris emphasized both the private
6	and public investment to be able to reach
7	those goals. A similar question, do you
8	advocate investment in all-electric
9	affordable housing? Do you see savings in
10	utility bills helping to finance any part of
11	that?
12	So those are my two questions.
13	MR. NOWAK: I'm sorry, I believe the
14	first question was for me. Were both of them
15	for me?
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: No. The first
17	one is for you, dealing with financing
18	arrangements. Are they available for
19	geothermal? Can the savings on the utilities
20	help to cover installment costs on the
21	financing?
22	MR. NOWAK: Well, yes, there
23	definitely is some financing available
24	through NYSERDA at this point relative to

1	on-bill financing and so forth. And
2	certainly the savings, you know, should be
3	part of that.
4	One of the things that folks really
5	don't realize, you know, we're spending a lot
6	of money in this state on storage, storage
7	for electricity. Geothermal provides storage
8	for heating, which means we're going to need
9	to produce less electricity on the coldest
10	days of the year and the hottest days of
11	the year, for that matter, to cool. So there
12	really
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I'm sorry, if I
14	could now switch over to Ms. Fraczek so that
15	she can answer that.
16	MS. FRACZEK: Your voice kind of went
17	out. I wasn't I couldn't quite hear like
18	half of
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: The question was
20	whether savings on investment in all-electric
21	can help to finance the capital costs.
22	similar question.
23	MS. FRACZEK: Well, I think yeah.

I mean, I think that it can't just be like

1	switch over to electric and Voilá, we have
2	something. We have to actually like change
3	like all of our codes how everything is
4	incentivized. And there's actual legislation
5	out there that we all need you to like really
6	push in the Renewable Heat Now bill package.
7	So, you know, I mean it's not it's
8	not just like we just want one thing and we
9	expect that it's going to solve every
10	problem. But we need those support bills in
11	order to make electricity affordable and
12	serve all New Yorkers so they can be happy,
13	healthy and clean.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Let's see. Assemblywoman Woerner.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you.
18	Thank you so much.
19	Mr. Nowak, my questions are for you,
20	because I'm a huge fan of geothermal. And so
21	my question for you is and you may have
22	answered the first part of this earlier, and
23	if so, I apologize for having missed it.
24	What is the what is the average cost of a

1	retrofit for, say, a 1500-square-foot house?
2	MR. NOWAK: Yeah, I think it is
3	similar to what we had for the solar
4	installation here, which was around \$30,000
5	raw cost. And then when you get into the
6	incentives, that took it down, you know, in
7	the neighborhood of \$10,000, which was paid
8	off on a 15-year loan.
9	So I think those similar kind of costs
10	can apply for geothermal. I've heard
11	anywhere from 25 to
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Okay, so
13	around 30. And the budget includes
14	25 percent credit, is that the incentive?
15	MR. NOWAK: It does not. The
16	Governor's budget did not include that. This
17	is a bill that Senator Kennedy and
18	Assemblymember Rivera have put forward. It
19	is one that the Legislature has passed in the
20	past, and we're hoping that you will include
21	it in the one-house budgets and negotiate for
22	it to be in the final budget.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Okay, so a
24	25 percent credit would only take this down

1	by \$7500, so that brings us to 23 22.5, if
2	my math is correct.
3	MR. NOWAK: Yup.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Is that a
5	how many installations do we have across the
6	state of geothermal today? And would a
7	would a market price of 22.5 be sufficient to
8	accelerate the adoption of this technology?
9	You know, it's been a long time since
10	I bought a furnace, so I don't know what the
11	market rate is, but I think key to success
12	here and some of my colleagues have
13	pointed this out the key to success here
14	is going to be getting to a price point that
15	doesn't offer an advantage to stay on your
16	fossil-based fuel system.
17	MR. NOWAK: Exactly. And that's part
18	of why we're putting this forward.
19	But in addition to the state tax
20	credit, as I mentioned, there is a federal
21	tax credit, and there are state rebates.
22	What we're asking for is a state tax credit
23	and a sales tax exemption that are going to

bring that cost down, in addition to those

1	measures. Which is what we already have for
2	solar and which would work great in the
3	geothermal sphere.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: And are there,
5	in your experience so I am curious how
6	many statewide do we have already. But in
7	your experience, are there any
8	restrictions or constraints, I should
9	say in terms of types of neighborhoods,
10	types of communities, types of buildings
11	where geothermal is not is not an option?
12	MR. NOWAK: I don't think you can say
13	that in terms of a whole neighborhood. In
14	urban areas, there are permitting questions
15	and into the amount of space you would have
16	to drill but at this point we've got it
17	down to where a six-inch bore hole is all you
18	need to provide for a geothermal system.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you so
21	much.
22	MR. NOWAK: Generally I would say no,
23	there are parts of I'm sorry, go ahead.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	We'll move on to Assemblyman Epstein.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
3	Chair. And thank you all for sticking it out
4	for this long day.
5	Just to Richard Berkley, just on the
6	consequences of, you know, these utility
7	shutoffs. You know, you said 77,000 people
8	applied, 32,000 got some support. What are
9	we looking at, and what's the risk to
10	New Yorkers at this point? And I know we
11	don't have a lot of time, so if you could be
12	quick, I appreciate it.
13	MR. BERKLEY: Thank you,
14	Assemblymember.
15	And that's 32 households, not 32,000.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Oh, wow, thank
17	you.
18	MR. BERKLEY: It's a teensy-weensy
19	bit.
20	As you know, OTDA screwed this up
21	horribly. And I know the Legislature is
22	concerned. So the consequences are that you
23	will have households that either need to
24	declare bankruptcy to get out from under the

1	utility bills, in which case the utilities
2	will socialize that cost to all the other
3	ratepayers.

So small businesses, that I know every member is concerned about, will get a huge increase in their rates, and so will residential customers. And in New York City, where Con Edison is looking for rate increase now that is three times the size of their last increase, we think that that is going to be death to businesses.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you. I appreciate that.

And maybe just to some of the other panelists, I really want to see what you felt about what PSC, Public Service Commission did. NYSERDA is saying about electrifying the grid and getting charging infrastructure in place -- I guess my concerns are I don't think they're doing enough. I mean, for someone who tries to -- who lives near a city who tries to figure out where I can plug in my car, it's not easy. It's impossible. And all the apps don't help. You know, it's

1	not and I'm wondering if you feel like
2	they're going down the right path or do we
3	need to do a course correct at this point.

MR. BERKLEY: If I can answer briefly,
I think that you were right earlier when you
said that people need to be able to find out
how much it will cost at each of the electric
charging stations.

I'm aware that some of your colleagues in the Legislature are thinking about legislation in that area to create something like a Schumer box for the costs at all the charging stations, and so I think that's something of value.

I don't believe that we're moving quickly enough on electric charging stations. But quite honestly, right now the state is not paying for those, the ratepayers are paying for them. And I think that the state needs to work with the transportation industry to get them to pay for things for their own business plans. Energy ratepayers pay for too much, and they're not an endless piggy bank.

Ţ	But the things that we need and as
2	I always say, build more green for less
3	green. The things that we need to achieve,
4	our decarbonization goal, ratepayers will pay
5	for. But they shouldn't be subsidizing
6	third-party industries.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great. And I
8	just have 20 seconds, if anyone else wants to
9	respond to that. Otherwise I'll just give
10	back my time.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Wow, 11. Okay.
12	We move on to our next member,
13	Assemblyman Otis.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you all for
15	your good advocacy and your good work. And
16	we all have a big challenge in front of us, a
17	lot of moving parts.
18	Earlier this morning there were a
19	number of comments from a few of us posing
20	questions about proof-of-work cryptocurrency
21	mining, which many of us see as a major
22	threat to all the other kinds of initiatives
23	we're trying to deliver on in terms of
24	climate change.

1	In the limited time we have here, if
2	as many of you want to just weigh in and
3	speak to your view of the magnitude of this
4	particular energy-using activity.
5	MR. BEAUCHAMP: Yeah, I mean the
6	magnitude of the problem is enormous, as you
7	allude to, and it's multifaceted in the sense
8	that we need action from both the Legislature
9	and the Governor.
10	So, you know, starting with the
11	Greenidge Plant, there's action this
12	administration can take to shut down some
13	polluting facilities that are solely used to
14	power proof-of-work cryptocurrency mining.
15	She should do that, the administration should
16	shut that down.
17	But that's not enough, right, because
18	we know there are power plants sitting
19	dormant all over the state that the industry
20	can target, and that's the rationale to pass
21	a moratorium bill.
22	At Food & Water Watch we're strongly
23	supportive of that bill, Anna Kelles's

moratorium on proof-of-work cryptocurrency

Т	mining, which we need desperacery just from
2	an emissions perspective. But also it's just
3	good common sense. It's frankly how we ended
4	up stopping fracking in New York, right? We
5	took a pause, there was a moratorium, there
6	was an extraordinarily lengthy generic
7	Environmental Impact Statement.
8	This is like that, right? This is a
9	brand-new technology the state hadn't had
10	before. We should take a similar approach
11	and instead, we've just allowed them to move
12	ahead so far. We need a moratorium, and we
13	need the administration to stop the stuff
14	that's already happening. But I'll pause
15	here because I know others will have
16	thoughts.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: A minute-six left.
18	Go.
19	MS. FRACZEK: Yeah, Sane Energy
20	Project is also in support of this
21	legislation from Assemblymember Kelles.
22	And, you know, we're it's almost
23	like we've been playing whack-a-mole for so
24	long with fossil fuel projects. And we have

1	said no to fracking in New York, so why is my
2	family getting fracked in Pennsylvania in
3	order for the fracking industry to keep
4	finding loopholes in New York State to keep
5	up these shenanigans? Like, we should be
6	saying no to fossil fuels. I mean, the
7	planet is actually dying. And, you know,
8	this it's like we can't be sitting around
9	and thinking about how we can like build new
10	markets around fossil fuels anymore.
11	MR. MADDEN: I would just add
12	Long Island Progressive Coalition also
13	supports the moratorium.
14	And, you know, we're talking about,
15	like, transition for some of these places.
16	They should you know, the first thing for
17	peaker plants is looking at battery storage
18	or renewable energy development, the idea
19	that we're going to use precious electrons to
20	like mine what is probably going to be the
21	next huge bubble that causes an economic
22	demise. Like it just feels like a silly
23	gamble.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.

1	I'm going to turn it back to the
2	Senate, since this is the end of Part 1 of
3	our hearing. And Senator Krueger will be
4	leading us through the longer Part 2 of our
5	hearing.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I just wanted to
7	thank our panelists for being with us today.
8	I'm sorry, Assemblywoman, you have
9	another Assemblymember trying to speak.
10	Keith Brown, I believe.
11	Were you trying to speak?
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Yeah.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: I'm sorry, Chair,
15	I wanted to get some questions in there.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, I guess I
17	saw your hand was up and then down. So go
18	ahead.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you.
20	The question is for any of the
21	panelists. Going back to my question I asked
22	earlier with regard to LIPA and
23	municipalization of it, how do we deal with
24	the LIPA debt from the Shoreham Power Plant,

1	in your opinion?
2	MS. FRACZEK: Ryan, do you want to
3	take that?
4	MR. MADDEN: Sure. I apologize,
5	because I don't there's no easy answer,
6	right?
7	I think that's part of what is really
8	great about and I believe you're already a
9	cosponsor of, Assemblyman the LIPA
10	commission bill. There needs to be a kind of
11	dedicated restructuring of LIPA and a
12	commitment to, one, ending the public-private
13	partnership, committing to a true public
14	power model, and then looking at other, you
15	know, reforms around the democratic
16	management and governance of it, stakeholder
17	engagement, et cetera.
18	And I think one of the things about
19	indebtedness is part of the purview of that
20	commission. Like I think to my mind,
21	there hasn't been a concerted, thoughtful,
22	like grappling with that in a real way in a
23	long time. And like I don't have anything
24	else other than to suggest that this process

1	could be a good means to discuss that
2	because, yes, it's complicated and has like
3	eluded a clean answer for decades.
4	And so I welcome more thoughts about
5	that in the interim, but I think that only
6	stresses the urgency of this commission bill
7	and the process it will unfold with
8	stakeholder engagement to grapple with such
9	tough questions.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: I agree. And I
11	opened it up because I you know, I've
12	spoken to Assemblyman Thiele about it,
13	because it is my primary concern.
14	So Mr. Berkley, any thoughts?
15	MR. BERKLEY: Yes, thank you,
16	Assemblymember.
17	LIPA is in the best place of any of
18	the energy utilities to be municipalized,
19	because it owns its own plant. And that's
20	the largest cost of going municipal.
21	The intractable problem that the
22	island and that LIPA face is that debt. And
23	the only thing that you can do for now is to
24	continue to work to refinance that debt as

1	cheaply	as	possible.
_		٠. ٥	POSSESEO.

2	And then the other thing is to not
3	allow the authority to continue refinancing
4	that debt in perpetuity. Robert Moses taught
5	us that a public authority can be eternal if
6	you only allow it to refinance as much as it
7	wants. That's not the right way forward for
8	Long Island unless there are significant
9	changes with the structure of LIPA.

ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Real quick, is there any alternative energy technology that New York is not looking at that they should be looking at?

This is for any of the panelists.

MR. BERKLEY: I'll jump in on that one. There are two things that New York is not -- well, three things that New York is not doing that it should consider.

First is tidal power, like they do in North Scotland. Second is run-of-river hydro. There's a lot of -- almost 2 gigawatts of untapped hydro that could be tapped by run-of-river. Those are -- well, those are the two big ones, really.

1	I think pumped storage is something
2	that's a dirty word in the environmental
3	community, but that's one of those things
4	that unless batteries work better, we might
5	end up going back to that and looking for a
6	place we can do that without environmental
7	damage to the state.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, I am going
9	to thank
10	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Anyone else?
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, that's it
12	for this panel.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Time's up.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Oh, I can't see my
15	clock. Thank you, Chairs, appreciate it.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right, now
17	I'm going to thank the panelists for
18	participating with us today. And I am going
19	to close down Part 1 of our two-part hearing.
20	So those of you who are thinking, gee,
21	this is running a long time, we're just
22	beginning, because we're going to call up our
23	Department of Environmental Conservation,
24	Basil Seggos, commissioner, and we're going

Τ	to give him to minutes to testify.
2	And then people can get back in line
3	with their hands up again. We will take
4	chairs and rankers on Environmental
5	Conservation first, and then, again, chairs
6	go to 10 minutes, rankers, five minutes,
7	everyone else, three minutes. We're back in
8	our traditional model for a budget hearing.
9	And then after Commissioner Seggos we
10	then will have Parks Commissioner Erik
11	Kulleseid, we will then have Agriculture
12	Commissioner Richard Ball, just for lining
13	people up. But we will do questions in
14	between each of them.
15	And we're only an hour and a half
16	later than scheduled, so for budget hearing
17	time, that's not bad at all.
18	So I'm now going to invite
19	Commissioner Basil Seggos. Are you here,
20	Basil?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I'm here,
22	Senator.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, excellent.
24	Thank you. Thank you for waiting for us to

1	get to you. And we all have your testimony,
2	but please summarize your key points in
3	10 minutes or less.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Will do. Thank
5	you, Senator.
6	Chair Krueger, of course Chair
7	Weinstein, and members of the committees,
8	it's fantastic to be with you now for my
9	seventh budget hearing. And this year at
10	least, batting second in the order is a nice
11	thing.
12	On behalf of DEC's 3,000 employees,
13	thank you for the opportunity to discuss
14	Governor Hochul's environmental priorities
15	for the coming fiscal year. I'm joined also
16	by Jeff Stefanko, as usual, our deputy
17	commissioner for administration, and
18	Jesh Patel, our acting director of
19	legislative affairs. And I will summarize my
20	points.
21	The Governor's budget proposes a
22	historic investment in environmental
23	protection, including raising the EPF to
24	\$400 million, its highest level ever;

1	providing an additional 500 million for
2	critical water infrastructure around the
3	state, bringing the total there to
4	4.5 billion; increasing the Clean Water,
5	Clean Air and Green Jobs Environmental Bond
6	Act which will go before the voters, of
7	course, in November to 4 billion. And all
8	told, these proposals and more amount to the
9	largest commitment to the environment in
10	New York State history.
11	This commitment will enhance

This commitment will enhance conservation, of course, and also improve the health and welfare of the state and create jobs and bolster our continued economic recovery.

The Executive Budget also proposes to extend the Brownfields Cleanup Program for another 10 years, and expand it to incentivize renewable energy projects and cleanups in disadvantaged communities. It will improve the state's Wetlands Protection Program, protecting an estimated additional 1 million acres of wetlands and helping

New York to adapt to increased flooding and

1	severe storms fueled by climate change. And
2	it will create a new Extended Producer
3	Responsibility Program to reduce waste from
4	packaging and printed paper.

2.0

DEC's operating budget is recommended at \$481.4 million. That's an increase of 18.1 million. DEC's capital budget would grow to 9.7 billion, its largest ever. The budget increases DEC's staffing to 3,108 employees, and that's up 168 from last year. It also provides 90 million in NY Works funding to support critical infrastructure projects across the state.

These commitments will allow DEC to continue to fulfill our mission of ensuring the health, safety and accessibility of our environment while sustaining and advancing efforts to reduce emissions and invest in environmental justice communities across the state.

As a brief note, I want to bring attention to DEC's extraordinary professionals who served on the front lines of COVID over the last, well, two years, in

1	conjunction with our state and local
2	partners. DEC constructed and operated
3	testing and vaccine sites, delivered food and
4	medical supplies, and operated call centers.
5	All told, more than 1,000 of our 3,000 staff
6	were part of the agency's response, and I
7	salute them.
8	During the pandemic, DEC has continued

During the pandemic, DEC has continued to meet our core mission and address new and emerging challenges. On climate change, as you've heard this morning, DEC is helping to position New York as a national leader on climate action. And as cochair of the Climate Action Council, along with NYSERDA President Harris, we're working to ensure we hit our nation-leading emissions targets, our commitment to environmental justice communities, and to transform today's workforce.

We look forward to hearing the public's comments, of course, over the next 120 -- or perhaps longer than that -- days in which they will have a chance to weigh in personally and in writing.

1	In addition to our Climate Action
2	Council work in 2021, DEC advanced critical
3	projects and policies and programs to
4	safeguard the health of our state. That
5	includes: Adopting New York's Advanced Clean
6	Truck Rule, which will phase in sales of
7	zero-emission trucks to reduce our pollutants
8	in communities, supporting community-based
9	organizations in environmental justice
10	communities though millions of dollars in EJ
11	Community Impact Grants.
12	Enacting regulations supporting the
13	new Organics Recycling Law and the new
14	polystyrene ban, which we worked on with you.
15	Leading the nation in protecting
16	drinking water from emerging contaminants
17	like PFAS and 1,4-dioxane.
18	Directing \$272 million in water
19	quality improvement projects across the
20	state, in conjunction with EFC and DOH, an
21	additional many millions.
22	Finalizing regulations prohibiting the
23	pesticide chlorpyrifos, and recently taking
24	steps to restrict the use of neonicotinoids;

1	Also advancing coastal resilience
2	projects from Lake Ontario and the
3	St. Lawrence River all the way down to
4	Long Island and Staten Island.
5	We've been locating and plugging
6	abandoned oil wells all across the state.
7	We've been putting emphasis on
8	sustainable use of public lands in the
9	Adirondacks and Catskills, launching a pilot
10	reservation system in the Adirondacks, a new
11	shuttle bus system in Essex County, and
12	increased investments and regulations in the
13	Catskills at the Peekamoose Blue Hole.
L 4	We've purchased well over 1200 acres
15	of land and conservation easements and fee
16	acquisition. We've been improving DEC's
17	campgrounds, which like parks, hit a record
18	this year of 1.5 million visitors to our
19	campgrounds. We have a nationally recognized
20	deer management plan and a trout stream
21	management plan which has been well-heralded,
22	and we're working hard to protect endangered
23	species across the state.
24	Our Environmental Conservation Police

1	have been enforcing environmental crimes.
2	Our Forest Rangers have been rescuing lost
3	hikers and responding to fires, whether
4	they're here or in other states. And we've
5	been busy of course, as you know, cleaning up
6	contaminated sites like Tonawanda Coke in
7	Buffalo, Hoosick Falls, and the Northrop
8	Grumman plume down on Long Island.
9	So we have a big and broad mission,
10	and our dedicated professionals always rise
11	to the challenge, whatever that may be.
12	In closing, I'll say that New York
13	State has long held the title of the
14	birthplace of the modern environmental
15	movement, yet it's not enough to give birth
16	to a movement when the scale of the challenge
17	demands sustained leadership. Governor
18	Hochul's proposed generational investments in
19	conservation, clean energy and green jobs
20	repositions our state at the vanguard of
21	environmental protection.
22	Thank you again for the opportunity to
23	be with you today. I look forward to your

questions and of course look forward, more

1	importantly, to getting the job done this
2	year in conjunction with all of you.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
5	much, Basil.
6	We will start with Senator Todd
7	Kaminsky, chair of EnCon.
8	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thanks,
9	Chair Krueger.
10	Hello, Commissioner, how are you?
11	Good afternoon.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good, Senator.
13	Good to see you.
14	SENATOR KAMINSKY: A couple of
15	questions for you.
16	First, on a scale of 1 to 10, 10 being
17	as confident as one can be, how confident are
18	you that we are going to reach the CLCPA's
19	goals of 70 percent non-carbon electric
20	sector by 2030? And since you did travel to
21	the COP in Scotland, can you tell us whether
22	you got a sense that the world, including
23	developers from all over the world, realizes
24	that New York is on the ground floor of

1	opening	а	green	ecor	nomy?
2	(CON	MISSIC	NER	SEGG

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, Senator, I did attend in confidence. And I say that without any bit of braggadocio. We have to hit our targets -- not just required by the law, but we have to do it because the climate crisis is real and we see the effects of that every day, whether it's here in New York or across the world. We are experiencing an existential challenge ahead of us.

We're not alone. Luckily we've got

26 other states here in the U.S. that feel

the same way and are taking similar actions.

Of course we have a president now in

Washington who's attempting to push the

boldest climate agenda in our nation's

history.

I'm glad you brought up the experience in Scotland at the COP conference summit, where we -- Doreen Harris and I both attended in representation of the Governor. Had the distinct feeling like New York was well ahead of the game at COP. Many of the other countries, many other states and subnations

1	recognized the work of the Climate Action
2	Council and some of the work pursuant to the
3	CLCPA, and of course all the work we do in
4	offshore wind and solar.

2.0

There are other partners out there.

There are hundreds of other partners around the world at the subnational level and national level. But it's clear that New York is at the vanguard of that. We have much to learn from our friends and neighbors, but we're happy to be in a leadership position and to help share that knowledge around the world.

SENATOR KAMINSKY: Commissioner, we in the prior budget created ORES to help fast-track green energy projects that were caught up in the bureaucracy of the Article 7 process. Our understanding is that your Office of Fish and Wildlife is sending recommendations to ORES that is preventing them from the expeditious approval of projects.

Can you tell us how your two agencies interact, and what we can do to make sure

1	that while local concerns are taken into
2	account, we don't have a backlog of projects?
3	When I heard Houtan Moaveni this morning say
4	he greenlit seven or eight and has
5	64 waiting, it gave me great concern.
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure, I'm glad
7	you raised that. I've certainly heard that
8	as well. And obviously, you know, our
9	mission we have a balanced mission, right?
10	We have to protect wildlife at the same time
11	as helping the state transition off of fossil
12	fuels.
13	So that mission pervades all of our
14	divisions. And when we're involved in any of
15	the proceedings in ORES or anywhere
16	Article 10, for example our biologists and
17	experts deliver the testimony that they
18	believe helps to advance the project while
19	also providing for protections, necessary
20	protections.
21	I have heard those, and every time I
22	hear about that, with Heritage Wind, for
23	example, I dig into it and explore what my

staff is concerned about. And ultimately the

1	outcomes end up strengthening those projects.
2	And I think as Houtan said this morning, the
3	outcome speaks for itself. That project is
4	advancing.
5	I can't speak to the backlog at ORES.
6	I'm not sure if it is a backlog or a
7	pipeline. Mind you, you created the ORES
8	office to accelerate projects, and I think
9	you heard that under Article 10 some of those
10	were taking many, many years. ORES has been
11	around now for only a couple of years, and
12	they're starting to churn out results.
13	So I am optimistic that we can create
14	a balance, a necessary balance and leave the
15	natural resources of our state protected
16	while we advance these critical projects
17	through that office, and certainly look
18	forward to making sure we have the right
19	teams in place here at the agency to support
20	that.
21	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thanks.
22	What is holding back New York from
23	having more EVs on the road? I sponsor a

direct-sales bill; we are behind some other

1	states. Can we be doing more, and how do we
2	ramp that up?
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I think we can
4	be doing more. I think we've recognized that
5	during the work of the Climate Action
6	Council, right, through the frankly hundreds
7	of thousands of hours we've all spent
8	together over the last two years.
9	Recognize that we can be doing more
10	when it comes to infrastructure and the
11	proliferation of EVs in New York State. And
12	I think we're on track to hit ambitious
13	numbers, but we're certainly willing to work
14	with you all and with our partners in
15	government to ensure that we have enough
16	infrastructure, charging infrastructure, and
17	to ensure that EVs are accessible to all
18	New Yorkers. And I know that we can't hit
19	our targets unless we have a significant
20	number of EVs sold by 2030.
21	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Okay. Just want to
22	jump around to a bunch of different subjects
23	under your jurisdiction.

First is sand mining. The Legislature

1	passed a bill to try to give local control
2	over sand mining. That was vetoed. A number
3	of environmentalists and scientists have
4	pointed out the problems that sand mining
5	poses to the aquifer. And there's also what
6	I would consider the bad actions of Sand Land
7	that, even after adverse rulings in court,
8	continue to mine and mine and mine.

Can you tell us how you're approaching sand mines, whether you understand the danger that they pose and whether you have your eye on Sand Land specifically?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I certainly can.

We certainly recognize the -- our regulatory

role when it comes to sand mines on

Long Island, the home to the sole-source

aquifer and the connection there between

surface activity and the groundwater.

There's 23 mines, as you know, on
Long Island. Six of them are permitted to
mine under the water table; one of those is
pending. We have a robust process to ensure
that any of the mines that we regulate are
being monitored effectively. There's

1	obviously a hydrologic investigation that is
2	commenced at the application process. We
3	then move into exploring potential impacts or
4	water, and then all of that is driven by
5	data.
6	To the extent there are violations,

our offices have been quick to move into enforcement phase. I think over the last five years we've assessed about \$5.5 million of fines and penalties at locations down there.

You mentioned Sand Land. We have obviously litigation underway, so there's not so much I can say about that other than to say we're looking at them very closely to ensure that they're complying not just with the law, but of course what this Court of Appeals is looking at. And of course if they are continuing to mine at any point additional activities, that would become part of an enforcement program.

There is a study underway, as you know. We took public comment on that writ large. And we'll be, beginning in 2022,

1	likely Quarter 2, conducting testing,
2	periodic testing of the groundwater in the
3	area to ensure that we comport with the
4	Governor's directive to do that study in
5	conjunction with you all.
6	But it's a robust program, we take it
7	very seriously.
8	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
9	And certainly I can speak for
10	Assemblyman Thiele that we will remain in
11	close contact with you about any actions we
12	learn happening with Sand Land.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely.
14	Yup, we're here for that.
15	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
16	Can you tell us your plan for
17	Adirondack Park visitor usage? Are we
18	getting close to the time where you could
19	pull up an app on your phone and see that one
20	trail is clogged and it might be time to go
21	to another one, or give people realtime
22	information?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I'm not sure
24	we're at that point yet of having, you know,

1	apps pervasive with data. We have of
2	course the backcountry itself is has poor
3	cell service. By design, it is largely
4	wilderness area, so it is difficult to get
5	cell service in the area.

2.0

But we've been leaning forward in an attempt to make trips to the Adirondacks far more sustainable and make the experience far more enjoyable. We've worked closely with the High Peaks Advisory Group that we created, receiving all types of recommendations on how to better control usage.

I think it's working. Several very important recommendations that have come out of that include a shuttle service, include a parking reservation system that's now underway. We're better directing funds into the backcountry to make trails more sustainable. We're looking at the parking opportunities across the High Peaks area just to keep folks off of roads.

So we're doing everything we can. I think at this point we're excited to go to

1	the new phase of, you know, taking a
2	long-term look at usage. We're preparing a
3	scope of work for what might be a visitor use
4	management system, putting that out on the
5	street for a qualified vendor to compete for.
6	And ultimately continuously adapt and make
7	sure that we're protecting the natural
8	resource but also making the recreational
9	experience a very positive one.
10	SENATOR KAMINSKY: All right, thank
11	you. And finally, one issue that's recently
12	come to my attention in the last day.
13	Are you undertaking any enforcement of
14	the new food waste act that we worked
15	together on in the budget a couple of years
16	ago? My understanding is there are is a
17	particular supermarket chain that's refusing
18	to participate, and I want to make sure that
19	if food could be going to food pantries or
20	converted into energy, we're doing that, and
21	not continuing to have wasted food.
22	And I just want to know if that's
23	something that's under your purview, and hope
24	we can discuss offline where I think that

1	is the law is being violated.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yeah, I'd love
3	to get any tips you have on that. I mean, we
4	are now 31 days into the law. We expected
5	there to be hiccups up front, as there always
6	is with the creation of a new law and the
7	enforcement of it.
8	We started, as I said publicly, with
9	an education period, education and
10	consultation period. Our teams went around
11	the state, we've been talking publicly about
12	the new law and the obligation to comply with
13	it. We had a feeling there would be
14	noncompliance up front. We will work with
15	you and anyone else who sends us tips to make
16	sure there's corrections.
17	SENATOR KAMINSKY: Thank you.
18	Thank you, Chair Krueger.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I do
20	have to cut off the chair now. We've used up

23 Assembly.
24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We will go to

21

22

the clock. So sorry, Todd. Thank you.

And I'm going to hand it over to the

1	the chair of our EnCon Committee,
2	Assemblymember Englebright, I believe is with
3	us.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Yes, thank
5	you. Can you hear me?
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. Yes, we
7	can now. I'm glad they resolved the issue.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
9	very much.
10	Commissioner, how are you today?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you,
12	sir. Doing well.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Nice to see
14	you. Good.
15	I'm very pleased to see that the
16	addition of 94 new DEC employees is in the
17	budget. We've heard complaints, as you know,
18	over the years about insufficient staff to do
19	important work like permit review and wetland
20	mapping, program oversight.
21	Do you have sufficient staff to manage
22	all of the department's important
23	responsibilities?
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I can say that I

1	do. When we foresee a need for increased
2	staff, we ask for help, and that's exactly
3	what I did this year. And the Governor of
4	course has supported that by adding staff to
5	our bottom line.

So, you know, since I took this office, when I first started I think we had about 2800 staff, and we're up over 3100 if this budget goes through. And that's going to really help us fulfill our ever-expanding mission while keeping our core mission intact.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Addressing climate change remains one of the biggest and most pressing challenges that we face. How much of the Executive Budget can specifically be attributed to addressing climate change?

What was the justification for including this amount? And do you have sufficient staff to take on this issue, especially in the years going forward?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I think it's been discussed. We are proposing to add another billion dollars to the bond act,

1	bringing the total to 4 billion. And of
2	that, we would be programming proposing to
3	program \$1.1 billion to climate mitigation.
4	Of course the other categories can arguably
5	be said to be dedicated to the resiliency
6	side of climate change.
7	So all told, what is the Clean Water,
8	Clean Air and Green Jobs Bond Act is also
9	very much a climate bond act. And to the
10	extent that the voters say yes to it, we look
11	forward to carrying that out this year.
12	I won't get into the program NYSERDA

I won't get into the program NYSERDA spending that you all discovered and talked about this morning, the \$500 million investment in offshore wind. But in terms of what is within DEC, we are also proposing an increase to the EPF and a sizable increase in the amount of money going into climate -- specifically, \$44 million on climate.

Over the course of the coming years as we undertake our work on the Climate Action Council and the CLCPA, we'll always be looking at our staffing needs. And if there is a need to increase any staffing, we would

1	certainly	seek	to	make	an	adjustment	on	that

I will note that even during the course of the pandemic we were able to do our work on the scoping plan as part of the Climate Action Council and have done that well without missing a beat on our many other obligations.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.

The Climate Action Council recently released a Draft Scoping Report or plan. Did anything about the Executive Budget change in response to the release of the plan? And if so, what? And if not, why not?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good question.

I can't say that anything specifically changed within the budget, but you certainly have a Governor now that has taken office while the state is part of the way through its obligations under the Climate Act. And she is absolutely dedicated to supporting us and seeing that we hit our targets. I think you see some of her frontline support on many of the NYSERDA initiatives that have taken place over the last several months.

1	So the budget, again, another historic
2	investment in DEC, potentially giving us
3	resources that we've never had, is going to
4	set us up for real success in turning around
5	projects all around the state that will not
6	just mitigate the effects of climate change
7	but also help the state adapt to it.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: In 2018 the Legislature added approximately 800 acres of land, including the coastal forests around the Shoreham Nuclear Power Plant, to the Long Island Pine Barrens. Negotiations began shortly after that for the state to purchase some of its property.

Can you tell us what is the status of these negotiations, and is there sufficient funding available to pay for this potential acquisition?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I can tell you,
Assemblyman, we've -- it's a very exciting
project, as you and I have discussed over
time, a transformational project for the
region. And we look forward to seeing that
come to reality very soon.

Τ.	I believe we have the funding we need
2	for it, and I have undertaken an extensive
3	negotiation, in conjunction with many local
4	partners as well. So my hope is that we can
5	bring it across the finish line very soon.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: That would
7	really be wonderful. It would complete a
8	north-south greenbelt that involves federal,
9	county, local, town and now of course State
10	Parks and state DEC with a shore-to-shore
11	greenbelt that would be revolutionary. As
12	you say, transformational.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It would be.
L 4	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: So please
15	keep us informed as you progress.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely will.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Further to
18	Senator Kaminsky's question regarding the
19	overuse issues in the Adirondacks, also in
20	the Catskills, why was funding to address
21	this problem eliminated from the EPF? It's a
22	really serious problem.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I look at
24	the increase to the EPF as being part of that

1	reason. Right? We're adding another
2	\$100 million to the EPF. That's given us and
3	will give us extraordinary flexibility to do
4	more and eliminate the need to create these
5	carveouts.

I know that we're going to be dedicating resources to the Adirondacks probably in excess of historic lines. And so I look forward to communicating exactly what we're doing with you over the coming year. But I wouldn't take the elimination of that line as an indication that we aren't going to be putting an emphasis on that. We certainly need those resources; we heard it loud and clear from the High Peaks Advisory Group.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: In May of 2021 the Court of Appeals found that the DEC and the Adirondack Park Agency approval of certain snowmobile construction trails was unconstitutional. What is the status of these trails? Are they being restored, as per the logical follow-through on the court decision? And, you know, are they open for use in their modified form?

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I mean,
2	certainly any of the trails that would have
3	been started pursuant to that historic plan
4	went to a grinding halt when we saw that the
5	subject was brought before the court.
6	Any of the trails that are being used
7	now are the ones that are historic in nature,
8	of course that predate the litigation. And
9	we have not added any more trails in the
10	Adirondacks.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: We'll be
12	watching that carefully. It's a really
13	important court decision, and the fidelity of
14	your agency to that court decision is
15	something very important to all of us.
16	Speaking of mysterious cuts to
17	funding, let me just add that I was mystified
18	by the funding cut for hookups for electronic
19	vehicles. And have equal astonishment at the
20	funding cutback for the Peconic Estuary,
21	which clearly should be a priority. It's one
22	of the great ecosystems of our state.
23	Why were these cuts put into the
24	budget?

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I know
2	that, as you heard this morning the
3	significant emphasis from sister agencies to
4	put money into helping expand vehicle
5	charging stations around the state. Of
6	course we look forward to working with you,
7	as we do every year, on the EPF to ensure
8	that it's matching mission and that we aren't
9	leaving holes out there. It is a popular
10	program.
11	On the Peconic as well, I think it's a
12	similar answer that I gave on the High Peaks.
13	We certainly are going to be working on the
14	Peconic Estuary at an extraordinary level
15	with our Region 1 staff and our staff here at
16	headquarters. And to the extent we need to
17	make any adjustments to the EPF, as we always
18	do, we'll be working with you on that.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Much
20	appreciated.
21	Commissioner, I know you've taken a
22	personal interest in a portion of the Peconic
23	and Long Island Sound Estuaries. The hinge
24	between these two national estuaries is

1	Plum Island.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Have you
4	sent a letter, are you planning to send a
5	letter to the federal government? At this
6	point the process is such that several
7	federal agencies have first dibs, but if
8	there was interest shown from the state, I
9	think they may be deferential.
10	Have you weighed in with a letter that
11	would protect Plum Island as part of the
12	state's open spaces?
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes, good timing
14	on the question. We sent a letter yesterday,
15	Erik Kulleseid and I both sent a letter to
16	the Secretary of the Interior indicating that
17	we wanted to be kept apprised of what the
18	federal government was doing on that island.
19	And we expect them to make a decision in the
20	next few months, and would adjust accordingly
21	based on how they intend to approach it.
22	But we have a significant interest in
23	the ecological, recreational, aesthetic,
24	historical I mean, there are indigenous

Τ	cultural issues on the island as well. So
2	we'll be watching them very closely, and
3	we'll keep you apprised of it as it goes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
5	very much.
6	I yield back to the chair, thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
8	Senate, then.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
10	much.
11	And our next Senator is excuse
12	me Senator Hinchey.
13	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you,
14	Madam Chair. And hello, Commissioner.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: (Inaudible.)
16	SENATOR HINCHEY: Chair Englebright
17	actually asked one of my questions about the
18	Adirondacks overusage line in the EPF
19	although I would just want to be very clear
20	that we fought really hard last year for it
21	to include the Catskills. And so I look
22	forward to working with you to make sure that
23	the Catskills are reflected in the EPF
24	funding that is there as well.

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great.
2	SENATOR HINCHEY: To build off of
3	that, though, we know and as we've already
4	talked about in this short time the
5	overusage piece of our parks and how
6	important our Forest Rangers are in that.
7	Can you talk a little bit about what you are
8	doing and what your agency is doing to
9	recruit and retain our Forest Rangers as well
10	as making sure that their jobs are
11	competitive?
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great question.
13	Very excited that we'll be hosting an
14	academy in the next few months the first
15	academy in a couple of years for
16	Forest Rangers and ECOs. This particular
17	academy will have the largest class of
18	Forest Rangers ever, a class of 40, that will
19	bring their numbers up to the highest levels
20	they've ever had.
21	And I certainly recognize the work
22	that they do and want to support them and
23	continue to adjust the force as conditions
24	demand it in the field. When they're not

1	fighting COVID or wildfires, they're rescuing
2	lost hikers. So they perform an invaluable
3	service, and we need to do everything we can
4	to not just grow their numbers but retain
5	them and I have a team here that's
6	dedicated to managing our law enforcement
7	that's constantly thinking about equipment,
8	retention policies, making sure that this
9	team has everything they need in terms of
10	leadership and direction. I know we have
11	that right now.
12	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you.

And I know they've been fighting really hard for that 20-year retirement bill, so I look forward to working with you and anybody to see how we can make their jobs as competitive as possible in the space.

I want to switch topics a little bit, about clean water infrastructure. We know that the Governor allocated another \$500 million for clean water funding in the budget -- which is great, but what we see often is that type of funding typically goes to communities that have already received it

1	prior, especially through competitive grant
2	programs that once you're in, you're in. And
3	a lot of our small upstate communities don't
4	have the ability to apply for those grants.
5	Can you talk a little bit about how
6	some of this money is planned to get out into
7	upstate and rural communities who are often
8	seeing water infrastructure up to about
9	200 years old?
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Hmm. No doubt
11	about it.
12	We have made an effort to ensure that
13	these dollars are being spent in an equitable
14	way not just downstate, of course, where
15	the needs are great, but all across the state
16	where you have this antiquated
17	infrastructure.
18	When the Governor took office, she
19	very quickly gave us the green light to free
20	up some of that money that basically
21	\$600 million that had been held somewhat
22	during the pandemic. We have made
23	\$265 million and put that out there
24	\$272 million, actually, that went out in

1	December.
2	About 53 percent of our awards are
3	going to more disadvantaged communities
4	that's environmental justice communities as
5	well as hardship communities. We created
6	also a category within the Environmental
7	Facilities Corporation on the loan side to
8	ensure that we were giving zero-interest
9	loans to communities when they were needed.
10	So we're trying to match both the
11	grants and the loans so that we get it out
12	across the state, not just to historically
13	communities that have very well competed for
14	those dollars in the past.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I
16	have to cut off this questioner and
17	Commissioner Seggos.
18	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We will be
20	going to Assemblyman Smullen, the ranker on
21	EnCon, so he gets five minutes. Yes,
22	five minutes. Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you very

much, Chair.

1	Mr. Seggos, it's great to see you.
2	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
3	as well.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: We've got the
5	lightning round here, so let's do it.
6	What is your assessment, since I think
7	you're the senior commissioner in New York
8	State government at this time in terms of
9	longevity
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Hmm. Scary.
11	(Laughter.)
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: What is your
13	assessment of the structure of the Climate
14	Action Council? You know, one of the worries
15	I have is that the electricity grid itself is
16	able to handle this enormous load we're about
17	to put on it over the next 10 years. Should
18	the New York ISO be on the Climate Action
19	Council? Should we amend that?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We are in
21	regular communication with the ISO. We have
22	members, of course we have not just the
23	22-member Climate Action Council, but we've
24	got hundreds of well over a hundred

1	experts on the outside who have been advising
2	us. We, DEC, we turn to the ISO on a regular
3	basis in terms of some of our permitting
4	proceedings and regulatory proceedings. I
5	know that they have a voice in helping us to
6	shape our plan for the future.
7	So whether they are formally involved
8	or not it might be a little bit late to
9	formally involve them, but we certainly will
10	be engaging with them over the course of the
11	next 12 months as this public comment process
12	is out. We have to do it with them.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you.
14	You know, I'm the son of a union
15	bricklayer. In the same vein, should some of
16	our organized-labor trades also be, you know,
17	very closely involved or officially involved
18	in the process? They're going to be the ones
19	that are going to build these things, they're
20	going to be the ones that actually, you know,
21	put the work out there in the field. Should
22	they be involved?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I'm proud

to let you know that they are. They have a

1	strong seat at the table. In fact,
2	Department of Labor Commissioner Reardon is
3	one of the members of the Climate Action
4	Council. And through some of the panels
5	and particularly the Just Transition Work
6	Group is comprised of a significant number of
7	members of labor.
8	So that transition that you're
9	alluding to, from the jobs of today to the
10	jobs of tomorrow, how do we make that
11	transition smooth, is going to be very much
12	informed by a strong relationship with labor.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you for
14	that. I really hope that they are really
15	getting their necessary knowledge into this
16	process.
17	We've only got a few minutes. We
18	talked about the \$4 billion bond act that's
19	coming out. What's the current level of
20	New York State's debt right now?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You've got me on
22	that one. I don't know. I couldn't tell you
23	offhand
24	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: I'm interested

1	in the level of debt and the bond rating and
2	how this \$4 billion is actually going to
3	affect the rating.

So what are the top three expenditures that you imagine this \$4 billion fund being spent on?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. Well, the categories will be familiar to you. We're not proposing to change the categories that you had seen two years ago when we first began talking about the bond act and unfortunately had to put that on hold at the time.

But the categories are restoration and flood risk reduction, so of course helping municipalities withstand more severe weather; open space and land conservation, which pertains to fish hatcheries, of course open space acquisition, farmland protection. On the climate change front, helping with green buildings. And a general category, water quality improvement as well, helping to improve water quality across the state, drinking water and stormwater. And then

1	capital projects that are critical to our
2	landscape and recreational future.
3	So those are the categories that we
4	worked on last time. The only real increase
5	is to the climate mitigation bucket, and
6	that, I think, as we talked quite a bit this
7	morning, is going to help us advance some of
8	those clean energy agendas.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Well, I look
10	forward to working with all of the council on
11	hard infrastructure projects, so we're
12	getting our money's worth in dams and all the
13	coastal areas that need a lot of work. It
14	would be very important.
15	So we're getting towards the end of
16	our time here. We talked a little bit about
17	carbon emissions and you heading off to
18	the to Scotland. Did the Chinese, when
19	they were in Scotland, did they actually
20	agree to reduce their emissions?
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You know, China

wasn't in the -- at the table until very late

in the three-week summit. They did finally

come to the table at the end and strike a

22

23

1	deal with the U.S. to continue a dialogue.
2	But I will say that, you know, we left
3	Scotland with on a high note with some
4	very positive action at the state level, the
5	subnational level, and maybe some
6	less-than-ambitious progress at the national
7	level, meaning the accords we were able to
8	strike between nations.
9	And of course China, being a huge
10	emitter, was a latecomer to negotiations.
11	And frankly when we all gather when they
12	all gather at the end of this year in Egypt
13	for COP 27, that's going to be a huge aspect
14	of negotiations, is locking down China and
15	some of the other high-polluting countries.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Well, thank you
17	very much.
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
20	go back to the Senate.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	The next testifier is Senator Stec,
23	ranker, for five minutes.
24	SENATOR STEC: Thank you, Madam Chair.

1	Good afternoon, Commissioner. How are
2	you?
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good, sir. Good
4	to see you.
5	SENATOR STEC: Hey, good to see you.
6	Thanks for your work.
7	I'd like to run through my five
8	minutes pretty quickly in different areas.
9	I'm not going to ask you the question that I
10	ask you all the time because I'm going to be
11	polite about whether or not all the extra
12	work that we throw your way in the
13	Legislature is going to get done in addition
L 4	to all the work that you currently have to do
15	with the budget that doesn't move a lot and
16	with the head count that doesn't move a lot.
17	I know your answer; I'm not sure I buy
18	your answer.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: (Laughing.)
20	SENATOR STEC: But because I like you,
21	I'm not going to put you on the spot there.
22	But for my colleagues that are listening, you
23	know, hopefully I made the point.
24	In the Forest Rangers, in the

1	Adirondacks, as you know, my father's a
2	retired Ranger. And back when I was a kid
3	and the Governor was Mario Cuomo, we had
4	about the same number of Rangers, total
5	Rangers in the state, as we do today, a
6	number around 130. However, since the
7	inception of the Adirondack Park, we've added
8	350,000 acres to the Forest Preserve. And as
9	everyone knows, due to social media and how
10	cool the Adirondacks are, we've had a
11	significant increase over the last decade in
12	hikers and associated activity for the
13	Rangers, so that goes with that.
14	I heard you answer Senator Hinchey's
15	questions earlier, and I appreciate her
16	appreciation of the Forest Rangers. Is there
17	a plan to increase the Rangers in the
18	High Peaks? And I'd be you know, I think
19	you're having a lot of retention/replacement
20	and a lot of these classes are going towards
21	attrition. But are we going to actually
22	increase Rangers in the High Peaks?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The answer is
24	yes. This class should increase the overall

number significantly. And of course the
High Peaks is where you have most of the
action, most of the rescues, most of the
incidents. And I've been working closely
with the division's leadership to make sure
that we have the right number of Rangers up
there. It's obviously complicated in some
cases to orchestrate a transition from one
home to another, but from an institutional
perspective, we need to make sure we have
that force staffed up accordingly in the
High Peaks.

SENATOR STEC: In addition to that, as you know there's been a long history with the Rangers. They were considered police officers, then they weren't considered police officers. I know a few years ago there was consideration about merging their title with the ECOs. I don't want to get into that.

But the Rangers back in 2006 became police officers again, and their level of responsibility and training has certainly increased over the years. And obviously, as you're aware, their use in particular in the

1	Adirondacks has greatly increased. Has there
2	been is there going to be movement on a
3	salary grade increase for our Forest Rangers?
4	I know that there's concerns about
5	compression and competition with other
6	agencies. I remember when my Dad was a
7	Ranger, they made a lot less money than State
8	Troopers did. But I know there's also a
9	compression issue with backfilling or
10	promoting Rangers and Rangers not wanting to
11	take jobs because of the compression of the
12	pay scale. So is that going to get addressed
13	soon?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I'd have
15	to defer to the Division of Budget and GOER,
16	which handles much of the collective
17	bargaining. We would weigh in as they need
18	our information.
19	We certainly have an interest in
20	making sure we have a good retention policy
21	here. Some of that is under my control; some
22	of it's not. And I would leave that to the
23	next time that the Rangers are taken up under

collective bargaining.

1	SENATOR STEC: Right. I mean, is
2	am I correct to assume that they have a
3	strong case that I probably inadequately laid
4	out?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I couldn't tell
6	you one way or the other. I know that we are
7	an attractive agency. We do we do have a
8	good package, we have an attractive career
9	for anyone who wants to become a Ranger. In
10	some cases, in my view, it's at least as
11	competitive if not more so than when you
12	take everything into account than what the
13	Troopers get.
14	But I understand basic pay and other
15	issues need to be taken into account. That's
16	something that collective bargaining will
17	take up.
18	SENATOR STEC: Okay, great.
19	In the EPF \$400 million, a
20	\$100 million increase, is some of that
21	funding going to be going towards
22	addressing I'm sure the answer is yes, but
23	if you could elaborate briefly on trail
24	maintenance, you know, and all the you

1	know, I mean somebody mentioned earlier,
2	Chairman Englebright did, about the tree
3	cutting lawsuit decision which not all of
4	us in the Adirondacks agree with his read on
5	it, but that's okay.
6	But we do have infrastructure needs
7	and maintenance needs that aren't occurring
8	in the Adirondacks, which is contributing to
9	the problem that Chairman Englebright so
10	eloquently expressed.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No doubt about
12	it, the EPF does contain a \$15 million
13	increase to our public access stewardship
14	line. In addition, we have another
15	(Zoom interruption.)
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: another
17	\$90 million in our NY Works, which is great
18	for us in the Adirondacks as well. And then
19	of course the bond act, when and if that
20	comes to be, we would seek to make sure it
21	was balanced around the state. But we're
22	making investments of course to the
23	Adirondacks, where you have major needs.
24	SENATOR STEC: Thank you. I see my

1	time's up. Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you, Basil.
3	Thank you, Dan Stec. I have to cut you off
4	now and hand you back to the Assembly.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
6	go to Assemblyman Ra, the ranker on Ways and
7	Means, for five minutes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chair.
9	Good afternoon, Commissioner. Good to
10	see you again.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good afternoon.
12	Likewise.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: I just wanted to get
14	into extended producer responsibility a
15	little bit.
16	So I know under the definition we're
17	talking about the producer who manufactures
18	packaging. I mean, obviously for all of us
19	when we see items shipped and everything,
20	we you know, we want to kind of I think
21	change behavior, really, here. Right? You
22	know, we want you know, not to use too big
23	packaging, not to break up shipments into

multiples, all that type of stuff.

1	So, you know, does it make more sense
2	to maybe tweak that definition a little bit
3	so that we're talking about maybe the company
4	that's utilizing the material as opposed to,
5	you know, the manufacturer itself of
6	cardboard? Like if, you know, some corporate
7	logo's going to get stamped on that box and
8	they're the ones shipping, don't we want to
9	be influencing that shipper to use less
10	materials?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That's a good
12	question. I think it gets really at the
13	heart of what we're trying to accomplish,
14	which is ultimately at the end of the
15	tailpipe we need to have less waste. How do
16	you get there? How do you take the burden
17	off of taxpayers right now, who are frankly
18	fronting the bill when it comes to municipal
19	recycling costs, when it comes to
20	landfilling, when it comes to all that
21	transit of recycled or waste product around
22	the state. So how do you reduce that?
23	From our investigation over the course
24	of the last really couple of years, in

conjunction with several of your colleagues
and other stakeholders, we've learned that a
stepwise approach might be the best approach
here. Whereas during a period over a couple
of years of effectively spelling out what
additional categories would be subject to it,
right, we need some advice from the regulated
and stakeholder world. So we'd be creating
an advisory committee to scope that out: Who
needs to be covered, what needs to be
covered.

And then of course conducting a needs assessment as part of that. That needs assessment will then of course dive into what the problems are and how those problems can be addressed. Preparation of a plan, right, and then how that plan dovetails into regulations and of course getting the program going in time for 2026.

So it is -- we are attempting to address both the problems faced by shippers and faced by customers, faced by producers, but ultimately shifting the burden of it back to the originator. Right? That the

Ι	originator doesn't make a product that then
2	has to be so heavily handled and dealt with
3	along the way.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
5	And so I know this is not you know,
6	and thanks to the you know, I think our
7	chair, Mr. Englebright, my Long Island
8	colleague, has you know, I've been on
9	forums with him and stuff, you know, so I've
10	learned a lot about this topic from this.
11	But, you know, there are other states that
12	have looked at this or are doing it. Are
13	there any lessons we can learn right now from
14	other states who may be a couple of steps
15	ahead of us and doing this?
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely. I
17	mean, we'll look actually around the world.
18	There's Maine, Oregon both have programs
19	that are in effect right now. We can
20	actually look inward to New York as well. We
21	do have, as you know, EPR programs already
22	for several waste streams. And e-waste, for
23	example, has been very successful, a billion

pounds recovered. Batteries as well, 2.6

1	million pounds.
2	So we can look outward but also inward
3	to some of our successes and make sure we
4	launch a really good program in conjunction
5	with stakeholders and industry.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you very much,
7	Commissioner.
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you. Good
9	to see you.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: You as well.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Senator John Mannion.
14	SENATOR MANNION: Hello, Commissioner,
15	good to see you.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
17	as well.
18	SENATOR MANNION: So the Executive
19	Budget proposes increasing the funding for
20	flood mitigation strategies through the bond
21	act and Environmental Protection Fund. My
22	district includes Cross Lake and areas along
23	the Seneca River. Can you speak to any
24	efforts that the DEC has taken to address

1	consistent flooding in the areas, such as
2	water management, capital projects or
3	wetlands creation?
4	And, you know, I'm excited that we do
5	have this Flood Mitigation Task Force that is
6	going to convene hopefully soon, and
7	additional legislation to support it, and
8	hopefully dollars.
9	But my question is back to, you know,
10	have there been any efforts to address the
11	consistent flooding in the area?
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The answer is
13	yes. Unfortunately, we've seen an enormous
14	amount of flooding in your district in the
15	course of the last five years. And numerous
16	times I've been all across upstate New York
17	during these mega-storms and seen the impacts
18	of flooding in districts north, south, east
19	and west.
20	So we have I think a piecemeal
21	approach right now to response, right? We
22	have a very effective response working with
23	local authorities. But ultimately making
24	rivers and streams less prone to flooding in

1	communities, that's getting to the heart of
2	the bond act. That's really what the bond
3	act is about; it's about how do we prepare
4	the state for the new normal.
5	Yes, DEC has had a good amount of
6	funding through the EPF and NY Works for the
7	last few years, and we've dedicated that
8	statewide. But we're talking about a
9	significant change in the way that weather is
10	impacting the state. And until we have some
11	real resources, we'll be largely chasing our
12	tail.
13	This commitment from the Governor is
14	going to provide us with resources we've
15	never had, and frankly it's going to go into
16	every portion of the state and helping
17	communities that are beset by these repeated
18	types of floods.
19	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you for the
20	response. I appreciate that.
21	Quickly, as I have under a minute,
22	Invasive Species Grant Program. We have

water chestnuts in my district, also in the

Seneca River, and the state has proposed

1	\$1/ million from the EPF for compating
2	invasive species. Can you speak to how this
3	funding or what programs the DEC would be
4	supportive of regarding water chestnut
5	mitigation?
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We certainly do
7	what we can on the eradication side. The
8	focus of much of our work when we were giving
9	grants on this was on the prevention side.
10	Prevention is the best approach. Once you've
11	got invasives in a body of water it's
12	extraordinarily difficult and expensive to
13	pull those types of water chestnuts and any
14	other invasives out of that water body.
15	Looking forward this year, we have a
16	significant invasive species program. We
17	look to get resources out to the field so
18	that we're fixing problems as they occur, but
19	also really reminding boaters as they transit
20	the state to do clean, drain and dry and stop
21	the movement of invasives.
22	We're happy to work with you on that
23	specifically, Senator.
24	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you both.
2	Assemblywoman.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go next to
4	Assemblywoman Lupardo. We're now finished
5	with all the rankers, so we're now on three
6	minutes apiece.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Hello.
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Hi, there.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: How you doing?
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good, ma'am, how
11	are you?
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Fine. I just
13	have a couple of quick questions for you.
14	As you know, Senator Hinchey and I
15	have passed, last year, the Soil Health and
16	Climate Resiliency Act, and I'd like to think
17	that contributed to a substantial increase in
18	funding. I mean, \$13 million in additional
19	funding for the climate resilience farming;
20	we've got additional funds it looks like
21	\$2 million for farmland protection;
22	additional funds for soil and water and even
23	the Cornell Soil Health Program got an
24	increase.

1	I'm just curious not only what you
2	make of this but how you think this fits into
3	the state's climate goals and specifically
4	the CLCPA.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I think it's a very important aspect of how we see the state in the future. We are a breadbasket, right, we have this incredible resource of our farmlands here in New York, and you've got a great commissioner in Richard Ball, who's been a part of our Climate Action Council and really a strong voice on it.

I think it's helped to move all of us into thinking about how our farms need to remain sustainable and how they can be a part of the solution for the future. And that starts now by investing in farms and making sure that we're not losing farms to development or seeing land go fallow. And I credit the relationship with you and Senator Hinchey, on a constant basis helping to hammer that home and bring us the stories from your constituents.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I appreciate
2	your saying that. We're looking forward to
3	working with you.
4	You know, a number of my colleagues
5	I know that Ms. Barrett is on the line, and
6	others have spoken up over a number of
7	years on the benefits of carbon farming in
8	particular. I was just curious what your
9	thoughts are on how carbon farming in
10	particular, and potentially the use of
11	biodigesters, which Ms. Woerner has been also
12	a proponent of, fits into the greater goal.
13	As you know, we were a little frustrated that
L 4	biodigesters did not qualify in the CLCPA.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, listen, a
16	well-managed farm with, you know, good
17	products during the course of the year and
18	cover crops over the winter, helps to
19	sequester so much carbon. And we've seen
20	some of the numbers on that through the
21	Climate Action Council.
22	So we look forward to making sure that
23	we have strong policies in place to continue
24	that and keep strengthening that, both

1	through the EPF and through any of our
2	investments, our New York climate investments
3	moving forward.
4	In terms of anaerobic digestion, I
5	mean I've seen those work firsthand, I've
6	toured many of them around the state.
7	There's strong application for them at many
8	farms, and it's a way to offset emissions but
9	also to help farms offset costs. We see it
10	playing a role within the state's climate
11	plans. And certainly the farmers themselves
12	are going to look to ensure that those are
13	being invested in accordingly.
L 4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Very good.
15	Thanks a lot.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you. Good
17	to see you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
19	going to go back to the Senate, if that's all
20	right, Assemblywoman.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. Yes,
22	please.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Brad
24	Hoylman.

1	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you,
2	Madam Chair.
3	Good to see you, Commissioner.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
5	SENATOR HOYLMAN: First, just thank
6	you for all of your work and for the
7	independence you've demonstrated,
8	particularly in the last months of your
9	tenure in the previous administration. I
10	really just wanted to acknowledge that.
11	I wanted to ask you quickly we've
12	spoken about the combined heat and power
13	plant at Peter Cooper-Stuyvesant Town that's
L 4	being proposed by Blackstone. I don't know
15	if you know, but we were told just yesterday
16	that the Title 5 permits that would have
17	required DEC to review the application have
18	now been I guess obviated the proposed
19	emission levels that in the application
20	are just barely under the legal threshold
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes.
22	SENATOR HOYLMAN: to avoid the
23	relevant regulations and I guess your
24	oversight.

1	What should we make of it? Is
2	there what role is DEC going to play in
3	this proposal now that they've I guess
4	changed their application?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right. Well,
6	obviously if they fall under our
7	jurisdictional level, it makes it more
8	difficult to have a more robust discussion.
9	But that shouldn't constrain us from having a
10	discussion about the potential climate
11	emissions. And in fact we have put the
12	facility on notice that we want to have a
13	more robust analysis on the Climate
14	Leadership and Community Protection Act
15	impacts.
16	We understand that they will be coming
17	back to that very quickly, and we're going to
18	be an active participant in the city's local
19	environmental review as well. The city will
20	end up taking up a number of these questions
21	through their SEQR process, so we'll be
22	there.
23	We certainly anytime there's an
24	emissions source like this, we're going to

1	ask the questions about CLCPA and ensure that
2	some of those important Climate Act
3	provisions are being taken care of.
4	SENATOR HOYLMAN: And how would we
5	even know whether the emissions level was
6	exceeded? Is that something that if they do
7	in fact apply it with a lower threshold of
8	emissions, would DEC test that on a regular
9	basis?
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We would
11	certainly be involved up front to understand
12	the technology, to know what the potential is
13	to emit. And that would be borne out by the
14	engineering specs that were provided.
15	Over time, as with any facility,
16	there's periodic reporting obligations, so
17	we'd understand if they perhaps built
18	something that is in violation of their
19	statute and we would be or of their permit
20	or their registration, and we would go back
21	to ensure that they were either complying
22	with it or reapplying for a new one.
23	So it would be very much an iterative
24	process and making sure they're complying

1	with the law, but really tracking the data.
2	SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you very much.
3	Thank you, Madam Chair.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
5	Senator.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Back to the Assembly.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So next we're
9	going to Assemblywoman Lunsford.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Hello,
11	Commissioner. Thank you so much for your
12	time here.
13	I'm coming to you again from the
14	district that represents the High Acres
15	Landfill. I'll tell you that just this
16	morning we got over 50 odor complaints, and
17	this has been a particular issue recently.
18	It's been years now of us dealing with these
19	odor complaints, and I want to ask you a few
20	questions in that context.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Particularly,
23	what is DEC doing to help mitigate fugitive
24	emissions from landfills across the state,

1	both active and inactive? And what are we
2	going to be doing to make up for lost time on
3	our Beyond Waste goals, given that some of
4	that was suspended during the pandemic?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I'll tell
6	you, every landfill is different, every
7	landfill is constructed differently, and
8	certainly not every landfill is next to a
9	community. And this one in particular has a
10	high level of visibility here in my office.
11	We see those complaints as well.
12	And I've sent my staff out there on a
13	nearly daily basis at times, when we're
14	seeing these tranches of complaints about
15	problems, going onto the site, making sure
16	that the company's operating in a responsible
17	manner.
18	I'm not satisfied. Certainly anytime
19	I hear complaints like this on a regular
20	basis I'm not particularly satisfied by the
21	outcome. And we look to always push the
22	operator into compliance.
23	As I understand it, this landfill is
24	now the subject of litigation, so I can't

1	talk at great detail about it. But I will
2	tell you we just hired a new deputy
3	commissioner, and one of her first tasks is
4	going to be to get on top of the odor
5	complaints at that particular facility.
6	We have as I think you know, I
7	spelled out earlier the goal to reduce waste
8	in the first place, which is really the heart
9	of the Governor's EPR bill that she's
10	proposing. And to the extent we can help
11	reduce waste in the first place, increase
12	recycling waste because we're recycling,
13	right that then puts less pressure on
14	landfills, generates less gas, and ultimately
15	makes people less unhappy when they smell
16	odors.
17	But we take it very seriously. I
18	understand odors are not just a nuisance,
19	they really are impacting quality of life.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: I believe
21	they're just symptomatic of other fugitive
22	emission issues. You know, we can we
23	don't have time right now to talk about the
24	PFAS leaching that we're seeing from a lot of

1	our landfills.
2	But if you could, are we still on
3	target to reach our Beyond Waste goals of
4	65 percent organic waste diversion by 2030?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, with the
6	organics legislation that we signed and
7	worked on with the Legislature, I believe we
8	will hit those targets. We now have 31 days
9	of operation, so it's a bit early to know
10	whether or not we're going to have a
11	successful Year One or have lots of education
12	and enforcement.
13	But my goal is to make sure we have a
14	really good launch this year so that we're
15	hitting that 2030 goal.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: All right,
17	thank you. I am out of time, but I will
18	follow up.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We can keep
20	talking about it, absolutely, offline.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yup.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to the
24	Senate.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
2	much.
3	And we go to Senator Serino. Are you
4	there, Senator Serino? Oh, there you are.
5	SENATOR SERINO: I'm so sorry,
6	Madam Chair.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's okay.
8	SENATOR SERINO: Yes. And thank you,
9	Commissioner, for being here today and taking
10	our questions.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
12	Senator.
13	SENATOR SERINO: So once again, you
L 4	know, we have an Executive Budget proposal
15	that fails to include any significant funding
16	to combat the spread of Lyme and tick-borne
17	diseases. And as my colleagues know, I'm
18	always talking about ticks, you know, either
19	through increased education, research, or
20	prevention initiatives.
21	And we've spoken about the importance
22	of this issue before, and that it's rapidly
23	spreading across the state. While it's
24	often, you know, looked at as a health issue,

1	we know it's an environmental issue as well.
2	So your advocacy on this front could be key.
3	And I was wondering if you could tell me why
4	you think this funding is continuously left
5	out of the Executive Budget proposal and what
6	you'd recommend that we do to change that, if

there's anything you can suggest.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure. Well, I certainly would recommend -- not to defer everything, but to talk to DOH about that, because they do manage the Lyme disease program here in New York State.

From a landscape perspective, however, we recognize that creating a healthier landscape ultimately reduces the chances of having tick-borne issues, and that ranges from having a robust and well-managed hunting program all the way through to protecting landscapes and restoring landscapes. And frankly I believe if we do this right, the bond act funding that's been proposed will help us make those investments in open space that we've needed to do for a long time.

Anytime you see a deteriorated landscape and

1	you have people going into it, you know, you
2	have those opportunities for conflict.
3	So we do have a conservation mindset,
4	the agency, and that in part will help on the
5	human health side. But I get your point and
6	would recommend a conversation with DOH on
7	some of their education plans.
8	SENATOR SERINO: Those are really good
9	points.
10	And my other question is, you know,
11	lakes in the communities that I represent,
12	especially in Putnam County, have been
13	heavily impacted by the blue-green algae.
14	And I've heard from local representatives who
15	are frustrated with the new testing process
16	that requires samples to be sent to DEC, and
17	it takes days to get the results back.
18	And I was just wondering if there's
19	anything in this budget proposal that aims to
20	safeguard our waterways against this, or to
21	improve the testing process.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I'll try and
23	look into the testing issue. I was not aware

of a backlog. But that's a serious issue,

1	and we'll work on it very carefully and
2	closely with any water bodies that are
3	drinking water sources.

On HABs generally, this is a problem that is now impacting us at an increasing rate, I think due -- because in part of climate change. The clean water investments that we've made over the years, we've put over \$220 million into priority body waters around the state that have had HABs issues, and trying to reduce the HABs from happening in the first place.

Part of that is reducing septic overflows. It's also hard infrastructure on the wastewater side. It's also farm practices and increasing buffer around streams. So all of those dollars we've been putting into those programs is going to help us, long term, reduce the prevalence of HABs.

And again, I hate to push everything back to the bond act, but I believe that those types of resources will help us fight the scourge of blue-green algae around the state.

1	SENATOR SERINO: And anything you can
2	help us with, Commissioner, because I think
3	it's going to take a multi-agency effort,
4	with the Lyme and tick-borne disease, to
5	bring everybody together.
6	So thank you very much, Madam Chair.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Happy to talk to
8	you with DOH.
9	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you,
10	Commissioner. Appreciate it.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Assembly.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we go to
14	Assemblyman Mamdani.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you so
16	much, Chair Weinstein.
17	Thank you so much, Commissioner
18	Seggos, for being with us today.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you, sir.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: I want to also
21	echo the words that were stated by Senator
22	Hoylman regarding the way in which your
23	conduct was towards the end of the last term.
24	Really did appreciate that.

1	I'm going to jump straight into this
2	with the short amount of time allocated.
3	So the HOPE program is a workforce
4	training program for New Yorkers 18 and older
5	with 47 percent of their students having been
6	involved in the courts, 45 percent
7	experiencing homelessness, and 43 percent
8	long-term unemployed, the very people that we
9	should be prioritizing to get back into the
10	workforce. And amidst New York State
11	receiving higher than expected tax revenue
12	and a significant amount of federal pandemic
13	aid, the Governor has still proposed
L 4	eliminating more than \$942,000 in
15	appropriations within DEC Aid to Localities,
16	of which 140,000 is for the HOPE program.
17	What is your opinion on this proposed
18	cut?
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I regret to say
20	I'm not familiar with the cut to the HOPE
21	program. I'd be happy to look into that and
22	educate myself. I'll reach back out to you
23	and broker a conversation on it. But I'm not

aware of a cut to the program. But look

Т	Torward to mearing from you about it
2	specifically.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Thank you very
4	much, Commissioner.
5	I just have a second question on the
6	same issue of cuts. If this is the same,
7	please do let me know. But in case you've
8	seen this, the Governor also proposed cutting
9	funding from the Peconic Bay Estuary Program
10	by \$250,000. And the estuary is cited as one
11	of the, quote, last great places in the
12	Western Hemisphere by the Nature Conservancy,
13	and one of the most important biological
L 4	hotspots in the state.
15	What is the justification for that cut
16	in funding?
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So as I told
18	Assemblyman Englebright, we had this
19	incredible increase to the EPF, \$100 million,
20	and it's arguably giving us the opportunity
21	to gain more flexibility in spending and to
22	eliminate having to do lines within various
23	programs.

We're totally dedicated to the

1	Peconic. It is an extraordinary gem, and
2	of course Plum Island being part of that.
3	Look forward to working with the Legislature
4	to ensure that you understand how we're doing
5	protective work there. And to the extent it
6	means making some adjustments in how we spell
7	that out in the EPF, we've always been
8	willing to do that.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: So as of now,
10	Commissioner, is are you saying that that
11	cut in funding is not actually accurate and
12	that it's going to be reflected in a
13	different source of funding?
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Correct.
15	There's a lot it's just a carveout,
16	effectively, which would reduce the ability
17	to move monies around within the larger
18	category. And as with Adirondacks High Peaks
19	funding or Catskills funding, you know, the
20	Peconic was dropped slightly, but that
21	doesn't mean we're not going to be doing that
22	amount of work in the estuary.
23	So we can spell that out more
24	thoroughly offline for you, to show you what

1	we're up to and how our staff down in
2	Region 1 are dedicated to the Peconic.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN MAMDANI: Yeah, as long as
4	the funding stays the same, I don't think
5	there's any issue about whether it's
6	reflected in a line item or in a broader
7	amount of funding.
8	But thank you very much, Commissioner,
9	appreciate it. And I look forward to hearing
10	from you about the HOPE program.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
14	Senate.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Senator May.
17	SENATOR MAY: Yes, thank you,
18	Madam Chair.
19	And Commissioner, great to see you.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great to see
21	you, Senator.
22	SENATOR MAY: I apologize because I
23	was at a couple of meetings so if this was
24	already asked, just tell me and I'll move on.

1	But the Bottle Bill, you were talking about
2	waste reduction before. I firmly believe if
3	we could expand make the Bottle Bill more
4	comprehensive, it would bring in a lot of
5	money to the EPF and it would be easier for
6	people to participate in.
7	So I was wondering, what are your
8	thoughts and where are we with the
9	Bottle Bill?
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, the
11	Bottle Bill's been a fantastic program for
12	many years, and it's supported the EPF. And
13	luckily this year the Governor is looking to
14	boost the EPF through RETT and other means.
15	But that's the EPF.
16	Certainly the Bottle Bill we
17	believe that the EPR program can attack some
18	of the problems that we're seeing with waste,
19	including the proliferation of plastic waste
20	and getting some of those waste streams out.
21	So my preference would be to have a
22	conversation about what's not covered by the
23	Bottle Bill within the context of EPR and
24	getting some of those waste streams minimized

1	at the source. Right? Minimized by the
2	producers and ultimately taken care of
3	through the course of EPR regulations.
4	SENATOR MAY: Okay. I know, a totally
5	different topic, we spoke on the phone the
6	other day about water infrastructure and
7	water resources. Upstate our freshwater
8	resources are a treasure and an increasingly
9	rare one, as the rest of the world dries out.
10	So I really want us to be thinking about
11	water governance and water stewardship.
12	But I would like to know about the
13	\$4 billion we've put into water
14	infrastructure over the last few years. How
15	much of that has gone to green
16	infrastructure for example, managing water
17	systems through green infrastructure do
18	you know?
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: The splits I
20	don't have offhand.
21	I will note that that was one of the
22	things that we negotiated right off the bat
23	within the Clean Water Infrastructure Act,
24	was making sure that we could put those

1	dollars into source protection, because
2	ultimately that can be a lot cheaper than
3	having to do the Superfund work at the end,
4	right, doing cleanups. Or some of the great
5	infrastructure that's extraordinarily
6	expensive when you're filtering water.
7	So we'll certainly get you the
8	breakdown. I know Senator Krueger has asked
9	for the same, a breakdown of spending. But
10	the number would be significant on watershed
11	protection.
12	SENATOR MAY: And do we know how many
13	communities still have combined sewer

communities still have combined sewer systems? Is that something we are -- that that money is going to address?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That's very much been one of my targets since I took this job. unfortunately it's many communities that have it. Albany has it. I look out on the Hudson River every day. The Albany pool is fed by a number of different sources. They're under a consent order, however, to change that. New York City is under a consent order to change that. You see the Buffalo Sewer Authority

1	making some significant multi-hundred-
2	million-dollar investments.
3	Unfortunately the old cities all have
4	it. We're getting resources like we never
5	had to fix it. But the problem isn't fixed
6	yet. We need the sustained funding to do it.
7	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you so
8	much.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thanks, Senator.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Assemblymember. (Pause.)
12	Assemblymember? Let's see if I can guess who
13	she I see Deborah Glick's hand up next, so
14	I'm going to guess it's Deborah Glick.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Yes, thank you.
16	Actually I can read off the chat that I am
17	next up.
18	Great to see you, Commissioner.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great to see you
20	as well.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: I've got three
22	areas that I'd really like to focus on, so
23	let me give you those three.
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: One is that
2	you're supposed to protect fish and wildlife.
3	And we have a problem with our senior our
4	raptors that are at the top of the food chain
5	dying of lead poisoning.
6	And so as you know, we've been
7	concerned about, on state lands, using
8	non-lead ammunition so that in those areas we
9	would not at least be killing our eagles,
10	et cetera.
11	I'm wondering if there's any plan for
12	EV charging stations at DEC campsites where
13	people may, you know, be there for a week,
14	and I don't know how long the charges hold,
15	et cetera. And that might be something that
16	would be an advantage.
17	And then there's SCALE, the Survey of
18	Climate Change and Adirondack Lake
19	Ecosystems. That had been funded for a long
20	time. I think I'm wondering if it's going
21	to be funded. It's a survey that has
22	provided data on water quality and has been
23	used for years to demonstrate issues around

acid rain, and given the AG the ability to

use that data to protect our waters.

1

21

22

23

2	And then the last thing is I'm glad
3	there are going to be more Rangers, but I'm
4	wondering about updated equipment and
5	uniforms. I think some of the uniforms are
6	still like cotton. Which, you know, if
7	you're out hiking, you know that's not the
8	best material to be using.
9	So I'm just wondering about those
10	areas. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
12	Great questions. I'll take them in order.
13	On lead shot, we're looking at that,
14	certainly. There's these last two years
15	there's been a scarcity of ammunition for
16	hunting, period. As a hunter, I can say that
17	for certain. But we're looking at the
18	prevalence of lead and whether or not there's
19	an affordable choice out there for the
20	hunter T know that the lead alternatives

On EV charging stations at DEC

closely.

can be very expensive, but they've also been

unavailable. So we need to look at that very

1	campsites, when we have a campsite next to a
2	power line, we do look to when we're
3	making investments in the campsite we do look
4	to create charging stations. You're seeing
5	that at Frontier Town and maybe some other
6	places where we have those plans.
7	But by and large our campsites are
8	very rural, they're in the middle of the
9	wilderness, and it's often not serviced by
10	power lines, unlike Parks campsites.
11	On the survey of climate change and
12	Adirondack lakes and research there, I'd be
13	happy to follow up with you on that I see
14	we're almost out of time.
15	On uniforms, I've had a discussion
16	with my team here actually on that very
17	point, to make sure that our Rangers have
18	and ECOs have the right equipment getting in
19	the backcountry in all conditions
20	weather-related. And that's been one of my
21	priorities. I mentioned that in terms of
22	retention earlier to Senator Hinchey.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thanks so much.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Back to the Senate, Senator Kaplan.
3	SENATOR KAPLAN: Thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	Hello, Commissioner Seggos. Good to
6	see you.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you,
8	Senator.
9	SENATOR KAPLAN: So I was thrilled to
10	see that the budget includes \$943.5 million
11	for clean water infrastructure projects,
12	which most of the money comes from the
13	federal bipartisan infrastructure law.
14	Do you have any additional detail
15	about how this federal funding will go out
16	the door to the localities who are in
17	desperate need of this money? And will this
18	be the same competitive grant process similar
19	to the one we have in state programs like the
20	Water Quality Improvement Project grant
21	funding?
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good question.
23	I have that question as well to the federal
24	government. We expect the funding coming

1	from the federal government to be channeled
2	through the same means as some of the state
3	revolving loan fund program dollars.
4	However, there are other pots of money that
5	are going for specific needs that have been
6	lined out by the feds.
7	We had that question, and we expect
8	those dollars to come to the state soon.
9	We're preparing for that and would marry
10	those dollars with the state dollars so that
11	we're putting an even greater amount out the
12	door in this calendar year.
13	SENATOR KAPLAN: So it will be the
14	same competitive process that
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likely. Yes,
16	likely.
17	SENATOR KAPLAN: Okay. So that's
18	important for us to know and let them know.
19	My next question is I'm a big believer
20	that green hydrogen will play a key role in
21	our efforts to decarbonize our power
22	generation heating, cooling,
23	transportation and more. For example,
24	there's an innovative new technology being

1	developed at the State Center of Excellence
2	in Energy that could dramatically reduce the
3	energy required to heat and cool homes. I
4	went and saw it for myself and it was really
5	just amazing.
6	The technology relies on natural gas
7	or hydrogen or a blend of the two. It's one
8	of many innovative new technologies that
9	utilize green hydrogen as a potentially
10	transformational tool to decarbonize our
11	state.
12	My first question is, by focusing on
13	electric-only development moving forward, as
14	proposed in the Governor's budget, are we
15	hampering our ability to find other
16	innovative solutions for our climate crisis
17	by focusing on one narrow path forward to the
18	exclusion of others?
19	And my second to that question is, is
20	the state doing any demonstration projects on
21	technologies like this to see how they can
22	work in real-world applications?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, good

questions.

1	so we are doing quite a bit on green
2	hydrogen. I think as you saw, the Governor's
3	made some significant announcements about
4	that in the State of the State.
5	And the posture that we're taking, of
6	course, is to hit our climate law
7	obligations. So looking at the
8	electrification angle of, you know, creating
9	the green hydrogen through a stable process
10	but also ensuring that there's no combustion
11	is a very innovative technique that we're
12	confident the market is developing.
13	In terms of demonstration projects,
14	there are demonstration projects around the
15	state, there are four efforts around the
16	state that the Governor is looking to merge
17	into one effort so that we can compete for
18	some additional federal dollars on that for
19	R&D and development.
20	I would agree with you, though, it
21	presents lots of opportunity.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
23	sorry, Basil. I want to learn about this
24	too, but we're not allowed to right now. So

1	Anna will
2	(Laughter; overtalk.)
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
5	move to Assemblywoman Woerner.
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you so
8	much. Thank you, Commissioner, for your
9	remarks today.
10	And gosh, I wish I could continue the
11	conversation about green hydrogen, but I have
12	other things on my mind. So perhaps
13	Anna Kaplan and I can team up on this at a
14	later date.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. Sounds
16	good, yup.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: So, one, thank
18	you so much for your positive comments about
19	the role of anaerobic digesters in our
20	renewable energy approach. I appreciate that
21	greatly.
22	Second, last year we were successful
23	at adding youth hunting into the mix. And
24	I'm wondering if you could share with us what

1	the experience has been, number of licenses,
2	how many people went through the training,
3	were there any accidents or, God forbid,
4	fatalities as a result of this.
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Anecdotally,
6	everything I've heard has been very positive.
7	That the youth hunting season was safe, there
8	were no incidents in the field, we had an
9	increase in license sales, we had lots of
10	happy kids. I had lots of people emailing me
11	telling me that they'd gone out with their
12	son or daughter for those very formative
13	years, 12 and 13. That's really when you
14	want to get them.
15	So thank you for helping us to advance
16	that and to show the state really that this
17	can be done safely and we can use it as a
18	tool to keep kids engaged in nature.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you very
20	much. I'm glad to hear that that's all very
21	positive news.
22	Someone asked earlier about harmful
23	algal blooms and support for water management
24	and invasive species in our lakes. And I'm

1	wondering if in the course of all of this and
2	the new monies that are coming in to help
3	with water quality, one of the groups that is
4	frequently left out of the mix are volunteer
5	lake associations that step up to manage
6	invasive species and manage water quality in
7	our recreational lakes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And is there anything in the budget that would help direct monies to these organizations that are really doing great work to try and control invasive species but it's an expensive proposition and one that's hard to do consistently with volunteer labor only.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great question. And on this, the good news is there's more money in the budget for water, right, through a variety of means. And the other good news is I think we can help lake associations more effectively compete for those dollars if they come to us earlier and we're able to help steer them into the municipalities, right, so that the municipalities can effectively apply on their behalf, knowing that many of the

1	lake associations don't have good funding or
2	expertise, necessarily, but they have the
3	right intent.
4	So we remain open to helping to steer
5	those conversations in the right way so those
6	really important projects get funded.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you. If
8	you could if in the context of this budget
9	we could more crisply define the role of
LO	these volunteer lake associations, I think
11	that would be helpful.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you very
L 4	much.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I
18	believe I'm the closing Senator.
19	Just quickly, this was brought up in
20	the energy section earlier, but I would like
21	your opinion, Basil.
22	So the Executive Budget requires new
23	gas hookups be phased out of new construction
24	no later than '27, 2027. But New York City's

1	new law would ban gas hookups for smaller
2	buildings by the end of 2023. What's wrong
3	with our going to the target of 2023? We
4	obviously wouldn't be doing every building
5	right away. But isn't there a logic to
6	wanting to get this started sooner than
7	later?
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So it's a good
9	question. And I heard the exchange this
10	morning. I don't know that we have or I
11	have the expertise necessarily to give you an
12	answer that would add anything more than what
13	you already heard this morning on it.
14	The Governor has made it clear that we
15	want to make our housing stock more
16	sustainable. Right? The largest source of
17	emissions right now is housing. We thought
18	it would have been transportation, but a
19	deeper dive revealed that it was housing. So
20	we want to do everything we can as quickly as
21	we can, to the extent that the housing stock
22	is available and the technology is available
23	and the money is available to do that.
24	So I'll leave it at that. But

1	certainly looking forward to a more robust
2	conversation over the course of the next few
3	months, with the scoping plan, on this very
4	point.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Also, clock

keeper, I was only getting three minutes -oh, I actually would get 10 minutes. Nope,
never mind, but I'm not going to use it. I'm
just going to ask one more question of
Commissioner Seggos.

So plastics, Styrofoam. We've been doing a lot of outlawing of it, but I keep reading data that we're not actually getting better. Do you have data on what parts of the state this is working in better than others, and why, and what more we can do?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, again, we only have a few weeks worth of work on this, right. We banned Styrofoam and have not yet gotten enough visibility into how successful the program has been thus far. We expect it has been, but we are looking at input from actors around the state who can let us know how covered entities are in compliance.

Ţ	I couldn't tell you, unlike plastic
2	bags I can tell you with plastic bags I
3	have anecdotally just seen less of them
4	blowing around the street. It's perhaps
5	early to talk about whether or not we're
6	seeing less Styrofoam cups blowing around the
7	street.
8	But, you know, we're in this education
9	phase and we want to make sure that, one,
10	when we're really rolling that there's a
11	significant amount of enforcement going on if
12	there is noncompliance.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
14	going to give back my time.
15	But Assemblywoman, I have seen another
16	Senator, so keep going with your next
17	Assemblymember.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So
19	Assemblyman Otis is next.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
21	Commissioner. I want to thank you, after
22	Ida, how great DEC staff has been in terms of
23	some of the flood issues that we have in
24	Westchester. Your folks have been hands-on

1	and really trying to get us to a better place
2	before we have the next storm. So kudos to
3	your deputies and your regional director.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you. I'll
5	let them know.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Absolutely.
7	On the flooding issue, one of the
8	things that was revealed in Ida was how weak
9	many of our municipal stormwater systems are.
10	And we do have a stormwater category in the
11	bond act. And I look forward with DEC to
12	find ways that we can grow the financial
13	commitment towards giving municipalities
14	assistance on stormwater funding, because
15	this is really one of the failures that was
16	revealed in Ida, is how vulnerable we are in
17	these larger storm events. So that would be
18	of great help.
19	You have played a key role working
20	with on the Climate Action Task Force, and
21	we have the draft recommendations. And we
22	have within the Legislature many proposals
23	some of them sort of conflict with each

other. There still needs to be a

ratio	onaliz	zati	ion	of	where	we're	going	for	the
next	step	of	our	c]	limate	change	polic	cies.	

2.0

Could you share any thoughts of how you think the next few months should go in terms of those discussions and the role that DEC will play to resolve some of those issues and get us to the next stage?

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great -- great question. We've tried very hard to herd cats, and it really almost has been that, right, with the 22-member Climate Action Council. Doreen and I cochair it. We've got representation from many other state agency commissioners as well as experts from the field, and then we've had really I think 120 different experts outside.

So now we have a 300-plus page document full of recommendations that will help bring us to our climate targets, 2030, 2050. And we do have to reconcile all of the recommendations. Obviously it doesn't need to be said -- but I think you know it -- these are preliminary recommendations.

Right?

1	We are sending a set of
2	recommendations out to the community that
3	to the state that we believe would help us
4	hit those targets, but we need to hear, first
5	and foremost, from the public, we need to
6	take it to the public and share the thoughts
7	with them. And then to the extent that any
8	of those programs are to become regulation or
9	law, that would then trigger another public
10	process.
11	And all the while with the Climate
12	Action Council continuously harmonizing it
13	with other programs so that we're pulling
14	everything in the same direction and ensuring
15	that no other no community is being left
16	behind.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you,
18	Commissioner. Thank you for your good work
19	on many fronts.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
21	Assemblyman.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
23	Senate.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	I see that Senator Palumbo had raised
2	his hand late in the game.
3	SENATOR PALUMBO: Thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	Commissioner, good to see you again.
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likewise,
7	Senator.
8	SENATOR PALUMBO: You don't even look
9	cold, in 10 degrees like you were last week.
10	So I'll move nice and quick. I just
11	have really two areas of discussion, please,
12	regarding the law enforcement side. I know
13	there have been some staffing stresses in
14	Region 2, and maybe even some recruitment
15	issues for downstate.
16	So my question is, are there any
17	policies that you're implementing to help
18	increase staffing, possibly, as a result of
19	those vacancies?
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes, good
21	question.
22	So on the law enforcement front, we
23	are holding an academy soon that will help to
24	fill our ranks and slightly increase our

1	numbers. My hope is that I can come back to
2	you next year with another academy planned.
3	So that's good on the academy side.

In terms of the -- on the retention side, and where we dedicate our officers, we do have a new -- a director of law enforcement. One of her -- the first woman in the job to have this job, which is great. one of her missions is to focus our work very significantly in urban areas, and that of course means New York City. Not to the detriment of any of our hunting and fishing obligations upstate, or any of our pollution enforcement upstate, but there are 8 million-plus people down in the New York City area and a significant need for us to be present.

So -- and that, by the way, will help us with retention, right, if we are more present where the people are, demonstrating the great services that our agency does, I am confident that is going to generate enormous interest in folks joining this agency, and especially increasing our diversity within

1	our police forces.
2	So it's very much underway right now.
3	Hopefully I hope to have something more
4	positive to report out by summer.
5	SENATOR PALUMBO: Wonderful, that's
6	great to hear. Thank you.
7	The other one, on the renewables side.
8	There's a very large project proposed in
9	Senate District 1, offshore wind. I've heard
10	concerns regarding pollution as far as
11	disruption of the seabed, and ensuring
12	wildlife, and fishermen, the commercial
13	fishing industry have concerns.
14	So do you have any comments in that
15	regard, any proposed mitigation that we could
16	I guess publicly discuss and address in
17	45 seconds?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. Good
19	question. It's certainly top of my mind. We
20	are an active partner of NYSERDA on this, and
21	we have been really since the federal
22	government started looking at this back in
23	2014 and, you know, deciding what these areas
24	would be and how to protect species, how to

1	protect the commercial fishing industry, the
2	recreational industry.
3	So it's very much on my mind, and
4	we'll continue to be monitoring as to to
5	the extent there's any construction in state
6	waters, we'll be monitoring any of the
7	impacts and suggesting adjustments as needed.
8	I'm confident that NYSERDA understands that,
9	that our partners at the Department of State
10	understand it, and that ultimately, you know,
11	we're generating a robust industry that
12	responds responsibly when they do this
13	construction.
14	SENATOR PALUMBO: Wonderful. Thank
15	you, Commissioner.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
17	Senator.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we are going
19	to Assemblyman Burdick. I believe the Senate
20	is all finished, so we're going to go through
21	a number of Assemblymembers now.
22	Assemblyman Burdick.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
24	Chair Weinstein.

Τ.	And thank you, commissioner, for the
2	good work that you and your staff do.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I commend the
5	Governor and your department for including
6	the Article 7 on wetlands, so important to
7	clean water and flood control. And obviously
8	we've discussed how the state makes a great
9	investment in clean water and dealing with
10	floods, and so the health of the wetlands is
11	critical to us.
12	I'm concerned about wetlands
13	throughout the state, including in my
14	district, and at present localities are
15	preempted from regulating herbicides and
16	pesticides in wetlands. I've had initial
17	conversations with your staff about crafting
18	changes that would comply with federal law,
19	and I just ask that you please talk with your
20	staff about that and would consider being
21	open to considering changes in it through
22	perhaps a 30-day amendment.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, I
24	certainly will follow up with my staff on

1	that.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Appreciate that.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: And I also
5	understand from Senator Schumer that the
6	Infrastructure Act includes funds for
7	wastewater treatment, and clearly not all of
8	those federal funds have made their way to
9	the state yet. And I know that you show in
10	your budget \$650 million for water quality
11	improvement project funding. Do you see the
12	possibility of that level increasing as fed
13	funds are released?
14	And also same question mid-budget with
15	respect to the bond act.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Certainly yes or
17	the federal side. We expect to receive
18	federal funds this fiscal year, possibly ever
19	the fiscal year that we're currently in, and
20	would look to augment any of the projects we
21	do in the field with those federal dollars.
22	So that would be certainly a net benefit for
23	New York State.
2.4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: That's great.

1	I also wanted to endorse Steve Otis's
2	request for focus on addressing stormwater
3	systems. He and I have adjoining districts,
4	and floodwater I mean stormwater systems.
5	Earlier today I'd asked NYSERDA's
6	President Harris whether they collaborate
7	with other state agencies to set CLCPA goals
8	and who follows through, and she replied
9	that, Well, every agency has got CLCPA
10	obligations. My concern is, who's in charge
11	of the overall? Who makes sure that all of
12	the agencies are doing everything that they
13	ought to be doing to address climate change
14	and the crisis?
15	And could you address that, because
16	I'm concerned about an overall approach and
17	coordination.
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you for
19	asking that.
20	Well, obviously the Governor is in
21	charge of all the state agencies, and we're
22	all pulling in the same direction. I think
23	one thing that one great positive that has
24	come out of the Climate Action Council

1	process is giving the expectation to multiple
2	state commissioners to be a part of this
3	process over the last two years. That's
4	brought climate thinking into all of the
5	major state agencies now, and it's helped to
6	coordinate our thinking quite a bit better
7	than ever before.
8	So we have a real opportunity, I would
9	agree, to ensure that we're hitting our
10	carbon reduction targets. But in the
11	process, you know, making government more
12	accountable and making the state ultimately
13	more sustainable. So that's something I have
14	no doubt will continue over the course of the
15	next year during this public comment period.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. Now
17	we send it back to the Senate.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you very
19	much. Appreciate it.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	We had another Senator pop up,
23	Senator John Liu.
24	SENATOR LIU: Thank you, Madam Chair,

1	for allowing me to pop up.
2	And great to see you, commissioner. I
3	do echo the sentiments of some of my
4	colleagues about your performance in
5	difficult times, and also your dedicated
6	staff.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
8	SENATOR LIU: Just a couple of
9	questions I have, and you can give me short
10	answers on these.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
12	SENATOR LIU: One is you've already
13	been asked a lot about Superstorm Ida and the
14	aftermath. We have, in my area in Queens
15	unfortunately, we have had people drown in
16	their own homes, which is the most horrific
17	thing anybody could imagine. There are
18	families whose homes are, for all intents and
19	purposes, uninhabitable because of the not
20	only what happened with Ida, but the constant
21	threat of rainstorms and floods. And they
22	don't even live anywhere near the coast.
23	So one thing that's been talked about

is buying out some of these families. Does

1	the DEC have any kind of analysis or position
2	on potential buyouts of individual homes by
3	the state?
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good question.
5	We were successful, after Superstorm
6	Sandy, in doing that on Staten Island, where
7	we recognized a real need. And my
8	understanding of the some of the language of
9	the bond act is that it does acknowledge the
10	need for buyouts in certain areas, so that we
11	would look forward to focusing on some of
12	your problems in your district.
13	Because there's no doubt about it,
14	that was a horrible situation with that
15	basement flooding that had gone on.
16	SENATOR LIU: All right, thank you.
17	Yeah, there are a number of homes that you
18	know, it's it seems like a large taxpayer
19	expense, but we have to balance that against
20	the continuing taxpayer expense of having to
21	continually remediate these areas with
22	physical infrastructure. Those are costly
23	items as well.
24	I have a proposal to ban gas-powered

1	lawn equipment, including leaf blowers. Has
2	the DEC done anything with regard to
3	gas-powered leaf blowers and potentially
4	curtailing the use of such?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I'd have to
6	check with my staff to see what kind of data
7	we have. We know that that's become an issue
8	statewide with the prevalence of gas-powered
9	equipment, particularly in urban areas and
10	densely populated suburban areas.
11	So we'd love to work with you on that.
12	I've been approached by some stakeholders
13	about it as well who have been echoing some
14	concerns about that and the impact of those
15	emissions as well as the noise. And why
16	don't we take that offline and have a
17	conversation about it?
18	SENATOR LIU: Okay.
19	And my last question has to do with
20	New York City's performance in storm
21	drainage. The city has installed a large
22	number of what they call rainwater gardens
23	or they've called them different things in
24	different during different times. But

1	they are essentially catch basins that are
2	minigardens where the soil is permeable by
3	rainwater.
4	Does this has the DEC looked at how
5	well the city has performed with regard to
6	removing this rainwater runoff?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We do look at it
8	regularly as part of a consent order,
9	actually, is for them to do some grade
10	infrastructure around the city, not just that
11	traditional end-of-pipe infrastructure.
12	I see that we're out of time, but we
13	can brief you on our analysis of that. And
14	my impression, just in short, is that it has
15	been mostly successful at redirecting some of
16	those waters away from storm sewers and into
17	green spaces.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	SENATOR LIU: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I'm sure
21	John Liu would like a follow-up with you.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We'll do that.
23	SENATOR LIU: (Inaudible.)
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: The Senate is now

1	closing down, Helene, so to the Assembly.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So I see
3	that our chair of Tourism, Daniel O'Donnell,
4	has joined us.
5	But we go to Assemblyman Epstein for
6	three minutes.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
8	Thank you, Helene.
9	And Commissioner, always good to see
10	you.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
12	as well.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Since in my
14	district, Stuy Town, they're trying to put in
15	two CHP plants. We've raised concerns around
16	this for the past year and a half. I want a
17	commitment that before anything moves
18	forward, that we can talk about the CLCPA
19	goals and concerns that we have on that
20	plant.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Absolutely.
22	You've got my promise on that. And I've
23	requested that information, actually, from
24	the company as well.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you very
2	much.
3	And so I just wanted to follow up on
4	Chair Englebright's comments around the money
5	in the EPF for charging. I know you said
6	you'd talk to us more. I think this is a
7	critical place where we can get real
8	resources, so I'm glad to hear that.
9	But really following up, I want to
10	know why I hope that we get a commitment
11	from you and the state to only buy EV or
12	hydrogen or, you know, renewable vehicles.
13	Why are we not committing to doing that now,
14	and why are we waiting to continue to buy
15	non-renewable cars or trucks or whatever's in
16	the market?
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: For the state
18	fleets, right?
19	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: For the state
20	fleet. Like I understand with the private
21	market we've got to give people time. But
22	for the state fleet, why not tomorrow or when
23	we buy cars next year? Because we're going
24	to have to buy cars every year. Let's just

1	make	them	all,	you	know,	EV.

2 COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Right. I know
3 the Governor has made a commitment for that
4 in the budget, that by a date certain, I
5 believe by 2035, there will be no light-duty
6 vehicles, at least, being driven that are
7 gas-powered.

We want to accelerate that as much as possible. We have that underway at DEC, and I know OGS does as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Why can't we commit right now to say every future purchase of light vehicles will only be EV? Why not just do that now? And then over 10 years we can transition.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, it's a fine goal. I'd need to make sure it was attainable. As an EV owner myself, I've become very comfortable driving it long distances, and I no longer have that so-called range anxiety. So perhaps my own experience can help inform how we manage that program here in New York.

24 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Well, I hope we

1	could continue this conversation, because I
2	think we can't wait 10 years. I think we've
3	got to move now.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. No, I'd
5	love to. Let's keep talking about it.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Two things.
7	Just on the bags, we still see places in
8	New York City where they're still just giving
9	away these plastic bags. What are you doing
10	to stop that?
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, we're
12	enforcing. We have about a million
13	businesses around the state that are covered
L 4	by the law. We've gotten about 800
15	complaints about noncompliance, which is a
16	pretty good rate, you know. We both see on
17	our own, and we take some of that out from
18	public reporting.
19	Nonetheless, you know, we jump in when
20	we see a problem. We've assessed fines.
21	We've got several businesses under consent
22	order. And some of them just refuse to
23	comply, so it just is an unfortunate
24	situation where our enforcement starts

1	picking up.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So you know I'm
3	almost out of time, but just on solid waste
4	management, I really think we've got to push.
5	You know, the digesters are great, but we
6	need composting, we need a mandatory
7	composting system. I hope we can continue to
8	talk about composting
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You are
10	actually out of time.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
12	Chair, I'm out of time.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we will move
14	on to Assemblywoman Kelles.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so
16	much. I second everything my colleague
17	Harvey Epstein just said, and I run into
18	speed-dating mode.
19	Hello, Commissioner, great to see you.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great to see
21	you.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Absolutely.
23	So five questions. Let's see how much
24	we can get in.

1	One, we've spoken regularly about the
2	concerns of the rapidly increasing
3	cryptocurrency mining industry. And given
4	the lack of any intervention to date, we're
5	expecting about 1.6 gigawatts to be up and
6	running by the end of this year, with much of
7	it being on the grid, which means that it
8	will increase our baseload.
9	And so I'm wondering if you could just
10	give any input on whether you think that this
11	can continue and reach our climate goals,
12	that we can put in enough renewable energy,
13	and any suggestions of the path forward over
14	the next year while this is happening.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I
16	certainly share your concerns. I've said
17	that publicly in regards to the
18	Greenidge Plant and its potential
19	implications regarding our climate law. And
20	of course you look at other applications that
21	also use the proof of work, it is presents
22	real questions for emissions as well as our
23	ability to hit those targets.
24	So we are thinking about that. We're

1	talking regularly with our partners in
2	government, various state agencies that all
3	have a hook on this. DEC's jurisdiction is
4	relatively limited, as you know
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Yup.
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: But we recognize
7	the problem with this nascent and expanding
8	industry. Certainly recognize also that on
9	the business side it can provide some
10	significant job growth in the state.
11	But my concern is for climate
12	emissions and ensuring that we have a
13	responsible energy generation system.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Right. So I'm
15	not sure I agree with the job creation on
16	that point, but we can talk about that
17	afterwards.
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No, on the
19	business side, not on the at the mining
20	side, right.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Absolutely.
22	And then just jumping to HABs really quickly,
23	we've seen actually a doubling of HABs in our
24	area and doubling of the duration. You had

1	mentioned investments. Can you just point
2	out where in the budget and how much is
3	invested each year on HABs to do prevention
4	work?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure, good
6	question.
7	Since 2019 we've put about
8	\$220 million into HABs prevention. And that
9	involves addressing some of the root causes
10	of HABs in significant watersheds where you
11	see them. So it is very much part of where
12	we're going with our EPF dollars and also the
13	bond act dollars.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Wonderful.
15	Thank you.
16	And just really quickly, earlier you
17	noted that 53 percent or 63 percent of water
18	infrastructure funds have benefited EJ
19	communities. This is the first time I'm
20	hearing about it. Can you clarify that this
21	is referring to grant funding
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: from the
24	Clean Water Infrastructure Act?

1	And secondry, could you commit to
2	provide a breakdown on how much funding has
3	been awarded by each of the dozen programs
4	funded through the Clean Water Infrastructure
5	Act? We haven't gotten any input from that
6	since it was established in 2017.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes. So the
8	number I put out earlier, 53 percent, that's
9	out of the WQ Act as an example, out of the
10	WQIP grants that we just put out. That's
11	actually EPF-funded. Last year 145.6 million
12	went to EJ communities out of a total of
13	272.4. So that's 53 percent.
L 4	And I haven't done the math yet on the
15	Clean Water Infrastructure Act. We can
16	absolutely do that breakdown and get it to
17	you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
19	move on to Assemblyman Tague.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thanks.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Good afternoon,
23	Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good afternoon,

1	Assemblyman.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: It's always a
3	pleasure to speak to you.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likewise.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: I'm going to take
6	it easy on you today, sir. I'm actually
7	going to just throw some plugs out there.
8	I'm going to remind you of what
9	Senator Hinchey said earlier as you know,
10	her and I share much of the Catskill area
11	Forest Rangers, Forest Rangers, Forest
12	Rangers. Especially during the pandemic, we
13	had much more people visiting the Catskills
14	and we did have a couple of instances where
15	your good Forest Rangers saved some lives.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yes, you did.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: So we're going to
18	continue to beat the drum.
19	The other thing, I just want to throw
20	a plug out there too to keep in mind I
21	know there's legislation that Senator Hinchey
22	has in the Senate and Assemblywoman Aileen
23	Gunther has in the Assembly that would give
24	us a full-time coordinator at the Catskill

1	Center.	Ι	think	that's	very	big	for	our
2	area.							

And, you know, every year we have this discussion. I just want to remind you of all the good work that your folks in construction have done throughout the state, but mainly in my district in the Catskills and Kaaterskill Falls. I'm hoping that we're continuing to fund maintenance in our budget for all these great projects that your folks have completed.

And then one other last thing I just want to keep in mind. You know, regardless of what happens with electric vehicles and the CLCPA, we still have to have infrastructure in our state and we still have to have good, safe roads and bridges. And it's been very, very tough in the State of New York to permit material-producing plants, whether it be asphalt, concrete or aggregates.

I want to just remind everybody that these are necessity products. And if we're going to keep up with the rest of the country

1	with infrastructure, we need to keep in mind
2	that it's very, very hard for these people to
3	permit. And it's also very hard for them
4	sometimes to move their operations forward
5	and to keep up. I think that the industry
6	itself has done a good job keeping up with
7	the environmental changes that have happened
8	throughout the state, but we must keep in
9	mind that without them, our infrastructure is
10	going to go backwards.
11	So with that, Commissioner, I would
12	like to hopefully get our 15-minute time
13	together like we usually have just to go
14	through district stuff I know at your
15	convenience, you're busy. I appreciate
16	everything that you and your staff do, and it
17	was a pleasure talking to you today. Thank
18	you, sir.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Likewise, sir.
20	I take your words to heart, and let's make it
21	30 minutes or more.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Thank you. Thank
23	you, Commissioner.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you, and

1	we'll go to Assemblywoman Hyndman.
2	(Pause.) Alicia, are you here? While
3	we let's see. I think Alicia? Okay,
4	here you are.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm sorry, I'm
6	here. I'm here.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, you're
8	on.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm sorry
10	about that.
11	I would just hello, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Hello,
13	Assemblywoman.
14	(Overtalk.)
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: to lighten
16	up the mood.
17	I to double back on what Senator
18	John Liu was talking about, I also represent
19	the community that was affected by Hurricane
20	Ida. And so I know now that there's a lot of
21	groundwater issues that Chair Englebright
22	knows very well that are in Southern Queens.
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Mm-hmm. Yes.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And we found

1	out that those homes historically have been
2	built over a pond. Therefore, the damage
3	that has been sustained by these homes you
4	just you should see, the walls are caving
5	in on foundations of these homes.
6	So I would really like to just
7	highlight that the Executive Budget's
8	proposal for \$400 million is not just it's
9	water you can see and it's the groundwater
10	levels that are increasing tremendously and
11	need to be monitored. So I will also be
12	sending a report from the USGS about the
13	groundwater issues that are constantly
14	occurring and getting worse in Southern
15	Queens. That was the first comment.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Be happy to look
17	at that.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yup,
19	absolutely.
20	And the second thing is one of the
21	bullets of the Climate Action Council is the
22	Advanced Clean Truck Rule, obviously. And I
23	would like to know your views on moving cargo
24	and so forth by rail and/or water because of

1	existing rail lines that we have. I happen
2	to have a district that has two major
3	facilities for waste transfer stations. We
4	are trying to motivate the use of rail
5	instead of trucks, because it will take a
6	while before all these trucks that are
7	transporting can go to electric, but in the
8	meantime we have the ability to use rail.
9	And, you know, we are a coastal state,
10	and using water, looking at those avenues of
11	moving cargo around the State of New York
12	and I didn't see anything of that in the
13	scoping plan. So I wondered if that had been
14	discussed at all.
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great question.
16	We actually have discussed that quite a bit,
17	the preference for anything but trucking.
18	Right? You can make the trucks cleaner,
19	which is good, but ultimately getting
20	reducing the amount of waste, if it's a waste
21	issue, or moving product by rail can be far
22	more efficient.
23	So it's really an all-of-the-above.

Love to understand, you know, what issues are

1	confronting the district in terms of rail to
2	see what we can do to build that thinking a
3	bit more effectively into the scoping plan.
4	That is a positive goal.
5	Mind you, you know, we are somewhat
6	limited in how we are able to regulate rail.
7	It is a very strongly federally regulated
8	method of transport. But that doesn't mean
9	we can't conceive of better interconnections
10	and incentivize that kind of movement.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. I look
12	forward to discussing that with you.
13	Thank you, Chair Weinstein.
L 4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay, we'll
15	reach out to you. Thank you.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go next to
18	Assemblyman Palmesano.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Yes, good
20	evening, Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good evening.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: A couple of
23	comments, and then I'll have my question.
24	The first thing is the same thing I

1	said to President Harris this morning
2	relative to the scoping plan comment period.
3	I firmly believe we need to extend that
4	period beyond 120 days. That's not enough
5	time to deal with this, given the
6	far-reaching impact this is going to have on
7	our whole state in the transition to this
8	energy system. So we need more time I
9	would say a year if not nine months minimum.

The other thing I wanted to talk about briefly -- and hopefully you can comment back at another time -- I think the CAC should be talking about this, because there's a lot of talk about electric vehicles, celebrating how we need to get more electric vehicles on the road.

But understanding that, this mining for these rare-earth materials has an environmental and a human impact. A number of people are talking about having electric cars, but there's a good chance that electric car you have has cobalt in it, and there's a good chance that, since 70 percent of the cobalt comes from the Republic of Congo, that

1	car you're driving was made by child labor
2	and that those kids are dying over there,
3	they're being maimed. There's no with
4	mining collapses there's no checks, there's
5	lawsuits on that.

I think that's something that we have a responsibility to, you know, talk about.

We talk about economic and climate justice for these disadvantaged communities. These people are being exploited, these kids. And it's just another reminder that -- and especially with water contamination as well -- that green is not always green. So we need to keep that in consideration as we move forward. So I'd like to have your comments down the road, maybe something in writing from the CAC.

What I did want to ask you a question on specifically was on reliability. I know there's a clause in the CLCPA that says if reliability is questioned, then you could put the brakes on a pump. And I think you really need to start taking a look at that. I know we cannot sacrifice reliability just to say

1	we're green. I mean, I think from what I'm
2	seeing from the CAC is that you're willing to
3	sacrifice affordability and cost to pump
4	{unintelligible}, but we can't do it with
5	reliability.

And certainly the NYISO -- which is responsible for making sure the grid, our energy supply is reliable, so the lights stay on, so the heat stays on -- came out with a report showing that we're not going to meet -- we don't have enough supply, where the plan shows a gap of 15 to 25 gigawatts of electricity production by 2040 -- that's 10 percent of our state's electricity needs, according to the NYISO. And this gap is enough to power every home in the state and is equal to 10 hydroelectric or nuclear power plants.

How are you going to address the reliability problems -- which have been pointed out time and time again -- with going green and not having that reliability factor? This is a critical issue and it needs to be addressed.

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you for
2	raising that. I know you had a robust
3	discussion about that this morning. And we
4	certainly, as when we are involved in any
5	permitting decisions that involve peakers,
6	for example I mean, our first
7	conversations are with NYISO, NDPS, to ensure
8	that our permit decisions take that into
9	account. That will be how we operate under
10	the CLCPA as well.
11	And to the extent any of those
12	recommendations involve changing the way we
13	do business, and I think they will, we would
14	take reliability very firmly into account.
15	So I look forward to engaging with you
16	on the other points you've raised, certainly,
17	about the impacts of extractive mining in
18	other parts of the world. Of course I think
19	about that every time I get in my EV and
20	every time I pick up my cellphone. There are
21	costs to what we demand.
22	And by the same token, you know, the
23	extractive industries of oil and gas mining
24	around the world produce enormous problems

	1	and have, in the course of the last lew
	2	months, even tied up shipping in the Port of
	3	Los Angeles with spills and whatnot.
	4	So it's all of the energy
	5	extraction techniques have costs, and we have
	6	to be honest with ourselves about the best
	7	ways in which to incentivize the energy
	8	production of the future. And I think, not
	9	to spend too much time on EPR, but if we can
1	0	better recycle the products we use within our
1	1	batteries, our EV batteries, when they're
1	2	rechargeable batteries, we prolong the life
1	.3	of those elements here in the state. And
1	4	that's exactly what we're trying to get at
1	.5	with EPR.
1	6	We can absolutely have a conversation;
1	.7	I'll reach out to you and your staff and set
1	8	something up soon.
1	9	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Thank you.
2	0	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
2	1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	2	We move on to Assemblyman Brown.
2	3	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you,
2	4	Madam Chair.

1	Good evening, Commissioner. It's nice
2	to speak with you.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good evening.
4	Good to see you.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: So my two main
6	areas I want to talk about are water quality
7	and solid waste.
8	So my district is a coastal community,
9	the Northport area of the North Shore of Long
10	Island. And I'm curious in terms of the bond
11	act and whether or not that money could be
12	used for stormwater, storm hardening, and
13	also dredging. We have a problem in
14	Northport Harbor it hasn't been dredged
15	since 1965. And I've been working on getting
16	a dredge permit that actually I just sent out
17	to Region 2 for approval, along with the
18	environmental consultant, last week.
19	So that's my first question.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: So I think the
21	answer to that is going to be probably. The
22	bond act, to the extent that the categories
23	remain intact which the Governor hopes
24	that they will includes several funding

1	lines for just those types of things,
2	stormwater as well as shoreline protection
3	generally.
4	So we look forward to learning more
5	about the problems in your district and
6	helping that inform our project list for
7	sure.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: All right. If I
9	can follow up with you about fast-tracking
10	that dredge permit. Because everybody else
11	is ready to go the county, the feds. It's
12	just the town is the one that's lagging
13	behind.
14	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: A question about
16	the New York-Connecticut Long Island Sound
17	Task Force. I was a member of Save the Sound
18	before I was an Assemblyman. And, you know,
19	what can we do more for wastewater treatment,
20	you know, between Connecticut and New York to
21	clean up the quality of portions of the
22	sound?
23	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, you know
24	the sound if you were on Save the Sound, so

1	you know its issues. Can certainly pay some
2	respect to the amazing progress we've made
3	over the last 30 years on the sound, where
4	the large the inner portion of the sound
5	has made some significant improvements from a
6	water quality perspective and now we're
7	looking at the embayments as really the
8	source of problems.
9	We work well with Connecticut. We
10	work well with EPA Regions 2 and 1 to ensure
11	that we're all coordinating in the right way
12	to do upgrades. And I think, you know, you
13	see the Governor's commitment to water
14	quality in this budget.
15	It won't help on the Connecticut side,
16	but I can tell you on the New York side that
17	the lingering problems we have with
18	infrastructure in Long Island Sound can be a
19	target of action under the bond act and the
20	Clean Water Infrastructure Act monies.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Great.
22	And I have like 30 seconds to ask my
23	last question, about EPR. Why does EPR
24	legislation in the budget make the

1	manufacturer the first entity responsible
2	instead of the end user? And how can we beef
3	up the EPR to actually, you know, do what it
4	needs to do?
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good question.
6	So really the heart of the EPR is
7	shifting the burden to the manufacturer to
8	reduce the end costs on the businesses or the
9	municipalities or the taxpayer. That's
10	typically where we are right now, which is
11	the end of the pipe, fixing problems that
12	could have been solved had the products been
13	designed differently.
14	So that's what EPR is designed to do,
15	put those put the onus up front so that
16	ultimately the costs are much less and in the
17	long run we have just less waste being
18	produced.
19	We can happily talk again. If we're
20	talking more about the dredge permit, we can
21	have a conversation about EPR as well.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: I would love that.
23	I'll reach out to your office. Thank you so
24	much.

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Please do.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We move on to
4	Assemblyman Walczyk.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Well, good
6	evening, Commissioner. Wonderful to see you.
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
8	as well.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thanks for your
10	continued service to our state and nation.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You as well.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: We're glad to
13	have you.
L 4	A couple of quick questions. Timber
15	construction. Is timber construction
16	considered by New York State carbon
17	sequestration?
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yeah, it
19	certainly should be. Mass timber
20	construction is a vibrant field to the future
21	of those buildings. That type of
22	construction can sequester an enormous amount
23	of carbon. We have so much research going on
24	at SUNY ESF on that front.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Is the CLCPA or
2	this budget or bond act or anything doing
3	anything to incentivize timber construction
4	or disincentivize, you know, concrete
5	high-rise construction, which would be very
6	carbon dirty, for example?
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Yeah, I
8	certainly know that the mass timber has come
9	up in the context of the CLCPA in all of our
10	working groups and panels. So we're looking
11	at that in terms of how we can program some
12	investments for the future.
13	And any construction that we're doing
14	through any funding source in the
15	State Budget you know, you see some of
16	that happening in the SUNY system, a very
17	exciting amount of development with mass
18	timber. You see private industry happening
19	using some of those techniques. So to the
20	extent that we can help, we will.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: And the I
22	mean, the State of New York obviously we
23	probably are the biggest forest owner at this
24	point. How does that factor in for good

1	forest management practices?
2	And as we're shifting to the
3	Environmental Bond Act and the open space and
4	preservation plan there, are we going to lock
5	more woods into I mean, I just saw the
6	oldest tree in the Adirondacks, you know,
7	fell to the ground and its carbon will go
8	back into the atmosphere, largely, instead of
9	being used for timber construction. And
10	that's what "Forever Wild" means, right?
11	Are we in our future goals going to
12	lock more of that carbon into our buildings
13	through timber construction or good forest
14	management practices?
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Well, I'd say
16	that we have a very good balance envisioned,
17	currently and envisioned, for our management
18	of the state's forests. Right?
19	We have wilderness areas in the
20	Adirondacks, the Catskills that are
21	permanently set aside and Forever Wild. And
22	then there are a significant amount of
23	easement lands within those parks and state
24	forests outside the parks that are

1	appropriate for certain types of harvesting.
2	We do have a robust logging and timber
3	industry in New York State, especially in the
4	Southern Tier, and I can tell you that we're
5	proud of what we've done with our state
6	forest contracts and the way we've managed
7	those in terms of sustainable forestry, as
8	well as ensuring the landscape is not
9	impacted. It's been a top priority of ours
10	over the last few years.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Well, I've got
12	many more questions, but I know that the
13	chair doesn't like when I ask one right at
14	the end, so I'll yield back the last few
15	seconds of my time.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You know where
17	to find me if you need me.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thanks,
19	Commissioner.
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thanks.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	Earlier we were joined by
23	Assemblywoman Rosenthal I think she may
24	have been here earlier, actually; Assemblyman

1	riiena, ana Assembiyman simpson.
2	And we go to Assemblyman Simpson.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry,
4	Assemblywoman, I've been joined by an
5	additional Senator. Can I slide them in?
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Sure. Why
7	don't you go there first.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you.
9	Senator O'Mara.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you,
11	Chairwoman. Sorry for the delay; I didn't
12	realize there was a cutoff for the various
13	houses.
L 4	But Commissioner, good evening.
15	Thanks for being with us today.
16	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you
17	Senator.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: I want to thank you
19	for the outstanding work of you and your
20	department for the Tropical Storm Fred
21	flooding in Steuben County late this summer.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: That was
23	devastating.
24	SENATOR O'MARA: Devastating, and we

1	were very disappointed that FEMA denied the
2	individual assistance, and we're still
3	working on the Governor to get something
4	going for that. So hopefully I can count on
5	your support for at least talking about it
6	with the Governor, since you were there
7	firsthand and witnessed the devastation. But
8	you guys did a great job there.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
10	Senator.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: The question I have,
12	a lot of people have been talking about wood
13	stoves, fireplaces. And Part EEE of the
14	budget says that in five years, to the
15	fullest extent feasible, the code shall
16	require new construction statewide to have
17	zero on-site greenhouse gas emissions.
18	Are you saying is this actually
19	saying that in 2027 you're not going to be
20	able to build a home with a fireplace in
21	it
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No.
23	SENATOR O'MARA: or a wood stove?
24	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No, I'm proud to

1	say that that's not the case. We are not
2	targeting wood-burning emissions, and we
3	expect that to be part of the state's heating
4	picture as long as people might want to make
5	that decision.
6	Really what we're doing, through the
7	Climate Action Council, is attempting to
8	bring that choice to the homeowner. To the
9	extent that heat pumps, for example, become
10	effective and people want to make that
11	investment, that they can do so and help to
12	augment some of their own expenses in regards
13	to heating.
14	But categorically I must be clear: We
15	are not banning the burning of wood, as I've
16	said on social media and to many newspaper
17	outlets in the last few weeks.
18	SENATOR O'MARA: Well, I'm glad to
19	hear you say it here again, then. It helps
20	me answer a lot of questions from certainly
21	my more rural constituents.
22	But with regards to new construction

or reconstruction of facilities that are

remote in the state that -- really remote,

23

1	don't have electricity are they going to
2	be banned from propane or fuel oil going
3	forward? Are they going to be restricted to
4	wood?

2.0

that being the case, Senator. Of course as we round out the state's energy options and shift to a renewable economy, we need to take into account the difficulties of heating and powering some of the more remote facilities. And of course that will be part of the calculus that we all take into account in putting these policies together.

SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.

One last question or comment. I've heard some speculation that the EPA emissions numbers that are coming out in a few weeks are going to show that New York's emissions are increasing rather than decreasing. In significant part, I think it's being speculated, due to the closure of Indian Point and the lack of New York approving lower emissions, cleaner facilities from going online to meet our demands.

1	Do you have any insight on why those
2	numbers are going the wrong way with the EPA,
3	or if that's not the case?
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I don't have
5	insight into the EPA numbers. Certainly as
6	they're developed and put out there, I'll
7	have to review them and take that into
8	account.
9	I know that emissions have gone up
10	nationwide the last few years.
11	Notwithstanding the pandemic and the economic
12	slowdown, we did see an increase in
13	emissions. And it is concerning. We'll have
14	to look at the data and spend quite a bit of
15	time interpreting what that means.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you very much,
17	Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
19	Senator. Good to see you.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: I am done,
21	Chairwoman. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Back to the Assembly.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Now we'll go to
2	Assemblyman Simpson.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: Thank you, Chair
4	Weinstein.
5	Good to see you, Commissioner.
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you,
7	Assemblyman.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: I've got a
9	question, I have a question last year, it
10	was around September, Governor Hochul
11	announced \$20 million for the Conklingville
12	Dam. And again this year there's another
13	\$20 million in the budget.
L 4	So is this the same 20 million, or is
15	this just the next phase of this project so
16	we're up to 40 million now?
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: My understanding
18	is that we're up to 40, but I can
19	double-check that with our team.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: Yeah, I just was
21	trying to clarify that.
22	I also you know, we've heard a lot
23	of discussions around clean water systems.
24	It's really a critical issue all over upstate

1	New York, all over everywhere. Have we done
2	an assessment to evaluate the need, what
3	those dollars are?
4	I can recall a couple of years ago
5	with the prior administration talking about
6	it, and there were estimates that were as
7	high as \$300 billion for our public clean
8	water drinking systems. And I've also heard
9	numbers recently from some of the
10	environmental groups that it's \$80 billion.
11	I think it would be very important for
12	us to establish what the true need is out
13	there, especially when we see these smaller
14	communities that are just unable to meet the
15	current regs even to be able to pay for those
16	systems, so
17	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: No doubt about
18	it that there's a huge need out there. We've
19	made that clear since we started working with
20	you and the Legislature on creating these
21	funding sources.
22	And I remember the estimate back in
23	the late 2000s of, you know, 40 billion for

clean water, 40 billion for wastewater. And

1	my expectation is that we've shrunk that
2	considerably through the grant programs that
3	we worked on together with you, and the loan
4	program, which is the most aggressive in the
5	country a billion dollars a year,
6	typically, on loans.
7	So we're chipping into that delta.
8	And, you know, the number is always shifting,
9	right, because infrastructure ages and
10	effectively becomes derelict and you have to
11	reinvest in it. EFC has a needs assessment
12	that we do; it's not the same thing as a
13	full-blown deep dive that we had in the late
14	2000s. If there's a fast way to get that, I
15	think it will be helpful to us, and maybe the
16	needs assessment is where we need to put our
17	effort.
18	But we won't dispute that the number
19	is large. And it is worth putting money, as
20	the federal government is about to do,
21	putting money into this, because this hidden
22	infrastructure really is the backbone of our
23	communities.

ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: I agree, we need

1	clean drinking water. That's just as
2	important as our air and what's happening in
3	our climate.
4	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: It is.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: And its going to
6	get harder and harder to deal with these
7	issues, so
8	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Agreed.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: Thank you,
10	Commissioner, I'm out of time.
11	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good to see you.
12	Thanks.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN SIMPSON: Good to see you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
15	Commissioner. So I think we are up to me.
16	Every year we seem to have a
17	conversation about the Clean Water
18	Infrastructure Act of 2017. And I've very
19	much appreciated, and the staff have, looking
20	at where the various programs are. So I'm
21	just wondering if you can update us on the
22	status of the various programs in the Clean
23	Water Infrastructure Act of 2017, including
24	encumbrances or disbursements made so far and

1	1 1	_	anticipate		1 7		
I	なわるエ	77011	anticinato	าก	-	COMINC	ひつつか
_	wiiat	vou	ancididate		CIIC	COMILITIO	vear

And if you could enlighten us as to which programs were put on hold as a result of the pandemic, and which have continued to advance. And certainly to follow up in writing with this information would be helpful also.

COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Be happy to follow up in writing and be specific about the various pots of money.

You're right that there was a lag during the pandemic, or at least during early 2021 when there was some concern about the state's economy at that point. But now we certainly have rebounded. Governor Hochul took office and was right away quick to tap into the Clean Water Infrastructure Act, get those funds out the door, and she made a big announcement about that before the turn of the year.

EFC will be putting out announcements soon on the \$400 million grant program, where those monies are going. There's a great deal of energy and anticipation behind all of

1	those programs. We can certainly break down
2	for you in writing exactly what the spending
3	has been. And I know the Governor is intent
4	on continuing it, as she's put forth another
5	\$500 million toward the Clean Water
6	Infrastructure Act this year.
7	So it's a top priority of ours. I
8	know it's a top priority of the
9	municipalities that get this money, rely upon
10	it. And we see the federal government now
11	jumping in, as I just mentioned, to augment
12	those dollars and make sure we're putting
13	shovels in the ground. It's vital.
14	I will coordinate with DOH, which does
15	some of the drinking water disbursements, and
16	EFC, which has the loan and grant program,
17	and get you a complete answer.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. And
19	then if we could switch topics.
20	As you know, there are three
21	manufactured gas plant sites along the
22	Gowanus Canal in Brooklyn in mixed-use areas
23	which remain contaminated with known
24	carcinogens. And there seems to have been

1	some I guess alteration of the remedy
2	references, levels. And I'm sure you and
3	colleagues are aware that a large part of the
4	site is slated for development of affordable
5	housing and an elementary school, which is
6	being constructed over a toxic MGP plant
7	built on wetland, so that it's going to
8	require a lot of pile-driving.
9	So I guess the question is, has DEC
10	conducted any analysis or seen any
11	documentation indicating whether a potential
12	vapor intrusion of contamination will enter
13	buildings via elevator shafts, HVAC systems?
14	There's a number of other questions
15	also. I know there's a subsite that's from
16	the of the Syracuse Hiawatha site that's
17	also there, and there seems to be some it
18	seems to be stricter regulation on the
19	Syracuse site than on this Gowanus site.
20	I have a number of other questions
21	regarding the Gowanus site, and I think what
22	maybe will be best, if you wanted to comment

a little bit on that site, but for me to send

you an email with the specific questions, and

23

1	if you could then email back a response and
2	we would share it, you know, obviously with
3	the members of the committee. And
4	Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon represents that
5	area, so I would want her to be involved.
6	But if you want to just comment on the
7	Gowanus site, if you could now, or if you
8	want to just do it all in writing in response
9	to the questions.
10	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure. Thank you
11	for raising it. Very complicated
12	contamination issues in a dense area right
13	now.
14	We are laser-focused on holding
15	National Grid accountable for that former
16	contamination. I can't speak as much to the
17	zoning issue and the decisions by the city in
18	terms of, you know, how they intend to
19	rebuild the area. But anytime, you know, you
20	build over contamination you have to consider
21	vapor intrusion.
22	I'll check with my team and get you a
23	really good answer as to our analysis of that
24	threat. It's happened before elsewhere when

1	you haven't, you know, thoroughly thought
2	through the vapor intrusion potential and
3	you've got problems. But this has been such
4	a high-profile matter for my team down in
5	New York City as well as some folks here in
6	Albany, and we're working closely with EPA on
7	it.
8	But I will get you a full answer as
9	well as an answer for the Assemblywoman so we
10	can get that nailed down and addressed. But
11	thank you for raising it. My team is taking
12	notes and is scheduled to follow up with you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Great. That
14	would be great.
15	And I thought I was going to be last,
16	but Assemblyman Doug Smith snuck in here. So
17	we're going to call upon him for three
18	minutes.
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: You're muted.
20	Or maybe I'm not hearing on my
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you so much,
22	Chairwoman. Again, I apologize.
23	And thank you, Commissioner, for being
24	here with us.

1	Just a few questions; I'll keep it
2	brief. But I heard your answers regarding
3	the extended producer responsibility, and I
4	just wanted to see if you could quickly
5	explain it, because I think a number of us
6	have real questions on how the mechanics of
7	this are going to work.
8	So I represent, for example, the
9	Township of Islip on Long Island, and I'm
10	trying to figure out how how is this going
11	to work. So if I have like Kellogg's as a
12	cereal company, they have the cardboard box,
13	they have the plastic insert where the cereal
14	goes. And the Town of Islip handles
15	recycling, they handle sanitation. Are they
16	going to be cutting a check to the township?
17	You know, how is what is the mechanism?
18	And if you could answer that, because
19	I think a number of our members are and
20	myself included are a little bit
21	questioning, just questioning the mechanics.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Okay. The
23	mechanics of this are fairly stepwise,
24	recognizing that we have an issue with solid

waste right now, we've got to reduce the
amount of waste we produce I mean, that's
the heart of the EPR and put those burdens
on the manufacturers themselves

We intend to do this in a stepwise manner, because we recognize that, you know, the market is complex and there's a certain amount of work we need to do up-front to understand the scale of the problem. So as opposed to setting a particular recycling mandate, I think we have to understand what the production problems are for a variety of products.

So starting with an advisory committee comprised of environmental stakeholders, industry stakeholders, to help advise us on how to begin focusing this program. And then of course moving into a needs assessment.

The needs assessment then dives deep into the so-called industry, right, where we get a better sense of who's producing a product and how that product can be perhaps more effectively addressed.

The manufacturers of the product then

1	can either choose to independently or
2	collectively create that plan. There's a
3	so-called producer responsibility
4	organization: Would you join an organization
5	to create these plans that would be submitted
6	to the department for approval?
7	And then after that is the creation of
8	regulations, right, to begin driving this
9	into reality over time.
10	There is a stepwise approach here that
11	between now and, say, 2026, all of this will
12	take place. It's a little bit like the
13	Climate Leadership and Community Protection
14	Act, in that we are bringing experts to the
15	table, creating a plan, and ultimately
16	implementing the plan. The only difference
17	being with the CLCPA, we knew the targets we
18	needed to hit. We don't know the targets we
19	need to hit yet with EPR
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Oh, okay. So
21	and I appreciate that. So you're explaining
22	that, you know, this is a multiyear process.
23	Because I think the real question that we're
24	trying to just figure out here is like the

1	company that makes the bags that Pepsi you
2	know, Frito-Lay fills up with chips.
3	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: You know, how is
5	that company going to know where these bags
6	are going? Whereas Pepsi, the brand, may
7	know where they're sending it, but actual
8	producer of the waste product that ends in
9	the product, you know, how are they going to
10	be able to track that.
11	So that's I think a question that if
12	you could just not at this time I'm out of
13	time but maybe send something around to
14	follow up, just because
15	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Sure
16	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: For those of us
17	who are not you know, we just want to wrap
18	our heads
19	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: I'm happy to do
20	that. We can do a follow-up as well.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN SMITH: Thank you,
24	Chairwoman.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Before we end
2	the hearing, Assembly
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're not ending
4	the hearing, we're just ending the
5	commissioner.
6	(Laughter.)
7	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Before you end
8	me
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: This portion of
10	the hearing. Before we let the commissioner
11	go, Assemblyman Steve Englebright has a
12	question on the second round, as chair, of
13	three minutes.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
15	very much.
16	I feel a little like Paul Revere, if
17	by land and by sea. I'll start by land.
18	Commissioner, what's your overall
19	vision for brownfields? And what
20	achievements should we expect to see as a
21	result? And that's by land.
22	By sea it's clearly more by the air
23	in this case how does the budget address
24	the scourge of air contamination, incidents

Τ	of asthma in particular? And are there any
2	additional resources to combat asthma by
3	directing some of the resources of the EPF
4	and the bond act to help save some people's
5	lives and extend their longevity?
6	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Great questions.
7	And let me take them in order.
8	First of all, on brownfields, I
9	believe this is one of the most successful
10	programs that we have. We have successfully
11	created some reforms back in 2015 that
12	resulted in the increase of participants in
13	the program.
14	The Governor's made it clear that she
15	wants to continue this program now for
16	another 10 years and broaden the categories
17	that are eligible for credits two very
18	important categories: One, redevelopments in
19	urban areas and specifically environmental
20	justice areas; and two, creation of renewable
21	energy projects. So both of those
22	projects both of those types of categories
23	would take coverage under the new law.
24	Again, a very successful program. We

can certainly, given time, jump into any
questions you have offline and I would hope
get this project reinvigorated, get it across
the finish line.

On air, really important question.

I'll take that really in two ways that are forward-looking. We have existing resources here in New York at DEC that help us to address air problems as we see them with our air folks. The bond act has, as one of its elements, the reduction in air pollution -- the Clean Water, Clean Air, Green Jobs Act. And to the extent that the bond act passes, I'm confident that we can direct investments into areas of core air emissions.

Secondly, the Climate Leadership and
Community Protection Act, our CAC. We talked
a lot about benefits earlier in the day, cost
benefits. I just want to make something
abundantly clear. We talk about a scenario
where we're weaning off of fossil fuels and
the cost and the benefits that we recognize
as a state in regards to that. Those
benefits, the societal benefits, those are

1	lives. That's asthma. Those are those
2	are days lost from work. All of that we'll
3	be avoiding as a result of the improvements
4	that we'll make through the investments in a
5	renewable economy.
6	So I know we're crunched for time, but
7	I want to make that clear so it's not lost on
8	you all and the general public that moving to
9	a renewable energy economy is going to save
10	lives in New York.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you,
12	Commissioner, Madam Chair.
13	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you,
14	Assemblyman.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
18	Krueger for the next witness.
19	(Laughter; overtalk.)
20	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: We done? You
21	done with me?
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I want to thank
23	you very much, Commissioner Seggos, for
24	enending a couple of hours with us

1	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And continue your
3	good work, and we will make more demands on
4	you for the people of New York State.
5	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: (Laughing.)
6	Thank you, Senator.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's what we
8	do.
9	COMMISSIONER SEGGOS: Good night,
10	everybody.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Good
12	night.
13	But now we are moving on to No. 2 on
L 4	Part 2 of Environmental Conservation: Erik
15	Kulleseid, New York State Office of Parks,
16	Recreation and Historic Preservation
17	commissioner.
18	Are you with us, Erik?
19	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: I'm here.
20	Can you hear me?
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Ah, yes. See,
22	you thought we'd never get to you.
23	Welcome. And you have up to
24	10 minutes to highlight key points in your

1	testimony, which we all have in front of us
2	and can read. Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you.
4	And I guess that I can you see my
5	testimony said good afternoon, but I think at
6	this point it's safe to say good evening,
7	Chair Krueger, Chair Weinstein,
8	Senator Serrano, Assemblyman O'Donnell, and
9	members of the State Legislature. Thank you
10	for inviting me here today.
11	I am honored to represent
12	Governor Hochul on behalf of our state parks
13	system, which includes 250 state parks,
14	historic sites, golf courses, boat launches,
15	trails facilities that are essential to
16	societal health and well-being for people
17	from communities all across New York.
18	Last year our parks and our
19	incomparable parks staff welcomed more
20	than 78 million visitors for the second
21	straight year, and overnight stays at
22	campgrounds were the highest ever.
23	New Yorkers continued heading to our parks in
24	record numbers for relief from the COVID

1	pandemic. This follows a decade of steady
2	growth an overall increase of 41 percent
3	in visitation since 2008.

Simultaneously, during 2021 our parks staff were out there providing more than 500 individual COVID missions, such as test site support and equipment distribution.

Additionally, staff provided storm response for 16 individual storm events across the state.

The Governor's proposed budget for the agency is precedent-setting by any measure, reflecting her deep personal support for the park system. As a frequent visitor, she recognizes the value of parks and historic sites and supports the work that needs to be done to maintain our basic infrastructure and meet the needs of our visitors.

The Executive Budget increases operations spending, which is very welcome, and sets staffing at its highest level since 2009, allowing us to rebuild a workforce challenged by the hiring freeze. Something that I know many of you are interested in,

1	retention and recruitment of Park Police is a
2	priority. I've directed the agency to begin
3	planning for a Park Police Training Academy
4	starting this fall.

Governor Hochul will accelerate and enhance our NY Parks 100 initiative, proposing \$200 million in bonded funding for our capital program. This 80 percent increase over last year will position

New York State to celebrate the 100th -- I invite you all to come -- 100th anniversary of the State Parks Act of 1924, which established the system we know today.

This increase is a fantastic vote of confidence in the capacity of this agency to get things done, and it amplifies our ability to meet the recreational and cultural needs of New Yorkers, visitors and tourists.

The funding will help to create a new state park at the High Falls area of downtown Rochester, and build on the far-reaching enhancements to outdoor recreation that we saw last year. Those included a \$30 million renovation of the FDR State Park swimming

1	pool in metropolitan New York City; opening
2	the first-ever Autism Nature Trail at
3	Letchworth State Park to invite those with
4	autism spectrum disorder to come to the
5	outdoors and develop new skills; creating a
6	whole new park area at Niagara Falls State
7	Park the largest expansion of the park
8	since 1885 by removing a segment of the
9	Moses-era parkway. In addition to that, we
10	broke ground on a brand-new visitor center, a
11	\$46 million state-of-the-art visitor center
12	for that park that will open in 2023.
13	We also opened an \$8.3 million
14	Environmental Education and Resiliency Center
15	at Hempstead Lake State Park in
16	Nassau County. The center offers space for
17	hands-on learning and is part of a
18	multi-million-dollar parkwide transformation
19	to reduce flood risk, improve water quality,
20	and enhance recreational access along the
21	Mill River corridor in southern Nassau
22	County.
23	We also celebrated the opening of
24	The Pines@SPAC, a \$9.5 million renovation at

1	the Sa	ıratoga	Performin	ng Arts	Center,	which
2	create	s a fo	ur-season	space.		

3 Obviously there's a lot of other good 4 news outside of our direct budget. By 5 increasing the funding for the EPF to a historic \$400 million, the Executive Budget 6 7 will enhance our stewardship of natural and cultural resources, and better connect parks 8 9 to people. Notable programs include doubling 10 the funding for our successful and vital Parks & Trails Partnership grants, which 11 12 empowers friends' groups to do projects in 13 our parks; a 50 percent increase to our "Connect Kids" program, which allows children 14 from economically distressed and underserved 15 16 communities to experience state parks and historic sites and not only get job skills 17 but learn nature skills; and a 30 percent 18 increase to the Local Parks/Historic 19 20 Preservation Grant Program, a great 21 empowerment for local communities and 22 nonprofits to do their own projects around 23 parks and open space.

Our parks will be eligible for funding

1	from the \$4 billion Clean Water, Clean Air
2	and Green Jobs Environmental Bond Act. If
3	approved by the voters, this bond act will
4	make significant environmental investments
5	across the state, including in our parks.

As the largest owner of shoreline in the State of New York, our parks are vulnerable to climate change, and we also have a lot of exciting projects in former brownfields that can be restored using this funding. So we're very excited about the bond act.

Our Division for Historic Preservation is our engine, our little engine that could. It generates lots of private investment. We continue our nation-leading work to document, preserve and enhance historic resources.

We've now approved the rehabilitation commercial tax credit in more than 1150 individual properties, incentivizing nearly \$14 billion in private investment since the establishment of the current federal program in 1993. The vast majority of this work has occurred since New York State, on its own,

1	established a complementary rehabilitation
2	tax credit in 2007 and enhanced it in 2010.
3	Later this year we will launch a
4	registry that highlights historic businesses
5	that have operated for at least 50 years and
6	have contributed to our communities' history.
7	As always, and I hope you'll take me
8	up on this, I welcome you to visit in the
9	coming year, to see in person the amazing
10	service we provide. Thank you for your
11	support for New York's magnificent natural
12	and historic places, and the millions who
13	benefit from them. I look forward to working
14	with you to make the park system the best it
15	can be. And I welcome any questions.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Serrano,
17	the chair of the Parks, Tourism, et cetera,
18	Committee for the Senate.
19	SENATOR SERRANO: Thank you,
20	Madam Chair.
21	Commissioner, great to be with you.
22	Thank you for your testimony. Wonderful to
23	be with my colleagues on something that we
24	all care so deeply about, and that is our

1	state parks. Very
2	(Zoom audio/video frozen.)
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oops, you froze,
4	Marco. I wonder if you turn your picture off
5	whether your voice will come through.
6	SENATOR SERRANO: I'm sorry. Am I
7	frozen? Am I okay?
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You're okay now.
9	You had frozen.
10	SENATOR SERRANO: So I'll start again.
11	Thank you again. I was very happy to
12	hear in your testimony, Commissioner, about
13	an increase to capital funding. It seems
14	like yesterday, but it was a year ago when we
15	were talking about the next four-year plan
16	when it came to capital funding, and how that
17	could affect some of the high-use parks,
18	those that get that really get a lot of
19	use and maybe are closer to metropolitan
20	areas.
21	So this increased capital funds, how
22	does it affect sort of the most heavily used
23	parks, maybe near New York City?
24	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you for

1	the question. And thank you for being one of
2	our top park users and boosters. It's always
3	great to see you in our parks, and I know how
4	well you know them.

We are -- yes, so the boost in capital funding really allows us to keep moving on our very successful program of the last 10 years, and I think you can expect to see a lot of investment in parks like Harriman, parks like Bear Mountain, parks in the city -- Riverbank -- those places where a lot of people make day trips in the summer, they're there all year long.

And the funding really allows us to take on rebuilding capacity in those parks.

Those parks in particular, over the last
40 years, have actually seen us closing
facilities and limiting our capacity. And so this funding is going to allow us to turn the corner and really start to rebuild in all those areas.

And I'm grateful to your support, I'm grateful to everyone's support for funding in the past, and I think that we are achieving

1	results that should give you confidence that
2	we'll continue into the future.
3	SENATOR SERRANO: But with the
4	pandemic, I can imagine there's been
5	challenges of getting contracting or getting
6	a lot of these programs off these projects
7	off the ground.
8	Are you finding it harder to get these
9	things going, or are we in a good place as
10	far as getting some of these infrastructure
11	upgrades and so on done?
12	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You know, I
13	can't speak for some of the supply chain
14	problems you hear about that are somewhat
15	caused by COVID and other disruptions in the
16	economy. Right?
17	But no, our team has been is ready
18	to stand this up. You know, one thing we've
19	learned in the last 10 years is how to run a
20	capital program, and we are excited by the
21	opportunity and think that we are really a
22	mature capital agency now that can deliver

these results. No, we're very excited about

this possibility.

23

1	SENATOR SERRANO: One thing that I've
2	been hearing about, Commissioner, from
3	advocates and folks who care about the way
4	the parks operate is full-time park
5	employees. You mentioned a little bit or it
6	was written in your information about
7	increases in hiring. But I think there's so
8	much more that we can and should do.
9	What are some of the goals to try to
10	fill the gap for having the number of
11	employees there to help our parks deal with
12	the increase in usership that we've been
13	seeing over the years?
14	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So I think
15	and thank you for that question. Obviously I
16	think first of all the return to a fill level
17	that's above wherever we were in 2008 is
18	obviously very welcome news, and we are in
19	full-on hiring mode to get ourselves up to
20	that level. We're very grateful to the
21	Governor for releasing the freeze last year.
22	You know, I think that we have always
23	been an agency that can do things on lean
24	budgets, and as the well has been tight. I

1	think we are able we're going into the
2	coming season with huge optimism about our
3	ability to provide and keep the kind of
4	service level that we've had, that people
5	expect in our parks. Obviously this increase
6	is huge because it gives us positions for the
7	future. And we'll see, obviously, as parks
8	come online, we may need more funding and
9	more staffing, but right now we're sort of
10	laying the groundwork.
11	So we're in pretty good shape right
12	now. I think we feel very confident about
13	the future.
14	SENATOR SERRANO: I want to talk a
15	little bit briefly about diversity in parks.
16	So, I mean, you've often heard me speak about
17	my own experience growing up in the city,
18	growing up in the South Bronx, but having the
19	opportunity to connect with parks at a young
20	age had a profound effect on my life and was
21	quite transformative, and it's something that
22	I take with me to this day.
23	And I want to see more and more of

those opportunities for kids in inner

1	cities not just in New York City, but
2	throughout the state and finding ways to
3	connect kids to parks and create sort of
4	these lifelong connections for folks to
5	become stewards of parks, not just visitors.
6	What are some of the plans that you
7	have to make that happen?
8	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So it's
9	something that's near and dear to my heart as
10	well. We've established obviously we have
11	the Connects Kids program. We are also
12	growing a new program called Ladders to the
13	Outdoors, which is meant to take advantage of
14	the fact that our footprint covers urban
15	areas to the most wilderness areas, as a way
16	of progressing people through levels of
17	comfort into various levels of park
18	experience.
19	But we also have our Connect Kids
20	program. We were talking about expanding the
21	prospects to Connect Kids. Connect Kids
22	right now is very much targeted towards
23	schools, after-school programs, to get them

transportation to the parks. With the

1	changes that we're proposing in that, we'll
2	be able to not only do that but provide
3	skills training to even, you know, jobs, work
4	skills, recreation skills. And we are very
5	excited about the possibilities.

And we have, as you know, at Harriman and other places with the group camps, offered huge opportunities, huge venues for us to be able to expand the scope of what we do for our youth in the cities.

I should say also in the City of

Syracuse we're working closely with a group
in the City of Syracuse to get more Syracuse

City youth working in our parks in the parks
in the area around Syracuse. It's something

I take very seriously and am grateful for
your support and look forward to getting
help, as we can, to forge those ties and make
sure our ties to diverse populations are as
strong as they can be.

SENATOR SERRANO: Connecting more and more people to parks I think is obviously a goal of all of ours. And we've seen, during the pandemic -- not that we needed any

1	additional proof, the pandemic proved to the
2	world how important parks and green spaces
3	are. Folks turned to our parks as a very
4	safe way to be out and recreate and hike and
5	camp and do all of the things that we needed
6	to do for mental health and our physical
7	health as well.

But with all of that increase in usership, obviously there's challenges that go along with that. It's a good problem to have. I would love to see even more people, every single year over year, do that.

But at the same time I would love to see park users, as I mentioned in my previous statement, become more stewards of the parks, and maybe training programs and educational programs to help people do that, to also venture into other parts of the parks.

Sometimes folks like to focus on some of the more familiar areas. But we have so many trails -- and sort of educating people about all the different wonders that we have in our state parks, like in a place like Harriman or any of the other parks.

1	I just what can you tell me a
2	little bit about creating stewards of the
3	parks throughout New York State?
4	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So one of the
5	things we've been able to build in the past
6	10 years or so has been a robust education
7	program. So we've expanded the number of
8	nature centers in our parks so that you can
9	come in, kids can get exposure to learning
10	about wildlife, learning about environmental
11	ethics. We are teaching and building the
12	next generation of stewards.
13	Because you're totally right. You
14	know, this generation that seems to be tied
15	to phones and I'm someone who has to deal
16	with that myself need to be helped in sort
17	of getting out in nature.
18	I should note we're also trying to
19	make it just easier for people to get there.
20	You know, we have obviously language
21	capacity, but right now we have a new app

that we use called New York State Parks

Explorer, which gives you a look, helps steer

you to places, helps give notices of what's

22

23

1	going on in our parks. But it is something
2	we do every day that sort of makes sure that
3	we can build those connections. And it is
4	one of the most important things for me as
5	the commissioner to try and address.

SENATOR SERRANO: Now, the whole idea of stewardship, is that something that the Parks Department will want to do themselves, or is this something that friends' groups have a hand in as well? How does that work?

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Oh, we do obviously -- you know, one of the great things about state parks is we can model the best behavior. Right? Because we have so many people come to enjoy themselves in our parks. So if we are modeling sustainability around solar infrastructure, around making sure that our parking lots and other places are not just sources of sheet flow and surface flow pollution -- right? We're a capital program, we're trying to green up our infrastructure, make ourselves more sustainable and make an educational opportunity for the kids and the families

1	that are coming through our parks.
2	It's something we take very seriously,
3	and I think we actually have a unique
4	platform there because we have so many
5	visitors a year so there's so much we can
6	show people about what it means to be true
7	good stewards of the land.
8	SENATOR SERRANO: Well, thank you. I
9	think my time is up. It's always a pleasure
10	speaking with you, Commissioner.
11	Thank you, Madam Chair.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
13	Senator Serrano.
14	Assemblywoman Weinstein.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
16	Assemblyman O'Donnell, chair of our Tourism
17	and Parks Committee.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Good evening,
19	Commissioner.
20	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Good evening.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: I've been
22	waiting for you
23	(Laughter.)
24	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: just so you

know.

2	So I'm very, very happy with the
3	capital funding in this budget, the
4	200 million for parks, and also the municipal
5	parks funding. But there's a lot of
6	discussions around equity and equitable and
7	what is inequitable funding. And the tale
8	I'll tell, I represent three New York City
9	parks, all designed by Frederick Olmsted
10	Morningside Park, Central Park, and
11	Riverside Park. And the prettiest of them is
12	Morningside Park, but it was ignored by the
13	City of New York for one reason and one
14	reason only: It was in Harlem. Okay?
15	And so in the end, equitable as a
16	distribution of resources can be very
17	subjective. So how are you going to figure
18	out where you're going to put this capital
19	funding?
20	So for example, you know, my friend
21	Senator Serrano talked about the parks that
22	are the most used, have the most people
23	coming to them. But they may not be the
24	parks that are most in need of capital

2 COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So thank you
3 for the question, and nice to see you.

So yes, I think that the great thing about having this renewed investment in capital is going to allow us to invest in parks. And keep in mind, obviously, you know, the parks we've opened in the last few years. We opened a park in Brooklyn, right, in East New York, with Shirley Chisholm State Park. So it's something we take seriously.

I think what you're going to see,
Assemblyman, is that we will be -- Riverbank,
which is not in your district but close by,
is obviously key to the Harlem community.
We've invested \$100 million over the past
years. Or Roberto Clemente, which is one of
our parks in the South Bronx. And I think -you know, Senator Serrano and I were talking
about Harriman. As you know, Harriman on a
weekend is full of day-trippers from New York
City, from the five boroughs and particularly
from Northern Manhattan, the Bronx. You go
around and Spanish is the primary language

1	spoken.
_	

that some of those parks that are in the suburbs and that ring New York are actually vital parts of New York City -- sort of breathing room in the summer. And I include in that the pool that we just refurbished at Franklin Delano Roosevelt, and Rockland Lake State Park. We've got a number of facilities that have become the destination for people who don't have those kind of opportunities in the city.

So I think you're going to see a lot,
I think, if this money gets invested, in
those parks that ring the city and yet have
unfortunately not been restored the way we've
restored some of our biggest places.

ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, I share
Senator Serrano's view of the importance of
parks in my childhood, except that was
exclusively Robert Moses State Park and
Robert Moses Causeway. So as a child I
thought he was a hero. I of course have had
a revision of my view of Robert Moses. But I

1	can understand how important it needs to be
2	that you do this gingerly, because no one
3	likes to feel like they're being treated
4	inequitably.

Same question about staffing. How are you going to address that issue about having additional positions, and where will they be put?

is -- let me think about the question. So we have -- we are -- our fill level is proposed to go up to 2087, which like I said is higher than it's been since 2008. Right now we are in a full-on effort to recruit all that staff.

You know, it will end up -- we know,
we know where our gaps are. We know that we
have gaps downstate. It will go -- I mean,
we actually in this case, you know, our gap
is fairly consistent statewide. Right? And
we are -- and you're going to see a lot of -you're going to see a lot of increases across
the state. But it's really one of those
things where we want each park -- we know

1	what the numbers are in New York City. We
2	know what they are in our parks. As you
3	know, we have eight parks in New York City,
4	and then we have the parks outside. They'll
5	be getting the kind of staff we need. We are
6	able to target those really high-need parks
7	that need to have be bolstered.
8	And of course over the summer we'll be
9	hiring, you know, a thousand people to serve
10	as seasonals in our parks. And that of
11	course
12	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well as you
13	know, I live just south of Riverbank State
14	Park, which mostly means I can smell it. And
15	the reality is is that park was not placed
16	there because of its gorgeous scenery. That
17	park was placed there in exchange for having
18	to put in a sewage treatment plant.
19	And so to me, that makes it somewhat
20	different situationally than other parks that
21	were put there because of the extraordinary
22	mountain or the extraordinary view that
23	surrounded it.
24	Now, last time we were here there was

1	a discussion about the creation of new parks
2	on the Hudson where you'd be able to do boat
3	launches. And at the time there was no
4	proposed name of that park. What is the
5	status of that?
6	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So we have a
7	couple of parks a couple of park
8	initiatives on the Hudson. The boat launches
9	are really in existing villages in the upper
10	Hudson, between Hudson and Albany, that we
11	call the Hudson Eagles Recreation Area, and
12	there you're seeing they are being named,
13	those boat launches are being named by the
14	community they're in. So there's one coming
15	up in Coxsackie, they've got one in Hudson.
16	But also but you're right, we've
17	also the state has recently acquired a
18	500-acre piece of land, a former quarry in
19	the City of Kingston, in the Town of Ulster.
20	And we are we hope to be able to get
21	something open there by Earth Day, and we
22	will have an unveiling on the name at that
23	point.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: May I ask, is

1	there any current consideration of naming any
2	more parks after the former Governor's
3	family?
4	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: That is not
5	under consideration, as far as I know.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, I'm very
7	happy to hear that.
8	How about the current Governor? Has
9	she suggested that you name anything after
10	her family?
11	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: There's been
12	no suggestion in that regard.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN O'DONNELL: Well, that's
14	very good news.
15	Commissioner, it's been a pleasure. I
16	will yield the rest of my time.
17	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you,
18	Assemblyman.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
20	Assemblyman.
21	Our next speaker will be Senator John
22	Mannion, if he ah, there he is.
23	SENATOR MANNION: I appreciate the
24	guardrail, Senator Krueger, thank you.

1	Hello, Commissioner. I'm getting my
2	bearings here, so I appreciate it. We're
3	going to start with Environmental
4	Conservation Police as well as Forest
5	Rangers, Park Police. They do not have a
6	20-year retirement plan. So, you know, as
7	someone who represented people in the public
8	sector, I think I understand the demands of
9	jobs that are like these. And can you speak
10	to how possibly that 20-year lack of a
11	20-year retirement plan might be impacting
12	recruiting and retaining members? And how is
13	recruitment and retainment going at this
14	time?
15	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Well, I as
16	I you know, I'm glad to be able to say
17	that we are finally able I mean the
18	Park Police in particular, over the last two
19	years, have been in limbo. But I'm happy to
20	be able to say that we are now laying the
21	groundwork to do a Park Police Academy in the
22	fall so that we can begin to address the
23	attrition that we've faced over the last two
24	years when we've not been doing academies.

1	I'm very excited about that because,
2	as you know, our Park Police play a vital and
3	unique role of community policing for us.
4	They're in the parks, they mitigate
5	situations before they get hot. So they're a
6	great resource for us.
7	I think what you're going to see is
8	where we really get hit with attrition, which
9	is the effect of the benefits you're talking
10	about, is in the downstate region, so we'll
11	be looking at measures that we can take to
12	address attrition and figure out how to
13	retain more people, whether it's geo-pay or
14	other measures that can be taken to make that
15	up. Obviously, you know, the 20-year
16	retirement is one of the factors, but we're
17	going to take on the factors as an agency
18	that we can take on to sort of address that
19	situation.
20	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you,
21	Commissioner.
22	As chair of Disabilities, it's
23	important to be accommodating and create
24	accessible opportunities for everyone. I

1	have not seen but have heard great things
2	about the Autism Trail at Letchworth State
3	Park, and am hopeful that a proposal to
4	create a similar trail at Green Lakes State
5	Park, you know, can occur.
6	Can you let me know whether or not
7	funding will or has been allocated, and can
8	you just generally speak to the expansion of
9	these types of trails across the state? You
10	have 30 seconds. It's been a long day, so
11	whatever piece of that you want to answer is
12	great.
13	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Well, I'll
14	just say obviously we're very excited about
15	the Autism Nature Trail at Letchworth. It's
16	entirely privately fundraised.
17	It is something we're looking at for
18	Green Lakes. We are looking we're talking
19	to the foundation community. Obviously it
20	needs to go through design and other things
21	first before anything can happen.
22	But certainly coming out of our

Central Region, we think it's very exciting

because we would love -- when we built the

23

1	Autism Nature Trail we always hoped that
2	there would be opportunities to replicate it
3	across the state and really across the
4	country. We think it's a great, great, great
5	thing.
6	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	Assembly.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
10	Assemblyman Ra, five minutes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chair.
12	Commissioner, good evening. I want to
13	go back to what Senator Mannion started with
14	in terms of the Park Police.
15	I know that, you know, the Governor
16	recently, you know, made some announcements,
17	which are great, and having a class coming
18	in. Can you tell me, has the memo from
19	former Governor Cuomo from December of 2019
20	been formally rescinded at this point? Where
21	are we with regard to that?
22	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: The memo's
23	not been rescinded. But there are plenty of
24	provisions in that that related to a

1	transition into the State Police force.
2	Those are not those are not operative.
3	They continue to be under the command of the
4	State Police, but there's right now that
5	memo has not been rescinded.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay, thank you.
7	And you talked about some of the
8	issues, geographic pay, 20-year pension.
9	Obviously having a class coming in is great.
10	We know that the force is down and needs to
11	be, you know, rebuilt and all of that. But
12	really one of the important things here is
13	that we ensure that we have a class and we
14	retain these officers. Right? And we keep
15	them and we keep them here and we're not just
16	training to send them to another department.
17	So, I mean, can you speak any further
18	in terms of, you know, the Governor, you
19	know, had it vetoed, said it should be done
20	in the budget. It's not in the proposal. I
21	mean, does do you or the agency support
22	enacting that 20-year pension bill?
23	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: We
24	obviously that's something we are working

1	on with the Governor's office. And I think
2	right now, like I said, our focus is on the
3	academy, it's on other measures we can take
4	to address that situation.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And lastly, is there a longer-term plan in addition to just this fall academy, plans for future academy classes or any of that? Or is right now just looking at the fall 2022 academy?

commissioner Kulleseid: You know,
it's obviously always subject to budget
capacities like that, but right now -- this
is a course correction, right? This is meant
to stabilize and make sure this force
continues to be able to meet the needs of the
78 million people who visit our parks every
year. So no, this is not just a one-off by
any means.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: All right, thank you.

I just think, you know, and I know many
members have expressed, you know, concerns
and want to, you know, advocate for this -these officers. I think it's important that
we do that, we reinvigorate this force, we

1	rebuild the numbers there. You know, people
2	in our state have been utilizing our parks,
3	they were a great resource during the
4	pandemic. Obviously many of them served
5	you know, I know myself, I got my vaccination
6	at Jones Beach. You had, you know, testing
7	sites, vaccination sites, and the
8	Parks Police were instrumental in
9	helping make that happen.
10	So, you know, I look forward to you
11	know, me and my colleagues are going to
12	continue advocating that we make sure that we
13	have proper staffing within that department
14	so that they're there to keep our residents
15	safe when they're enjoying our beautiful
16	parks. So thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You're
18	welcome. And I echo that, you know, we are
19	very much glad to be on a path forward rather
20	than the limbo that we've been in for the
21	past two years. So it's a welcome change for
22	us. Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you,
24	Commissioner.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
2	Senate.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Senator Michelle Hinchey.
5	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you.
6	Commissioner, it's great to see you. And
7	thank you, Madam Chair.
8	I actually have had some questions
9	that were answered, so I'm happy to yield my
10	time.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Wow. Thank you
12	so much, Senator Hinchey. You get extra
13	points.
L 4	Back to you, Assemblymember.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Do you want to
16	call on another Senator? That would be okay.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, okay. Thank
18	you. Well then we do have Senator Serino,
19	the ranker on Parks.
20	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you, Madam
21	Chair.
22	Commissioner, it's so nice to see you.
23	And I can't thank you enough for all the time
24	that you've spent in our district, too. It's

1	reallv	meaningful.

2	So my first question is that my
3	understanding is that the Governor's
4	Executive Budget provides enough funding for
5	the agency to begin hiring and training the
6	new Park Police officers. But I was
7	wondering if there's funding from this budget
8	that is specifically earmarked to address
9	like recruitment, training, retention, and
10	the equipment needs of the Park Police
11	officers. And if so, how much and do you
12	know how exactly that would be distributed?
13	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So yes.
14	There's not a line item, but our budget it
15	comes out of our operating budget. Our
16	budget is sufficient for us to be able to run
17	the police academy, including the recruiting
18	of candidates, and all that's necessary to
19	run an academy.
20	We are also continuing we've
21	actually been doing purchases even while sort
22	of the status of the force was in limbo the
23	last couple of years, and those we will
24	continue. I don't know what they are off the

1	top of my head. I can sort of give you a
2	sense or I can get back to you in terms of
3	what the exact amounts are that we're doing
4	now.

And then, like I said, you know, we are looking to take the kind of measures we can take in terms of retention and promoting retention, without necessarily needing to have an act of the Legislature or what have you.

So I think those are the things that we are -- so we're taking those steps as we can and looking I guess at our flexibility within our current systems that sort of deliver better wages in those areas where we really lose people. Because it is -- it is primarily just a regional thing, right? We don't lose much in the western part of the state. It's really in the metropolitan area that we lose people because of the other opportunities that people have.

SENATOR SERINO: And then -- thank

you. And then my second question is that

I've heard that some of the park zones are

1	unable to communicate via computer with the
2	county 911 calls due to like needed upgrades.
3	I was just wondering if you've heard that.
4	And also, if that is so, what's the plan to
5	fix it?
6	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: I'm not aware
7	of that. I can get back to you. But I will
8	say that I can I'll get back to you on the
9	specifics there.
10	But obviously a lot of our parks are
11	in remote places, right. We have just
12	like DEC, we've got parks where it's not easy
13	to get service all the time.
14	I will say, though, we work very
15	closely with local governments, local police
16	forces, local emergency management systems.
17	It's been a great partnership for us across
18	the state. We're always grateful, actually,
19	to local governments who help us in our parks
20	with many situations.
21	SENATOR SERINO: That's great. And
22	then I have another question.
23	During the COVID pandemic the parks
24	across the state have seen an uptick in

1	visitors. And it's great that so many
2	New Yorkers are really getting to the
3	outdoors and seeing all that our region has
4	to offer. But one concern is that some
5	visitors may be less aware of the appropriate
6	precautions to take to protect themselves
7	against Lyme and tick-borne diseases. As you
8	know, Commissioner, I've always said I'm
9	always talkin' ticks.

Has your office taken maybe some steps to adapt to this surge in the park and trail utilization with respect to education and outreach efforts about ticks? You know, especially since there's a lot of folks that aren't aware of them.

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: I mean, as you know, right, in terms of Lyme disease, the best preventative is to be educated and know how to handle a tick and know how to look for them and dress appropriately. And that's something we take very seriously.

We have lots of -- we always have materials at our biggest trailheads to make sure people are able to learn about it. And

1	I'm looking forward to working with our new
2	commissioner of Health on possible other
3	initiatives.
4	But, you know, I think obviously
5	and this is, as you know, it's a problem that
6	afflicts not just our public lands but our
7	private lands, right. And so it's one of
8	those things where the more we can get the
9	information out there, the more healthy
10	people will be. So because no one should
11	be afraid to go in the woods, they should
12	just be prepared to pick ticks.
13	SENATOR SERINO: Yeah, and I think it
14	does take a multi-agency like I think all
15	of us have to get together and really work on
16	this as a team.
17	So thank you so much, Commissioner. I
18	appreciate you answering my questions, and
19	it's great to see you.
20	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Good to see
21	you too.
22	SENATOR SERINO: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	And back to you, Assemblywoman.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to our
2	Tourism ranker, Assemblywoman Giglio.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: I want to thank
4	the chair and the ranker on Ways and Means
5	for sticking through this the past couple of
6	days. I know it's been a long couple of
7	weeks for both of you, so thank you for that.
8	And Commissioner, thank you for the
9	great job you're doing for all of our parks.
10	I mean, they were really a lifesaver during
11	the pandemic where families could get out for
12	fun and a healthy environment and just get
13	out of the house. So thank you very much.
14	So I have a few questions. One of
15	them is pertaining to renewables on parkland
16	in New York State and whether or not that
17	would reduce the area of parks and recreation
18	not only for the existing parks but for
19	future buildout of parks. So that is one
20	question.
21	And then as far as the transmission
22	lines and the substations, do we have any
23	parks in mind that these renewables would be
24	built on?

1	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So obviously,
2	you know, we have an opportunity at State
3	Parks to model sustainability, as I said
4	earlier. Right? And if we are installing
5	solar and all these kinds of things, it's a
6	way of saying, hey, New York, you know,
7	New York State's doing it, your favorite park
8	is doing it.
9	So I would say by and large it serves
10	a you asked about siting. I mean, we are
11	now about I think we are about 15 percent
12	right now solarized within our parks. And,
13	you know, we've been able to do that in many
14	cases by carving out a piece of a parking
15	lot, the edge of a parking lot, you know, at
16	Robert Moses State Park on Long Island. And
17	we'll be doing that we're doing that in
18	Hudson Valley and certain places in in
19	certain strategically placed places that
20	don't affect viewsheds and things like that.
21	So we've not found that it affects our
22	ability to welcome the public to date. We

also are able to do stuff on rooftops.

Right? We have 5,000 buildings, and so where

23

1	we can, we're putting it on top of roofs to
2	sort of make sure that we are not disrupting
3	that experience.
4	And obviously as the park agency, our
5	priority is recreation, so we need to be
6	making sure that none of this stuff affects
7	our ability to welcome the public.
8	So but it's one of those things
9	that we've been successful at, and I think
10	and we've solarized 15 percent without
11	looking like we're taking over parks with
12	this stuff. So I'm confident that we can do
13	the siting necessary and make this sensitive
14	and delicate so we can accomplish this
15	without impacting the recreating public.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Okay. And is
17	there a goal to put these transmission lines
18	underground rather than overhead for future
19	renewables?
20	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: We you
21	know, that's a good question. And maybe this
22	would fall we in our generally, I'll
23	say this, the facilities that we've placed

are placed near transmission lines. It's

1	actually that's actually a challenge for
2	us at some of our parks because they're not
3	close enough to relay points where they're
4	going to be. So there's a way we have a
5	ways to go.

Something like Jones Beach, it seems obvious -- all that beach, all that sand, all those parking lots -- but it is actually pretty far from the nearest junction where you can tie it into.

So we have some challenges in those areas, but by and large nothing -- we're sort of being strategic still at that point, making sure we're close to those facilities so we don't have to do a lot of overhead wires, no -- by and large we're putting stuff underground so we're connecting in through the underground.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: So speaking of Jones Beach, because that's the beach that I grew up on, is there any plans for a substation nearby so that it's easier to tie into or build the high-voltage lines in order to get the renewables to a substation?

1	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: There isn't.
2	There's no current plan. It is a park,
3	though; obviously it's important we address
4	this. You know, we have to look at whether
5	we solarize Jones Beach on a building by
6	building basis, right, so we put in smaller
7	facilities linked to buildings so we don't
8	need to be near a larger substation.
9	But it's a that is a challenge.
10	That was one that led us to do this at
11	Robert Moses because we were closer to that
12	substation than we are at Jones Beach. But
13	there's no plan right now to build a
14	substation.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Okay. And then
16	as far as SHPO, the State Historic
17	Preservation Office, which I deal with
18	regularly, I mean they're a great agency and
19	they really help in our historic downtowns
20	and in our tourism areas. But they're very
21	understaffed.
22	So is there any plans to get them
23	additional help so that these projects so
24	that they can take care of the state tax

Τ	credits that are offered so that people are
2	encouraged to keep historic structures on
3	revenue-generating buildings rather than
4	and tying them with the federal tax credit so
5	that we can preserve more of these historic
6	structures, rather than them being torn down?
7	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Obviously our
8	SHPO, all the staff we have at the State
9	Historic Preservation Office are included in
10	our agency fill, so as we hire across the
11	state, and with this new flexibility in our
12	fill level, we'll be hiring at SHPO.
13	We also have had some staff turnover.
14	But, you know, I'm always amazed at the
15	volume of work that is done by that group
16	already. Right? I mean it's amazing that
17	the projects committee the number of
18	mega-projects they've delivered not just in
19	New York City but in Buffalo and as you
20	said, our downtowns across the state are
21	really put in position to be able to compete
22	with greenfield development because of these
23	tax credits.

So we share your enthusiasm for it

T	totally, and we'll make sure that agency get
2	the resources that part of the agency get:
3	the resources they need.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GIGLIO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Back to Senator
7	Tom O'Mara, our ranker on Finance.
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
9	Good evening, Commissioner. Thanks
10	for being with us.
11	I've just got one quick question. I
12	don't see anything in the budget for a
13	project that's been talked about for a while
14	for Seneca Lake State Park, up at the north
15	end of Seneca Lake, in Seneca County just
16	east of Geneva, a public/private partnership
17	that's been worked on for several years now.
18	Where do we stand on that?
19	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You're
20	referring, I think, to sort of an extension
21	of our arrangement with the Sessler
22	Companies. As you know I don't have to
23	tell you you know, I guess we're three or
24	four years into it now. We have got a

phenomenally successful partnership with them at Sampson State Park, right, where they have expanded that and we've been able to expand camping, expand lodging, expand the facility, redo that marina. They are a great partner.

We are looking forward to building on that partnership at Seneca Lake State Park.

And, you know, we are still sort of talking through the proposals at this point. You don't need to see -- you probably won't see a line item in the budget for that because it can come out -- whatever state share, if there's a state share, it could come out of our existing capital. But we're still talking to the Sesslers about what that looks like.

SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Thank you for that update. Please keep me advised on what's going on. I do hear from the Sesslers from time to time, and I know what a fantastic job they did with Sampson -- and I think you agree -- and it would be a great improvement for the north end of the lake to Seneca Lake State Park.

1	So thank you very much.
2	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Good. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	And Senator O'Mara, I was trying to
6	tell the timekeeper you got five minutes, but
7	you didn't even need the three minutes, so
8	you get extra-extra points. Thank you.
9	SENATOR O'MARA: I'm trying to earn
10	some points back.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You're getting
13	points back.
14	Assemblymember Weinstein.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we go to
16	Assemblyman Smullen.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you very
18	much, Chair.
19	Commissioner, it's great to see you.
20	A lot of my questions were asked and
21	answered, thank you. But the one I didn't
22	hear about, with my love of history, the
23	250th anniversary of the Revolution, the
24	planning commission. How is that going? I'm

fully in support. I really want to get a
jump-start on it so we can plan for it in
these coming years to make it really special

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: I think, if I heard correctly, I believe the legislation creating the Revolutionary War Commission passed, maybe even today, that was -- that went -- whether it was chaptered during the last session. So that's really good news.

As you know, that is -- I and the commissioner of Education are the joint chairs of that, and we'll be -- we've actually started looking at names to put -- to populate that commission. I share with you your excitement. Obviously, you know, New York -- you know, the Revolutionary War started in Boston with that ride, and then ended at Yorktown, but by and large it was -- the struggle took place in New York, and state historic sites commemorate -- I think 30 of our state historic sites are involved in the Revolutionary War. So New York has a unique and pivotal role in both the war and also describing it.

1	So I am very much looking forward to
2	building that committee. Like I said, we're
3	already taking steps to form the commission.
4	And look forward to working with you because
5	I think there's obviously legislative
6	delegates to that. Look forward to working
7	with you all to build that for the future,
8	because certainly, you know, it will be the
9	next Governor's term to do it and I'm hoping
10	obviously my Governor, Governor Kathy Hochul
11	will be leading us into 2026 and the
12	250th anniversary of the Declaration of
13	Independence.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN SMULLEN: Thank you very
15	much. I look forward to supporting it.
16	I yield the rest of my time back.
17	Thank you, Chair.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
19	Senate.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Commissioner. I just have
22	a couple of questions for you. It doesn't
23	necessarily relate to parks, but rather the
24	Historic Preservation office under your

1	authority, and you came out with a decision
2	contradictory to the Governor's proposal for
3	a Penn Station rebuild.
4	Now, I'm actually I think mostly on
5	your side of this. Even though I want
6	Penn Station rebuilt, I also don't want the
7	entire community and all the other buildings
8	and the neighborhoods run over.
9	So I'm just curious, you know, how
10	this decision was made, and how are you
11	approaching this now?
12	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So thank you
13	for this opportunity, because it is an issue
14	that's been in the press some and it's been
15	out there.
16	So we this spring, because of the age
17	of Madison Square Garden and One Penn Plaza,
18	we did a review and decided and looked at
19	it technically, and it is actually eligible
20	for listing. Right? We did not list it.
21	It's not listed on the National Registry.
22	It's just eligible.
23	But that really doesn't mean anything
24	about the future of Penn Station. Penn

1	Station, the whole the whole complex can
2	be replaced with a brand-new transit center.
3	It just requires our Historic Preservation
4	Office to be consulted and brought on. And
5	you'll see there are circumstances where
6	something is removed and we may ask that it
7	be interpreted, there's something people need
8	to learn about the history of the first
9	Penn Station, Madison Square Garden, the
10	current one that replaced it.
11	But there's nothing in that
12	designation that impedes the ability to
13	totally reimagine that transportation
14	complex. There's nothing at all in that
15	designation.
16	You're on mute. You're on mute.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	The City of New York now has also
19	said, through its City Planning Department,
20	We're not sure about this whole thing. And
21	I'm also glad that people who call themselves
22	urban planners and city planners and people
23	who have an appreciation for the importance
24	of historic preservation and how sometimes

1	the City of New York has jumped too quickly
2	and destroyed too much in our need to grow
3	and modernize.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I hope that you will continue to, you know, participate with your expertise in historic preservation. I know that -- well, who knows after tomorrow. But I think -- I don't represent that block, but I represent blocks near it, and there's an enormous number of respected community organizations and urban planners who have been saying, Okay, we have to take a deep breath here and understand what we need to do and what we maybe don't need to do and still to ensure that we get a 21st century replacement for the existing Penn Station -- because nobody thinks what we have is good. In fact, we never should have torn down the original, because it was gorgeous.

So I look forward to, you know, other expertise that your department might have on this issue.

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: That's great.

No, our Historic Preservation Office,

1	1.m very proud of our historic preservation
2	office, I think we are the most flexible,
3	integrated group out there. I think we will
4	be part of that process. Looking forward to
5	helping, because no one on our staff wants to
6	save Penn Station. It needs to be fixed.
7	But obviously as you're balancing Madison
8	Square Garden, you're also thinking about the
9	historic assets on the block to the south.
10	Right?
11	So it's a big, very large discussion,
12	complicated, and we will be at the table the
13	whole way through. Look forward to it.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great. Thank you
15	very much. I cede the rest of my time.
16	And Assemblywoman, until another
17	Senator surprises me and pops up, it's the
18	Assembly's turn.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So we go
20	to Assemblyman Tague, three minutes.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Thank you,
22	Chairwomen. I'm going to be very, very brief
23	because a lot of my questions have been
24	answered as well.

1	Commissioner, thank you very much for
2	giving us your time today.
3	I'm just going to pile on with
4	everybody else with regards to the
5	Park Police. I live within a stone's throw
6	of Max V. Shaul State Park and Mine Kill
7	State Park. Not only are they in my
8	district, but they're within my hometown,
9	home county. The folks from Park Police
10	offered an extra line of defense, protection
11	and safety during this COVID, and I just am
12	in hopes that moving forward that we don't
13	forget them and we realize how important they
14	are to each one of our areas.
15	So like many of my colleagues that
16	spoke today, I'm in full support of
17	increasing the number of Park Police that we
18	have in our parks and our communities.
19	Secondly, you know, I just want to say
20	thank you again. One concern I have is the
21	amount of money that we have budgeted for
22	maintenance within our parks. Recently I was
23	at Mine Kill State Park, and, you know, I

noticed some areas there were some

1	maintenance issues. And I'm hoping that
2	we're not letting maintenance slide in these
3	state parks, especially now that there's an
4	increase of folks coming to our parks. I
5	think we want to make sure that they're in
6	the best shape and best condition as
7	possible.
8	We have I think somebody mentioned
9	earlier, one of my colleagues, how we have
10	such a unique and beautiful area across
11	New York State, and I think our parks show
12	that. I've been in many in the
13	North Country, the Saratoga region, and then
14	again in my home territory, and they are
15	extremely beautiful and offer a lot to not
16	just residents of New York State, but people
17	that travel through New York.
18	So with that, sir, I'm going to let
19	you comment. And I'll cede back the rest of
20	my time, Chairwoman.
21	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Yes, so I'm
22	going to share just I think you're right.
23	I mean, I have friends from Massachusetts an

New Jersey who come to New York State parks

1	because they're so much better than the parks
2	in their home states. So it's a high
3	standard and a high goal we have to in
4	terms of maintaining our parks.
5	Obviously the good news, having
6	getting more staff and getting more budget
7	room is going to help us to make sure that
8	we're maintaining the parks. I will just
9	note that in particular the Mine Kill
10	Mine Kill also is a special arrangement, as
11	you know, we have with NYPA, so a lot of that
12	funding comes from them. But I'm glad you
13	raised that point, because it is something we
14	need to do to make sure they're all in
15	excellent shape.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we move on
17	to Assemblywoman Woerner.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you,
19	Chairwoman.
20	Thank you, Commissioner, for joining
21	us this evening. You and I spoke earlier
22	about the Park Police, and I really
23	appreciate the efforts that you're taking to
24	grow the police force.

Τ	But I have three questions that I'll
2	stack up really quickly. One, last year we
3	added a Small Projects Tax Credit to the
4	Historic Preservation Tax Credit, and I'm
5	wondering if you could tell us a little bit
6	about how that's going.
7	Secondly, you talked about the capital
8	monies going into improvements in the parks.
9	Can you talk about what you're doing to
10	improve our historic sites? In the face of
11	the 250th anniversary celebration, what are
12	we doing to enhance our historic sites?
13	And then third, on this subject of
14	historic sites, is there a plan for the Susan
15	B. Anthony House in Greenwich that we all
16	contributed money to restoring?
17	Those are my three questions.
18	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Okay, just
19	give me the code word for the first one.
20	What was the first one again?
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Small projects
22	with the Historic Preservation Tax Credit.
23	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So thank you,
24	thank you to the Legislature for coming in

1	behind that. We've seen we wanted to
2	expand the eligibility of that credit, make
3	it more like an even better, more
4	advantageous for those small projects that
5	are key to upstate communities.

I'm not sure -- I mean, we obviously are implementing that change. I don't know exactly what the results are. We can get you what those results are right now. It's pretty early in the process, but I think we see it as something just going to increase the robustness of that program.

And then speaking about our historic sites, our historic sites obviously are part of the budget and we have opportunities to invest in -- particularly right now, but not only, our Revolutionary War sites. But I think you're going to hear great news coming out this year at places like Olana. And we're also working at some of the really leading sites downstate like John Jay and other places where we can -- we do have that ability to tell the stories of our Founding Fathers -- I guess we still call them the

1	Founding Fathers. I don't know if there may
2	be a better term these days. And we look
3	forward to continuing to invest in historic
4	sites as well.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Great. And
6	then just lastly the
7	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: I'm sorry,
8	and the third one was Susan B. Anthony House.
9	So thank you, yes, and that's a
10	great obviously, as you know, the changes
11	that are taking place there. We would
12	love as you know, we'd love to have a
13	partner in there because it's remote from our
14	other facilities. But we are looking now
15	that it's stabilized, we're very excited
16	about the possibilities. We've made it
17	safer, and all kinds of possibilities. We
18	look forward to working with you. You've
19	been a great supporter of the work we've done
20	there, and we need to keep doing that work.
21	Thank you very much.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you very
23	much. And I look forward to working with
24	your Historic Preservation team on that

1	project. So thank you very much, and I'll
2	cede back the rest of my time.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
4	Assemblyman Brown.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you,
6	Madam Chair.
7	Good evening, Commissioner. It's
8	great to talk to you.
9	My district happens to be I have no
10	state parks, but I'm surrounded by I have
11	Sunken Meadow, Caumsett, Sagamore Hill,
12	Robert Moses and Captree. And my questions
13	really relate more to park administration.
14	And then I wanted to ask about some programs
15	that we might be able to do, or what may or
16	may not be done.
17	With park administration, you were
18	talking about kind of introducing things that
19	we're trying to accomplish out in the rest
20	of non-park areas of New York. And I
21	wanted to ask you about prohibiting, you
22	know, plastic plates and utensils, installing
23	water fountains that fill up, you know, with
24	bottles, the modern type of water fountains,

1	and also setting up the state parks with the
2	ability to recycle compost and
3	nonrecyclables you know, the multiple
4	rubbish containers.
5	And then I wanted to ask you about
6	programs like what we could do in terms of
7	possibly working with, you know, some type of
8	partnerships working with the parks to seed
9	clams and oysters and scallops down on
10	Long Island in some of those areas to improve
11	water quality and also serve as educational
12	programs for children.
13	And then finally, I'm a big proponent
14	of scouting. My three boys are involved with
15	scouting, and we love to visit the state
16	parks upstate, particularly Harriman is a
17	popular one. But Sages Ravine. You know,
18	we I echo what Assemblymember Giglio said
19	before, relative to that the parks were such
20	a lifesaver during COVID.
21	So those are my questions, and I will

So those are my questions, and I will yield the rest for you to answer. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So on the plastics. So single-use plastics, we have a

1	policy on single-use plastics. We actually
2	prohibit we don't allow our
3	concessionaires to do that anymore. We've
4	moved on for that, so we actually have a
5	pretty strong policy on single-use plastics.
6	Obviously we don't stop people from
7	bringing it in themselves, but we do we
8	do and then we take out again, I just
9	go back to an earlier question, right. We
10	take our responsibility for modeling good
11	behavior seriously. Right? So that's what
12	we want to do.
13	The water stations idea I think is
14	great. Certainly I've been in airports
15	recently and having those water stations is
16	great. We we can get back to you. We
17	should have some kind of way of starting to
18	replace our water stations with those really
19	modern water stations. That's the only way
20	we're going to get rid of plastic bottles, if

And then composting, I get it. We'll have to get back to you. I think we may use it in limited circumstances, but this creates

we do it.

the question of managing the composting.

And then you asked about seeding clams. You know, I'd be curious as to what kind of ownership we actually have on ocean bottom and things like that. We obviously have the beaches, but in many of those cases the ocean — the bottoms of it are owned by OGS. But I think if there are places for us to do that, I think it can be very exciting. Right? In Nissequogue or Sunken Meadow, those places where you have a bay and so we could do that kind of thing. And I think something like that would be very exciting. It's actually kind of a great idea.

And then back to you and the scouts, I mean, you know, '20 and '21 were stressful years for us, particularly '20 before we knew how the -- you know, what the characteristics were of this pandemic, of this virus. But, you know, we hit record visitation in 2020. And it is a measure of when people had nothing else to do, when they couldn't go to the theater, couldn't go to the restaurants, couldn't do all the things they'd like to do,

Т	they could come to parks and enjoy themserves
2	and be healthy.
3	And it was gratifying to me as an
4	honor to be able to stay open during all
5	those times and serve the people of the State
6	of New York and provide a little measure of
7	sanity in an insane situation. Right?
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BROWN: Thank you very
9	much.
LO	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go on to
11	Assemblyman Englebright.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Yes, thank
13	you.
L 4	Commissioner, first let me just say
15	you're doing a great job, and you make us
16	proud. And the service that you've provided
17	during these two years of COVID have
18	continued to make many of the people of our
19	state feel optimistic for the future through
20	the management of our parks. So thank you
21	for that.
22	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: As you know,
24	the ZBGA program is tied to State Parks

1	because you, through the Natural Heritage
2	Trust, help oversee this parallel system of
3	parks that is in terms of its breadth
4	geographically across the state is analogous
5	to and similar to the state park system that
6	you directly oversee.

In the beginning, the ZBGA program had a capital program. Does it still, or is it mostly program and general operating support?

COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: At this point it is all about operating support. You know, many of those institutions that come into our grants program for capital support, right, through our municipal grants program for nonprofits, but no, that is really a pure — an operating subsidy. It's an operating payment to help cover the costs, as you know, of caring for living collections.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: So I just wonder if you would be open to exploring the possibility of a capital program. You've been very successful and appropriately aggressive in searching for capital improvements for the state parks system. I'm

1	just wondering if you might be willing to
2	explore perhaps even a matching-grant-format
3	subprogram within the ZBGA for capital
4	investment and improvement of buildings that
5	serve the public there.
6	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You know, I
7	can't speak to that.
8	Here's what I can say. Yes, we could
9	manage it. It would make sense. Obviously
10	we've had ZBGA for a long time, and we have
11	longstanding relationships with the 92
12	recipients of that funding.
13	We do have, obviously, an existing
14	program that has four categories, right. It
15	has park development, park acquisition,
16	historic preservation and heritage areas. If
17	a new category would be created, you could
18	create a new category there, you could create
19	a new category of the ZBGA. Obviously if
20	that was enacted at some point we would be
21	more than honored to carry out its
22	priorities. And it's something we're very
23	well prepared to do.
24	And those grants programs, you know,

1	are so vital because often the state grant is
2	the lead grant that then draws in the private
3	funding that's required to follow through.
4	So, you know, it's certainly something we
5	could work on.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you
7	for your response. It wouldn't be the first
8	time. At the point of beginning, when the
9	program was first set up, there was a capital
10	component. Gradually that was replaced by
11	what we have now, which is very, very
12	important, as you rightly suggest.
13	So let me go to another capital
14	investment funding question. Many of our
15	state parks and of course you're the state
16	historic preservation officer, as you are
17	also our commissioner. Many of our important
18	structures, many of which are on the
19	National Register, are vulnerable to fire.
20	I watched with great angst this year,
21	as I'm sure you did as well, as fires ravaged

as I'm sure you did as well, as fires ravaged many of the parks in our Western sister states. Hundreds of structures were burned to the ground. We're wetter than they are,

1	but that doesn't mean that we're not
2	vulnerable to vandalism some of those
3	fires were set by vandals and so it's
4	within the context of being prepared and
5	looking ahead.
6	I wonder if you might be open to a
7	discussion of planning for gradual capital
8	investment for fire suppression and sprinkler
9	systems for certain of our most important
10	public buildings and nationally significant
11	architectural gems within the park system.
12	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you for
13	pointing that out. Obviously a lot of our
14	infrastructure predates the days when
15	sprinkler systems were required.
16	So obviously we've taken some steps.
17	I think Olana has a sprinkler system, and we
18	are about to put in install a sprinkler
19	system at John Jay Historic Site in Katona,
20	which also has an invaluable collection of
21	treasures from the Jay family. So it's
22	certainly something, as everything, going
23	forward.

So we're actually doing that, I should

1	say. You know, we are doing that as part of
2	our capital program. We continue we are
3	acutely aware that we have treasures across
4	the state that are irreplaceable. And so we
5	are doing it ourselves.
6	And happy and, you know, let me
7	I'm glad you raised that, because it raises
8	an important point about the money that's
9	been allocated. You know, there's going to
10	be there will be sort of big-picture, you
11	know, beautiful projects done, but a lot of
12	that money goes to exactly what you're
13	talking about, retrofitting historic
L 4	structures, making sure that we have the
15	water systems, the wastewater treatment, all
16	these systems that are very antiquated at
17	this point, and making sure we're updating
18	them so we can preserve and position our
19	entire park system for the future.
20	So I appreciate you pointing it out,
21	because a lot of it is just basic
22	infrastructure, protection of resources.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Well, you by instinct, I think, have already pointed us in

1	the right direction on this. If there is a
2	way for the Legislature to reinforce that
3	initiative that you've taken and indeed, I
4	suspect I haven't done a survey of our
5	other sister states, but I suspect that you
6	are ahead of the curve of many of our sister
7	states as well. If there's a way for us to
8	work together on that, I would welcome a
9	chance to collaborate with you and to plan to
10	make sure that we don't suffer terrible
11	losses of our national and state heritage.
12	Thank you again for your good work.
13	Appreciate it very much.
14	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	So we go to Assemblyman Burdick, who
17	is the last questioner for the Parks
18	commissioner.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
20	Chair Weinstein.
21	And thank you, Commissioner. And my
22	family and I just love the state parks. We
23	frequently hike them, and we commend you and
24	your staff on maintaining them so well and

1	improving them. And please keep up the good
2	work that you're doing, the investments.
3	They're just gems that help make New York the
4	wonderful state that it is.

I wanted to voice my support for

Senator Mannion's pitch regarding creating

trails that are accessible to persons with

autism, and would encourage you to expand

those to other parks where it's appropriate.

I also wanted to support what

Chair Englebright just talked about with

respect to capital programs for fire

protection. And in fact your department was

responsible for helping funds to the John Jay

Homestead, which is in my district. And that

was hugely important because that would have

gone up in an instant if fire had hit it.

And, you know, perhaps an inventory of those gems that do need to have attention given to them, just an assessment, some kind of condition assessment, might be a good way of approaching it. And wondering whether you might be open to that.

And I would love to join with

1	Chair Englebright and you in developing
2	something along those lines, because I think
3	we've got a lot of you know, then and
4	they could well be taken care of as part of
5	what you normally do, but would be very
6	interested in following that.
7	I'm wondering I believe that
8	Spectrum Industries, though not in my
9	district, actually now is supplying the gift
10	shops at the state parks. Do I have that
11	right? They support they employ people
12	with disabilities?
13	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You know,
14	thank you for highlighting that. It's a
15	great new partnership. We now do some sales
16	of our own merchandise clothing and
17	glassware and other sort of
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I just want to
19	thank you for doing that. I think it's
20	terrific. It's providing employment. They
21	do a good job, you know, and
22	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: And employ
23	people on the spectrum, right? I mean, it's
24	really

1	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Exactly right.
2	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: It's a great
3	business, yup.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: And was that
5	arranged through the New York State Industry
6	for the Disabled, would you happen to know?
7	I'm just curious.
8	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: You know, I
9	don't know how that we had to put out an
10	RFP, it was an RFP. And they responded to
11	the RFP and they were
12	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Okay, that might
13	have been what it was.
14	One last question. Do you have a
15	legislative liaison for SHPO? One of my
16	towns has a matter before them, and I just
17	wanted to check in on it and see how it's
18	proceeding.
19	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: They
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Perhaps if
21	perhaps if someone from your staff might be
22	able to provide me the appropriate contact,
23	that would be terrific.
24	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: So what I'll

1	do is I'll have our deputy commissioner for
2	intergov, Meagan Fitzgerald, have her get in
3	touch with your office, and she'll point you
4	to the right person at the State Historic
5	Preservation Office. There are plenty of
6	people over there who talk to legislators all
7	the time. So yeah, happy to.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: That's super.
9	Thank you so much. And you're doing a great
10	job.
11	COMMISSIONER KULLESEID: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: All right,
14	we'll send it back to the Senate to call our
15	next witness.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
17	much, Assemblywoman.
18	All right, we are on our last
19	government official for this hearing,
20	New York State Department of Agriculture and
21	Markets Commissioner Richard Ball.
22	I'm assuming Richard's here somewhere.
23	Richard?
24	COMMISSIONER BALL: Senator, how are

1	you?
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, good.
3	Welcome. This is sometime in the afternoon.
4	We got to you.
5	And you know the routine, you've been
6	a commissioner now for quite a while. So we
7	all have your testimony, but if you could
8	summarize your key points in 10 minutes, then
9	we will ask you questions.
LO	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sounds great. And
11	I would suggest that maybe you're all getting
12	ready for a career in agriculture, you know,
13	being willing to stay until the job is done,
L 4	right?
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's right.
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: I can do it in
17	five minutes, if you don't mind.
18	So I originally was going to say good
19	morning, but I'll say good evening,
20	Chairwoman Krueger, Chairwoman Weinstein,
21	Senator Hinchey, Assemblywoman Lupardo,
22	members of the agriculture committees, and
23	elected officials. I am honored to present
24	my testimony on the fiscal year 2023

1	Executive	Budget	for	the	Department	of
2	Agricultur	ce and N	Marke	ets.		

This year's Executive Budget recommends \$273 million for the department, allowing us to continue our fundamental work and to build exciting new programs that will drive New York agriculture forward.

The proposed budget makes significant investments in the agricultural industry unlike anything we've ever seen. This includes a robust tax credit package that will offset increased costs to farmers, helping them to both support their workers and meet food production goals as they face continued challenges, including from COVID-19.

I am proud of what New York
agriculture has accomplished during the
pandemic to ensure that the food supply chain
stays open. A big part of this work is the
Nourish New York program. So far, Nourish
New York has helped food banks to purchase
43.7 million pounds of products from New York
producers. This translates to 41 million

1	meals for families in need and financial help
2	for more than 4,000 agricultural businesses.
3	We're excited that Governor Hochul has
4	proposed \$50 million to continue this
5	program.

Expanding programs like SNAP, the

Farmers' Market Resiliency grant program, and
the Urban Farms and Community Gardens grant
program will additionally make local food
accessible to more residents. Plus, moving
the School Lunch Program from the State
Education Department to our department will
better connect schools and farmers and boost
local production.

The Executive Budget also increases funding for Agribusiness Child Development

Centers, which had to close their doors during the early days of the pandemic,

leaving our essential farmworkers facing difficult choices when it came time to balance work and childcare. The budget's historic \$13.5 million investment in these centers will help support farmworker families and keep New York's agricultural industry

_	working	as	the	pandemic	continues.

2	Lending further assistance to farmers
3	and their families, the Governor has
4	committed critical funding to bring more
5	farming opportunities to New Yorkers in
6	historically marginalized groups. Members of
7	the department's diversity and racial equity
8	listening sessions outlined several
9	recommendations to help us better address
10	underrepresentation in agriculture. I'm
11	encouraged that the Executive Budget will
12	help us take steps to advance this important
13	work.

Another noteworthy inclusion in the Executive Budget this year is the Companion Animal Capital Fund. Governor Hochul has dedicated \$5 million to revitalize the animal shelters that care for our dogs and cats, recognizing the Legislature's longstanding commitment to this program and highlighting the importance of these shelters to our communities.

The Great New York State Fair will also be invigorated by a \$33 million

1	investment for planned infrastructure
2	projects and improvements to the fairgrounds.
3	This is in line with Governor Hochul's effort
4	to provide an enhanced experience for
5	fairgoers and strengthen educational
6	programming to make the fair a real showcase
7	of New York agriculture.
8	Further supporting the agricultural
9	industry, the Executive Budget proposes
10	\$43 million in local assistance for key
11	programs focused on research, education,
12	workforce development, marketing, and more.
13	This is a significant increase from last
14	year's budget, thanks in part to the
15	opportunity to reinstate funds for the
16	Taste NY program at the department. Governor
17	Hochul is also expanding the NYS Grown &
18	Certified Infrastructure, Technology,
19	Research, and Development grant program to
20	help producers adopt state-of-the-art food
21	safety and environmental practices and help
22	them better meet demand.
23	And last, but certainly not least, we
24	also continue to aggressively tackle climate

1	change. Thanks to the Governor's proposed
2	\$400 million investment in the Environmental
3	Protection Fund, we will see a meaningful
4	expansion of several programs, including
5	Farmland Protection, Cornell Soil Health, the
6	Soil and Water Conservation Committee, and
7	the Agricultural Non-Point Source Abatement
8	and Control program. In addition, the
9	Climate Resilient Farming program will
10	receive an increase from \$4 million to
11	\$17.5 million, helping farmers further their
12	efforts to combat climate change.
13	We have a lot to be proud of when it
L 4	comes to New York agriculture, yet there is
15	more work to be done. We look forward to
16	hearing your priorities and working with you
17	to strengthen the agricultural community.
18	So thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And our first
20	questioner will be Agriculture Chair Michelle
21	Hinchey.
22	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much,
23	Madam Chair.
24	And hello, Commissioner, it's great to

see you. Thanks for joining us tonight, and thank you for your testimony.

My first question -- you know, I was really excited, I know many were, to see the move of the school food program from SED to Ag & Markets. Can you talk a little bit about why Ag & Markets -- how you're equipped to handle that, why it's you think the best place for it, and how other states have seen their production improve.

COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, sure.

Let me just start by saying that we definitely have today -- for me, at the Department of Ag & Markets -- a new era of collaboration with State Education that we've never had before. We've worked together on farm-to-school efforts, we've worked together on No Student Goes Hungry. Commissioner Rosa and I have -- talk often. I don't think the department has ever had a better relationship with that department.

I brought her virtually with me to
Cayuga Milk Marketing in Auburn, New York, to
meet with the Dairy Think Tank, to look at

1	processing facilities and look at how we
2	could identify career paths. I went with her
3	down to the Bronx to C.S. 55 to see the Green
4	Box Machine, talk about the needs to connect
5	our young people with food in a better way.
6	We talk often; our teams talk often. It's a
7	great relationship.

So for me, I think back to Farm to

School. It was some 25 years ago when I got

dinged to be a part of a volunteer to be the

farmer on a committee at my local school,

Schoharie Central School, and it was

concerned with obesity and children before

the age of five years old. And I thought,

what are we talking about here? I don't

understand this. But it was real.

And then I walked around the corner and talked to the school nutrition people, and I noticed there was not one thing on the menu that came from New York State. There was not one thing on the menu that came from my valley, the Schoharie Valley you've been to, one of the most productive vegetable-growing areas in the state, top ten

soils in the world. And I said, why is this?

How can this be?

It bothered me that we weren't growing food for our own students, but it bothered me more that those students were going to grow up, they were going to leave Schoharie and not know what was an opportunity for them in the food system two miles down the road from that school.

We've always made decisions, you and I in our lives, based on value -- when we buy a coat, we buy a car, we buy a house, we buy a pair of shoes. When we buy food, we make a value-based decision. And when it comes to feeding our children in schools, we make an economic decision. We tell the school board or the school board tells our school nutrition people in the cafeteria: Break even. Break even. Use USDA funds and, you know, get Department of Defense surplus, and we'll fund you enough to break even.

And I think we've got to change our thinking about our kids. It's about feeding our kids high value. Right now with the USDA

1	program, millions of dollars come into
2	New York State to feed our kids through the
3	school system. Most all of that money comes
4	into the state and is spent outside the
5	state procuring food. I think we need to do
6	a better job making sure that that money
7	stays in New York, helps our local economies,
8	and helps children identify a career path.
9	So I think we have a great opportunity
10	here. We work closely with USDA, it's a USDA
11	program. Through our linkage to the
12	agricultural communities through our
13	farm-to-school efforts, through our work with
14	the School Nutrition Association who operate
15	the school nutrition program, to the various
16	commodity groups, I think we can keep more of
17	those dollars in New York State and in our
18	rural economies, and also connect our kids to

23 SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much.
24 Switching gears for a moment, we know

communities.

19

20

21

22

the food system. I think we'll have better

health outcomes and I think we're going to

have better economic outcomes in our rural

1	and you know the average age of a farmer is
2	increasing almost yearly, and connecting our
3	soon-to-be-retiring farmers with new farmers
4	coming into the industry is incredibly
5	important. And one of the organizations that
6	does that really well is Farmland for a
7	New Generation.
8	We saw that this funding was cut in
9	the Executive Budget. Can you speak to that
10	briefly and talk about why that funding is so
11	important?
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, you're quite
13	right on the average age. It's actually 57.
14	That's the average age of a farmer in
15	New York State.
16	That's not all bad news, though. I
17	was able to call my mom and tell her that I
18	was finally above average, so.
19	The American Farmland Trust and
20	Farmland for a New Generation, they're great
21	partners. We work with them on lots of
22	different energies and lots of different
23	projects and talk with them pretty much every
24	week.

1	This was funding that was added last
2	year by the Legislature. It was not in the
3	Executive Budget. And but they're great
4	partners. They help us with solar and they
5	help us with land. They've been great
6	partners with us in our diversity work as
7	well. So great partners.
8	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you. You
9	know, we know already that we have
10	underserved farms, especially economically.
11	All of our farms are tied, both federally as
12	well as through big corporate entities, and
13	not be able to set your own prices for food.
14	And we know with the Wage Board's decision
15	that came out, that's part of the reason why
16	in this budget the Executive proposed the
17	Overtime Reimbursable Tax Credit.
18	Can you also briefly touch on why that
19	is such an important element for our farmers
20	and for our farms here in New York State?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. I would say
22	that the labor shortage that we're
23	experiencing all across the country right
24	now, and certainly in agriculture, certainly

1	here in New York State, is real. It's
2	probably not over, and I expect it's going to
3	be long-lasting.

I think we need to remain focused on ensuring the needs of our ag community, that's our job here, the workers and the community's needs, that they're met statewide. So I'm encouraged by the investment in agriculture by the Governor here. You know, three pretty robust tax incentives, a 20 percent investment tax credit, moving that up from 4 percent, and doubling the Farmworker Retention Tax Credit; a permanent refundable tax credit on overtime hours. I think those are three strong signals that she is sending to our agriculture community that they matter.

This is without a doubt, looking across the budget -- and we'll talk about that in the next little bit here -- but this is the most significant investment in agriculture in New York State in history.

It's the biggest budget I've ever seen.

Biggest in my experience as a farmer in

1 New York State.

So I think that regardless of what happens with the Wage Board decisions -- we know they've made a recommendation -- that continuing to reassure the agricultural community, particularly in a time of pretty dramatic uncertainty -- with COVID-19, with what's going on around the world and the country -- we need a food system that's responsive and resilient right here in New York, and I think our budget demonstrates that.

SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you. And another question. Ag & Markets put out a "Diversity in Agriculture" report that recommended a \$10 million investment to increase diversity in farming. But what we saw in the Executive Budget was about \$150,000 in new funding going towards BIPOC farmers. Can you talk a little bit on what we should be doing there, and do you think that a \$10 million grant program for BIPOC farmers to be created, would that help get to the goals of the "Diversity in Agriculture"

1	report?
_	TOPOTO.

COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah, that report listed what we heard from a group of people that we called in based on friendships and friends of friends. It was a great exercise. We listened. I think the first thing to do was listen.

But I think there's more in various other programs we've got going on to contribute to the cause. First and foremost, you know, what we listened to and centered the discussion around was access to land, access to capital, access to training, and then navigation. So COVID-19 kind of blew up on us right in the middle of all that work.

But we've got funding in there and here at the department to replace the staff that we lost during COVID-19, to help us be the navigation point going forward, to interact with the listeners that were part of the workgroup. And when I say listeners, I'm talking about the banking industry and Cornell, the land grant schools, our high schools, our ag schools, and the Farmland

1	Trust was also a part of that.
2	There's programs happening in various
3	places nested around in there. And I think,
4	first of all, connecting to the disconnects,
5	connecting to the banking system, the small
6	farms training programs and those
7	opportunities American Farmland Trust.
8	Then I think we'll make better use of the
9	funding.
10	But that's definitely in the report.
11	There's 18 other recommendations in that
12	report. And I think we just have to be
13	thoughtful about how we implement them.
L 4	So going forward, first of all, we've
15	got our staffing getting back in place.
16	We're ready to hit the ground running here.
17	Thank you for that question, though.
18	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much
19	I'm out of time.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to the
21	Assembly chair of Agriculture, Assemblywoman
22	Lupardo, 10 minutes.
23	COMMISSIONER BALL: Assemblywoman, I'n

not hearing you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're not
2	hearing. We need you to
3	SENATOR HINCHEY: Try the button on
4	the bottom of the computer screen. That's
5	what gets me. There's usually a little
6	microphone with a light.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Donna, try
8	logging we are not hearing you. We've
9	been having a number of problems with
LO	members. You may have to log out and log
11	back in. We had the same issue with
12	Assemblywoman Buttenschon. If that doesn't
13	work, we can get tech up to help you.
L 4	So while the Assembly
15	(Overtalk.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry. You want
17	to continue, Helene?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yeah, we'll go
19	to Assemblyman Tague, the ranker on Ag, and
20	then we'll come back to Assemblywoman
21	Lupardo.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Well, thank you
23	again, Chairwomen. It's been a long day.
24	Commissioner, it's always good when I

1	have to come to Albany to see you and you're
2	my neighbor.
3	COMMISSIONER BALL: I know it.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: But I appreciate
5	everything that you do for agriculture. It's
6	an honor and a pleasure to work with you.
7	Just a few brief things. You know, I
8	think that it's important that everybody
9	understand we keep bringing up this
10	terrible tragedy with the pandemic, but the
11	state of agriculture was not very good prior
12	to the pandemic. And a lot of those are
13	we've put our farmers in what I would call an
14	unfair playing field. And I think that we
15	realized during the pandemic that those

issues exist.

And I want to start off with the
Wage Board. I appreciate the Governor
offering the tax credit, but to be quite
honest -- there are five or six of us that
are on this call that have been farmers or
are still farmers. We need to be honest.
That tax credit is not going to make up for
the cost that labor is going to cost these

1	folks. And there's not going to be an
2	increase that these farmers are going to get
3	paid for their product, because that's
4	decided by the market.
5	You know, I Commissioner, I don't
6	know what your feeling is on the Wage Board
7	decision, but I would hope that you have the
8	Governor's ear, as it was only a
9	recommendation. She has the final say. I
10	don't think that the time is right now to
11	lower the threshold.
12	Secondly, I want to quickly talk about
13	the Nourish New York program. In its small
14	bit of time here since its inception, I know
15	that we've budgeted 50 million. I have
16	actually asked for an increase up to
17	100 million. I think it's a very important
18	program.
19	And I actually have a bill in the
20	State Assembly, it's been there since 2021,
21	it's called the New York Food Insecurity,
22	Farm Resiliency and Rural Poverty Act. And
23	what that does is along with that Nourish

New York program, it has infrastructure

Ţ	improvements for food banks and farms, cold
2	storage equipment for local food pantries and
3	nonprofits. It also helps for funding with
4	transportation equipment, personal service
5	cost assistance, purchasing assistance for
6	regional food banks to buy just New York
7	agricultural goods. And it also has grants
8	to assist farmers, veteran farmers, disabled
9	farmers, and anyone who would want to enter
10	or remain involved in New York agriculture.
11	I wondered if you had heard about that
12	bill.
13	COMMISSIONER BALL: I did read it.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Oh, okay, great.
15	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. Yeah.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: So I guess just
17	finishing up because we're going to run out
18	of time and I want to give you enough time to
19	answer. In the short time that we've had
20	this Nourish New York program going, what has
21	been your feeling of the greatest strengths
22	and possibly the greatest weaknesses? What
23	can we do better? Besides get my bill to the
24	floor and pass it, what else can we do,

1	Commissioner?
2	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, thank you.
3	I appreciate your thoughts always.
4	I think that with relationship to the
5	state of ag, if I can go back to that, I
6	think we're you know, we've had an uneasy
7	economy for a long time in upstate New York.
8	We've been insulated from the ups and downs
9	that the rest of the world has suffered
10	through or had. But I think our greatest
11	opportunity, as I look at it, is the fact
12	that we've got good land, good farmers. We
13	rank top 10 in the country on 30 different
14	commodities. We've got the best land grant
15	system for ag education in the country.
16	We've got access to water. And we live, you
17	know, a few hours away from the biggest
18	marketplace in the world, the most diverse
19	marketplace.
20	And so for me, you know, at the
21	Department of Ag, I think our mission is to
22	work very hard on connecting the dots between

those two. So, you know, we're building a

food hub in the South Bronx right now,

23

1	New York State Grown & Certified food hub to
2	take local New York product, distribute it in
3	the five boroughs down there. I got to put a
4	shovel in the ground last spring, and I got
5	to sign the last beam going on top of it here
6	this fall. It's pretty exciting.

I think that demonstrating an investment -- and COVID-19, you know, I know we're all feeling done with COVID-19. I'm not sure COVID-19 is done with us yet. But one thing it did, Assemblyman, was shine a very bright light on the food supply chain, both ends, and the challenges that are there. Challenges that, you know, you observed. So I think with that light being shone so brightly on it, I think we're compelled to act.

We do have in the budget a New York

State Grown & Certified infrastructure grant,
and it's -- there's three different pieces to
that that deal with helping farms upstate to
accommodate the demand for what's needed
downstate. There's \$5 million there over
three years. There's half a million dollars

1	in various stages of that grant.
2	So I think those are ways that will
3	help us connect the dots in a better way.
4	But thank you for your observations, and
5	great question.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN TAGUE: Thank you. Thank
7	you too, Commissioner. I really appreciate
8	it.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we'll go
10	back to the Senate and then we think
11	Assemblywoman Lupardo should be good to go
12	when we come back.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Very good.
14	So we're going to pass it to Senator
15	Tom O'Mara.
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: Senator.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
18	Good evening, Commissioner. It's good
19	to see you. Thanks for being with us late
20	this evening.
21	A couple of issues I'd like to talk to
22	you one we talked with Basil Seggos a
23	little bit earlier about, and that's the
24	solar farms and farmland. I have concerns

1	with, you know, some of the things I've seen
2	across the Southern Tier and in the
3	Finger Lakes region on the solar farms
4	becoming more prevalent, encroaching upon our
5	using agricultural land. And in conjunction
6	with the recently approved Farm Wage Board
7	reducing minimum wage, and a lot of the
8	testimony at those hearings from farmers that
9	just in response to the lowering of the
10	overtime wage threshold they may be cutting
11	back on their farm production, whether it's
12	the crops they grow or whatever, in order to
13	limit the need for that many man-hours,
14	because it will be too expensive. And these
15	solar farms will present an opportunity for
16	those farmers to make some revenue on the
17	land that they may not be using because of
18	the impacts of the overtime threshold.
19	What is the state doing, what are you
20	doing with regards to these issues on how
21	are we going to preserve this farmland when
22	it may be the costs of production and farming
23	in New York are going to be much higher with

the overtime, from keeping farmland from

1	going to be covered with solar panels?
2	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah, that's
3	definitely the issue of the day. Certainly
4	the decade, probably.
5	I remember when the first solicitation
6	for solar projects landed on my desk at home
7	on the farm, and here at the department. I
8	remember calling up Farm Bureau, and I said,
9	you know, "What's your policy on solar
10	development?" And they said, "Well, we don't
11	have one." And really, you know,
12	Farm Bureau's kind of conflicted because
13	there's private property rights, there's
14	landowners, and there's the right to farm and
15	the concern over production agriculture on
16	the other side.
17	So we're trying to balance that.
18	We've had pretty dramatic conversations with
19	NYSERDA about avoiding our top four tiers of
20	soil. And as you know, 60 percent of our
21	land that's farmed in New York, 60 percent of
22	7 million acres, is leased land, it's rented
23	land. So it's vulnerable to, you know, a

developer wanting to, you know, get a better

1	lease deal for the landowner there. So we
2	worry about that and making sure we have the
3	foodshed.
4	We have a pretty historic investment
5	in farmland preservation, as you know.
6	That's another option for a farmer. We are
7	increasing that to 20 million this year.
8	We're looking at a successful dairy
9	transitions program there, and the non-dairy
10	transitions program there, shifting over to
11	an RFA process that will speed that along.
12	But I think, you know, the biggest
13	concern I have about our agriculture in the
L 4	state is really the uncertainty that we're
15	facing. Hopefully with this budget we're
16	going to link some security and some
17	certainty going forward here. That's
18	certainly our effort.
19	I will say also that we have put
20	together a Farmland Preservation Workgroup,
21	which is it's county people, it's farmland
22	preservation groups across the state,

24 agencies that have a piece of this -- ORES,

municipalities, farmers. And it's the

1	Ag & Markets, NYSERDA, DEC. I get to chair
2	that, and we're hearing those concerns
3	brought up. We're bringing in developers,
4	we're bringing in American Farmland Trust,
5	among others, to talk to the group and
6	educate them and help us figure out the best
7	way forward.
8	But we're definitely concerned
9	about we don't want to have a loss of
10	farmland.
11	SENATOR O'MARA: Yeah. No, I agree.
12	Well, I'm glad you're focusing on it and
13	paying some attention to it.
14	And in my remaining seconds I just
15	want to make sure I put another thing on your
16	radar, which I'm sure you're aware of, and
17	that is our and there will be more
18	discussion in the panels coming up on
19	recycling and extended producer
20	responsibility. And our wineries in the
21	Finger Lakes region are becoming increasingly
22	concerned that they're not being heard with
23	their concerns over entering into this and
24	what will be a very costly endeavor for them.

1	So if you could just keep that on your
2	radar and take that back with your
3	discussions, I would appreciate it. Thank
4	you.
5	COMMISSIONER BALL: I did get an
6	earful of that, actually, at the Ag Society
7	meeting, and I elevated it immediately. So
8	we'll have more to say about that. Thank you
9	for
10	SENATOR O'MARA: Great, thank you.
11	Have a good evening.
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: You too.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Back to you, Assembly.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, I
16	think hopefully Assemblywoman Lupardo is
17	good to go, 10 minutes.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. Can you
19	hear me now?
20	COMMISSIONER BALL: I can.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: "Can you hear
22	me now?" Hey, fantastic.
23	Well, good evening, Commissioner.
24	Thanks for sticking with us today. I want to

follow up on a couple of things.

You're certainly right to point out -and congratulations, by the way, for such a
good ag budget this year. I think we've all
been working very hard to try to elevate
agriculture, and I think we're accomplishing
something with this budget.

But you mentioned the Climate

Leadership and Community Protection Act and

also the additional funding that has come in

through the resilient farming as well as the

Soil and Water Conservation Districts. Let's

just talk about those two first before we go

back to CLCPA.

Thirteen million dollars more for climate-resilient farms, 4 million more for soil and water conservation. What do you see, what do you envision us doing with that funding?

COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, as you know, the CLCPA created the Climate Action Council, which created, then, work panels. I have a seat on the CAC, but I also chaired -- had the good fortune to chair 18 very bright

1	people on the Ag and Forestry Work Panel.
2	They were researchers, they were farmers,
3	they were forestry people, ag people, and
4	environmentalists as well. And we came to
5	consensus about a scope of work that
6	agriculture could undergo.

And actually the good news about agriculture is we can be part of the answer here. We're not just trying to reduce things, we can actually sequester carbon in addition to reducing greenhouse gases. So we've got a really good scope of work which we had total consensus of in our work panel.

So how's that going to get done?

Well, first of all, it's the soil and water,

the statewide committees there, they're the

people that provide the technical expertise

and the funding and the programs to help

farmers in their regions to do the work that

it's going to take to reduce their carbon

footprints in New York State agriculture.

So we lean on them more and more every year, and they respond more and more every year. I can't say enough about the soil and

1	water conservation committees as a whole.
2	High energy, high knowledge, high resources.
3	And our job is to make sure they do have
4	those resources to do the work:
5	\$17.5 million from climate resiliency is
6	going to help farms take those steps that
7	they're going to need. It's been
8	overfunded I should say oversubscribed
9	every year since we started that. I remember
10	one year walking around New York State, we
11	had the worst drought in history. The
12	following year we had the wettest year on
13	record. The Climate Resiliency Farming
14	program can help farmers mitigate both those
15	extremes.
16	And as we look forward to, you know,
17	this climate challenge and greenhouse gas
18	emissions, et cetera, we need to do more of

this climate challenge and greenhouse gas

emissions, et cetera, we need to do more of

that. Because the benefits that we saw from

a decade of great programs, largely designed

to protect water quality, largely designed

around environmental benefits, also have that

other great co-benefit of helping us with

carbon and soil health and mitigating

1	greenhouse gases.
2	So that's why those two things are
3	critical. And that's how they're going to
4	work.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: You see those
6	as the most important strategies,
7	agricultural strategies coming out of the
8	CLCPA, or are there some other items as well?
9	COMMISSIONER BALL: Oh, there's pages
10	of items. You know, the scoping plan I
11	invite you to take a look at it there's an
12	awful lot on the forestry side with our DEC
13	partners there, and there's a great amount of
14	effort that we can achieve there in carbon
15	sequestration.
16	On the agricultural side, you know,
17	methane mitigation, enteric fermentation,
18	digesters there's a whole list of really
19	great things that we can work on. I can
20	share that with you if you'd like.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: No, I think I
22	can put my hands on that, thanks.
23	Let's go back to the overtime tax
24	credit issue. So if that were to go into

1	place this year, then farmers would be able
2	to apply for that credit based on the current
3	overtime threshold; correct?
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: My understanding
5	is that if there's a lowering, that that's
6	when it kicks in. But those are details I'll
7	have to get for you.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: I see. I'd
9	appreciate knowing that.
10	I think many of us are beginning to be
11	concerned that farmers might in fact need
12	direct aid to cover this cost when the time
13	comes
14	COMMISSIONER BALL: 2024.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Excuse me?
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: That would take
17	effect in 2024, I believe.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Yes, in two
19	years.
20	So there's just some worry that the
21	margins are so small that they may not be
22	able to wait for the tax credit. So I just
23	wanted to flag that, obviously. And as we go
24	down the stretch in years to come, it could

<u>L</u>	become	even	more	urgent.

Obviously, you know, my thought's on this that, you know, we're surrounded by states that aren't following this policy -- as well as the federal government that is, you know, working under very old wage rules. So I think farmers are going to probably need some assistance on a number of measures, in a number of ways, in order to help them get through that. But we have time, obviously, to go through that further.

Could we go back to the topic that

Senator Hinchey raised with the Diversity and

Racial Equity Working Group? I'd like to

hear a little bit more about -- you alluded

to the fact that there are other places that

we would be able to tap. I mean, obviously

really appreciate the funding for the Black

Farmers United, really appreciate your

actually putting on budget Cornell's

Equitable Farm Futures and putting that one

FTE in for BIPOC farming engagement.

But we were just still a little confused with the report, you know, asking

1	for setting aside a \$10 million goal,
2	wondering where might we add some additional
3	resources. So, you know, I was just curious.
4	You know, we're talking about the
5	recommendations on access to land, access to
6	capital, education and training as well as
7	infrastructure and resources. So just
8	curious if you could point us in some other
9	places where that group might be getting
10	assistance.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. We're
12	putting \$50,000 into MANRRS, which is
13	minority students interested in careers in
14	agriculture and natural resources. We have a
15	chapter at Cornell. We're looking at
16	increasing chapters across the state at some
17	of our other land-grant schools.
18	Those are young people in college now
19	looking for careers in the agricultural
20	world. I was on the phone last night
21	nationally with the MANRRS chapters. We're
22	bringing them, we're sponsoring some of them
23	to come to the Winter Policy Council in
24	Washington, D.C., for the state departments

1	of agriculture. A great group of young
2	people.
3	We've got the you mentioned the
4	Cornell Small Farms Equity and Justice
5	Program. There's \$100,000 there. Great
6	effort there.
7	There's \$800,000 in the Urban Farms
8	and Community Gardens Grant Program. So
9	we're connecting not just upstate land but
10	also a lot of the work that's being done in
11	these groups is urban. And a great
12	opportunity there to help them connect, fund
13	themselves, find better ways to get ahead.
L 4	In the access to capital side,
15	certainly our \$25 million Grown & Certified
16	Program. That's 5 million a year for the
17	next five years. It's going to be a big
18	help. BIPOC farmers are eligible for that
19	money. Opening up the gateway to allow them
20	to participate in our Farmland Preservation
21	Program with our land trust.
22	So there's a lot of opportunities

there. First and foremost, though, I think

we've got to spend a little time making sure

23

1	we connect, you know, to the land trust, to
2	the Farm Bureau, to Cornell, to the banking
3	system in New York. A lot of linkages there
4	that need to happen. We're I'm excited
5	about the progress; at the same time, it's a
6	bit daunting.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Well, we're
8	certainly willing to help you in any way
9	possible to connect those dots. You are the
10	chief dot connector, but we're very
11	interested in engaging with you on that.
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: Very kind of you.
13	I would just add one more thing, which
14	is my role in the state departments of
15	agriculture. We're seeing funding coming
16	from USDA to accomplish these same goals that
17	we're looking at here in New York State.
18	some of the funding got stymied by some
19	lawsuits, but they reworded it, it's coming.
20	We're going to see access to some funding,
21	I'm quite sure of that.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: And in just my
23	last remaining few seconds, I just want to
24	also revisit the transfer of the national

1	School Lunch Program to Ag & Markets Which
2	I fully support, by the way.
3	Is this typical of other states? Do
4	most other states' ag & markets departments
5	manage the school lunch program? Are we an
6	outlier in that respect?
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, New York's
8	never been shy about being an outlier, as you
9	well know. There are five other states that
10	have done it, and there are more states
11	looking at it.
12	Being the commissioner from New York,
13	I get to talk with other commissioners,
14	secretaries and directors. It's been a very
15	positive experience for those states that
16	I've spoken with. I've gotten some of the
17	details from those states about how it went
18	very smoothly and how it's working today. So
19	that gives me some encouragement. So yeah.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Thank you very
21	much.
22	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah, thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Back to the
24	Senate.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you, yes.
2	I see Senator Rachel May.
3	SENATOR MAY: Yeah, thank you, Madam
4	Chair.
5	Commissioner, good to see you.
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good to see you,
7	Senator.
8	SENATOR MAY: I wanted to ask you
9	I've had the pleasure of touring some farms
10	in the Catskills with the Watershed
11	Agricultural Council, and it was led by soil
12	and water conservation specialists and folks
13	from Cornell Cooperative Extension, and it
14	seemed like a really phenomenal model for
15	engaging farmers in protecting the drinking
16	water of New York City.
17	And I'm wondering, do we are we
18	doing enough to extend that across the state
19	to other watersheds?
20	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure, thank you
21	for bringing that up. That's I'm just
22	above that watershed in Schoharie, as you
23	probably know. And Schoharie Creek flows out
24	of that watershed north instead of going

1	south to the reservoir, but the reservoir for
2	that watershed is in part of my county.
3	So we've looked at that very closely.
4	And actually I think you've heard of our AEM
5	program here at the department, Agricultural
6	Environmental Management program, part of our
7	Grown & Certified three-legged stool where
8	local ag, environmental management and food
9	safety are part of our brand, New York Grown
10	& Certified. So that AEM program we modeled
11	after the watershed practices and the
12	New York City Watershed.
13	So yeah, that had a big impact on us.
14	We use that every day. Managing water and
15	nutrients on farms is something we want to
16	see every farm take advantage of in the
17	state. And we're encouraging that through
18	grants, and we're encouraging that by
19	marketing those farms that do that.
20	So that's a very key component of what
21	we do. We believe in that.
22	SENATOR MAY: Okay, great. Thank you.
23	And then my other question is
24	something the Farm Bureau brought to my

1	attention, and it had to do with pouring
2	rights at schools and, you know, schools that
3	have a contract with Coke or Pepsi and they
4	may not be entertaining the idea of serving
5	local dairy products, for example, local milk
6	at in vending machines or at school
7	functions or something like that.
8	Is that something that's on your

Is that something that's on your radar? And do you have any kind of a sense of the scale of that issue, how many schools in the state are in that category?

number of schools that are in that category.

But harkening back to the value we place on feeding our children, when the school board looks at the cafeteria and says try to break even on the school lunch program, use the USDA funding, use New York State funding, use department of defense surplus -- and if you can make a little money on the soda machine and potato chips to help the program break even, they're kind of pushed into doing that.

We ask so many of our school nutrition operators to be bookkeepers, to try to

1	balance a budget. I think we've got to
2	attach a higher value to the way we feed the
3	next generation.
4	So that's a concern. We'd rather see
5	a milk machine there than a soft drink
6	machine there. We'd rather see things that
7	are going to improve the nutrients in a young
8	person's body and have a healthier life.
9	So I don't know the numbers, but it's
10	one of those vexing situations for us for
11	sure.
12	SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you so
13	much.
14	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Back to the Assembly.
17	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
18	Assemblyman Jones.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Good evening,
20	Commissioner. How are you?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Great to see you.
22	Thank you.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Good to see you as
24	well.

1	And thank you to the chairs, and thank
2	you to everybody for sticking in there this
3	long. So I'm going to get right to it.
4	You mentioned about obviously we're
5	talking about the Wage Board's decision,
6	something that many of us on this Zoom
7	disagree with what they did there. But the
8	overtime tax credits, you had said they don't
9	kick in until the threshold lowers?
10	COMMISSIONER BALL: I think they would
11	kick in when if there was any change in
12	the overtime level. Which, based on their
13	recommendation, would not be until 2024.
14	I do know that the commissioner of
15	Labor is currently reviewing the testimony
16	and the data that was sent from Farm Credit
17	and from Cornell. I expect she's looking at
18	all that and will make a thoughtful decision
19	here. So we'll wait and see what that
20	decision is.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Okay, I just
22	didn't I was a little confused. I didn't
23	know that it was, you know, on the it was
24	a part of the Wage Board's decision when

1	those		when	we	would	get	those	tax	credits
2	to our	fa	armers	S .					

And I would say, on that, just a comment. We all need to push for those credits -- or for that money to get to those farmers on the front end. You know, if we're -- if they're sitting around waiting for a credit for six, eight months, a year after, which a lot of these credits happen, I mean, that is no good to these farmers and to our agriculture industry. You know that they need that money on the front end of things.

So I've talked to the chair about this, Lupardo, and she knows all about this as well.

Just getting back to the School Lunch Program, the transfer from SED to Ag & Markets, you know, I applaud the effort.

Anytime we can connect our schools -- and I think Ag & Markets is the place to do this, connect our students to, you know, fresh food, fresh vegetables, fresh fruit, fresh, you know, milk -- whatever we can do to do that, we should be doing that.

Ţ	could you talk a little bit about the
2	implementation of that? Because we are
3	you know, we're getting some questions on how
4	that would actually happen. I know you just
5	talked about how other states were doing
6	that. It is a large program, I'm all for it.
7	But how are we going to how are we doing
8	that? How do we go about implementing that?
9	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, it's
10	obviously you highlighted something that's
11	very important. It's important to have a
12	smooth transition and a cooperative one. I
13	think the goals are mutual, to feed New York
14	kids New York food in a smooth way and an
15	efficient manner.
16	So I would envision, you know, a
17	process working with State Ed and working
18	with our team here. We've anticipated some
19	staffing here. We would anticipate the
20	staffing help that would come from State Ed,
21	they would be transferred here to do the same
22	work.
23	It's I would expect it to take
24	quite a bit of time, probably a year. It

1	requires the Governor to send a letter to
2	USDA indicating that this would be the place
3	for the funding to go to from USDA. I think
4	technically it needs to be happening 180 days
5	after that notification.
6	So I think before we send that letter,
7	have that notification, we've got to have
8	some conversations about the details of the
9	program. It's a large program. But at
10	Agriculture, we handle large programs. We're
11	familiar with dealing with a whole state full
12	of, you know, concerns and issues and funding
13	programs there. So I'm confident, with the
14	additional staff at SED
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
16	Commissioner, I'm going to interrupt because
17	the time has expired some time ago.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN JONES: Thank you. Great
19	to see you, Commissioner.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator, do you
21	have any further
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, I have
23	myself. Thank you, Assemblywoman.
24	Hello, Commissioner.

1	So I'm so glad to hear that the Bronx
2	hub for New York agriculture is basically
3	done. I feel like my whole life I was
4	discussing this with various Ag
5	commissioners. Do we know, have we been able
6	to measure are we seeing more New York
7	food heading from upstate into New York City?
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, we certainly
9	did with the Nourish program. You know,
10	that's dramatic.
11	The food hub is well on its way, but
12	it's not done. We've got the roof on it,
13	we've got the walls up, the steel is up. But
14	there's a lot of interior refrigeration,
15	paving. We've got to work with Con Ed a
16	little bit. I don't know if you know anyone
17	at Con Ed, maybe. We've got to get the
18	electricity going over there.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We should talk
20	offline. I actually spent a lot of my life
21	yelling at Con Ed that they have to turn
22	things on.
23	COMMISSIONER BALL: Okay. Yeah, happy
24	to do that.

1	So I think what we saw with
2	actually, we saw this years ago with other
3	commissioners of Agriculture when we were
4	worried about access for upstate farmers to
5	the downstate markets you and I have
6	talked about that as a farmer and as
7	commissioner now for a long time. So with
8	Nourish a real bright light on that problem
9	in the South Bronx and some of those
10	boroughs. And the food box programs that
11	were initiated by Grow NYC and other
12	groups City Harvest, New York City Food
13	Bank and the channeling of money to buy
14	New York food to get in those neighborhoods
15	has been fantastic. Which is the inspiration
16	for this food hub.
17	Grow New York City will be operating
18	this food hub for us, 60,000 square foot
19	refrigerated space, office space, lots of
20	loading dock bays, plenty of room for
21	storage.
22	So yeah, we've seen a measureable
23	increase. It particularly jumped over
24	COVID-19 with the amount of food getting into

1	. 1		7
1	t n o	$r_1 \alpha h +$	n
	CIIC	TTUIL	places.

We also saw an increase over the last,
you know, decade or so into the restaurant
food service system. We've been working very
hard with New York City School Food as a
department as you know, the biggest buyer
of food in the United States, other than our
military and increasingly getting more
potatoes, you know, more apples, more fruit,
and looking at protein throughout that
system.

So we've made great progress, but it just kind of teases you and makes you realize how much more there is to do.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I agree.

Especially since we went to universal school meals in New York City, and we saw 400,000 more children eating school meals literally a few weeks after we went to the universal model across the entire system.

So I certainly encourage you to work more with the school food service. I know that there are some new people down there, and the new mayor, Mayor Eric Adams, has, you

1	know, some views about food that may not be
2	consistent with the dairy farmers in upstate
3	New York, because I believe he's a vegan.
4	But he is heavily focused on improved
5	nutrition for children, and his belief and
6	I believe he is correct is that good
7	nutrition for children strengthens
8	educational outcomes and health outcomes
9	dramatically, and that we need to completely
10	revisit what we're doing to make sure our
11	children are eating nutritional quality food.
12	So I think he is a good new partner
13	for the State of New York and for the
14	agriculture sector. I know he's coming up
15	for his first time to testify in a couple
16	of I guess maybe next week, and I'll
17	certainly ask him about this issue.
18	So we finally outlawed styrofoam,
19	which I've been trying to do forever, but I
20	learned years ago that you can make
21	styrofoam-like products that aren't damaging
22	to the environment using potatoes and potato
23	starch. And there were a few companies that
24	talked about wanting to go into that business

1	in New York. Do you know, did any of them
2	ever get started?
3	COMMISSIONER BALL: With potatoes for
4	the ingredient, I'm not
5	(Zoom interruption.)
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: I'm not positive
7	how the outcome of that was. But I know on
8	my own farm we're using completely
9	biodegradable products made from a
10	combination of things.
11	So I like the idea of using potatoes.
12	I grow potatoes. And we have about 200
13	between 200,000 and 300,000 acres of potatoes
14	in New York State. Most of them go to potato
15	chips, but they could easily go to packaging.
16	We're also thinking about, as you
17	know, hemp. You know, and there's some
18	recyclable opportunities there with hemp
19	packaging.
20	So I don't know I'll try to find an
21	answer for you on the potato packaging.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. Because it
23	was told to me that the kind of potatoes that
24	could be used for production of potato-starch

1	biodegradable packaging were the kind of
2	potatoes it was harder to sell. It was
3	described to me as the ugly potatoes can be
4	used for this purpose because they are harder
5	to actually sell for too many things.
6	And if we're talking nutritional
7	value, I don't know, a potato-design
8	styrofoam container might be more nutritional
9	than our potato chips anyway. So I do
10	encourage you to take a look at that.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Okay.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I was going
13	to mention, yes, some of us worked very hard
14	to expand the hemp industry in New York
15	State, and I'm also just curious how you
16	think that's going. Because I get the sense
17	that farmers are delighted to want to expand
18	into hemp for all the different products that
19	can be made from hemp plants. That's also
20	your experience?
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. Well, you
22	know, you've you've watched us hold I
23	think several hemp summits and we got the
24	head start with the prior Farm Bill. And of

course there were lots of fits and starts
with the Drug Enforcement Agency federally
and with USDA and with our own limitations in
that first Farm Bill

But I think we've worked through most of that. We're finally at a point where we're beyond the research phase. I think we're at a point where we in New York State submitted our plan for how we would run the hemp plan in New York State. It was readily accepted by USDA in December. We've already started registering new growers. I think there's quite a lot of energy around this.

And we finally kind of figured out where CBD, where medical marijuana, all those things belong. And, you know, the fiber hemp belongs with us. We are -- that's our bailiwick and we're good at it. So I'm excited about finally having a clear-cut program and an opportunity to go forward. We've had a number of growers already sign on. We're taking registrations now for this year.

We've also got, in the local

1	assistance line, there's a million dollars
2	for hemp research down at Cornell and across
3	the state. And we've got we've got to
4	rebuild our memory banks. You know, we had a
5	germ plasm and we had you know, hemp was a
6	product in my county and across the state
7	many years ago, primarily used to make rope
8	and, you know, things like that for the Navy.
9	And when it was caught up in the banning of
10	marijuana, everything was destroyed all
11	the history and cultural and seed varieties.
12	So we need and Cornell is working
13	on this to come up with seed stock and
14	varieties that are unique to New York's
15	culture and climate that will do well here,
16	produce the kind of things we need. And as
17	you remember from those summits, there's like
18	2500 uses for industrial hemp that the rest
19	of the world knows about
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Right.
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: We're starting to
22	see it in dashboards and we're seeing it in
23	concrete and we're seeing it in a variety of

products. We've got to get up to speed in a

1	hurry. So that's kind of an exciting
2	opportunity.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I agree with you
4	I remember the first time I learned that the
5	pyramids were made out of bricks made with
6	hemp. I was like, well, those have held up
7	pretty well. Maybe we can make some bricks
8	out of hemp and build something also.
9	Well, thank you for your very good
10	work, and glad to see that you're staying on
11	and continuing it.
12	I'm handing it back to Helene
13	Weinstein for the Assembly.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So we're going
15	to go to Assemblywoman Rosenthal for
16	three minutes.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Hi. Thank
18	you very much, Helene.
19	Hello, Commissioner. I'm going to
20	bring up (muted).
21	COMMISSIONER BALL: I don't think I
22	can hear you.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I see that,
24	okay. I'm back.

1		Can	Ι	get	back	my	15	seconds?	Thank
2	you.								

I said thank you, Helene, and thank
you, Commissioner, and I'm going to bring up
a topic I usually bring up with you, and that
has to do with companion animals and pet
stores that are under your purview.

So as you know, I have a bill to ban the sale of dogs, cats and rabbits in pet stores statewide and instead allow stores to make space available for animals available for adoption. So I have a perfect story to illustrate why this bill is necessary.

The Agriculture Department inspected

American Kennels on Lexington Avenue in

Manhattan in 2019 and found nothing wrong.

On December 7, 2021, Ag inspected and most of
the ratings were satisfactory save for a

Jack Russell was on the selling floor and had
an upper respiratory infection, and that some
animals didn't have their rabies shots. The
very next day the Humane Society of the U.S.
released a shocking video showing deplorable
and illegal conditions -- the store had a

1	secret sick room in the basement where it
2	kept puppies, sometimes up to 20 at once, who
3	were sneezing, coughing and shaking. And
4	some of the animals were covered with open
5	sores, matted fur, and during the
6	investigation one puppy died after its
7	illness when the pet store refused to bring
8	the animal for veterinary care.

Now, how could it be that the

Department of Ag inspectors were there the
day before the Humane Society revealed this
shocking video which they made by having an
undercover employee for six weeks? Now, I
don't understand how the Ag inspectors missed
this. Also, how bad would it have to be
before Ag held an administrative hearing to
consider revoking the license? It shouldn't
take intrepid advocates to go undercover to
reveal conditions that an Ag inspector could
see if they actually did a real inspection?

What happened is the New York City

Department of Health pressured them, ordered

the store to stop selling animals, and they

went out of business. But the Department of

1	Ag did not contribute to this at all.
2	So how can your department actually
3	play a bigger role executing its job
4	responsibilities and not act like USDA, which
5	has such lax regulations?
6	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. Well,
7	thanks for bringing up USDA, because that's
8	part of the challenge there. They're
9	supposed to be inspecting animals that come
10	into the state.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I know, but
12	you guys are supposed to inspect the animals
13	in the stores.
14	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. Well, if
15	they're hidden in a sick room somewhere, we
16	may not see them.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: But you can
18	go look.
19	COMMISSIONER BALL: We found
20	violations and took them. The next time we
21	went back, they were okay. The next time we
22	went back, they weren't.
23	We have not permitted them. They're
24	out of business now.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: Yeah, but
2	they decided to be out of business
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman,
4	we are out of
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN ROSENTHAL: I have I
6	lost 15 seconds when he couldn't hear me.
7	What are the reasons that the
8	inspectors couldn't find the sick room when
9	the undercover employee did? That's where
10	animals were sick and dying.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. I'll have
12	to look into that. I'll get back to you.
13	I did send a letter to the USDA about
14	the inspections and the problems with those
15	animals coming in
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay,
17	Commissioner, we'd like you to look into it
18	and get back to both the Assemblywoman and to
19	Senator Krueger and myself so we can let the
20	other members know.
21	And no other Senators, so we will move
22	on to Assemblywoman Woerner.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you,
24	Commissioner. It's always a pleasure to

1	spend a bit of time with you, even if it is
2	virtual.
3	COMMISSIONER BALL: Is that a tractor
4	pin you're wearing?
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: It is a
6	tractor pin I'm wearing. In your honor, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: Wow. Well, thank
8	you.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: So first let
10	me say thank you to you and to your team for
11	the great work that you have done over the
12	last year, and certainly in putting together
13	this budget. It is, as my colleague Donna
14	said, it is really an amazing budget. And as
15	you reflected, it's the strongest ag budget
16	we've seen in your tenure and certainly in
17	mine.
18	I wanted to drill down, however, on
19	Taste of New York. I noted that Taste of
20	New York's mission is moving from ESD to Ag &
21	Markets and that there's a \$6.5 million
22	appropriation with that. And I ask you
23	similar questions every year: How do we know

whether the Taste of New York program is

1	working? How do we measure its success
2	and such that we know that it is something
3	that we should continue to invest in?
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure, yeah.
5	Well, I'm going to ask you to remember
6	that we just went through COVID-19 a little
7	bit there. Obviously people weren't
8	traveling as much
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: I missed I
10	missed that, so I'm sorry. I skipped that
11	whole era.
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: That's right.
13	That's right.
14	I think, you know, from my standpoint
15	they've been an unqualified success. We've
16	just it was growing every year by leaps
17	and bounds. I think the last year the
18	last relatively non-COVID year that we had
19	was a record year, somewhere around
20	\$19 million, as I recall, and over
21	1200 different producers from around the
22	state found markets. We were able to get,
23	for example, grape juice, you know, from
24	Western New York at every Taste location

1	throughout,	you	know,	some	of	the	70
2	locations.						

Anytime we feel we can connect a consumer with a New York agricultural product and further the investment in looking for that product, getting to that farm, finding that marketplace, is great. We've held a number of business-to-business seminars and conferences. They had to be virtual for the last two years. But the array of products available from New York State to farm markets, farmstands, retailers, based on the Taste NY adventure, has been dramatic.

So in spite of what's gone on with COVID, we've seen pretty dramatic increases. We were able to add online retail as an option for consumers to visit a Taste NY location when they're traveling and, you know, take that experience and virtually be able to purchase the product and get it delivered to them.

So I think we're gradually seeing it come back to life. All the retail experience was tough during COVID, but we're coping. So

1	clearly what we want to do, the long-term
2	goal is to have these things stand on their
3	own, function by themselves, be profitable.
4	They took a big hit, like all retailers did.
5	But we see great signs of life.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN WOERNER: Thank you. I
7	appreciate that. That's all I've got.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We're going to
10	go to Assemblyman Palmesano.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Yes. Hello,
12	Commissioner, good to see you.
13	COMMISSIONER BALL: Good to see you
14	too.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: I wanted to
16	talk to you a little bit about the CLCPA,
17	electric vehicles and fuel diversity.
18	I remember a colleague of mine at a
19	hearing, who's a farmer, brought up the
20	importance of fuel diversity to his farm
21	operations and how critical it was, how he
22	used natural gas, fuel oil, propane, diesel.
23	All were critical to the success of his
24	operation, and taking one of those away from

him would make it much more difficult for his
farm to be profitable and to work.

But yet here we are as the CLCPA is going forward, where we keep hearing about electric vehicles. And we know whether they're school buses, they cost 20 percent more, or municipal buses cost 20 percent more, or even vehicles.

And my concern is, you know, you being a farmer yourself, you know, I'm trying to imagine, you know, a tractor trying to plow a field or a grape-picking machine trying to harvest grapes. You know, I have more wineries in my district than any other member in the State of New York. I mean, I'm just worried about that.

I mean, what do you know about this technology and this -- being advanced enough for our farmers? Because I just don't see how it's reliable. It's certainly going to be significantly -- a significant cost increase to our farmers on top of everything else they went through. How can they rely on, you know, an electric tractor or a

1	grape proking machine or any other type or
2	machine?
3	What do you know about that, and is
4	that something that, you know, our
5	agricultural community should be worried
6	about?
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, I think it's
8	something that our ag community should pay
9	close attention to. I think the
10	conversations we had in the Ag and Forestry
11	Work Panel were pretty responsible. We
12	obviously understand we can't go plug in a
13	200-horsepower John Deere tractor overnight
14	and go, you know, go plow 200 acres of land
15	tomorrow morning. We don't have that
16	technology yet.
17	We do have I will acknowledge John
18	Deere recently bought a large electrical
19	innovator to be a part of their umbrella, and
20	I think we're going to see low horsepower
21	moving A to Z products around the farm. We
22	don't need V8 horsepower to go out and get
23	10 crates of lettuce from a farm, for
24	example. But I think we're cautiously

1 optimistic.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the meantime, the technologies that we do have today, and particularly coming from the forestry side of the house, with renewable energy, the bio-economy options we have that we can see -- biodiesels, renewable natural gas from methane on farms -- I think those are clearly -- those are items that were listed in our scoping plan. They may not be what we want to have in 2050 or 2060. Some of the technologies that we're going to need for that time are probably still in the laboratory. But I think we have a pathway forward. There's tools that we have today that are going to help us achieve the climate goals. And I think we've made that case with our scoping plan at the Ag and Forestry Panel.

So the jury's out. It's important for people to weigh in on the scoping plan that we put out. All the workgroups have their plans out there. You know, the lift for agriculture is something I can envision. The lift for transportation is really hard to get

1	our head around.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
3	Commissioner. We'll move on
4	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Let's move on
7	to Assemblyman Lemondes.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Le-MON-deez.
9	Thank you, Chairwoman.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Lemondes, okay.
11	Thank you.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: Thank you very
13	much.
14	Commissioner, very nice to see you, so
15	to speak. Just one simple question.
16	I don't know if it's been brought to
17	your attention or where your level of concern
18	is, but I am very concerned with the I-81
19	project. And if the community grid is built,
20	although I don't it's not in my district,
21	many of the farmers that sell at the Central
22	New York Regional Market are in my district
23	and are concerned about access to that
24	market, not only for ourselves I sell

1	there as well, full disclosure but also
2	for all of our customers that right now have
3	a very easy in and a very easy out.
4	And if that easy in and easy out
5	becomes 30 minutes, that will detrimentally
6	impact all of our business in Central
7	New York. And my question and/or request is
8	if you have not weighed in on that, if you
9	would consider doing so.
LO	COMMISSIONER BALL: Happy to do that.
11	Yeah, the commissioner of Transportation and
12	I get to talk pretty regularly. Been aware
13	of that project for years. Since I became
L 4	commissioner, around the edges.
15	But let me just also say thank you for
16	a great tour of your farm. It's been a
17	while.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN LEMONDES: It has.
19	And in with the balance of my time,
20	you know, when we talk about the labor, right
21	now our hired help, we were able to pay two
22	hours today.
23	As we speak, it's 8:15. My wife, my
2 Λ	12-year-old and that's my wife right

T	there, excuse me and our is year ord are
2	in our barn delivering our lambs. And this
3	is our harvest season. And it's tough. And
4	this impending decision with the Labor Wage
5	Board will make it even crushingly more
6	difficult for all farms.
7	Thank you, Chairwoman.
8	Thank you, Commissioner.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
10	Assemblyman.
11	And we move on to Assemblywoman
12	Kelles.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Hello,
14	Commissioner Ball. Thank you so much for
15	your patience with all of us.
16	COMMISSIONER BALL: Great to see you.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Wonderful to
18	see you too.
19	So I'm going to throw out a bunch of
20	questions, so let me just start. One is for
21	the New Generation Farmland for a
22	New Generation. I know that it's achieved
23	like 90-plus matches of farmlands to land and
24	is now working with 34 organizations to

1	provide assistance to like thousands of
2	farmers right now. My concern is that
3	2 million acres of farmland are currently in
4	the hands of farmers that are 65 and older
5	that we don't want to lose.
6	So how does Ag & Markets plan to
7	address pressing farmland access issues in
8	New York State and provide the type of
9	in-depth need or in-depth assistance that
10	farmers need to access or transition farmland
11	and meet the Ag & Markets diversity
12	objective, particularly given the \$500,000
13	cut to that program?
14	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. I would add
15	another figure for you: 26 percent of our
16	farms in New York State don't have a next
17	generation on the farm.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Exactly.
19	Exactly. So navigators, right, are like
20	we need that, because they can't pass it on
21	to family.
22	COMMISSIONER BALL: Exactly.
23	So the navigators is going to be (Zoom
24	freeze).

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I'm glad my
2	time has stopped, because it looks like
3	we've we may have lost him for a second.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Can we the
5	commissioner froze. I think that's why they
6	stopped the clock.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I really
8	appreciate that.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Commissioner
10	Ball, if you can hear us, turn your video
11	off. Sometimes that unfreezes you.
12	(Off the record.)
13	THE MODERATOR: We tried to stop video
14	for him, but it did not work.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: My question
16	just overwhelmed his system.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's it, it was
18	your fault, Assemblywoman.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
21	Krueger, I know we have his cell number, if
22	you want to try and get this
23	COMMISSIONER BALL: Are we back?
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So I can move

1	on to my next question in the interests of
2	time.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Only if the
4	commissioner is here. I'm not sure who you'd
5	be questioning otherwise.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Oh, I thought
7	that was him on the phone.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Commissioner
9	Ball, are you there?
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Hello, hello?
11	There he is.
12	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yes, I am.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Can you hear
L 4	me?
15	COMMISSIONER BALL: The host has
16	stopped my video.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's okay. As
18	long as we can hear you, we don't need to see
19	you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So then we
21	could start the clock again, I guess, and the
22	Assemblywoman will
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: So my first one
2.4	wo can move on from is what I was saving I

1	think that we're on the same page on that
2	one. I would certainly like to see that
3	reinstated.
4	And another one I have, the Climate
5	Resilient Farms program in EPF does indeed
6	cover cost-sharing for farmers, which is
7	great for things like cover crops and
8	cover-and-flare systems for large dairies,
9	but if we fail to invest in the science or
10	invest in the land grant systems, it will be
11	difficult to develop the climate innovations
12	that go beyond mitigation, to develop like
13	innovations like carbon sequestration
14	strategies and implementation.
15	So I wanted to hear from you if
16	there's any place in this budget that we
17	would see these types of initiatives or
18	investments.
19	COMMISSIONER BALL: Well, I missed the
20	middle part of your question, unfortunately,
21	but I think we can you know, we're very
22	much excited about the last few words I heard

you say, carbon mitigation and sequestration.

You know, there's also funding in

23

1	there for the Soil Health Program.
2	Everywhere I go across the state, this is a
3	buzzword, there's excitement there. But I
4	think climate resiliency is going to be
5	stretched to include more things than it
6	currently does, if I can
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Good.
8	COMMISSIONER BALL: what you said
9	in between when I lost you there.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I have ideas
11	for that, so we'll have to connect
12	afterwards.
13	Just two other quick points. One, we
14	had a great presentation from the Black
15	Farmers United during last year's budget
16	hearing preliminary hearing for ag, and
17	their request was \$500,000. They did get
18	\$100,000, which was great. But given the
19	need for new farmers and they only were
20	able to serve 42, and they had hundreds
21	I'd love to see an increase in that.
22	And the last is the cut to the sheep,
23	Empire Sheep Producers. I met with a group
24	recently, and I was blown away by how long it

1	takes them to process their wool in New York
2	State because the system has completely
3	broken down. They shear, and to wash and get
4	wool back, actual just wool skeins, it takes
5	eight to 12 months to get it back.
6	So I would love to see that considered
7	reinstated in the 30-day, because it is an
8	untapped sector, I think, of agriculture.
9	COMMISSIONER BALL: We're talking
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Uh
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Oh, sorry. We are
12	talking with the fiber folks.
13	And I would just throw out that USDA
14	has an LFAP program coming out, \$27 million,
15	almost, for New York. So we're going to look
16	to see how we can channel some of that
17	funding to our BIPOC farmers also.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Great. Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
21	We still have two Assemblymembers,
22	Commissioner. So next we'll go to
23	Assemblyman Manktelow.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,

Ţ	Chairwoman.
2	Good evening, Commissioner. Glad to
3	see you on.
4	COMMISSIONER BALL: Great to see you.
5	Thank you.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: A quick
7	question in regards to electricity.
8	Assemblymember Palmesano touched on this a
9	little while ago.
10	Up in our district, of course, we have
11	lots and lots of apples in Wayne County. And
12	one of the situations we were running into
13	last year as our producers are preparing to
14	keep food local and getting it down into the
15	city through building more and more cold
16	storages and more and more controlled CA
17	rooms, controlled atmosphere rooms and one
18	of the issues we were running into was with
19	the utility companies, that we were unable to
20	get them there as quick as they needed it.
21	And they were talking a year out.
22	And the second thing is the question
23	they have is as we move forward with going
24	green, using more electricity, how do we make

1	sure we have enough electricity to supply
2	those CA rooms and those cold storages not
3	only for apples, for potatoes, for onions and
4	everything else in between to make sure we
5	are doing what we can do as New York farmers
6	to get our product downstate?
7	COMMISSIONER BALL: Yeah. We're
8	bumping into this quite a lot, unfortunately.
9	We're looking at a brand-new dairy processing
10	facility in Western New York, the electricity
11	needs there are pretty dramatic, and yet it
12	will be a legacy plant for the New York State
13	dairy industry.
14	I have a thousand-ton carrot cold
15	storage on my farm. That takes a lot of
16	electricity.
17	So as we look to, you know, do better
18	with the food supply system, it's going to
19	come with an electricity need as well. And
20	some of our infrastructure in the grid can't
21	handle some of the production that we're
22	willing to do.
23	So I'm happy to relay that to the
24	Public Service Commission and NYSERDA and the

1	Power Authority. They are all a part of the
2	Climate Action Council. And the Independent
3	System Operators, they're there at the table
4	as well. So this is a this is a real
5	concern.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Yeah, I
7	appreciate that, Commissioner. And again,
8	thank you for what you do, and hope all is
9	well back home on the farm.
10	You have a good night now.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you. Thanks
12	so much.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Anyone else, Assemblymember Weinstein?
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we do have
16	Assemblyman Burdick is our last questioner.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
19	Chairs. And thank you, Commissioner. I just
20	have two questions.
21	And I first have to apologize that I
22	was out at another meeting so I if there's
23	any duplication, I'm sorry for that.
24	I noticed that there was an increase

1	in the budget a fairly substantial one
2	over last year of some 40 percent, an
3	increase to some \$78 million. And I'm just
4	wondering what the basis of that was. Was
5	there consolidation of operations where
6	operations or programs were moved to
7	Agriculture and Markets? Is that the reason
8	why it went from 194.62 to 272.95?
9	COMMISSIONER BALL: It's really a
10	variety a variety of things, Assemblyman.
11	You know, first of all, we've got
12	\$6.5 million coming back to the department
13	from ESD for Taste New York funding. We had
14	an increase in Aid to Localities from what it
15	was it was, I think I forget the number
16	now, but it's up to \$42 million. The prior
17	year was \$35 million. So those two things
18	accounted for some of that.
19	State Fair capital was \$28 million.
20	That's a part of that increase. And happily
21	we have some additional federal money coming
22	to us from FDA and USDA, to the tune of about
23	\$26.7 million.
24	So those things put together are the

T	reason for the increase in our overall
2	budget.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Well, that's
4	great. I'm glad to see that it helps you to
5	serve your mission.
6	The other question and I'm sure
7	that you've had this, and I'm sorry. Just if
8	you could explain the move from the State
9	Education Department to Agriculture for the
10	School Lunch Program.
11	COMMISSIONER BALL: Sure. We did
12	spend a little bit of time on this with a few
13	of your colleagues.
14	But the long and short of it is that
15	we don't see this as a takings or a robbery
16	or anything like that. We are in the school
17	food space every day. We work with New York
18	agricultural producers, we work with the
19	operators of in the School Nutrition
20	Association, the people in the cafeterias.
21	We see the economic benefit to farmers when
22	New York State purchases products from
23	New York State.

Currently there's USDA funding coming

1	into the state, rederal dollars, to help reed
2	our children. Right now a large percentage
3	of those monies pay for products outside our
4	state. We think we can help get more
5	New York products into the school system.
6	Our new No Student Goes Hungry program, our
7	farm-to-school efforts have been very
8	successful there. Our work with ag in the
9	classroom, FFA and 4-H.
10	We believe that the linkage that we
11	have with USDA and with the ag producers in
12	our state, and with our school system, are
13	pretty tight. We think it's a good fit. And
14	where we've seen it done in other states has
15	been very successful.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Great. Thank
17	you so much. Appreciate it.
18	COMMISSIONER BALL: Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
20	Krueger, we are there are no further
21	Assemblymembers.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Muted.)
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You are muted,
24	Senator.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Commissioner Ball, we want to thank
3	you for your participation tonight answering
4	all our questions, even if we lost your
5	picture somewhere along the line. And we are
6	going to excuse you.
7	And for those of the hale and hearty
8	approach to state budget hearings, we are now
9	going to start a series of panels of
10	nongovernmental testifiers. And technical
11	Panel A is the first five, although one
12	person has said they cannot be here with us.
13	And we will just keep going.
14	Three minutes per testifier. Then
15	when the panel's complete, any legislators
16	who would like to ask questions have three
17	minutes nobody gets more than that. And
18	we just keep going through until we are done.
19	On that note, let's start with the
20	American Farmland Trust, Erica Goodman; the
21	New York Farm Bureau has said they cannot
22	attend, they have submitted testimony;
23	Northeast Organic Farming Association of

New York, Katie Baildon; New York State

1	veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, François
2	Elvinger there's a much longer name there,
3	but you have the agenda and New York State
4	Animal Protection Fund, Libby Post.
5	And we'll just go right down that
6	list, starting with Erica Goodman.
7	Good evening.
8	MS. GOODMAN: Good evening, and thank
9	you, Senator Krueger, Assemblymember
10	Weinstein, and certainly the members of the
11	Legislature for the chance to testify at the
12	end of what's been a very long and
13	informative day.
14	I'm Erica Goodman. I'm the New York
15	regional director for American Farmland
16	Trust.
17	Originally farm and food systems must
18	begin with farmland. New York's more than
19	9 million acres of farmland are the backbone
20	of a \$47 billion annual farm and food
21	economy. It is some of the best farmland in
22	the country, but it's also some of the most
23	threatened. New York has lost more than a
24	quarter-million acres of farmland since 2001,

1	while only protecting about a third of that
2	amount since the state began investing in
3	farmland protection in the nineties.
4	As we deal with the impacts of an
5	aging farmer population, and better

aging farmer population, and better
understand competition for farmland from
solar and pandemic-induced shifts, it's
imperative that we do more. Demand is high.
between January and September of last year,
250 farmers expressed interest to land trusts
in conserving their farms, and farmland
protection implementation grant funds were
used up in two regions within six months of
opening to application.

The Governor included \$20 million for farmland protection in the budget, and we look to our strong supporters here in the Legislature to further meet demand by increasing this to \$25 million as part of an at least \$400 million Environmental Protection Fund.

Thank you to the Legislature for funding Farmland for a New Generation

New York in years past, the program that

1	we've	talked	about	а	little	bit	here	today.

2 It's been designed to really help address the

3 impending intergenerational transfer of

4 farmland and the barriers in accessing land

5 faced by a new and diverse generation of

farmers.

This nation-leading farmland program is a one-stop shop for farmers looking for land or farmland owners looking for a farmer, with AFT staff and a network of 34 regional navigators providing training and one-on-one support to farmers across the state. Since launching in October 2018, this program has trained nearly 3,000 farmers and farmland owners, given one-on-one assistance to more than 3,000, and helped 90 farmers and counting gain access to farmland.

We ask the Legislature to please restore funding of at least \$500,000 for Farmland for a New Generation New York in Aid to Localities, and to consider an increase in funding that will not only uphold the program's track record of excellence but also accelerate opportunities to address barriers

1	met by historically resilient farmers.
2	Without these programs, New York risks losing
3	the foundation of its farming and food
4	system.
5	New York State also has two
6	nation-leading programs that incentivize
7	schools to increase their purchasing of
8	New York farm products and provides the
9	resources to help them get there. However,
10	barriers remain. While current programs
11	provide some alleviation, specific changes
12	that could increase the purchase of
13	New York-grown foods include expanding the
14	30 percent incentive program to include all
15	school meals, not just lunch; meeting demand
16	for the Farm-to-School grants program by
17	increasing funding to \$3 million; and
18	increasing the state's small purchase
19	threshold to \$250,000.
20	My written testimony certainly covers
21	more information and more areas of needs, but
22	thank you for the opportunity to testify, and
23	I look forward to your questions.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	next, Northeast Organic Farming
2	Association of New York.
3	MS. BAILDON: Good evening. Thank
4	you, Chairwoman Krueger, Chairwoman
5	Weinstein, and committee members, for this
6	opportunity to speak to you about the
7	Northeast Organic Farming Association of
8	New York's priorities for the proposed
9	budget. I'm Katie Baildon, policy
10	coordinator for NOFA-NY.
11	NOFA-NY is a nonprofit organization of
12	farmers, gardeners, and consumers working
13	together to create a sustainable regional
14	food system that is ecologically sound and
15	economically viable. We're also the leading
16	USDA accredited organic certification in the
17	state, certifying about 1100 organic farms
18	and businesses which provide quality organic
19	products to many thousands more New York
20	consumers.
21	Organic agriculture systems contribute
22	to healthy ecosystems and resilient local
23	food systems, both fundamental to our fight
24	against the climate crisis. New York State

1	is consistently a national leader in
2	certified organic production, ranking third
3	in the nation for the number of organic farms
4	and fourth in terms of acres of certified
5	farmland.
6	As highlighted in recommendations of

As highlighted in recommendations of the Climate Action Council, New York has an important opportunity and responsibility to lead the nation in incentivizing and supporting the adoption of organic farming systems that eliminate synthetic fertilizers and build healthy soils.

We applaud Governor Hochul's proposal to increase the EPF to 400 million. This funding marks a huge step forward on the path towards achieving the environmental community's goal of 500 million.

The Executive Budget also includes

17.5 million for the Climate Resilient

Farming program, which has reduced emissions

by an estimated 300,000 metric tons of carbon

annually through waste and water management

and soil health development projects

implemented by the county Soil and Water

Conservation Districts. Increasing financial support for this program and for the Soil and Water Conservation Districts to 15 million are measures that we enthusiastically support and urge members of the Legislature to maintain.

2.0

The Climate Resilient Farming program and Soil and Water Conservation Districts provide the necessary support for farms in adopting climate-friendly practices and help transition our agriculture and food systems to meet the necessary goals of the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act.

USDA organic certification enables farms using organic, climate-friendly agriculture systems to benefit from access to the thriving organic market. As the lead organic certification in the state, we're grateful for the \$80,000 in the Executive Budget for NOFA-NY's project to streamline organic certification for the Agricultural Business Services Program. This project will enable farms and organic businesses to apply easily and annually renew their certification

1	through a streamlined, mobile-responsive
2	online platform.
3	We urge the Legislature to maintain
4	this funding and look forward to the
5	Legislature's ongoing support of organic
6	agriculture. Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	The New York State Veterinary
9	Diagnostic Laboratory.
10	DR. ELVINGER: Thank you.
11	Chair Krueger, Chair Weinstein, chairs
12	and members of committees here present. I'm
13	François Elvinger. I'm the executive
14	director of the New York State Veterinary
15	Diagnostic Lab at the College of Veterinary
16	Medicine at Cornell.
17	First, thank you for the opportunity
18	to testify. And thank you on behalf of all
19	stakeholders of animal health, animal
20	welfare, animal agriculture and public
21	health, for your strong support of veterinary
22	diagnostic services in the Empire State.
23	We were gratified to see Governor
24	Hochul's Executive Budget has consolidated

1	services of the Cornell Diagnostic Lab into a
2	single and comprehensive budget line, with a
3	significant increase to the total amount of
4	prior-year Executive Budgets, helping to
5	streamline and facilitate the delivery of
6	diagnostic services. I am sure you had
7	significant input into that decision for a
8	single amount at this elevated level, and I
9	thank in particular Chair Hinchey and
10	Chair Lupardo for their guidance and very
11	strong support.

I must, however, draw your attention to additional significant program needs of current threats requiring exceptional readiness, rapid response, and therefore resources. Livestock and poultry populations are under threat from two highly contagious disease engines: African swine fever virus that spread last year to the Dominican Republic and Haiti, and more recently, avian influenza H5N1 that first emerged in wild birds in Newfoundland in December and by now has infected birds in the Carolinas and in Virginia.

1	You might remember the H5N2 outbreak
2	seven years ago that led to the culling of
3	50 million birds and had a huge economic
4	impact in the Midwest and across the nation.
5	We have the obligation to prevent a repeat.
6	We need to be ready to immediately detect and
7	then surveil with the highly sophisticated
8	tools of genome sequencing, which we heavily
9	use in our response to the SARS-CoV-2
10	pandemic, while simultaneously pushing for
11	heightened biosecurity in our poultry farms,
12	backyard flocks, and livestock operations.
13	Two additional programs merit your
14	further attention. First, our Veterinary
15	Forensics Program, for which consistent
16	investments are necessary to carry out its
17	goal of combating animal abuse and cruelty.
18	We really appreciate the recognition that the
19	program obtained through your engagement and
20	legislative work.
21	Second, our Quality Milk Production

Services, that have persisted in the

tremendous effort to effectively address

antibiotic resistance through their work on

22

23

1	farms, to reduce antimicrobial usage without
2	jeopardizing animal health and welfare.
3	Please accept our deep gratitude for
4	your support of these programs that are
5	essential to all animal health and welfare
6	and public health. Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	Next, Libby Post, New York State
9	Animal Protection Federation.
10	MS. POST: Good evening, everybody.
11	Thank you, Senator Krueger, Assemblymember
12	Weinstein, for allowing me to be here
13	tonight. Most of you know that the New York
14	State animal protection federation represents
15	all the animal shelters in the State of
16	New York. And in the last four out of five
17	budgets not including the COVID budget
18	the Legislature has graciously put in
19	\$5 million for the Companion Animal Capital
20	Fund. Which, up to this point, this
21	\$20 million investment has helped 38 shelters
22	across New York with their capital projects.
23	I was lucky enough to go out to
24	Susquehanna SPCA and down to the Westchester

SPCA to see their brand-new buildings, and
they are just phenomenal. And it's because
of this funding, the \$500,000 that they each
received, they were able to kick-start their
capital campaigns.

Now, we asked the Governor to put \$5 million into her budget for the fund, and she did. And so I'm here tonight to ask all of you to keep funding — to keep your \$5 million in the budget as well so that we can bring the fund up to \$10 million. And I promise you that I won't ask you for any more money for this. I think \$10 million would really do it for all the shelters across the state.

And I have to thank Assemblywoman
Lupardo, Senator Hinchey, Assemblywoman Glick
and Senator Addabbo for their leadership in
making sure that the fund has been in the
budget for the last four out of five years,
and that we can move this whole thing
forward. And so I hope the Legislature
continues to put in its \$5 million.

The other thing that's going to come

1	on the radar and this isn't necessarily
2	money, but is the Companion Animal Care
3	Standards Act for shelters and rescues. It's
4	a Paulin-Addabbo bill. It is a rewrite of
5	Article 26. As we have raised the physical
6	standards at shelters, this is an opportunity
7	for us to raise the care standards at
8	shelters and rescues across the state.
9	And I would be remiss if I didn't put

And I would be remiss if I didn't put in our plug for the Puppy Mill Bill, what Linda Rosenthal was talking about before.

It's her bill and Mike Gianaris's bill in the Senate. We just brought a new rescue from a puppy mill in Ohio into our home. And let me say that she's six years old, she was bred every six months. And she's got her issues, there's no two ways about it. But she's in a loving home now, and she knows that she's not going to be brought out back and shot, which is what would have happened if she wasn't here.

So this bill, the Puppy Mill Bill, is incredibly important in terms of making sure animals are well-cared-for and they're not

1	put into such horrible situations like
2	Assemblywoman Rosenthal was talking about
3	with American Kennels. We've seen it in
4	Shake A Paw down on Long Island. And there's
5	scores of other issues as well.
6	So thank you again for the opportunity
7	tonight. I know I'm over my time, so I'll
8	cut it short.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	And I see the hand of Agriculture
11	Chair Michelle Hinchey.
12	SENATOR HINCHEY: Hello. Thank you,
13	Chairwoman. And thank you all so much for
14	your testimony and for sticking with us
15	tonight in a later hour than we all
16	anticipated.
17	My first question is for about the
18	diagnostic lab. You know, I know we've seen
19	a lot of people through COVID adopting more
20	and more animals over the pandemic. Have you
21	seen an increased demand for animal disease
22	testing? And do you need more resources to
23	handle this as we've seen more people, again,
24	adopting animals?

1	You're muted.
2	DR. ELVINGER: Thank you, Senator
3	Hinchey, for your question.
4	And yes, indeed, throughout the
5	pandemic I must say that our caseload did
6	nothing but rise. We had a record year as
7	far as accessions, cases that came our way,
8	clearly due to the increased interest of the
9	population in companion animals, and we have
10	seen those numbers increase.
11	We also saw the increase in food
12	animal cases that came our way. But in
13	particular, you know, I think
14	pandemic-related animal adoptions that might
15	have increased and those that led to
16	additional submissions to our laboratory.
17	Yes, also requiring additional resources that
18	we had to use to take care of all those
19	cases. We had a 14 percent increase in cases
20	last year over the year before.
21	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much.
22	You all do really important work, so thank
23	you for everything you do.
24	My next question is for American

1	Farmland Trust. You know, we've talked a lot
2	about solar siting in today's hearing, as I'm
3	sure you've seen. And I know you all are
4	doing a lot of work in this space. What have
5	you seen happening across New York compared
6	to other states? And is there something that
7	we should be paying more attention to, or
8	more that we should be worried about?
9	MS. GOODMAN: Great. Thank you,
10	Senator Hinchey, for that question. And as
11	you alluded to, we've been doing a lot of
12	work.
13	We actually have a report we're
14	releasing tomorrow that we're happy to share
15	with you and the rest of the folks here as
16	well today, that talked to farmers, local
17	governments, developers, others involved in
18	this exact issue and really found mixed
19	impacts across the state when it comes to
20	solar and farm viability, knowing that those
21	two things have to work hand in hand.
22	Certainly there's positive impacts

where farmers who own land are seeing

economic opportunities, but there's also some

23

1	negative implications too, especially with
2	farmer-renters. And that's something that we
3	need to look at more, because those are
4	situations where farmers specifically, you
5	know, looking at farmers who may be new to
6	farming who are being displaced and are
7	seeing higher prices as well.
8	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you.
9	And with my last three seconds, I
10	don't have more time, but I'll say that is
11	something that we have not talked about much
12	today, on the renter piece, and it's really
13	important, especially as we talk about that
14	transition for the next generation of
15	farmers. So thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Assemblywoman.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
19	Assemblywoman Lupardo.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Take yourself off
21	mute. There you go.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUPARDO: Okay. I don't
23	really have any questions. I just wanted to
24	thank each and every one of you certainly

for sticking with us today, but for the
inspiring work that you do. I've of course
already had detailed conversations with each
and every one of you. But I think it's
important that you took the time to be here
tonight to communicate the work that you do.

I'm certainly inspired by the work by farmland trust and Cornell's Vet School and what Libby's doing and NOFA. We're going to certainly look at your budget requests and do as we do every year. I think we've had some great success; I think the budget reflects that. It's probably one of the best ag budgets we've seen in a very, very long time -- certainly in my memory.

So I look forward to having additional conversations with you and working with my colleague in the Senate to advance the causes that we care about. And you are really right at the top of the list as far as I'm concerned -- and admirable work on behalf of New York agriculture and New York companion animals. So thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	I don't believe I see any other Senate
2	hands, so why don't you continue, Helene.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
4	Assemblywoman Kelles.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you.
6	And I want to just second everything
7	that Chair Lupardo said. I have spoken to
8	all of you as well, and super inspired, I
9	thank God you exist, Goddess you exist. And
10	I don't have questions for all of you, but I
11	did want to show my support.
12	I do have one question, though, for
13	American Farmland Trust, Erica Goodman. You
14	spoke about urging us to shift from school
15	lunches to all school meals being, you know,
16	in the Farm-To-School program. Can you talk
17	about what not having it be all school meals
18	does to limit the number of schools that can
19	or do participate in this program, and how
20	many schools currently do?
21	MS. GOODMAN: Thank you for the
22	question, Assemblymember Kelles.
23	And, you know, we've conducted two
24	years of research in the first two years of

1	the program, and we've consistently seen that
2	with the focus just on lunch, that forces
3	schools to spend more time doing some of the
4	tracking and paperwork and really having to
5	divide their energy when they could be
6	spending that more on increasing the purchase
7	to schools.
8	And, you know, for example, products
9	like milk, where it's served both at
10	breakfast and at lunch, it takes more time to
11	split that up and to really account for
12	what's going where.
13	So being able to incorporate the
14	program across all school meals will enable
15	school teams especially, you know, as
16	we've seen in the last couple of years how
17	stretched schools are for their time and
18	resources. So the more we're able to provide
19	that support to them, the more they're able
20	to really expand the program.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Wonderful.
22	And I just wanted to comment, too, my

appreciation for your work with the farms for

the next generation and the navigators. It

23

1	is critically important, and I will certainly
2	continue to fight for that to be put back
3	into the budget.
4	So thank you so much to NOFA
5	Katie and Libby Post. Your work is always
6	astounding. And of course François, thank
7	you so much for all that you do, and we'll
8	continue to fight for your efforts.
9	Thank you.
LO	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Any other Assemblymembers? I don't
12	see any hands.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: I do not see
L 4	anybody else, so we can move on to the next
15	panel.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, I'm going to
17	thank this panel very much for your hard
18	work, and jump to Panel B: Scenic Hudson,
19	Andy Bicking; Open Space Institute,
20	Christopher "Kim" J. Elliman; and the
21	Environmental Defense Fund, Kate Boicourt, or
22	close enough for tonight.
23	Hi, everyone. Let's start with Andy.
24	MR. BICKING: Thank you, Senator.

1	Andy Bicking,	director of government
2	relations and	public policy for Scenic
3	Hudson.	

Three points I'd like to share with you this evening. First, relating to the State Parks Capital Fund, the Governor's proposed 200 million, a welcome increase. I want to ask you, please consider increasing this to \$300 million in your one-house budgets. There's a great need at many locations throughout the state.

For example, the Hudson Highlands

Fjord Trail, located on a narrow and

dangerous section of state highway along the

Hudson River between Cold Spring and Beacon,

in Hudson Highlands State Park, is one of the

most popular day-hike destinations in the

entire nation, yet it's not even up to the

most basic standards. It's dangerous. In

recent years one person was fatally hit by a

train, another dismembered. It's not

accessible. Park rangers and volunteers

tasked with public safety have no shelter and

bake in the hot sun and freeze in the cold.

1	And it's inefficient. New York City
2	DEP workers can't drive their trucks to an
3	aqueduct pump station that supplies drinking
4	water to millions.
5	This is a 135 million to \$150 million
6	large-scale public works project that
7	addresses Metro-North, DOT, OPRHP
8	infrastructure and facilities. It's on the
9	way to raising \$66 million from non-state
10	sources, including \$14 million from DEP, yet
11	the state is not yet a significant financial
12	partner. So we are seeking a state
13	commitment of 35 million.
14	Second, and this is amazing, the
15	Governor has proposed a \$400 million
16	Environmental Protection Fund, incredible.
17	We ask that you please support this top-line
18	number. Many great things in this year's
19	proposal. The EPF program for parks,
20	preservation and heritage grants is proposed
21	for 26 million. This is welcome. Please
22	support it. Applications for this line item
23	exceed \$90 million annually.
24	Included here is also a 33.5 million

1	item for Bridge Riverwalk, managed jointly by
2	Scenic Hudson and the Village of Tarrytown.
3	It will link two orphaned trails together
4	under the former Tappan Zee Bridge.
5	Why is this important? Think of the
6	restaurant worker commuting by bike and the
7	young parent pushing a baby stroller who are
8	now crossing dangerous streets. This project
9	is for them as much as it is for the visitors
10	who are contributing to the region's
11	\$4.4 billion tourism economy. And it will
12	leverage \$1.3 million committed by
13	Westchester County.
14	Finally, also in the EPF are increases
15	to the Climate Resilient Farms and Soil and
16	Water Conservation District programs. Please
17	maintain them. They will help advance the
18	Soil Health and Climate Resiliency Act, which
19	you passed unanimously last year, and provide
20	much-needed grants and technical support to
21	New York farmers.
22	Thank you again for the opportunity to
23	share these thoughts tonight.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very

1	much, Andy.
2	And next, Kim Elliman, Open Space
3	Institute.
4	MR. ELLIMAN: Thank you, Senator
5	Krueger. And good evening and thank you for
6	this opportunity to discuss Governor Hochul's
7	proposed budget as relates to New York State
8	parks, open space protection, and the
9	environment.
10	As you've just said, my name's Kim
11	Elliman. I'm president and CEO of the Open
12	Space Institute. And realizing the hour, I
13	will be offering an abridged version of my
14	submitted testimony. Thank you all for your
15	patience.
16	OSI is among the leading land
17	conservation nonprofits in the Eastern U.S.,
18	having protected about 2.3 million acres of
19	land for clean water, recreation, carbon
20	storage, flood mitigation, and wildlife
21	habitat from Southern Canada to Florida.
22	Still, New York remains our home, and I

welcome the opportunity to be here today.

The Open Space Institute believes

23

strongly that Governor Hochul has created a
budgetary roadmap that addresses climate
change as the environmental crisis of our
time and acknowledges and responds to the
public's need to connect with nature, and
makes much-needed investments in land
conservation for clean drinking water, flood
protection, carbon absorption and recreation.

Specifically, the \$4 billion Clean
Water, Clean Air, Green Jobs Bond Act and its
emphasis on land conservation and protection
of open space is particularly welcome as
New York State prioritizes water protection,
reduction of carbon in our atmosphere,
addresses increasing threats of flooding in
the wake of climate change and the need to
conserve habitat.

At the same time, the proposed

Environmental Protection Fund increase to

\$400 million sets a new, higher standard for
reliable environmental funding in New York

State to meet the growing demand for parks
and green space for people and for wildlife.

24 We strongly support this new overall

1	funding level, particularly the \$10 million
2	increase for open space protection. This
3	increase for open space protection
4	demonstrates a full understanding of the
5	critical role strategic land conservation can
6	and does play in protecting drinking water,
7	fighting climate change, and providing
8	New Yorkers with places to safely spend time
9	with their families, connect with friends,
10	exercise, and recharge from emotional and
11	mental fatigue.
12	Knowing the Legislature's long-term
13	and passionate commitment to the EPF, I would

Knowing the Legislature's long-term and passionate commitment to the EPF, I would be remiss if I failed to thank you all for all you've done for the EPF over the years.

And because OSI's land mission extends from conservation to making parks and protected land available and welcoming to all, OSI also enthusiastically endorses Governor Hochul's monumental commitment to public lands which have, especially in recent years, proved to be a source of comfort and rejuvenation for tens of millions of New Yorkers.

24 So the Governor's \$200 million

1	allocation for state parks infrastructure
2	represents the single largest capital
3	infusion for New York State parks in history,
4	and dramatically raises the bar for public
5	access throughout the nation.
6	This commitment complements that of
7	the Open Space Institute. Over the past
8	decade, OSI has invested millions of dollars
9	in private funds for new trails, trailheads
10	and visitor centers. We also welcome the
11	proposed staffing increases for both DEC and
12	State Parks. This turnaround is both welcome
13	and warranted.
14	As the 2022 legislative gets underway,
15	we look forward to working with Governor
16	Hochul, her administration, and members of
17	the Legislature to enact a budget that moves
18	New York State forward as a national leader.
19	Thank you very much. Thank you,
20	members of the Assembly and the Senate.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
22	much.
23	Next, Environmental Defense Fund, Kate
24	Boicourt.

1	MS. BOICOURT: Thank you so much.
2	On behalf of Environmental Defense
3	Fund's 250,000 members and activists in
4	New York State, I thank you for the
5	opportunity to testify today, for your
6	stamina during this hearing, and for your
7	leadership on protecting the environment.
8	In the past 10 years, climate change
9	fueled extreme storms. Mostly notably,
10	Hurricanes Sandy and Ida led to the loss of
11	dozens of lives and caused more than
12	\$100 billion in damages. We are already
13	operating in an impacted system in which a
14	loss of natural infrastructure that used to
15	protect us has contributed to rising risks,
16	especially paired with the impacts of climate
17	change. These risks are borne unequally,
18	hitting hardest in low-wealth communities and
19	communities of color. But you have the power
20	to start shifting that trajectory.
21	I urge you to support the following as
22	strategic investments in nature and
23	environmental justice that will reap
24	dividends in clean air, water, and create

1	jobs.

2	First, changes to the Environmental
3	Bond Act. We applaud and support Governor
4	Hochul's proposed new name, the Clean Water,
5	Clean Air, Green Jobs Bond Act, which will
6	more clearly communicate its intent to the
7	public. We also support the increase to
8	4 billion. With Ida recently demonstrating
9	the financial impact even one storm can make,
10	it is only fitting that we increase these
11	investments, which can also serve as a
12	critical match for increasingly available
13	federal dollars for clean water and
14	environmental projects.

Second, Environmental Protection Fund. Like my colleagues have just mentioned, we support that increase to 400 million as a floor during budget negotiations, which marks a huge step forward on the path to achieving the long-term goal of a 500 million EPF.

Third, state agency capital funding.

We urge you to support at least the proposed

200 million in capital funds for DEC and

State Parks, toward a goal of reaching

	1	300	million	for	this	program
--	---	-----	---------	-----	------	---------

Fourth, the clean water funding. We support a \$1 billion investment in the Clean Water Infrastructure Act. It has been estimated by the American Society of Civil Engineers that New York State's need for drinking water infrastructure alone is nearly 30 billion over the next 20 years. Wastewater adds an additional 31.4 billion in need to that number. And I heard some higher numbers earlier today, so there's definitely a need.

Fifth, state agency staffing. Our environmental agencies have historically been understaffed to meet the demand for permitting, environmental protection, and maintaining our outdoor spaces that we are using more and more during this COVID-19 crisis.

As these increasing pressures are placed on our natural resources, we support commitments to build back the staff capacity toward a safer, cleaner New York, as has been proposed by Governor Hochul.

1	Lastly, wetlands protections. We
2	support Governor Hochul's budget proposal to
3	increase protections for 1 million acres of
4	wetlands, which filter pollutants in our
5	water and serve as a buffer against the worst
6	impacts of climate change.
7	So I thank you again for this
8	opportunity to testify in support of these
9	important investments in the most basic
10	elements that all New Yorkers enjoy: Our
11	air, our water, and our natural resources.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you all
14	very much.
15	And I believe I don't see a Senator,
16	so I'm handing it to Helene Weinstein for
17	Assemblymembers.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have several
19	Assemblymembers. I'll be calling them in
20	order, but just so that they know, we'll
21	start with Assemblyman Abinanti, then
22	Epstein, then Burdick.
23	Assemblyman Abinanti, you're on.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you very

1	much. I just want to welcome this panel.
2	Andy Bicking, I very much appreciate
3	your mentioning the projects along the Hudson
4	River. I support them, and I thank you for
5	your endurance with the rest of the panel, to
6	stay all this time to tell us about those
7	projects.
8	And that's all I'm going to say.
9	thank you very much. I support your efforts,
10	and we'll do what we can here to make sure
11	the money's in the budget so that we can get
12	those projects done.
13	MR. BICKING: Thank you,
14	Assemblymember.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, then
16	we'll go on to Assemblyman Epstein.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: That was very
18	quick. I wasn't sure Tom I've never seen
19	Tom speak that quickly, so thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You can follow
21	by example.
22	(Laughter.)
23	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Oh, thanks,
24	Helene.

1	Kate, so I just wanted to check in
2	with you on waste management and wanted to
3	get a sense of what you thought about kind of
4	where we are on the Climate Action Council.
5	I have some concerns around, you know, not
6	bringing a lot of effort on our composting
7	and, you know and I'm wondering what
8	you're hearing and whether you think the
9	Governor's gone far enough.
10	MS. BOICOURT: I am not so focused on
11	waste management, so if anybody else on this
12	panel is able to speak to that question, I
13	will cede my time for it for that.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Okay, so no one
15	knows about waste management. Okay.
16	One last question, then, for you.
17	Just because so I guess my only other
18	concern is maybe this is not for you
19	either. Just what we heard around, like,
20	charging infrastructure and moving that
21	forward. And I kind of feel like we have
22	some great targets, but I don't think we've
23	gotten any way to get there. And I'm

wondering if like there's enough in place

1	that we're doing what we need to do to kind
2	of get along the goals that we have to move
3	to a fully electric system in the time frame
4	we're talking about for electric vehicles.
5	MS. BOICOURT: Again, I think my
6	expertise is more on the climate resilience
7	side.
8	But in the bond act, there is
9	1.1 million for climate change mitigation
10	projects as well as other environmental
11	justice projects within the bond act. And I
12	think that there may be some ability to cover
13	some of the things that you've mentioned.
14	But that's not my expertise, so I'll pass
15	that to anybody else that can answer it.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: All right.
17	Well, then I'm done, thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Mr. Burdick.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I first want to
21	thank the panelists for their advocacy and
22	the great work that you're doing. We really
23	appreciate what you're doing to protect our
24	environment.

1	I had a question, and this is for Andy
2	Bicking on the Scenic Hudson. First of all,
3	I know you're active in Westchester County,
4	which I represent a part of, and thank you
5	for your good work.
6	You mentioned in your testimony that
7	you'd like to see the Open Space state land
8	acquisition line item in the Environmental
9	Protection Fund returned to 60 million.
10	Right now it's proposed at 40 million. And
11	you said that historically it had been at
12	60 million. Two questions on that.
13	If you could explain when it was at
14	that 60 million, and also who I might work
15	with to try to advocate for this.
16	MR. BICKING: Well, thank you,
17	Assemblyman, for that question. Historically
18	the fund was at \$60 million. Years ago when
19	the Environmental Protection Fund was
20	created, there were much fewer categories in
21	it, and it was a much more predominant had
22	a much more predominant role in the fund
23	overall.
24	That kind of goes back to the days

1	when George Pataki was Governor and he had
2	set a million-acre goal of protecting open
3	space in New York State, which was partially
4	achieved, was really an aspirational goal,
5	and that drove a lot of the priorities within
6	state agencies, in my organization's
7	experience.
8	So looking forward, you know, I would
9	love to work with you on that. I know
10	there's a number of other members in the land
11	trust community that would do the same, and
12	many allies within your house as well as the
13	Senate.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: So maybe if you
15	could connect with me, I would be very
16	interested in advocating for that.
17	MR. BICKING: Wonderful. I'll reach
18	out.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Great. Thanks
20	so much.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Helene, I see a
22	Senate hand that's popped up to sneak in.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay. So go
24	for it.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Senator Michelle Hinchey.
3	SENATOR HINCHEY: I'm sneaking in.
4	Thank you very much, and thank you to
5	all of our panelists for being here and, more
6	importantly, for all of the work that you do.
7	My question is for Scenic Hudson, Andy
8	Bicking. Thank you for mentioning the
9	Climate Resiliency and Soil Health Act. As
10	we know, agriculture accounts for about
11	4 percent of carbon emissions and, through
12	the CLCPA, needs to sequester about
13	15 percent.
14	Can you you know, and we're so
15	as you said, we are allocating about
16	17 million, the Executive Budget had about
17	17 million for soil health and sequestration.
18	Is that enough, do you think?
19	MR. BICKING: Well, thank you,
20	Senator. And thank you for your leadership
21	in advancing the Soil Health and Climate
22	Resiliency Act to successful passage in your
23	house. Really an amazing piece of
24	legislation. And I'd call it groundbreaking,

1	but it's really ground-mending for New York
2	State. So we're all very proud of it.
3	I think the proposal that we have from
4	the Governor for the soil health funds this
5	year and the Climate Resilient Farm and Soil
6	and Water Conservation Districts is a good
7	solid amount. In my experience with working
8	with many of these grant programs, we need to
9	kind of be building capacity step by step.
10	So I think we're in a really great place.
11	And we can certainly go out I know
12	my organization is committed to getting
13	applications submitted by farmers for funding
14	and support, and see it as a really important
15	first step as part of a long-term strategy.
16	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much.
17	Appreciate that.
18	And I yield my minute and a half.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much, Senator.
21	Back to you, Helene.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
23	Otis.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Hi there.

1	Thank you all for your testimony and
2	for your long-standing advocacy for the
3	environment.
4	I wanted to focus on the water
5	funding, which we've had great success in
6	New York, and the proposal to take the
7	Governor's 500 million and make it a billion.
8	And so there is certainly physical money
9	opportunities. There is some unspent money.
10	I think I'm not sure to what extent the
11	from the 2020 funds did not all go out the
12	door.
13	Have any of you put together some sort
14	of scenario where you're patching together
15	the second 500 million? I think that would
16	help in terms of our trying to push on this
17	issue.
18	MR. BICKING: Well, Assemblyman, I car

just respond in general. I don't have any particulars on that question. But I'd be happy to touch base with our coalition partners, make sure they're aware of your request, and we can follow up and get that to you.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: That would be
2	great, Andy.
3	And I think that's it for me, then,
4	Helene. I'll yield back my time to the
5	evening before us.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think I see one
7	more Assemblymember hand.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes. We go to
9	Assemblywoman Kelles.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Actually, as is
11	so often the case, Assemblymember Otis and I
12	are like two brains with the same thought
13	patterns happening through them. So that was
L 4	exactly my question.
15	But I actually was inspired by the
16	letter, Kate, that you wrote on the issue.
17	And in particular, my concern we haven't
18	had a chance to talk about this before. But
19	I think it was over five years ago, maybe
20	even 10 years ago at this point, the
21	Comptroller put out an assessment of the full
22	cost to upgrade our drinking water and
23	wastewater. Each was about 40 billion, for a
24	price tag of 80 billion which of course is

1	on the old system. We have pipes that are
2	actually even still wood, let alone the lead
3	mitigation that we have to do.

So I appreciated your comment as well that even 1 billion would barely scratch the surface. By the time we update, then we would have to start all over again.

But I'm curious -- the work that you've done, the advocacy, the input, you've heard the likelihood of reaching us -- if you think that this current estimate is accurate or we really should be doing a new study.

MS. BOICOURT: I think that -- just quickly to respond in terms of the need and then again I think I would say that Andy and I can follow up with the coalition and see if there's some follow-up there to dig into that a little bit.

But this is a historic time of federal investment, and we really need match right now to really take advantage of all of these opportunities. So like you said, the need is great, the time is now, and there is an opportunity to really expand what we've got

1	and invest where we heed.
2	So I think that we'll need to do some
3	follow-up for you, but just want to emphasize
4	that that need and the opportunity that is
5	right now and may not come again for several
6	years.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: And I'm not
8	sure what the current situation is. Is it a
9	one-to-one projected or proposed match,
10	federal match for the water infrastructure
11	right now?
12	MS. BOICOURT: Andy, do you have that
13	number?
14	MR. BICKING: No, I don't know that
15	off the top of my head. We can look into
16	that for you, though.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: That would be
18	great. Because that certainly would
19	influence how effective any investment could
20	be for us at the state level and might
21	influence what we can get into the budget.
22	Thank you so much. I will cede my
23	last 35 seconds.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	Helene, any other Assemblymember hands
2	on
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No, I believe
4	that is it. So we're ready for the next
5	panel.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
7	you all very much for being with us tonight.
8	And I'm moving on to Panel C: Parks &
9	Trails New York, Will Cote; Adirondack Park
10	Club, Kathy Pedler; Adirondack Council,
11	Kevin Chlad and I'm missing one
12	Catskill Mountainkeeper, Katherine Nadeau.
13	Hello, everybody.
14	MULTIPLE PANELISTS: Hello.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We'll start with
16	Parks & Trails.
17	MR. COTE: Yes, good evening.
18	Distinguished members of the Senate
19	and the Assembly, thanks for the opportunity
20	to present some testimony to you all this
21	evening on behalf of Parks & Trails New York.
22	My name is Will Cote. I'm the parks program
23	director.
2.4	Since 1985, PTNY has been fighting to

improve our health, economy and quality of
life through the use and enjoyment of green
space. We champion the protection and
enhancement of New York's magnificent state
park system and greenways network, ensuring
all New Yorkers have access to the outdoors
for generations to come.

Last year was another historic one for public lands. Despite the relaxation of some public health guidelines as compared to 2020, our protected open space continued to see unprecedented levels of visitation and use.

In fact, 2021 was the tenth straight year of increased visitation for our parks. Yes, the pandemic has accelerated New Yorkers' attraction to and need for nature, but this critical shift has been developing for decades. The pandemic simply brought it into focus.

The bottom line is New Yorkers desire more access to nature and more outdoor recreational opportunities and see it as essential to creating a healthier, more livable and equitable New York. And this is

1	why we applaud the Governor's proposed
2	Executive Budget. It not only continues to
3	address the renewal of our iconic state park
4	system, but also ensures that we continue to
5	protect and steward our precious open spaces,
6	mitigate against the impacts of climate
7	change, and help ensure our parks and
8	historic sites are welcoming and accessible
9	for everyone.
10	So we encourage the Legislature to
11	continue further investing in the
12	environment, specifically by supporting the
13	following Executive Budget elements:
14	First, \$200 million in capital
15	investment for state parks, historic sites
16	and the Empire State Trail through New York
17	Works funding. This critical funding not
18	only builds upon the decades-long
19	revitalization of our iconic state parks
20	system, but extends the legacy of our public
21	lands by transforming flagship parks,
22	addressing critical infrastructure needs, and
23	expanding opportunities for local recreation.
24	Capital funding for OPRHP will ensure

that we have safe and attractive facilities,
accessible and affordable services, and
quality, welcoming experiences for all
New Yorkers.

2.0

We are optimistic that the Governor's proposed increase to 200 million is the first step towards achieving the goal of 300 million in capital funding, which is required to meet the needs of our state parks system. We look forward to working with the Legislature to meet this goal.

Second, a 15 percent increase in state parks operations appropriation, restoring the agency's capacity to some degree will help welcome a growing number of visitors and reach new and underserved audiences. For too long the agency has been pressed to do more with less, and addressing this imbalance will certainly help to create a more welcoming experience for everyone.

Third, a \$400 million EPF. That will demonstrate a clear commitment to protecting our natural resources and bring us closer to achieving the environmental community's

1	long-term goal of a \$500 million EPF.
2	Certainly the Connect Kids program being
3	increased to 300 million is also an important
4	line item to keep in mind.
5	Fourth, the 2 million for Park & Trail
6	partnership grants. This capacity-building,
7	competitive grant program, administered by
8	PTNY in partnership with State Parks, has
9	proven to be successful for promoting
10	public/private partnerships. This additional
11	funding will further advance private
12	partnership efforts to steward state parks,
13	foster nonprofit partnerships that will
14	expand access to public lands, and address
15	barriers to diversity, equity, inclusion
16	within park communities and friends groups
17	themselves.
18	Thank you very much for the
19	opportunity to speak to you all tonight, and
20	I look forward to working with you this
21	coming session.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
23	much.
24	Next to the Adirondack Mountain Club.

1	MS. PEDLER: Good evening. Thank you
2	all for being here for us tonight. Really
3	appreciate it.
4	I'm Cathy Pedler, the director of
5	advocacy for the Adirondack Mountain Club,
6	which in its 100th year has three facilities
7	in the Adirondack High Peaks, 37 full-time
8	staff, a thousand volunteers, 50 seasonal
9	staff who deliver outdoor educational
10	programming, protect rare Alpine habitat,
11	lead trips, build and maintain trails, and
12	ensure that visitors to the High Peaks and
13	other recreational lands across the state
14	have safe, responsible, quality outdoor
15	experiences.
16	Thank you for the opportunity to
17	represent our 30,000 members and to comment
18	on the Executive Budget proposal.
19	What I'd like to talk to you about
20	tonight is the great need for dedicated
21	funding for New York's Adirondack and
22	Catskill Forest Preserve parks. We
23	respectfully request 10 million of the

proposed 50 million in the EPF State Land

1	Stewardship line, under the Parks and
2	Recreation account, be dedicated for the
3	Adirondack and Catskill parks.

Adirondack Mountain Club and 25 other conservation and municipal organizations and municipalities signed onto a letter urging Governor Hochul to include funding for critically needed services in the Forest Preserve parks, including trail work, recreational infrastructure, recreational planning and educational outreach.

In the State of the State address, the Governor responded by proposing that the EPF be used to support investments into the Catskills and Adirondack parks, and now the 50 million in the State Land Stewardship line of the historic 400 million EPF backs up the Governor's State of the State commitment.

The Catskill and Adirondack Forest

Preserve parks together represent over

6.5 million acres -- that's nearly a quarter

of New York State. There are more than

25 million visitors to the Adirondacks and

Catskills each year, bringing in \$3 billion

1	annually to regional economies.
2	In our climate crisis, the Adirondacks
3	and Catskills serve as the lungs of New York,
4	combating climate change, providing oxygen,
5	sequestering carbon. They protect critical
6	habitat and provide fresh drinking water for
7	more than 25 million people in two countries,
8	including residents of New York City.
9	Supporting the Adirondack and
10	Catskills Forest Preserve parks checks all
11	the boxes: Health and recreation,
12	environment and community, inclusivity,
13	safety and economy. We respectfully request
14	that at least 10 million is dedicated to the
15	Catskill and Adirondack Forest Preserve
16	parks, with a specific line in the EPF under
17	State Land Stewardship.
18	Thank you very much.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Next, Kevin Chlad.
21	MR. CHLAD: Thank you so much.
22	Good evening, Chair Krueger, Chair
23	Weinstein, and honored legislators. My name

is Kevin Chlad. I'm the director of

1	government relations for the Adirondack
2	Council.
3	I just want to highlight three items
4	contained within our longer written
5	testimony, the first of which is a proposal
6	to establish a Timbuctoo Summer Climate and
7	Careers Institute. This is a proposed
8	CUNY/SUNY partnership that will provide
9	high schoolers with exposure to environmental
10	careers who may currently lack those
11	opportunities.
12	We're working to build a diverse green
13	jobs pipeline in the Adirondacks to meet the
14	carbon sequestration goals of the CLCPA, and
15	we consider this effort to be foundational to
16	that end. This program would cost
17	\$2.1 million, and we hope that the
18	Legislature will add this to the budget.
19	The second item I want to bring to
20	your attention is called a Survey of Climate
21	Change and Adirondack Lakes Ecosystems.
22	Assemblymember Glick asked the commissioner
23	about this earlier today.

In the Adirondacks we have one of the

best water quality data sets anywhere in the
world, and that data is currently collected
by the Adirondack Lakes Survey Corp. The
data set has been instrumental to Attorneys
General in establishing standing for lawsuits
to protect New Yorkers that suffer from
asthma and other illnesses from upwind
polluters like coal-fired power plants in the
Ohio Valley.

The future of this research is at risk due to declining state and federal funding and in-kind contributions. We're asking the state to help this program survive and thrive, meeting 21st-century challenges, and we're hoping that will be accomplished by calling for a \$6 million investment in this year's budget for a new water-quality survey conducted by a consortium of research partners.

Lastly, I want to touch on a very important cause that was mentioned by the DEC commissioner earlier today. Senator Kaminsky asked the commissioner about visitor use management in the Adirondack High Peaks. We

1	were thrilled that the commissioner
2	acknowledged the need to partner with a
3	national expert to undertake a 21st-century
4	approach to managing our public lands.
5	New York has done commendable work in funding
6	hiker shuttles, trailhead stewards,
7	port-a-johns, and summit stewards, all with
8	the intention of addressing overuse of
9	regions like the Adirondack High Peaks.
10	The VUMF, as we call it, is essential
11	to bring these different solutions together,
12	using data to know what is working and what
13	is not working. This will help DEC better
14	protect our natural resources, improve
15	visitor safety, foster equitable access, and
16	preserve our wilderness character. This
17	framework is used at our most popular
18	national parks.
19	The Executive Budget does not detail
20	out this proposal, and we recommend that the
21	final budget do so.
22	We are a member of the Clean Water and
23	Jobs Coalition and the Friends of New York's
24	Environment and support a \$4 billion

1	Environmental Bond Act and a \$400 million
2	EPF.
3	Thanks so much for your time.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Catskill Mountainkeeper.
6	MS. NADEAU: Hello. Thank you so much
7	for having me this evening. It's wonderful
8	to be here with you all.
9	So I wanted to hit on a couple of big
10	issues tonight. First of all, I'm going to
11	start with talking about funding the Catskill
12	Park a bit.
13	So the Catskill Park and the region is
14	a crown gem in New York State. It's part of
15	the it's just a beautiful, beautiful
16	region. And even though it's referred to as
17	the Catskill Park, just like the Adirondack
18	Park, we are not funded in any way out of the
19	parks budget. All of the funding for the
20	Catskill Forest Preserve comes through other
21	pots of funding in the state budget.
22	So I think that's really important to
23	understand as we talk about being very

specific about where funding for the park

1	comes	from,	and	making	sure	that	it's	getting
2	to whe	ere we	want	it to	go.			

So a couple of things to mention that
Mountainkeeper is focused on, is making sure
that there is at least a \$400 million
Environmental Protection Fund. We
wholeheartedly support Governor Hochul's
proposal, and we are thrilled to see the EPF
getting its due and being elevated to this
level.

On that note, though, we want to make sure that a portion of the stewardship funding reaches the state's Forest Preserves. And so in line with our colleagues at the Adirondack Mountain Club, we're calling on the Legislature to line out a \$10 million appropriation for the Adirondack Forest Preserve and Catskill Forest Preserve in the stewardship line, and to direct \$5 million of that appropriation to the Catskill Park and Forest Preserve.

Again, making sure that we've got some specificity about where the funding needs to go and directing it in this manner will allow

1	the state to implement the High Peaks and the
2	Catskill advisory groups' strategic plans.
3	It will also help New Yorkers just enjoy the
4	Adirondacks and the Catskills responsibly,
5	supporting comprehensive planning, trail
6	safety and climate resiliency, accessibility,
7	inclusivity, educational stewards, signs and
8	kiosks to orient visitors, and sanitation
9	facilities. So really making sure that
10	people who come into the Catskills and the
11	Adirondacks have a fantastic experience.
12	And as folks have been talking about
13	all day long, we were already trending more

And as folks have been talking about all day long, we were already trending more and more visitors in the Catskills each year, and the pandemic just saw that increase exponentially. So really putting state funding on the ground in the Catskills for a great visitor experience is going to pay dividends, because all of those visitors who come to the Catskills not only get to enjoy our beautiful park and region, but they're also there investing in the park and region as well.

There's a lot to like in the Executive

1	Budget, and we just wanted to point out our
2	support for additional staffing at DEC. It's
3	great to see the agency, again, getting its
4	due when it comes to funding and making sure
5	that we're putting boots on the ground to
6	implement the agency's critically important
7	mission to protect our state's lands and
8	waters.
9	We also support increases in water
10	infrastructure funding, both what the
11	Governor called for and beyond. And I'd be
12	happy to take any other questions as well,
13	but I see my time has run out. So thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you all
15	very much.
16	Okay, we're going to start with
17	Senator Michelle Hinchey.
18	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much.
19	And thank you, everyone. I want to
20	say thank you for being here and, first and
21	foremost, I'm incredibly supportive of the
22	funding for the Adirondacks and the Catskill
23	Park.
24	We know how important outdoor space

1	is, and I'll speak specifically to the
2	Catskills especially as protecting New York
3	City's drinking water. We have historically
4	not given the Catskills enough attention in
5	how important that park is. And so thank you
6	for your advocacy.

My quick question, Katherine, is for you, because I know we are here talking and advocating for that EPF funding, which is incredibly important, but we also fought for and were able to secure funding in last year's budget for stewardship, that you were a big part of. So can you talk a little bit about how important that funding was and what that went to?

MS. NADEAU: Thank you for the opportunity to answer that, because I ran out of time before I got to mention it.

But thanks to partnership throughout the Legislature, and led by Senator Hinchey, we were able to secure funding in the Aid to Localities line last year specifically for stewards programs at the Catskills Center and Catskills Mountainkeeper. We had stewards

1	right out there on the trail greeting
2	visitors, talking about leave no trace
3	principles, talking about where to go and
4	where to visit in the region, making sure
5	that you know, just doing basic trail
6	maintenance, so there was a public safety
7	aspect to their work as well.

And they're tremendously successful and tremendously impactful. And so this program allows us to extend the reach beyond what DEC is able to do, beyond what the Rangers are able to do, and to do it in a really friendly, welcoming manner that brings people into the Catskills and helps them connect even further.

So we are again calling for funding for the stewardship program. We'd love to see that come out of the Environmental Protection Fund. We're calling for \$200,000 to be split evenly between the Catskills Center and Catskills Mountainkeeper. And we're really excited to partner with the state again to make this a fantastic program for the summer of 2022.

1	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you. And you
2	saw
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Go ahead, I'm
4	sorry, Senator.
5	SENATOR HINCHEY: And you saw that
6	that was a really effective program of
7	welcoming people back, people who hadn't
8	really been into wilderness areas before,
9	right?
LO	MS. NADEAU: Absolutely. Absolutely.
11	Because we get a lot of visitors who are
12	coming out for the first time. These aren't
13	seasoned backpackers. So getting in there
L 4	and talking with people, welcoming them,
15	making sure they have a sense of where
16	they're going, what they're doing and how to
17	stay safe is super-important.
18	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assemblywoman.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we have
22	two members. Assemblywoman Hyndman first.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you,
2.4	Chair Weinstein.

Τ	And Kevin, it's really good to see you
2	again. Last year the New York State
3	Association of Black and Puerto Rican and
4	Hispanic and Asian Legislators had the
5	opportunity to visit the Adirondacks right
6	before it got too cold, and it was a real
7	eye-opening experience, especially for the
8	Timbuctoo settlement, which allowed over
9	3,000 Black men to meet the property
10	requirement, which gave them the right to
11	vote in New York State. And we're talking
12	about free Black men.
13	And that history was so inspiring and
14	the caucus has pledged to support your
15	\$2.1 million ask in the budget to keep that
16	historic pipeline of information viable and
17	valid. So I want to thank you for the
18	opportunity. I do plan to visit the
19	Adirondacks again, and I think it's such a
20	treasure that we have this in New York State,
21	and we need to preserve it. So I'm just
22	pledging my efforts in support to make sure
23	that it continues.

24 And Kevin, thank you for being a great

1	nost. And the history from Aaron Mair was
2	life-changing I have to mention him.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. CHLAD: Thank you so much for
5	putting your faith in us, and we look forward
6	to having you back soon. Thanks.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.
8	Thank you. That's all I have.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Watch out,
10	Assemblywoman, next they'll get you on a
11	farm.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Next we will go
13	to Assemblyman Otis.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you, Helene.
15	Very quickly, all of you touched on in
16	your statements about clean water. And I
17	think for both the Adirondacks and the
18	Catskills, very briefly if you could detail
19	the dire nature of, in a sense, the increased
20	popularity of these two regions and how that
21	is affecting water quality and the pressures
22	for these environmentally sensitive areas.
23	MR. CHLAD: Thank you, Assemblyman.
24	I'll just quickly start by highlighting a few

1	things we're seeing in the Adirondacks.
2	We'll share with you I'll follow up with
3	you and make sure we share our most recent
4	needs assessment.
5	Small communities are strapped with
6	the burden of funding these big, multi-
7	million-dollar water infrastructure projects
8	to protect clean water in one of the most
9	incredible resources we have in this country.
10	And it's just simply not affordable. These
11	are part-time legislators. They don't have a
12	grant-writing department. They're doing the
13	best they can.
14	And most recently, the Environmental
15	Facilities Corp. did away with its Technical
16	Assistance Program, and we're hopeful that
17	they will bring that back. That was
18	essential to these part-time supervisors that
19	are working to make these projects happen:

The other thing that I would flag in terms of huge need is just to circle back to my oral testimony. We need modern water-quality data collection. We don't have

Small investment for a huge payout.

1	a full awareness of what's in the water, what
2	the current state of our water bodies are, so
3	it's one of the biggest things we can do.
4	Again, smaller investment for a huge payoff.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. Any more
6	questions?
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have
8	another: Assemblyman Burdick.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Yeah, I just
10	wanted to pick up on that, on what you
11	mentioned about what the EFC had done away
12	with that provided assistance to small
13	localities. And I certainly have small
14	localities in my district. And, you know,
15	what you said about them not having the
16	ability to do grant-writing really resonates
17	with me.
18	If you could tell me what it was that
19	was removed, and if you have any idea what it
20	would cost to restore it.
21	MR. CHLAD: I don't know the figures
22	off the top of my head, but it's called the
23	Technical Assistance Program. There were
24	regional staff in all of the DEC regions that

1	provided support to local governments,
2	coaching them, training them on the process
3	of applying for water infrastructure grants
4	and low/zero-interest loans. And they knew
5	each and every detail, intimate detail of
6	every water-funding application, water
7	infrastructure application that was out
8	there. They had all the institutional
9	knowledge.

And that program was consolidated last year and done away with, so we lost a lot of important institutional knowledge. But again, we're hopeful that that will be coming back soon.

ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: If you could get back to me with that, I'm sure that my colleague Assemblyman Otis also, and others, would support that. I think that there's just a dire need for that.

As a former town supervisor, I did have the good fortune of having a staff that could handle it, but very few municipalities, unless they're larger municipalities, have that kind of capacity.

1		so please do follow up with me on
2	that.	I'd love to see it restored.
3		MR. CHLAD: Will do. Thank you,
4	Assemb	lyman.
5		CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think there's
6	one mo	re Assemblymember.
7		CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And now we go
8	to Ass	emblywoman Kelles.
9		ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so
10	much.	
11		And Chris, definitely share that
12	inform	ation with me. Kevin, that was one of
13	my que	stions as well. And I would love to
L 4	see th	at funding, coming from local
15	govern	ment myself.
16		It was always a huge struggle for us
17	to hel	p the smaller municipalities get the
18	grants	even if they existed, they didn't
19	know.	And secondly, they didn't have the
20	expert	ise. And third, they didn't have the
21	time.	We were providing it through the
22	county	, but we had nowhere near the amount of
23	fundin	g that we needed to help our
24	munici	palities.

1	So yes, please do let us know.
2	And then thank you to all of you. I
3	was in the Explorer Post as a kid. The
4	Adirondacks were like my second home, my
5	favorite experience in the entire world was
6	literally sprinting down Lower Wolf Jaw.
7	Will never, ever forget it. We always did
8	winter camping. So you are so speaking my
9	language.
10	And with that, I just wanted to make
11	sure I understood where in Parks and
12	Recreation so I have two questions. One,
13	where that \$10 million addition is, what
14	line. Where it says public access and
15	stewardship, is that the line where you want
16	the 10 million allocated? I think,
17	Katherine, you were talking about it
18	MS. NADEAU: Yes.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES:
20	specifically, and someone else was.
21	And then I have one other question,
22	which is there was the funding that was
23	removed from the Adirondack/Catskills Park
24	overuse. And I'm concerned what that was,

Т	what that was used for, what the 1035 what
2	the negative impact of that loss is going to
3	be. I know for me I'm worried, when the
4	trails are falling apart, that people go off
5	the trails, and that actually creates a huge
6	negative impact on some of the natural
7	ecosystems. We like to keep people on trails
8	as much as we can, from my experience.
9	So anyway, I just wanted your input on
10	those.
11	MS. NADEAU: Yeah. So just to take
12	the question about the funding, we're asking
13	for that in the stewardship line in the
L 4	Environmental Protection Fund, that
15	\$10 million to be split between the Catskills
16	and the Adirondacks.
17	And I can let others speak to the
18	Essex County line that was cut out last year.
19	But just to I mean, just to uplift
20	what you're saying, Assemblywoman, this type
21	of funding for high-use areas is so
22	critically important. Because if we do not
23	invest now to protect our trails and to
24	protect what we have, in addition to building

1	and, you know, expanding, it's only going to
2	get more expensive, the problems only get
3	more severe. And it becomes more of a hazard
4	for everybody who's out there.
5	So I really appreciate what you're
6	saying here, and really hope the Legislature
7	can make these investments.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: And I'd like to
9	just add what the way that they do these
10	trails, knowing you're miles and miles out,
11	it takes a tremendous amount of personnel,
12	you know, jerry-rigging ropes to carry
13	hundreds of pound of rocks. This is it's
14	not easy work. So, you know, it's not
15	something where it can be automated. And it
16	must be done in order to preserve the
17	ecosystem.
18	So I really appreciate all of you.
19	Thank you so much.
20	MR. CHLAD: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
23	Senator Krueger, we are the
24	Assembly is done with this panel.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you,
2	Assembly.
3	And thank you so much to the panel,
4	all four of you, for the work you do and for
5	keeping us educated about what more we need
6	to be doing for our parks and open spaces.
7	So thank you for your participation.
8	And I'm now going to turn to Panel D.
9	Got quite a few on this panel. Beyond
10	Plastics, Judith Enck; American Chemistry
11	Council, Craig Cookson; American Forest &
12	Paper Association, Abigail Sztein with an
13	unusual spelling; National Waste & Recycling
L 4	Association, Anne Germain; Waste Management
15	of New York, Susan Robinson; and Sure We Can,
16	Ryan Castalia.
17	And hopefully Harvey Epstein's still
18	here, because he had waste management
19	questions earlier.
20	Okay, if you'd just like to start
21	rolling with Judith Enck.
22	MS. ENCK: Good evening. I'm Judith
23	Enck with Beyond Plastics, former EPA
24	regional administrator.

1	I want to start by quoting
2	congressmember Morris Udall, who said:
3	"Everything has been said, but not by
4	everyone." I want to cover four major
5	points.
6	One is this is the 40th anniversary of
7	the New York Bottle Bill. It's time to raise
8	the deposit to a dime. And also cover
9	noncarbonated beverages. This is a Coke
10	bottle, it has a nickel deposit. This is an
11	iced tea bottle, a nickle deposit it does
12	not have a nickel deposit, so this is more
13	likely to be littered. And it's time to
14	update the Bottle Bill in many ways.
15	Second, I will talk a lot about
16	extended producer responsibility. It's
17	important that it not include chemical
18	recycling, which is a type of burning
19	plastic. There is a bill before you by
20	Senator Mannion that would count plastic
21	burning as recycling. It is not.
22	Third, it would be great to see a new
23	category in the proposed Environmental Bond
24	Act to cover waste reduction, reuse and

1	refill.	We	need	to	build	that
2	infrastru	ıctı	ıre.			

Let me start by saying I'm strongly supportive of the concept of extended producer responsibility, and my testimony includes a model bill and a handy chart that compares the model bill to Governor Hochul's bill. I appreciate her putting a bill in; however, there are significant problems with Governor Hochul's extended producer responsibility bill.

We are in the midst of a plastic pollution crisis. The bill puts the producers in charge of solving the problem. When you all passed the climate change law, you established goals that you wanted to see the state achieve to drive down greenhouse gas emissions. This bill puts the packaging companies in charge of figuring out what those goals are. So not surprisingly, they're not going to be particularly ambitious. There is an advisory committee that is industry-dominated that is supposed to sign off on the goals.

1	And we would never expect ExxonMobil
2	or Shell to solve the climate change problem;
3	we should not expect packaging companies to
4	solve the packaging problem.
5	Instead, it should be the prerogative
6	of the Legislature to figure out the goals,
7	how do we make it transparent, how do we
8	drive down toxics in packaging. This is how
9	an effective extended producer responsibility
10	law can work.
11	I have a lot of experience on waste
12	issues. I'm happy to work with Assembly and
13	Senate and the Governor's office to craft an
14	extended producer responsibility bill that
15	would actually reduce packaging, get funding
16	to local governments for recycling, be
17	transparent and get toxics out of the waste
18	stream. Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much.
21	Next is the American Chemistry
22	Council.
23	MR. COOKSON: Good evening,
24	Chairpersons Weinstein, Krueger, and members

1	of the joint committee. My name is Craig
2	Cookson. I'm the senior director of plastics
3	sustainability at the American Chemistry
4	Council.

The American Chemistry Council is the national trade association representing the U.S. chemical industry, including the leading manufacturers of plastic resins.

ACC strongly encourages the committee to support New York's interest in ensuring that greater amounts of our post-use packaging materials, especially plastics, are recycled and converted into feedstock for new plastics and useful products.

ACC is not opposed to Section RR,
extended producer responsibility in the
Executive Budget. However, in order for EPR
to be successful in New York, we strongly
support ensuring advanced recycling
technologies are regulated as manufacturing
facilities in New York State. Advanced
recycling helps us decrease plastic waste,
support continued progress toward zero waste,
and sustainability goals for communities and

es.

We respectfully request the committee 2 adds the language from S7891 -- that's 3 4 Senator Mannion's bill -- to Section RR, 5 extended producer responsibility, that 6 classifies advanced recycling technologies as 7 manufacturing facilities in New York. New Yorkers have the opportunity to recycle 8 9 greater amounts and types of plastics 10 packaging. So let me tell you why this is 11 12 important. Advanced recycling takes 13 hard-to-recycle plastics and refers to 14 several different technologies that convert used plastics into their original building 15 16 blocks, to produce new plastics, waxes, and other valuable products. Right now New York 17 and much of the United States does a fairly 18 19 good job of mechanically recycling our soda 20 and water bottles as well as milk jugs and 21 detergent bottles. However, it is 22 challenging to mechanically recycle hard-to-recycle plastics such as pouches and 23 24 tubes. These plastics have been a crucial

1	material benefiting people's well-being,
2	especially during this pandemic. Think home
3	test kits, which we're all using right now.
4	In order to reuse these valued plastic
5	resources and not landfill or burn them, we
6	need innovative solutions like advanced
7	recycling. And let me state clearly for the
8	record, these technologies convert used
9	plastics into valuable raw materials and are
10	not incineration or burning, as the last
11	witness just said.
12	So let's talk about a few examples.
13	Just recently Wendy's who doesn't love
14	Wendy's announced that they were switching
15	from their lined paper drink cups to an
16	all-plastic cup made with 20 percent recycled
17	plastics thanks to advanced recycling.
18	Herbal Essence, one of the highest-profile
19	brands of Procter & Gamble, has announced a
20	partnership with Eastman to produce five

As manufacturers, these technologies are subject to a litany of federal, state and

shampoo and conditioner bottles made from

50 percent certified recycled plastic.

1	local environmental regulations. So we
2	strongly encourage New York to seize this
3	opportunity to recycle more types and greater
4	amounts of plastics. Fifteen states, now
5	including Pennsylvania, have enacted
6	legislation very similar to Senator Mannion's
7	legislation encouraging advanced recycling.
8	Neighboring states New Jersey,
9	Rhode Island, New Hampshire have
10	introduced similar legislation.
11	I ask that you consider how a produce:
12	responsibility system will increase the
13	supply of post-use packaging, and advanced
14	recycling will complement the system by
15	driving demand.
16	Lastly, ACC has submitted written
17	testimony on the ban on PFOS and phthalates
18	in packaging, expands it to all packaging
19	but we could only have one witness today, me,
20	so we have submitted written comments.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Next we have the American Forest &
24	Paper Association.

1	MS. SZTEIN: Good evening, and thank
2	you for the opportunity to speak today. My
3	name is Abigail Sztein, and I represent
4	AF&PA. In New York the forest products
5	industry employs more than 27,000 individuals
6	in 224 facilities around the state.
7	Extended producer responsibility
8	policies are typically applied as a solution
9	for hazardous, hard-to-handle materials with
10	low recycling rates. The paper industry has
11	a demonstrated, measurable record of success
12	in making paper and paper-based packaging
13	more circular and sustainable through
14	market-based approaches, so we must
15	respectfully oppose the EPR Act as drafted.
16	Nearly 66 percent of paper was
17	recovered for recycling in 2020, and we have
18	met or exceeded 63 percent since 2009. In
19	fact, according to the U.S. EPA, more paper
20	by weight is recovered for recycling from
21	municipal waste streams than plastic, glass,
22	steel and aluminum combined.
23	Recycling is integrated into our
24	business. Our members own 114 materials

1	recovery facilities, including one in
2	New York, and 80 percent of paper mills use
3	some amount of recycled fiber. Eighty-eight
4	percent of New Yorkers have access to
5	curbside recycling. The industry has also
6	planned or announced around \$5 billion in
7	manufacturing infrastructure investments by
8	2023 that will result in an over
9	8-million-ton increase in capacity for
10	recycled fiber.
11	So for a highly recyclable material
12	like paper, EPR could disrupt efficient and
13	successful paper recycling streams in an
14	attempt to improve the least effective ones.
15	Without sufficient protections, there's a
16	strong potential that fees paid by highly
17	successful products will be subsidizing
18	low-performing products. This could result
19	in certain producers contributing fees with
20	little benefit to their own products, but
21	rather support infrastructure improvement for
22	competing materials.
23	Another concern is recycled content
24	requirements, which are not a

one-size-fits-all solution. Currently
recovered paper fiber can be put toward the
most efficient and appropriate uses as
determined by marketplace dynamics. But
setting mandates in statute removes the
flexibility of directing collected materials
to their best use as markets change and new
products are developed.

Mandating recycled content in paper and paper-based packaging also does not recognize the unique characteristics of paper coming from a sustainably managed renewable natural resource. New York has both virgin and recovered fiber available, and supporting family-wage jobs within state borders, legislation should take all fiber sources into consideration.

Future legislation should be based on sound policy to benefit the environment and best practices for doing business in the state. The effort of industries that have invested decades and billions of dollars into successful, sustainable materials management should be recognized, and we should not be

1	required to fund others who have not made
2	similar efforts and investments.
3	Thank you for your time.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
5	much.
6	Next is the National Waste & Recycling
7	Association.
8	MS. GERMAIN: Thank you for allowing
9	me to testify on behalf of the National Waste
10	& Recycling Association's New York chapter.
11	My name is Anne Germain, and I am the COO and
12	senior vice president of regulatory affairs.
13	NWRA represents the private-sector
14	waste and recycling industry, and our members
15	are committed to supporting the development
16	of economically sustainable and
17	environmentally friendly recycling. Given
18	our role in the recycling industry, we are
19	keenly interested in EPR legislation. EPR
20	has the potential to provide local
21	governments with increased funding to support
22	and improve recycling.
23	However, we have some concerns about
24	how EPR might get implemented. Besides NWRA,

1	there are numerous other stakeholders who
2	likely have similar concerns. In order to
3	implement EPR that considers relevant input,
4	EPR legislation should establish an advisory
5	committee that includes all stakeholders.
6	Further, the state should ensure that the
7	advisory committee's input is adequately
8	considered before approving any plan.
9	We also support the free market.
10	Maintaining competition will control costs,
11	which will still indirectly be borne by the
12	public. For that reason, EPR programs should
13	take advantage of existing recycling
14	infrastructure and build on it rather than
15	creating new or duplicative facilities
16	unnecessarily.
17	Municipalities and MRFs should be
18	incentivized to generate high-quality
19	products by giving them a portion of the
20	recyclable sales revenues. In addition,

incentivized to generate high-quality

products by giving them a portion of the

recyclable sales revenues. In addition,

local governments should retain control over

recycling with reimbursement from the PROs.

Municipalities know their communities. They

currently provide recycling and other

1	services	such	as	trash,	yard	waste	and
2	organics	manag	geme	ent.			

Finally, it should be recognized that

EPR is not a silver bullet. For example, EPR

has not increased the amount of packaging

that is designed for recycling. EPR has not

created end markets. When China closed its

doors, countries with EPR were selling into

the same end markets and were impacted

similarly.

And EPR does not create recycled content and packaging. Instead, it is a financial mechanism that will allow municipalities to weather commodity fluctuations. Yet EPR will also increase the supply of recyclables, which can further exacerbate the supply/demand imbalance.

Recognizing that, we support

alternative recycling legislation that will

reduce market volatility. These include

establishing minimum content requirements for

packaging, establishing labeling requirements

that reduce consumer confusion, updating

New York's Bottle Bill, and focusing on

1	nard-to-handle materials such as patteries,
2	that are currently wreaking havoc in our
3	recycling systems.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
6	much.
7	Apparently No. 20 was having technical
8	difficulties. Have we gotten Susan Robinson
9	back yet?
10	THE MODERATOR: Not yet, Senator.
11	We're working on it.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. Okay,
13	Thank you. We will jump to 21 and hope that
14	we can get 20 back.
15	So Ryan Castalia.
16	MR. CASTALIA: Hello. And thank you,
17	Chair Krueger and Chair Weinstein, for the
18	opportunity to testify today.
19	My name is Ryan Castalia. I'm the
20	executive director of Sure We Can, New York
21	City's only nonprofit serving canners, those
22	who collect and redeem bottles and cans to
23	earn income.
24	I'd like to lift up and emphasize the

points made by Judith Enck, of whom we're a great admirer at Sure We Can.

The New York State Bottle Bill, which profoundly shapes the lives of canners, has been an incredible success story. Like other bottle bills in the United States and across the world, it's led to waste diversion rates for the materials it covers that surpass by far most municipal recycling systems.

In New York, work done under the

Bottle Bill reduces street litter by

70 percent, and in 2020 helped recycle

5.5 billion bottles and cans -- over 200,000

tons -- at no cost to local governments. The

state even earns income from deposits that go

unredeemed. The more than 100 redemption

centers in our coalition support the jobs of

over 5,000 employees.

Importantly, the Bottle Bill also helps some of New York's most marginalized and underserved people earn crucial income, essentially working as freelance recyclers who capture what falls through the cracks of municipal systems.

1	The Bottle Bill is an extant and
2	effective example of extended producer
3	responsibility. We've heard arguments that
4	Bottle Bill expansion is a distraction from
5	pursuing holistic EPR in New York State. We
6	feel this couldn't be further from the truth.
7	The Bottle Bill is EPR, and it works.
8	Rather than shifting direction to
9	create new and unwieldy systems that cede
10	oversight and control to profit-motivated
11	producers over the state and the people it
12	represents, we should expand the scope of
13	what is already working and reap the rewards
14	of higher recycling rates, greater community
15	participation in recycling, and greater
16	community benefit in the form of less litter,
17	less landfill waste, and more income for
18	underserved people.
19	The narrative of competition between
20	the Bottle Bill and EPR is one that creates
21	confusion on all sides and only benefits
22	those who stand to gain from a system that is

24 Bottle deposits work because they

23

more obscure, exclusive, and expensive.

1	empower normal people to engage directly with
2	sustainability and recognize the real value,
3	for themselves and for society, of materials
4	and of the act of recycling.

Unfortunately, today the Bottle Bill is out of date. The 5-cent deposit on beverages, which is 40 years old, is not enough to encourage public participation or to support the stigmatized workers who depend on it for income. Entire categories of beverage containers, like juice, coffee, wine, and liquor, are not included.

I urge you to consider that in

New York, the bottle deposit should be raised

from 5 cents to 10 cents. The handling fee

that supports community redemption centers

like ours should rise from 3.5 cents to

5 cents. All beverage containers should

carry the deposit.

The work -- and workers -- that have kept our streets clean from bottle and can litter for decades should be recognized and nurtured. A bigger, better Bottle Bill is just the beginning. For those interested in

1	digging deeper, please join us for the
2	New York City Solid Waste Advisory Board's
3	hearings on EPR tomorrow.
4	Thank you so much again for your time.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
6	much, Ryan.
7	All right, any more luck with getting
8	Susan Robinson back online?
9	THE MODERATOR: Not yet, Senator.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: All right. I'm
11	going to start questions, and if we still get
12	Susan on, we will stop, let her testify, and
13	then keep going.
14	So with that, I see Senator Rachel
15	May's hand up.
16	SENATOR MAY: Yes, thank you,
17	Chair Krueger. And thanks to everybody who
18	presented.
19	This question is aimed at Judith and
20	Ryan. Thank you for speaking up about the
21	Bottle Bill. I was a little nonplussed when
22	I asked the commissioner, Commissioner Seggos
23	about it and he immediately started talking
24	about EPR. And not that I don't support EPR,

<pre>but I think confusing the two is a mis</pre>	take
---------------------------------------------------	------

So I guess I'm wondering if you can say just a little bit more about how we can keep those two concepts separate, if we should. And for the Bottle Bill, Ryan, I just have a question because I'm a big supporter of the Bottle Bill, I carry some bills that would expand it. But where I used to live there were people who would come and just root through our trash and make a huge mess in order to find the bottles that they might be able to pull out.

And I'm wondering if there are better solutions, if there are ways people can donate their bottles to -- you know, in a more sanitary way to people who might then be able to recover them.

MR. CASTALIA: Absolutely. Thanks for your question. I'll answer the last part first and say that -- I mean, I recognize, absolutely, that's an issue. It's an issue in our neighborhoods too.

However, we think through investment from institutions, including the state or the

1	city, that structures can be created that
2	really support coexistence here, like a
3	modernized containerization systems that are
4	canner-friendly, messaging out to communities
5	to encourage the type of like a new stream
6	in recycling that could be just for
7	redeemables so people will be encouraged and
8	incentivized to separate those containers
9	before they go into the curbside mix, so that
10	we're not encountering those issues of
11	cleanliness and broken bags.

I'd also like to say -- I want to give Judith a chance to respond too -- that there's many forms of EPR, as we've already heard from other panelists. And what we're here to advocate for is a type of EPR that's community-inclusive, both in the level of the workers who are doing it and the people who have oversight over the system. So that means you, that means me, that means the canners that we serve, we are all just in this together.

MS. ENCK: And I would just add that the Bottle Bill is a perfect example of EPR.

1	The producers have to take some
2	responsibility to take it back rather than
3	foisting costs onto taxpayers and others.
4	Unfortunately, Senator, there are two
5	provisions in the Governor's EPR bill that
6	actually may undercut the Bottle Bill, by
7	saying that noncarbonated beverages, for
8	instance, can't be added in the future.
9	So I think you are all incredibly
10	capable and you can handle both updating the
11	Bottle Bill, which is relatively
12	straightforward, and developing an extended
13	producer responsibility bill that has actual
14	standards and goals in it, and they can
15	complement each other. The Bottle Bill's
16	been around for 40 years, it's not perfect.
17	New Yorkers are used to it. It's a great way
18	to reduce litter. The people that Ryan work
19	with are providing an enormous public service
20	and
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Judith, I have to
22	cut you off, I'm sorry. But we have many
23	people questioning, so you might get a

follow-up question.

Ţ	Assemblywoman.
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes, we do have
3	a number of Assemblymembers.
4	We'll start with Assemblyman Ra.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you, Chair.
6	Good evening, everybody. I have some
7	questions for Mr. Cookson.
8	Regarding the advanced recycling, my
9	understanding is there's 14 states that have
10	passed laws regulating advanced recycling
11	facilities as manufacturing facilities. So
12	obviously there's an industry out there.
13	Any thoughts on, you know, companies
14	that are out there and whether they are
15	looking to get into the New York market if we
16	really do this?
17	MR. COOKSON: Yeah, that's a great
18	question, and thank you. And actually,
19	interesting thing is South Carolina's
20	governor just signed their legislation into
21	law the other day, so technically it's 15
22	states. So 15 and counting. Hopefully
23	New York can be the 16th.
24	Yeah, there are many companies

1	interested in New York. Number one, it's a
2	big state. You obviously generate a decent
3	amount of plastics. We've estimated that if
4	New York sort of just recovered 25 percent of
5	the plastics that are currently going to
6	landfills and incinerators right now, and
7	built advanced recycling facilities, it would
8	add about \$502 million in annual economic
9	output.
10	And as I mentioned, you're taking
11	plastics and you're recycling them into a
12	feedstock that then displaces a virgin
13	feedstock. Right? So you would displace
14	virgin plastics of around 877,000 tons. So
15	think about the impact that would have,
16	really positive impact, on the environment.
17	Companies like Brightmark are were
18	going to try to testify today. Of course so
19	many companies didn't have the opportunity

Braven Environmental is a very interesting company. It was actually birthed in Yonkers, New York. They moved their

to. They actually do renewable natural gas

as well, with business in New York.

1	racility several years ago down to Raleign,
2	North Carolina, but it's run by a couple of
3	New Yorkers. They would love to be in
4	New York with an opportunity, you know, if
5	you regulated advanced recycling as
6	manufacturing. They are doing great work.
7	They have off-take agreements with
8	Chevron Phillips Chemical.
9	So yes, so New York is a very
10	attractive state for many companies that are
11	doing this work.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: So before I run out
13	of time here, so like what are some examples
14	of the types of post-use plastics that are
15	recycled at these facilities?
16	MR. COOKSON: Yeah, that's a great
17	question.
18	You know, think of the plastics that
19	are kind of tough to recycle right now. I
20	mean, we do a good job, like I said, of
21	recycling our milk jugs, our detergent
22	bottles, our soda and water bottles. But
23	it's kind of everything else.
24	Think about pouches, that whole

1	granola or snack mix. Think about tubes,
2	your plastic tubes of face cream or
3	toothpaste. Think about agricultural film or
4	sometimes film that's found at the back of
5	different distribution centers and
6	warehouses. Those are harder-to-recycle
7	plastics and don't have great end markets
8	right now.
9	So a producer responsibility system in
10	New York would help that supply, grow that
11	supply, and then the advanced recycling would
12	be the demand. So it really complements
13	mechanical recycling and helps grow the
14	amounts and types of plastics that could be
15	recycled in New York.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Great. Thank you.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Let's see. Senator O'Mara. Hello.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: I'm still here, Liz.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes, you are.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: All right, let me
23	start out here as quick as I can and follow
24	up with Craig, because I had a couple of

1	questions on advanced recycling, since it's
2	certainly interested me since China stopped
3	taking our plastic waste several years ago.
4	And you answered a lot about the
5	opportunities here, but can you speak briefly
6	about two things that maybe you didn't get to
7	there. On the opportunities in New York
8	State for advanced recycling, what kind of
9	jobs would we be potentially thinking of in
10	New York that could be created in an industry
11	of recycling and taking advantage of this?
12	And then also address the emissions,
13	the environmental emissions from the process
14	of this advanced recycling.
15	MR. COOKSON: Sure. Two great
16	questions.
17	So first of all, types of jobs. I
18	mean, first of all, these are manufacturing
19	plants. So in the build phase, think of lots
20	of good construction union jobs, right, in
21	cement, in steel, in pipe, electricians,
22	engineering. Right? So chemical engineers,
23	right. So really good jobs.

And then of course once the plant is

1	operational, you need workers to make sure
2	that the plant is running. Again, very
3	high-tech jobs. This is a very high-tech
4	process, right? You're taking plastics; that
5	really is chemistry in motion.
6	And remind me real quick, your second
7	question?
8	SENATOR O'MARA: Emissions from the
9	process.
10	MR. COOKSON: Oh, emissions, yeah.
11	All right. Yeah, the emissions are very
12	benign.
13	So these technologies, what they do is
14	they take plastics, they heat them in the
15	absence of oxygen. So I want to just state
16	again, they're not burning plastics. Right?
17	Because if they burn anything, then they lose
18	that those resources to sell as a product.
19	They heat plastics in the absence of
20	oxygen until they turn into liquids, they
21	keep heating them until they turn into gas
22	vapors. And then you cool and condense those
23	gas vapors down to that liquid feedstock that
24	becomes a new feedstock for chemicals and

1	plastics	arain
⊥	PIGSCICS	again.

Now, there is a little bit of natural gas that's used to get the system started. Right? So think a boiler. There's also non-condensable gases that come off the system that are used as process energy, so you don't need virgin natural gas. So it's similar to a boiler that would heat hot water or steam at a college, at Syracuse University, or a hospital.

There's a report by Good Company that goes into all the emissions and says that, look, when you look at food manufacturing, hospitals, universities, the buildings and institutions we want in our communities, the emissions are the same or lower than those facilities.

SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you for that.

I want to move on quickly. Abigail, on the forest and paper industry in New York, which is extremely important to our forest industries as well as the many jobs it provides.

I know you're here talking about

1	recycling, but are you capable of answering
2	what the impacts and extra costs to the paper
3	industry in New York will be as a result of
4	some of these requirements of the Green
5	New Deal in New York?
6	MS. SZTEIN: That's a really great
7	question, and not one that I have notes
8	prepared for you today. We are working on
9	some comprehensive comments that we'll be
10	presenting as a part of the process later on
11	this year.
12	I know that John Bartow, with the
13	Empire State Forest Products Association, is
14	on the next panel, and he might be able to
15	get into that in more detail. Without
16	actually passing the buck to him
17	SENATOR O'MARA: All right. No, I get
18	it. You know, I know you're here on this
19	issue. I'd forgotten he's coming up next.
20	But thank you. My time is up.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
22	We have a number of Assemblymembers.
23	We can go to Assemblyman Otis first.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you, Helene.

1	I have a question for Judith. I was
2	very concerned in reading your testimony
3	having to do with the toxic packaging
4	materials. And it seems like there's no good
5	outcome for them. If they go through normal
6	waste disposal, it's not good. If they're
7	littered, it's not good. And they probably
8	cause create demand a lot of energy to
9	produce them to begin with.
10	In packaging today, some enlightened
11	businesses have gone to very safe packaging.
12	It's all sort of cardboard that you can
13	easily recycle. But can you share a little
14	more detail about some of the pollution or

activity? 17 MS. ENCK: Sure. So toxics are a

18 particular problem with certain packaging --

external energy cost impacts of this type of

19 for instance, PFAS is in some paper

packaging. The biggest problem, though, is

21 plastic packaging. And you don't want to

22 recycle material over and over again and

23 concentrate the toxins.

15

16

20

24 So Governor Hochul doesn't have toxins

1	in her EPR bill, but she has an accompanying
2	bill that would dramatically reduce the
3	presence of PFAS chemicals and phthalates in
4	packaging. I think that's a good start.
5	But the model bill that I and others
6	have worked on has a much longer list of
7	toxins that should be phased out of consumer
8	packaging.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Great. Well, we'll
10	follow up with you on that.
11	Thank you, Judith.
12	MS. ENCK: Sure thing.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
15	Krueger, do you have any
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm looking
17	carefully. You know, we get later and my
18	eyes get worse. But I don't believe I see
19	any more Senators right now.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So let me go
21	through some call out some of the
22	Assemblymembers to go to question this
23	panel. We'll go to Assemblymember Lunsford,
24	to be followed by Assemblyman Palmesano.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: were we able
2	to get Susan Robinson back?
3	THE MODERATOR: No, we're still
4	working on it.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN LUNSFORD: So very
6	unfortunately, my questions were for her. I
7	will just I will ask a question. If we
8	can get her back, I'd love to hear the
9	answer.
10	Waste Management operates the
11	High Acres Landfill, which is the failing
12	landfill in my district. We've been dealing
13	with fugitive gas odors from them for over
L 4	four years. We had over 50 complaints for
15	them today. I hear a lot from Waste
16	Management about their sustainability models.
17	I hear a lot from their community
18	representatives frankly gaslighting people in
19	my community, telling them that they can't
20	smell what they smell. And I would have
21	loved an opportunity to talk to her about
22	that.
23	But if she can make it back on, I'd
24	love to know what Waste Management, one of

1	the largest haulers in our state, is going to
2	do to help support organic waste diversion
3	and figure out ways to make that market more
4	amenable to people so that we can begin to
5	deal with the things that are making my
6	landfill smell.
7	So if she has an opportunity to
8	respond to those questions here tonight, I'd
9	love to hear it. Otherwise she can reach out
10	to my office. Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	So now we'll go to Assemblyman
13	Palmesano.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Yes, good
15	evening. Thank you for your patience.
16	I wanted to just kind of talk about
17	the extended producer responsibility issue a
18	little bit. I've been hearing about this
19	issue, starting last year with the
20	legislation, primarily from farm wineries,
21	which I have a number of farm wineries. I
22	think I have more farm wineries in my
23	district than any other member of the
24	Legislature. Also the organization that

1	represents I think a number of like 480
2	wineries across the state, expressing their
3	concerns.

And then once this was included in the budget, I think it heightened the level of concern. And I know a number of you have been talking about that, certainly on the farm and agricultural side, you know, how that would impact their agricultural operations and their farm operations.

So I guess my main question to you, since this is included in the budget -- and I know many of you offered some insights on this -- and we're talking about a \$216 billion budget, would it be your recommendation to us in the Legislature to push back to Governor Hochul and say, Listen, we appreciate your attention on this issue but we should pull this out of the budget, maybe conduct some hearings, public hearings on this, invite stakeholders so we can get feedback from all those who will be impacted by this so if this is the direction we're going, we can do it the proper way and the

right way instead of trying to rush and
squeeze it in the middle of a \$216 billion
budget document? And then obviously conduct
maybe a needs assessment to really kind of
see where we are with the process.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Would it be your recommendation to us to take a step back, keep focusing on this issue but take it out of the budget and keep working and moving forward with these public hearings, with the stakeholders who would be impacted by this? Because far too often, you know, I believe people have good intentions, but we always don't include the stakeholders on a number of these issues. And I think maybe by having public hearings like we do on a host of issues, would that be the better approach so stakeholders can come forward and talk about that and have roundtables and then really kind of see where we're going from that perspective? Would that be the recommendation of the panel here, primarily?

MS. ENCK: Well, I always support more public participation. I think public hearings and roundtables are a good idea.

1 It's certainly up to the Legislature if you 2 want to do this as part of the budget or not.

2.0

I've only been looking at extended producer responsibility for about 25 years, and the concept is sound. But we've got to get the structure right. I think this will actually help wineries in the Finger Lakes. We can have conversations about refillable glass bottles. And the reason I suggested something in the bond act for waste reduction is we could have commercial bottle operations to support wineries.

ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: And I think they want to be part of that discussion. I think they just want to have a seat at the table. Because I think right now just thrusting this upon them without any structure or organization, they're not ready for that fiscally or mechanically. And I think that's the concern.

And also I just wondered, what's your thoughts -- should we pull this out, look at it and do it from an individual perspective? And should we have local governments retain

	control of this process:
2	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We will go to
3	Assemblywoman Hyndman.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you very
5	much. I have two waste transfer facilities
6	in my district, and I've learned more about
7	solid waste than I could ever have imagined.
8	I've been to Covanta, which is one of the
9	their facilities in Nassau County, and a
10	Brooklyn facility near the waterfront. And I
11	saw the vast amounts of plastic. It was
12	really mind-boggling to see the amount of
13	waste that we have contributed to society.
14	So my questions are just for Craig.
15	And you mentioned the two facilities in
16	North Carolina and South Carolina. And do
17	those facilities contribute to the do they
18	offer a tax base or a revenue to those two
19	states?
20	MR. COOKSON: So let me first start
21	with a great question, and kind of
22	piggybacking off what one of the earlier
23	lawmakers said as well, is you said you saw a
24	lot of plastics, right, at the transfer

1	facility in Brooklyn as well
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: More than I
3	ever would have imagined.
4	MR. COOKSON: And so plastics
5	let's you know, they have a great
6	sustainability story to tell. Right? I
7	mean, keep food fresh longer, very important.
8	Food waste is a huge contributor to
9	greenhouse gas emissions. Lightweight our
10	automobiles, help buildings be more energy
11	efficient. But we candidly agree, we have
12	not done a good enough job of recycling and
13	recovering plastics after they've been used.
14	So as we're talking about the budget
15	here today, it's very important, you know,
16	from a producer responsibility system, is
17	that's going to help with the supply, right?
18	It's going to bring money into the system
19	that's going to get better collection, better
20	outreach to residents to help them recycle
21	smarter, provide more access.
22	But we also need the demand. Those
23	plastics that you saw, the challenge is is
24	there aren't strong end-markets for those

1	plastics. So that's where advanced recycling
2	comes in. It can take a heterogeneous mix of
3	plastics, it can take those harder-to-recycle
4	plastics as I mentioned, the pouches and
5	the tubes and the films, other you know,
6	lids, things like that. So there's a real
7	good opportunity.

Now, yes, if built, you know, the facilities in North Carolina, yes, offer a solid tax base. Right? Because they pay good wages. Also the economic impact of jobs in the community.

Closed Loop Partners -- so hope folks can look up the study afterwards, or we can provide it -- they estimated several years ago in a report on advanced recycling that if we fully commercialize this industry in the United States and Canada, it could provide \$120 billion in economic impact. Much better than shipping our plastics over to China or overseas. Right? Let's take care of our post-use materials here in the United States and New York.

24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Would these

1	facilities comply with New York's Climate
2	Leadership and Community Protection Act?
3	MR. COOKSON: Yes, of course.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.
5	MR. COOKSON: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
7	Assemblywoman Kelles.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Yes, I just
9	wanted to follow up with Assemblymember
10	Hyndman's questions with and share a few
11	of my concerns with advanced recycling just
12	to ask input.
13	The evaluation of the industry across
14	the country has actually resulted in a lot of
15	data showing companies struggling with the
16	same obstacles that have bedeviled
17	traditional recyclers for decades. And
18	that's like expensive collecting, sorting and
19	cleaning plastic trash, and creating end
20	products that can compete on price and
21	quality. And so that has led to a lot of the
22	companies closing or struggling economically.
23	And my other concern is that
24	despite there's been a scarcity of data

1	a lot of this has been pushed by the oil and
2	gas industry. But the studies that do exist
3	show that pyrolysis and gasification of
4	plastic waste releases toxic substances,
5	toxic additives and contaminants, some of
6	which are already banned by national
7	regulations, such as bisphenol A, cadmium,
8	benzene, brominated compounds, phthalates,
9	lead, tin, antimony and volatile organic
10	compounds the list continues.

So, you know, I'm concerned and I think that the -- you know, industry is not at a place that it would actually meet the CLCPA standards, particularly at these facilities or in areas that are, you know, magnifying issues with environmental justice communities. So I just wanted to add my concern about that.

And switching gears really quickly to the EPR in the budget. This is a question for Ryan and Judith. Can you talk a little bit more of the details that you would like to see beyond the consumer participation?

And Judith, you mentioned several as well,

Τ	and my brain is blowing up and exhausted.
2	But I'd love to hear some more of the details
3	because I know of the concern with what is
4	currently in the budget.
5	MS. ENCK: Yeah, we've given you a
6	model bill. And just like you have fuel
7	efficiency standards for cars and appliances,
8	we need environmental standards for
9	packaging. And we shouldn't leave it to the
10	packaging industry to figure that out, which
11	unfortunately is what the budget bill does.
12	The budget bill also does allow for
13	some degree of chemical recycling. Chemical
14	recycling is not the smart direction to take.
15	In fact, in Senator Mannion's bill that was
16	referenced in testimony, these, quote,
17	advanced recycling facilities shall be exempt
18	from permitting and regulatory requirements
19	applicable to solid waste management
20	facilities. That speaks volumes. There's no
21	federal regulation of the facility, and the
22	chemical companies are trying to become
23	exempt from state regulation.
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Okay, we go to
2	Assemblyman Burdick.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
4	Chair Weinstein.
5	I have some questions for Judith. And
6	I was noticing in your written testimony you
7	had the list that you referred to before of
8	toxic chemicals that should be banned from
9	the sale or distribution of packaging. You
10	also made reference at the end to a model
11	bill, and I'm wondering if you could provide
12	that to us.
13	I think that one of the questions that
14	certainly would come up and perhaps you
15	can address it is that if you were to ban
16	all of these chemicals, then what kind of
17	substitutes might be available and at what
18	cost?
19	MS. ENCK: Sure. And I'm happy to
20	provide you with the model bill.
21	There are thousands of chemicals used
22	in commerce about 8,000. Very few have
23	been tested for health and environmental
24	impacts. So we're recommending that you

1	eliminate the most toxic chemicals first.
2	There are a number of less-toxic chemicals
3	than the ones we list. And I think it's
4	really good to have conversations with the
5	public: Do we want formaldehyde in consumer
6	packaging? Do we want perchlorate? Do we
7	want cadmium and mercury?
8	Those are the chemicals that we need
9	to get out of packaging, particularly if you
10	want mechanical recycling to rise higher than
11	the anemic current plastic recycling rate of
12	8.5 percent. There is not enough going on
13	with green chemistry. And when I was in the
14	Governor's office, I was a champion of the
15	Pollution Prevention Institute at I think the
16	University of Rochester. They should be
17	pulled in for
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Can I just ask
19	one other question, though?
20	MS. ENCK: Yeah.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: But then I don't
22	think you're still answering the question
23	about the substitutes and whether
24	cost-effective substitutes are available.

т	Mo. Ench. les. officientacety,
2	chemicals are very cheap. There are many,
3	many alternatives than to the ones we list
4	in the bill.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I see. Good.
6	Well, thank you. That's very helpful to have
7	that. So I look forward to seeing the model
8	bill. Thank you. That's all.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We still have
10	Assemblyman Englebright, and then
11	Assemblyman Walczyk.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
L 4	I want to just reflect for a minute on
15	some of what I just heard about the promise
16	of recycling of mixed-use plastics. In the
17	early 1980s, I was in the Suffolk County
18	Legislature. Judith both of us were
19	younger then, but I think you have the long
20	view on this. We passed after the longest
21	hearing in the history of the county
22	legislature, we passed the plastics bill that
23	banned single-use plastics.
2.4	The industry came back in force. They

1	first sued the county, and two years later
2	when the county finally won, they influenced
3	enough of my colleagues in the county
4	legislature to make it a voluntary program
5	because they promised recycling. And they
6	promised that this was going to be a new
7	moment in the history of solid waste.
8	From your perspective, should we trust
9	this industry? Has it changed? Because back
10	in the mid-1980s they made those promises and
11	then they broke those promises. And now
12	we're looking at the possibility, it seems to
13	me, at the state level not just the county
14	level, but at the state level of being placed
15	in a position of relying upon those same
16	instincts and initiatives.
17	And I'm just wondering if I've missed
18	something or whether there really has been a
19	sea change in the corporate mentality,
20	strategy and ethic.
21	MS. ENCK: Well, Assemblyman, I would
22	argue that it's actually gotten worse.
23	And we shouldn't be surprised by it.

Plastics are made from chemicals and fossil

1	fuels. And so while we're pushing to reduce
2	plastic, because it's devastating the ocean,
3	contributing to climate change, and resulting
4	in more emissions in environmental justice
5	communities that host incinerators and
6	landfills I understand, companies want to
7	sell chemicals and fossil fuels. That's
8	where the Legislature needs to step in.

For 30 years we were told, don't worry about plastic packaging, just put it in your recycling bin. And the recycling rate for plastics is 8.5 percent. Now we're told, no, rely on advanced recycling, which -- I'm really happy to have a more detailed conversation -- is a type of burning. It's called pyrolysis, p-y-r-o. The gentleman from the Chemical Council today said it's heating at high temperature to liquid to gas vapors with natural gas. That does not sound really benign.

And that is why the bill from

Senator Mannion attempts to exempt chemical recycling from major environmental permitting.

1	So in short, I think it's gotten worse
2	and why the State Legislature should pass a
3	very strong EPR bill that actually works.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Madam Chair,
6	thank you very much.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We'll go to
8	Assemblyman Walczyk.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thanks,
10	Madam Chair.
11	Should we be wasting our time
12	recycling glass?
13	MS. ENCK: I think we should put in
14	systems to refill glass, like soda bottles,
15	the way it used to be, and wine bottles.
16	Because wineries are spending a lot of money
17	buying glass. If you can't refill,
18	definitely keep recycling glass because it's
19	better than sending it to incinerators and
20	landfills. We have to not fill up landfills.
21	And glass doesn't burn particularly well when
22	it goes to the Covanta incinerator or other
23	incinerator facilities. And then
24	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Are you guys all

4	i		. 1 . 0
1	unanimous	α n	ナカコナツ

2	Because there's I mean, there's no
3	market for cullet. Most of the glass that
4	gets recycled in New York State is getting
5	dumped into a landfill after it goes through
6	all of this. It's a MRF killer, hurts a lot
7	of other recycled products. So if it's glass
8	that doesn't have that refillable ability,
9	shouldn't we just trash it in the first
10	place?
11	MS. ENCK: No. You should have more

MS. ENCK: No. You should have more recycled content in glass bottles, which a strong EPR bill would require.

ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Yeah, I think we're saying two different things.

If it's a glass bottle like -- let's take tomato sauce, for example. That you're not going to put tomato sauce back in that thing. Are we better off putting that through our recycling process, putting it through a MRF, cleaning it in our sink, putting it in the bin, to have it crushed into cullet that doesn't have a market and then it gets dumped into landfill after

1	traveling there by truck anyway? Wouldn't it
2	just be better for somebody to throw that
3	bottle in the trash?
4	MS. ENCK: No.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: No. Okay, all
6	right.
7	Next question, what are we going to do
8	with all of the Freon for the ductless
9	mini-splits? How are we going to recycle
10	those in the future?
11	MS. GERMAIN: I don't know what the
12	ductless mini-splits are.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: We had a pretty
14	robust discussion about ductless mini-splits,
15	air heat pump technology. One of those
16	things, on average, contains about 12 pounds
17	of Freon, which is pretty significant. We
18	handle our refrigerators, you know, we try
19	not to let these ozone-depleters into the
20	atmosphere a lot. New York is really leaning
21	forward into it, and I heard a lot of
22	testimony today about this technology.
23	It's going to put a lot of global
24	warming potential gases in possibly

1	vulnerable situations. I'm just wondering,
2	you know, ten years down the road, what's the
3	recycling plan for all of that Freon look
4	like?
5	And that's my last question. Thanks.
6	MS. GERMAIN: I'm going to say from
7	the waste and recycling perspective we
8	haven't really been focused on these ductless
9	mini-splits.
10	But in general, when we look at Freon,
11	they're usually recovered by the facilities
12	that operate the refrigerants. So they're
13	usually recovered. Air conditioners and
14	refrigerators and freezers that come to
15	landfills usually have the Freon recovered.
16	So if it's something along those
17	lines, they're you know, if that's
18	required, then it would have to be segregated
19	for Freon recovery to occur.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
21	going to leave it at that for tonight.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senator
23	Krueger, I just wanted to say one thing. I'm
24	going to break my rule about asking a

1	question.	
2	I <u>-</u>	j

I just wanted to say, as probably one of maybe two members who was an original sponsor of the Bottle Bill some 40 years ago, I do think that it is time that -- it is long past time for an update, and I appreciate the work that so many people in this panel, particularly Judith, who we've known and worked with for a long time, have done in this area.

this area.And with that, Senator Krueger, I turn

it back to you.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank you for reminding us all of that, Helene.

And amusingly, I joined the Senate

20 years ago, and one of my first bills that

I introduced was a move to 10 cents from

5 cents and expand the types of bottles

covered. So many of us have been exploring

these roads for indeed a very long time.

Obviously I was not successful then, Judith,

and you know that.

I'm going to move us to the next panel. We still have two panels left, and we

1	nave nit our 13th nour. So this is for the
2	true men and women amongst you.
3	Thank you all very much for being on
4	the panel we're excusing.
5	And I'm now announcing Clean and
6	Healthy New York, Roberta Wilding;
7	Empire State Forest Products Association,
8	John Bartow; Environmental Advocates
9	New York, Kate Kurera; Earthjustice,
10	Elizabeth Moran; and The Nature Conservancy
11	in New York, Jessica Ottney Mahar.
12	Good evening, everyone. We're just
13	going to go down that list. So Roberta
L 4	first, please.
15	MS. WILDING: Good evening,
16	Chair Krueger and Chair Weinstein and
17	committee members. Bless you for still being
18	here in Hour 13.
19	My name is Bobbi Wilding. I'm the
20	executive director of Clean and Healthy
21	New York. Our mission is to build a just and
22	healthy society where toxic chemicals are
23	simply unthinkable. I think the conversation
24	we were just having might benefit from some

1	additional	000+011+
1	auullional	COHLEXL.

Unlike climate change, which is widely
regarded as a global problem, we rarely
discuss the fact that chemical pollution is
also pushing the bounds of what our planet
can sustain. A study just published in
January from the Stockholm Resilience Center
found that there's been a fifty-fold increase
in the production of chemicals, including
plastic, since 1950, and this is projected to
triple again by 2050.

The pace that societies are producing and releasing chemicals into the environment, and new chemicals into the environment, is not consistent with staying within safe operating space for humanity. And don't forget, the vast majority of these chemicals are from the petrochemical industry.

Toxics harm our health, they contribute to many chronic diseases, and they fall disproportionately on communities of color.

So I just want to focus on several key things in the budget that we strongly support

1	for	moving	to	a	just,	nontoxic	and
2	rege	enerativ	<i>j</i> e 6	ecc	onomy.		

First is we strongly support the growth of the Environmental Protection Fund to \$400 million. Within that, we urge you to increase, in your one-house budgets, funding for the Pollution Prevention Institute to help us get those solutions, to an overall \$7.5 million, with \$500,000 going to the Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse, which will allow us to collaborate with other states to collect information on toxics and products.

Increase funding for the Children's Environmental Health Centers to \$4 million from the Governor's 2 million. These centers provide support to pediatric practices to identify, treat and prevent diseases with environmental origins.

And support the recycling line increase from \$15 million to 16 million.

This also supports the new Center for Sustainable Materials Management, which can help with a lot of things we were just

1	discussing. And we also support the addition
2	of \$5 million to the Environmental Justice
3	line.
4	We strongly urge even more of an
5	increase in DEC staffing. We support the
6	100 additional FTE but note that at the peak
7	of its staff there were 4,000 staff at DEC.
8	And before the cuts during the Great
9	Recession, there were 3500 staff. Adding
10	only 100 back to get to 3100 is not adequate.
11	Finally, we strongly support an
12	effective extended producer responsibility
13	packaging law and believe that it's critical
14	to include those toxic chemicals and
15	expanding the Toxics in Packaging law.
16	And I want to just say things framed
17	as chemical recycling don't get us to the
18	long-term solution we need. We need
19	reusable, repairable, remanufacturable,
20	nontoxic things that we can keep cycling
21	through with confidence.
22	Thank you.
23	(Pause.)
24	MR. BARTOW: Okay, are you ready for

1	me to start?
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I was on mute, I
3	apologize. I said Empire State Forest
4	Products next.
5	MR. BARTOW: Okay. Thank you very
6	much, Senator Krueger and Assemblywoman
7	Weinstein, for allowing us to speak tonight.
8	I'm John Bartow, executive director of the
9	Empire State Forest Products Association.
10	We submitted a detail set of testimony
11	on a number of matters in the TED bill as
12	well as other pieces of the budget, and I
13	just want to highlight four quick things here
14	right now.
15	The first is the Freshwater Wetlands
16	Act amendments that are included in the
17	Governor's proposed Article VII bills. ESFPA
18	is concerned because language changes have
19	eliminated a 50-year standing exemption for
20	certain silvicultural practices. We've
21	worked with Assemblyman Englebright and
22	Senator Harckham on similar legislation that
23	would retain that. And if we were able to

keep that exemption in, we would support this

1	legislation.

We do have concerns on the extended producer responsibility and the inclusion of paper and paper packaging, because a lot of the legislation does not recognize the unique circumstances of paper. As highlighted earlier, paper and paper-based packaging are highly recovered and recycled at a rate of 63 percent since 2009. That's higher than any other products that would be covered in total.

The second being including paper and paper packaging could result in a cross-subsidization of materials that largely do not share these same high recycling rates.

Mandating content requirements also does not take all fiber sources into consideration. New York has both virgin and recovered fiber available. Our virgin fiber mills support over 1200 union, high-paying, family supporting wage jobs in the North Country of New York, as well as an additional 2,000 other contractors of loggers and haulers that contribute to that economy.

1	Next I'd like to say we are in support
2	of the Clean Water, Clean Air, Green Jobs
3	Bond Act as well as the increase in the EPF.
4	We're supporting these additions and increase
5	in funding particularly for investments that
6	can be realized in private forests that
7	account for over 75 percent of the forest in
8	New York and are the single largest natural
9	solution to climate change.

We are concerned, however, that the level of funding for private working forests or family forests is underfunded. If we expect to achieve the climate and other benefits that forests provide, we must get the level of funding to scale so that we can achieve additionality that our forests can yield.

Finally, I wanted to address the advanced building code requirements that are included in the TED Part EEE. We have concerns with the proposals in terms of energy efficiency standards for appliances that appear to be creeping into industry and manufacturing equipment but do not reflect

1	the unique needs of individual sectors of
2	manufacturing.
3	The requirement for zero onsite
4	greenhouse gas emissions for new construction
5	no later than 2027 does not take into
6	consideration the use of biogenic residuals
7	in wood product manufacturing, where we use
8	our chips, sawdust and whatnot.
9	And then finally, the promulgation of
10	these advanced standards we think should be
11	vetted through the climate scoping plan and
12	subsequent regulatory rulemaking.
13	Thank you. Appreciate the time, and
14	I'll answer any questions you have.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Environmental Advocates New York,
17	Kate Kurera.
18	MS. KURERA: Hi, everyone. Thank you,
19	Chairwomen. Good evening, all.
20	On behalf of Environmental Advocates
21	NY, it's a pleasure to provide you some brief
22	remarks and highlights from our written
23	testimony.
24	I'll start by saying that a lot of our

1	organizational priorities were covered in the
2	first part of the day that focused on clean
3	energy and climate spending. Funding
4	implementation for the Climate Law remains
5	one of our utmost priorities. And I can't
6	overstate the importance of getting New York
7	on a path quickly to generate funds to
8	sustain our commitments to New Yorkers, in
9	particular environmental justice and
10	disadvantaged communities.

So in light of the lack of dedicated funding that's in the Executive Budget, it's particularly disappointing to see the continued raids -- or the continued diversion of RGGI funds to the General Fund. President Harris earlier today mentioned she felt this was -- the diversion was in alignment with the CLCPA because the funds go to a Solar Energy Tax Credit. But I'm a hundred percent sure that the Executive Budget calls for diverting those funds just to the General Fund.

So we really urge the Legislature to reject that transfer once and for all, and

1	have	those	funds	be	used	for	their	intended
2	purpo	ose.						

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Getting to some of the other environmental aspects of the Executive Budget, many of which we were very happy to see, we strongly support the historic increase in the Environmental Protection Fund and the increase in the Environmental Bond Act as well as to staffing in DEC, all critically important for our environment and New Yorkers. We do encourage that the unallocated portions of the bond act be directed to support school bus electrification in disadvantaged communities, an area identified as needing more support. We also support the Executive's proposal for including the \$500 million for the Clean Water Infrastructure Act, but our

We also support the Executive's proposal for including the \$500 million for the Clean Water Infrastructure Act, but our data suggests that a lot more money is needed, and we would therefore support \$1 billion for the Clean Water Infrastructure Funding.

We also support the Executive's proposal to appropriate \$120 million to the

1	Low-Income Household Water Assistance
2	Program. We know we need more than this.
3	The amount of the millions of dollars in
4	water and wastewater arrears is staggering,
5	and we urge the Legislature to allocate an
6	additional \$280 million from pandemic
7	recovery funds.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Lastly but very importantly -- and it's been discussed in the last panel, and I have a lot of opinions on this, but I'll be brief -- in terms of we're very encouraged to see an extended producer responsibility bill put forth by the Governor. This is a very important piece to addressing our solid waste crisis. But we will echo a lot of the comments we've heard earlier, and some concerns, that having a meaningful EPR program and to see these systemic changes to produce less waste, hold producers responsible, make recycling easily accessible for households as well as provide municipal financing, requires that we take all of these things into account and think about them collectively to achieve these goals.

1	Thank you so much for your time this
2	evening.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
4	much.
5	Next, Earthjustice, Elizabeth Moran.
6	MS. MORAN: Good evening. My name is
7	Liz Moran, and I'm the New York policy
8	advocate for Earthjustice. Thank you so much
9	for the opportunity to testify this evening.
10	I know you've devoted so much time to today's
11	hearing and it's been a long day.
12	Earthjustice is excited to see many of
13	the Governor's proposals and increased
14	investments in her Executive Budget proposal,
15	but we believe it's absolutely essential for
16	the Legislature to strengthen and build upon
17	several of these proposals, which we've
18	detailed in the written testimony we
19	submitted.
20	To summarize our positions, we feel a
21	strong budget for the environment must have
22	the following: \$15 billion in climate
23	funding. We know the climate crisis is only
24	worsening, and we know we're going to have to

3 ending some of the most egregious fossil f	1	fund the policies we need to make sure we
4 subsidies and by passing policies like the	2	meet our CLCPA goals. This can be done by
	3	ending some of the most egregious fossil fuel
5 Climate and Community Investment Act.	4	subsidies and by passing policies like the
	5	Climate and Community Investment Act.

Water Infrastructure Act. We are urging that a ban on gas for new construction is passed and implemented by the end of 2023. We'd like to see increased funding for electrification of the state fleet, and that must include medium— and heavy—duty vehicles. Additionally, we are opposed to absolutely any raid to RGGI funds.

And we also have some significant concerns about the EPR program as it's been proposed and currently written. And we'd also like to see an expanded and updated bottle deposit law.

We detail far more, in terms of what we would like to see, in our written testimony. But I'd like to transition to a couple -- kind of issues you've heard a bit about today: Banning fossil fuels in new

L	construction and addressing the
2	energy-consumptive cryptocurrency mining
3	industry.

We urge the Legislature to include
Senate Bill 6843A/Assembly A8431 in the
budget. This legislation would ban gas in
new construction starting in 2024. 2027, as
the Governor has proposed, is far too slow,
and public health is already being harmed by
fossil fuel combustion in buildings.

Additionally, we already are doing this in New York. In our written testimony we have listed some of the many projects already underway or that have been constructed that are already all-electric. So this is entirely feasible to do, it will create jobs and protect public health.

And lastly, we urge the Legislature to pass Assembly Bill 7389B this legislative session, which would establish a moratorium on proof-of-work cryptocurrency mining that relies upon fossil fuels. This is a very commonsense measure and would trigger an environmental review process in the state so

1	we can actually evaluate the economic impacts
2	the industry is arguing it will have against
3	the environmental harms we know will come to
4	be if this industry expands.
5	So thank you all so much for the
6	opportunity to testify today, and we look
7	forward to working with you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
9	much, Liz.
10	Next, Jessica Ottney, The Nature
11	Conservancy. And it's no longer Jessica
12	Ottney, it's Jessica Ottney Mahar.
13	MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: That's okay. Thank
14	you, Senator. Thanks to you and Chair
15	Weinstein as well as Chairman Englebright and
16	all of the members who have really been
17	leaning in through a long year. We
18	appreciate you.
19	And I wanted to start by thanking you
20	for your strong support of environmental
21	funding over so many years decades, in
22	fact. This budget is really built on
23	victories that have been brought by
24	bipartisan support for environmental funding

T	in our state over the years. And it s
2	incredible to sit here and say that we're
3	seeing a budget with a \$400 million EPF.
4	This is a historic funding level. We're on a
5	pathway to reach the environmental
6	community's long-term goal, which is
7	500 million. And we're seeing a proposal
8	that really continues to build on excellent
9	work to broaden the purpose of that fund, to
10	reach more communities and more people. But
11	this is funding that's been supporting
12	projects in every county of New York State
13	since 1993, and it's been doing that with
14	your support. So thank you for that.
15	We're very excited about the proposal
16	the Governor put forward, and in my written
17	testimony I make several comments regarding
18	different categories of funding. I was
19	remiss in not including something that some
20	of you brought up earlier today, which is the
21	need to restore the Peconic Estuary Program
22	funding. Thank you, Assemblyman Englebright,
23	for raising that. And there are some other
24	pieces in my testimony that you can refer to.

1	I'm also very excited to be back here
2	talking to you as we work towards finally
3	getting our Environmental Bond Act on the
4	ballot this November. We know it's been a
5	generation literally since 1996, since
6	we've had an environmental bond act in
7	New York State. And this proposal by the
8	Governor to add a billion dollars and rename
9	the bond act to something that clearly
10	communicates the purpose to voters is an
11	important step forward in getting this policy
12	finally approved by New Yorkers.
13	The Nature Conservancy did some public

The Nature Conservancy did some public opinion research this fall after the last election and found two-thirds of New Yorkers support this measure, and we're really excited to be working with so many partners in furtherance of having this passed.

I did just want to note that it's important that the bond act includes a threshold at 35 percent of the funding must be spent in environmental justice communities. I know there's conversations about increasing that, maybe to even

1	40 percent, which we fully support. This is
2	a really unique aspect that's never been done
3	in a bond act before, and I think it's very
4	timely and long overdue.
5	I'd also like to call your attention
6	to the AECOM report that's linked in my study
7	that quantifies the job-creation potential of
8	the bond act. That's being updated now, so
9	look for more numbers on that soon.
10	And I did just want to put in a
11	mention for the Governor's proposal to update
12	our wetlands regulations, which has been the
13	subject of many discussions and negotiations,
14	and thank the Governor and the Legislature
15	for leaning in. Hopefully we can get to an
16	agreement this year and protect more of our
17	wetlands across New York State.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
20	much.
21	And now let's see who would like to
22	ask any questions at this hour. I see no

Senators, so I will pass it to my dear friend

Helene Weinstein.

23

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So before I
2	call on the Assemblymembers, I want to just
3	note that I've been ignoring my watch's
4	request that I stand. It is 13 and a half
5	hours; we will be back here at 9:30. If you
6	have a question for the panel, feel free to
7	ask a question when I call on you. If you
8	want to make a statement, why don't you put
9	it in writing and mail it to Liz and me.
10	So with that, I will call on
11	Assemblyman Walczyk.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
14	The first question is for Ms. Moran
15	from Earthjustice. Should we prohibit the
16	purchase of solar panels and ductless
17	mini-splits and windmills and all of these
18	other types of green technologies from China?
19	MS. MORAN: I'm sorry, could you
20	clarify why you're asking this particular
21	question? Or at least directing it towards
22	me?
23	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: I mean, it would
24	be with the understanding that China doesn't

1	follow any of the goals or standards that we
2	in the United States or certainly in New York
3	State have, so it's counterintuitive at
4	least in my humble opinion to purchase
5	these things from a player that isn't on the
6	same page.
7	I didn't know if Earthjustice had a
8	position on purchasing these products from
9	China.
10	MS. MORAN: Of course we think having
11	jobs here in New York that are union, are
12	very
13	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: No, not about
14	jobs, about supporting a player that isn't on
15	the same page as us.
16	MS. MORAN: We don't have a position
17	on that.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Okay, thanks.
19	The next one is for Mr. John Bartow,
20	from the Forest Products Association, the
21	only guy who today is sequestering carbon by
22	way of his industry, which I think is great.
23	Mr. Bartow, how much carbon do you
24	think we can lock in by good forest

1	management and utilizing what your members do
2	in forest products?
3	MR. BARTOW: So the present
4	sequestration of carbon in forests and
5	harvested wood products is just under
6	30 million metric tons. The goal is to get
7	to 35 million metric tons. But that's in a
8	bigger picture of trying to get upwards to 60
9	million metric tons of carbon sequestered or
10	removed from the atmosphere through carbon
11	capture and storage.
12	Those would be the goals of the CLCPA,
13	and we think our forests are going to have
14	the single largest contribution in that as
15	they already sequester 82 percent of the
16	carbon that's sequestered on our natural
17	working land. So we think that's going to be
18	key.
19	The other big key thing is to
20	recognize that 75 percent of that forest is
21	owned by private forest landowners across the
22	State of New York.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN WALCZYK: Thanks very

much, I appreciate it.

1	I'll yield back the rest of my time,
2	Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	We go to Mr. Palmesano.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: Yes, my
6	question is for Mr. Bartow also.
7	I know there was a study in 2018 by
8	Harvard which talked about the land use
9	implications of renewable development,
10	talking about the amount of watts per square
11	meter of space. And I think for wind energy
12	it's 1 watt per square meter of space, for
13	photovoltaic solar it was 10 watts per square
14	meter as compared to Indian Point, which
15	was about 2,000 watts per square meter, which
16	basically shows that how large-scale
17	development of wind and solar is extremely
18	land-intensive.
19	And given that it is so land-intensive
20	with wind and solar, you know, we heard a lot
21	of people talking about concerns about wind
22	and solar farms getting put over agricultural
23	land. But what about, to meet our aggressive
24	climate goals, the necessity of probably

1	clear-cutting wide swaths of forested land in
2	order to meet these aggressive targets? And
3	since our forestlands certainly serve an
4	important environmental purpose for things
5	like water filtration and even CO2
6	absorption?
7	So and I know my colleague the
8	EnCon chair at one of our hearings we had a
9	couple of months ago said, you know, what
10	good is it if we're clear-cutting forestland
11	to put up solar farms? Are we really what
12	are we really accomplishing with that?
13	What are you seeing and what are you
14	hearing along that line with this issue?
15	MR. BARTOW: So the documentation is
16	not really firm on the amount of forest
17	that's actually being clear-cutted for
18	renewable energy projects. But it's being
19	speculated that it is the emerging one of
20	the largest factors, the conversion of forest
21	to nonforesting activities.
22	You're going to see or you do see,
23	in the Draft Scoping Plan, also a policy of
24	no net loss of forest, because we're going to

1	need	all	the	sequestration	we	can	get	out	of
2	it.								

objectives that are going to be out there, and we're going to have to wrestle with this. So how do we do it? I don't think you're going to be able to completely ignore the fact that forest is going to be impacted. If you're going to be doing transmission lines, you're going to be doing distribution systems, you're going to be doing the siting of certain energy projects, there's obviously going to be impact on all types of landscapes, and forests being one of them.

It's just how do you minimize that, and then how do you potentially get a mitigation benefit out of it? If you are converting to a solar farm, where will they do forestation or reforestation to make up for the loss of that forest? Now, there's a time sensitivity nature of that; it's going to take a while for that new forest to grow.

But there are ways I think we could work on achieving both objectives, but also,

1	you know, really trying to look at the siting
2	that we're doing and taking it into
3	consideration. You heard a lot on ag earlier
4	today. I think the elevation of the
5	discussion of forests has to be up there as
6	well.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN PALMESANO: I appreciate
8	your time on that. I just think, you know,
9	obviously with the important environmental
10	benefits that our forests serve with CO2
11	absorption, water filtration and as my friend
12	and colleague Mr. Englebright said, that I'm
13	concerned about seeing, you know, swaths
14	of possible swaths of forestland being
15	taken down just to develop solar farms.
16	Which what are we really gaining in the
17	long run?
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	We will go to Assemblywoman Kelles.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Wonderful,
21	thank you. I have a question for Liz Moran.
22	You brought up something that hasn't
23	been talked a lot about yet today, but I've
24	gotten about 200 emails about it today, so

1	I'd love to hear a bit more about the
2	\$15 billion you were talking about. And the
3	reason I ask, I know this was referring to a
4	report that actually came from the state
5	itself that was saying we need a minimum of
6	\$10 billion to address climate change.
7	So if you could talk a little bit
8	about what it is that we have in the budget,
9	what is the context of this, how much it
10	would cost us to not do this. I think
11	context is really important, because
12	15 billion sounds like a big number.
13	MS. MORAN: Yeah, you're absolutely
14	right, Assemblymember. It does sound like a
15	lot. But 10 billion is actually quite
16	miniscule in regards to the costs we've
17	already faced to public health and what is
18	estimated if we move forward.
19	So this same report estimated it would
20	cost the state \$80 billion if we don't invest
21	in the climate investments we need.
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: And that's per
23	year, right?
24	MS. MORAN: That's correct.

1	And there have already been
2	significant health costs to inaction, and
3	that's not accounted for in these figures.
4	So we feel that \$15 billion is a minimum of
5	what's needed, and it would create tremendous
6	jobs and, most importantly, benefit the
7	communities that need these investments the
8	most.
9	Just this past summer, there were
10	people who passed away from the flooding in
11	New York City, and these are almost always
12	communities of color and low-income
13	communities. And funding is very important
14	to direct to those communities, which is why
15	we need to see significant increased
16	investments. The Environmental Bond Act is
17	really important, but we need to do so much
18	more than that.
19	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I've got
20	another question. You mentioned the
21	cryptocurrency, which I appreciate; you know
22	I'm obsessed about this issue. I've been
23	studying it for many years.
24	But I'm curious what your research has

1	been, because there's the argument of
2	proponents that it can be renewable you
3	know, you can put this on renewable. But I'm
4	concerned about the opportunity cost and
5	other issues with that, particularly given
6	how much it's expanding in New York. So I'd
7	love to hear your thoughts on that, and your
8	research.
9	MS. MORAN: We already have a long way
10	to go in meeting our existing energy demand
11	with renewable energy, and that's what needs
12	to be the first and foremost priority of the
13	state.
14	So we're concerned about the energy
15	consumption of this industry because we're
16	not sure that renewables can actually meet
17	their energy demands. They're going to need
18	to significantly reduce the amount of energy
19	they're consuming so we can meet our existing
20	demands, along with this industry.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you so
22	much. I appreciate everybody, and thank you
23	all so much for staying so late.

And just one last question for Kate.

1	I know you have done some work with me on the
2	Fashion Act. I'd love to hear where you
3	think that fits into our sustainability plan.
4	MS. KURERA: Yeah, thanks,
5	Assemblymember
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Two seconds.
7	MS. KURERA: Can I have a brief
8	response or are we done?
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Very brief,
10	please.
11	MS. KURERA: Yeah. I mean, I think
12	just what I would say to that,
13	Assemblymember, is that there is also
L 4	another when we're transitioning our
15	entire economy, there's also a whole other
16	sector of the fashion industry that really is
17	completely unregulated and not accountable to
18	the carbon footprint that they have on the
19	state. And New York City in particular,
20	being the fashion capital of the world, you
21	know, there should be some responsibility
22	shared in that. And I think the legislation
23	you sponsor with Senator Biaggi, you know,
24	does go to that matter.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
2	We'll go to Assemblyman Otis now.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Liz Moran, a quick
4	question for you.
5	On the cryptocurrency proof-of-work
6	authentication issue, how challenging is this
7	going to be for our meeting our climate
8	change goals if this kind of activity is not
9	curtailed?
10	MS. MORAN: We're significantly
11	concerned about the state's ability to meet
12	its climate goals should this industry
13	rapidly expand.
L 4	So it's been estimated that
15	proof-of-work cryptocurrency mining globally
16	consumes as much energy as entire countries
17	such as Ireland. So we're very worried about
18	what that will mean for New York State,
19	especially since we have a number of goals,
20	like meeting 70 percent of our grid by 2030
21	needs to be renewable. And that's going to
22	be harder for increasing our demand on the
23	grid.
24	We also have some specific goals to

_	reduce overall energy consumpcion. It's soil
2	of a smaller goal that's often forgotten, but
3	we have a goal to reduce our energy
4	consumption by 185 trillion British thermal
5	units. And as far as I know, we've been on
6	track to meet it. But we have to reduce the
7	amount of energy consumption we have if we're
8	going to meet our climate goals as a whole.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
11	Assemblyman Englebright.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you,
13	Madam Chair.
14	I just want to say thank you to
15	everybody who has stayed so long to share
16	your thoughts. And I just want to say thank
17	you for what you do every day for the
18	environment. It's really heartening.
19	I have one question for Jessica Ottney
20	Mahar. Jessica, you've taken a lead role in
21	bringing to the budget the issue of an
22	environmental bond act. And I guess I'm just
23	looking for some reassurance that you might
24	be able to offer, and here's the question.

1	Do you have a plan that everybody here
2	and more can join in to educate the public to
3	bring safely into port the ship that you have
4	helped launch?

2.0

MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: Thank you for that question, which I love. And the answer is yes, we do. We have a really exciting campaign that we've put together, and a growing coalition. And again, I think one of the great pieces of this is that it's something that a lot of people can support for different reasons, and a lot of voters do support of all stripes.

And so obviously we're thrilled to be working with all the advocates who have been here today. And to those who aren't yet,

I'll be knocking soon on your door. But to all of you who are going to be running for office again, along with the bond act, we would be thrilled to work with all of you to help educate your voters about what this measure can be doing for communities in your districts.

So thank you for that, Assemblyman.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.
2	Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We have one
4	more Assemblymember, Assemblyman Chris
5	Burdick.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you,
7	Chair Weinstein.
8	And this also is a question for
9	The Nature Conservancy. And I join
10	Chair Englebright in the praise of all of the
11	advocates for the work that you do.
12	I wanted to ask a bit about the
13	wetlands proposal in the Executive Budget,
14	the Article VII, and the statement that the
15	legislation establishes meaningful protection
16	for wetlands, which are currently
17	underregulated due to gaps in state law.
18	You know, I couldn't agree more. You
19	know, as a supervisor formerly, I ran up
20	against the fact that DEC regulations in
21	state law preempts the locality from being
22	able to prohibit the application of
23	herbicides and pesticides in wetlands. And I
24	raised this this morning in conversations

Ţ	with a number of the agency representatives,
2	in the hope that perhaps we could get some
3	movement on that and perhaps amend the
4	Executive proposal. And wanted to know your
5	thoughts about that and whether The Nature
6	Conservancy or, for that matter, any of
7	the other advocates here might support
8	that.
9	MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: Supporting
10	prohibiting the use of pesticides in
11	wetlands?
12	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Allowing
13	localities, municipalities that have wetlands
14	in their borders to be able to prohibit the
15	application of herbicides and pesticides in
16	wetlands within their borders. Right now
17	they can't do that, they're preempted by
18	state law.
19	MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: Yeah. And so, you
20	know, I will just say that The Nature
21	Conservancy would want to have a more
22	detailed conversation with a locality that's
23	considering doing something like that, and
24	with the state about these issues.

1	we've seen in you know, efforts to
2	reduce the use of pesticides, which is
3	important and something that needs to happen.
4	Also, there can be unintended consequences
5	for things like habitat management. There
6	are times where conservation organizations,
7	including The Nature Conservancy, do utilize
8	chemical controls if it is the only option.
9	For example, there was an outbreak of
10	hemlock woolly adelgid recently on
11	Dome Island in Lake George, and we needed to
12	use a chemical to control that.
13	And, you know, it's a matter of making
14	sure you're using certified pesticide
15	applicators that are trained in applying the
16	pesticide in a way that's appropriate for an
17	environment that involves water. But there
18	are some cases where habitat damage can be
19	extreme from an invasive species and a
20	chemical control is your only option.
21	So I think that it's not kind of a
22	cut-and-dried issue and there would need to
23	be discussion about
24	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: I'm almost out

1	of time. Let's have an offline conversation
2	about that, if we could.
3	MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: Sure, absolutely.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you.
5	Thanks.
6	MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: You bet.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
8	Senator Krueger, we are no further
9	Assemblymembers. I'm sorry, Liz, you're
10	muted.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you, I was
12	muted.
13	I'm going to thank this panel for
14	their hard work and attention with us
15	tonight.
16	I'm going to call the remaining panel,
17	let each person testify, and we'll see if
18	there's anyone standing by the end. It's
19	quite possible that I won't be.
20	(Laughter.)
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: The Natural
22	Resources Defense Council demurred for this
23	hour.
24	Citizens Campaign for the Environment,

1	Adrienne Esposito; Land Trust Alliance,
2	Meme Hanley; Clean Air Coalition of
3	Western New York, Emily Terrana; Empire
4	Center for Public Policy, James Hanley;
5	Green Education and Legal Fund, Mark Dunlea;
6	and New York League of Conservation Voters,
7	Patrick McClellan.
8	Let's start with Citizens Campaign, if
9	Adrienne's joined us. Oh, she has. Hi,
10	Adrienne.
11	MS. ESPOSITO: Hi, Senator. I'm
12	delighted to be testifying before midnight.
13	And I did see you yawn just once.
14	So good evening, Senate and Assembly
15	members. My name is Adrienne Esposito,
16	executive director of Citizens Campaign for
17	the Environment.
18	In the interests of brevity and
19	perhaps your sanity, I'm going to make four
20	points, and I'm going to be brief and swift.
21	The first is thank you so much for a
22	\$400 million EPF that's in the Governor's
23	budget. We of course urge you to keep that.
24	We do want you, however, to tweak it a little

L	bit,	because	that's	what	advocates	do.

2.0

The first thing is the Oceans and

Great Lakes line item. We are asking for an
increase to 25 million. Great Lakes, as you
know, that funding could go towards cleaning
up the hotspots that have been identified and
are a critical part of the restorations of
the Great Lakes ecosystem.

Also that funding would go towards implementing the ocean action plan, a plan that it took years to craft with marine experts and DEC. And also in that particular line item there could be money for Long Island Sound.

The second line item is the South

Shore Estuary Reserve, something no one has
mentioned today. But that is a

state-designated estuary that goes all the
way from Nassau County to the west to the
Shinnecock Inlet to the east. It's riddled
with harmful algal blooms, brown tide, red
tide, mohagany tide, and restoration
challenges, including inundation from
sea-level rise for wetlands and marshlands.

1	Much restoration is needed. A
2	comprehensive management plan was just
3	updated by the end of last year. The line
4	item has remained flat at \$900,000 for
5	15 years. We're asking for a cost-of-living
6	raise for the South Shore Estuary Reserve.
7	We had asked for 5 million to the Governor;
8	that apparently didn't go well. And now we
9	need your help to increase the number so that
10	we can begin to implement the management plan
11	that was just revised and updated to meet
12	current challenges.
13	And the last thing to do with the EPF
14	is of course to help out with the restoration
15	of Peconic Estuary.
16	The second thing is to reiterate the
17	call for \$1 billion for wastewater. We have
18	lots of wastewater needs, but also this is
19	for filtration for our drinking water, which
20	needs to remain not only clean but also safe
21	and healthy and affordable.
22	The last thing I have to get in here
23	in my 18 seconds is the extended producer

responsibility. I heard questions earlier

1	asking about how much will this cost
2	industry. I'd like to ask you to ask the
3	question how much will this save ratepayers,
4	how much will this save taxpayers?
5	This is about saving money, saving
6	landfill space, saving the environment.
7	Those things go hand-in-hand with a good
8	extended producer responsibility bill, and
9	this is something that needs to get done in
10	the budget.
11	Somebody else asked about how will it
12	impact the wineries. This is not a program
13	that will be implemented next year. It will
14	take four or five years. There will be an
15	advisory board, there will be a needs
16	assessment, there will be a plan established
17	It is not meant to be shock and awe, it is
18	meant to be a program that is delineated,
19	defined, inclusive and effective. We don't
20	have a plan that's working now. We need one
21	to manage our waste.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	MS. ESPOSITO: I know I went a little

1	over.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much. No, fabulous, thank you.
4	Next up who did I say next? Meme
5	Hanley?
6	MS. HANLEY: That's me. Thank you.
7	Good evening, everyone. On behalf of
8	the state's land trust community, thank you
9	for the opportunity to testify today.
10	We are proud of the Governor's and the
11	Legislature's commitment to protecting open
12	space for the many benefits it provides to
13	the public, and today ask for your continued
L 4	investment and partnership.
15	We applaud the Governor's plan to
16	invest \$4 billion in the bond act, to
17	increase state agency staffing, and the
18	proposed \$400 million Environmental
19	Protection Fund.
20	Tonight specifically I'm asking for
21	your support of an EPF that includes at least
22	\$40 million for the Open Space account,
23	\$3 million for the highly successful
24	Conservation Partnership Program, and at

1	least	1.5	mil	Llion	for	the	new	Land	Trust
2	Conse	rvati	ion	Easen	nent	Proc	gram.		

Land trusts work in every region of our state, from Fishers Island in the east to Chautauqua county in the west, from New York City up to the Adirondacks. They're helping meet the demand for open space and providing nature's benefits. What that looks like on the ground are community gardens, rail trails, protected farms, forests and the nature preserves we all depend on.

But more than ever, land conservation is playing an important role in mitigating the worst effects of climate change -- helping to reduce flood risks, cool urban heat islands, sequester carbon, and play an essential role in cleaning our air and water.

A cornerstone of the EPF are appropriations for the Open Space account.

It's imperative to rightsize this funding to reflect the growing needs and the priorities of our state. We see the Executive Budget's increased allocation to \$40 million as a step in the right direction,

1	and t	we h	nope	you	wil	l wo	ork	to	inc	crease	this
2	fund	ing	in t	cime	to	its	his	tor	ic	levels	S .

I'd like to draw your attention to two programs within the Open Space account now.

First, the Conservation Partnership Program.

It has a nearly 20-year track record of issuing competitive grants to land trusts.

It's a model of efficiency. Funds are typically awarded within eight months of a grant award being opened, and the appropriation is spent down every year.

The program is now oversubscribed and turning away strong proposals that would improve quality of life in our communities.

So we urge you to increase funding for this program to \$3 million in the upcoming budget.

Land trusts can also play a very important role in accelerating the pace of land conservation in our forests. And it's critical that we do this now in the face of climate change because forests store carbon, they filter water, they provide jobs and they provide important habitat for wildlife and recreation for people.

1	These lands are at risk for conversion
2	at a time when New Yorkers need them most
3	as a frontline of defense in a changing
4	climate. So we ask that you continue to
5	support this program for the protection of
6	our forests and continue to fund it at least
7	1.5 million.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
10	Next, Emily Terrana, Clean Air
11	Coalition of Western New York.
12	MS. TERRANA: Thank you so much for
13	the opportunity to testify tonight. My time
14	is Emily Terrana, and I'm the director of
15	organizing at Clean Air. We're an
16	environmental justice organization working
17	with poor and working-class communities in
18	and around Buffalo, New York, for
19	environmental justice, public health and a
20	just transition.
21	Tonight I would like to speak
22	specifically about the proposal in the
23	Governor's Executive Budget to extend and
24	expand the Brownfield Cleanup Program. I had

1	a whole lot of things I was going to say
2	earlier, but after listening to this budget
3	hearing since 9:30 a.m., I have a new frame

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

In his remarks earlier today, Commissioner Seggos shared about the great successes of the New York State Brownfield Program. Our members have a very important question for him and for all of you in the Senate and Assembly: Successful for whom? Right now the New York State Brownfield Program is not very successful for poor and working-class communities and communities of color in New York State. It's not very successful for those of us living and dying next to abandoned factories, gas stations and chemical spills. It isn't very successful when community members need to fight tooth and nail to have their voices heard outside of the paltry comment periods that DEC allows.

It's not very successful when our members still can't drink their own water or plant gardens in their backyards because of a brownfield site up the block that is still in

1	the investigation phase seven years later,
2	while the owner of that site can continue to
3	submit new sites and to collect tax credits.
4	It's not successful when our brothers
5	and sisters and siblings in labor are not
6	paid a fair and competitive wage for the hard
7	work that they do.
8	It is, however, successful for large
9	developers and for polluters who get to walk
10	away from their responsibilities to clean up
11	their own mess.
12	We understand that the New York State
13	Brownfield Program is here to stay.
14	Investments that assure a fair and equitable
15	transition need to be baked into our state
16	budget and if done right, the Brownfield
17	Cleanup Program is an incredible opportunity
18	to do this.
19	I want to be clear that I am not
20	saying to get rid of the Brownfield Program.
21	But a number of vital changes must be made to
22	it, especially since we face ongoing climate

impacts. This includes a requirement for

developers to include a plan for community

23

1	advisory groups so people can have a real
2	seat at the table. We've done this in
3	Buffalo, and we fought very, very hard for
4	it, and it's been incredibly successful.
5	We would also want a clause that any
6	site would be ineligible for the program if
7	there's a liable, responsible party to pay
8	for the cost of cleanup, and to extend the
9	definition of public work to the Brownfield
10	Program, since it is indeed funded with
11	taxpayer money.
12	Commissioner Seggos asked us all to
13	remember that these big changes and money
14	spent is about saving lives. At Clean Air we
15	will continue to organize for health and
16	justice in our communities and for folks all
17	over New York State, and we hope that this
18	budget will reflect our values and hopefully
19	our shared commitment to keeping people
20	alive. Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
22	much.
23	James Hanley, Empire Center for Public

Policy.

1	MR.	HAN	NLEY:	Thank	you,	and	good
2	evening.						
3	At	the	Empire	Cente	r we	are	very

concerned with government transparency, and
we find a very significant lack of
transparency concerning the Climate
Leadership and Community Protection Act and
certain policies the Governor has proposed to
implement it.

First, this is a \$340 billion policy, by the estimate of the integration analysis.

New Yorkers have a right to know what they're buying for that amount of money and how they're expected to pay for it. One thing is clear: We are not buying a reduction in global greenhouse gases, given New York's small contribution to global emissions.

It also does not buy any equivalent benefit for New York. Half of the claimed benefits, about \$260 billion, are explicitly global benefits that accrue to the whole world, including China and India, who are continuing to expand their use of coal, leaving only about \$170 billion of benefit

1	for New York, at a cost of up to
2	340 billion a \$170 billion loss.
3	More importantly, this does not
4	clearly buy energy reliability. As both the
5	Independent System Operator and the Climate
6	Action Council have indicated, New York will
7	need 15 to 25 gigawatts of dispatchable
8	emissions-free electricity by 2040, which
9	NYISO has said are not in the pipeline at
10	this time.
11	The Governor is investing in hydrogen
12	with this budget, and that is good seed money
13	to try to attract more federal funds for
14	hydrogen research, so I applaud that. But
15	the state should not commit itself to any one
16	unproven technology at this time. There are
17	multiple potential technologies, none of
18	which have proven themselves either
19	technologically or economically viable at a
20	utility scale, so we should sponsor a
21	competition among them to see which one or
22	multiple ones will prove themselves

I will stop there, in the interests of

23

economically and technologically viable.

1	time, as it is late. Thank you very much.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	Mark Dunlea, Green Education and Legal
5	Fund.
6	Can't hear you, Mark. There you go.
7	MR. DUNLEA: Thank you for staying up
8	beyond my bedtime. In the 40 years I've been
9	testifying at these hearings, first time I
10	think I've gone past 11:00, though I am used
11	to being one of the last to speak.
12	The IPCC has declared a Code Red for
13	the planet; our opportunity to prevent
14	climate change is rapidly running out.
15	What's been done since Paris has been
16	inadequate. Mr. Hanley pointed out that the
17	CAC is talking about we need \$300 billion.
18	That leads to the call from many of us to
19	raise \$15 billion a year in climate funds.
20	That could be done through the CCIA, or it
21	could be done through tax the rich. Or if
22	you can't do that, let's expand the
23	Environmental Bond Act to \$100 billion, not
24	\$4 billion.

1	In 1992, Governor Pataki set out some
2	relatively ambitious goals to expand
3	renewable energy. Over the last 20 years,
4	that's amounted to an increase of 6 percent
5	in wind and solar. Kind of disagree with
6	NYSERDA that it shows we're on our way to
7	accomplishing the goals of the CLCPA. That's
8	one of the reasons why many of us support the
9	New York Build Public Power Act, to allow
10	public power to do that.
11	The groups I work with have also been
12	calling for a number of years to use the
13	State Capitol as a model and move to a
14	hundred percent renewable energy to power
15	both the State Capitol and the Empire State
16	Plaza. We expect legislation to be

We're supportive of the Renewable Heat
Now campaign to -- you know, buildings and
transportation are the two biggest parts of
the emissions problem, much more so than
electricity. We need to end new gas hookups
faster than what Governor Hochul has
proposed.

introduced this year to accomplish that.

1	I began working on the Bottle Bill in
2	1975. I helped pass the Bottle Bill in
3	Massachusetts and Connecticut before
4	returning 40 years ago to help pass it here
5	in New York. It's time to upgrade it and
6	expand it.
7	I certainly agree with some of the
8	points that Judith Enck made about the EPR.
9	Cryptocurrency. Thanks to
10	Assemblywoman Kelles for her legislation,
11	Assemblymember Otis. China has outlawed
12	these type of proof-of-work cryptocurrencies.
13	If China gets the idea this is really bad for
14	the climate, I think New York can pick it up.
15	And I'll end with one of my favorite
16	state legislators, Senator Krueger, and her
17	legislation that I can't believe hasn't been
18	passed yet, and that is to end the fossil
19	fuel subsidies small number, \$350 million.
20	And I'll just say we need to look I
21	think more at why is the state really not
22	going to meet the goals set out in the CLCPA
23	to provide 35 percent of climate funds to the
24	environmental justice disadvantaged

1	communities.
2	Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you, Mark.
4	And our last testifier, from New York
5	League of Conservation Voters, Patrick
6	McCeller McCleller, excuse McClellan,
7	excuse me. I am tired.
8	MR. McCLELLAN: Thank you. Thank you
9	for your patience, and thank you for the
10	opportunity to testify.
11	I'll echo a lot of my colleagues'
12	comments that we support a \$400 million EPF.
13	We're thrilled about that as a step towards
L 4	our long-term goal of a \$500 million EPF. I
15	have more detailed comments on the EPF in my
16	written testimony.
17	We're also pleased to see another
18	\$500 million for clean water infrastructure
19	in the budget. That's going to be important
20	for leveraging all of the new clean water
21	funding that the federal government just
22	allocated in the bipartisan infrastructure
23	deal.

And of course we're also thrilled

1	about new staff at DEC, Parks, DPS and other
2	agencies. And I'll just note that those
3	agencies will continue to need new staff over
4	the coming years as they ramp up
5	implementation of the CLCPA.
6	We support the name change on the bond
7	act to Clean Water, Clean Air and Green Jobs,
8	because we think it's clearer to voters what
9	this actually is. And of course we are also
10	thrilled about the increase to \$4 billion in
11	total.
12	On transportation, we support the
13	Executive Budget's mandate that all new
14	school buses statewide be zero-emission
15	beginning in 2027 and that all school buses
16	in operation be zero-emission by 2035.
17	There are a couple of changes in
18	Senator Kennedy and Assemblymember Fahy's
19	bill on electric school buses that we think
20	ought to be incorporated here. More detail
21	is in my written testimony.
22	And almost more importantly, the state

needs to identify sources of funding to aid

the transition in disadvantaged communities,

1	particularly school districts that primarily
2	serve disadvantaged communities, because
3	otherwise those are going to be the last
4	school districts that are putting electric
5	school buses on the road when they should
6	be the first.

Further on transportation, we would like to see the inclusion of legislation allowing direct sales of electric vehicles as well as legislation to establish a clean fuel standard. A lot of you have heard me talk about a clean fuel standard a whole bunch over the last few years, and it's also included in the Climate Action Council's Draft Scoping Plan.

We support the extension of the Brownfield Cleanup Program and are particularly enthusiastic about the proposed changes to the Brownfield Opportunity Areas program. One issue I will note is that there's a \$50,000 fee for executed brownfield cleanup agreements that a number of affordable housing developers I've spoken with are concerned about. I understand DEC

1	has concerns about program costs, covering
2	their staff time for the Brownfields
3	Program which is of course a reasonable
4	concern. I think there are probably better
5	ways to address that than a fee that could
6	potentially deter projects.
7	We are extremely supportive of the
8	wetlands legislation that's included in the
9	budget.
10	The extended producer responsibility
11	legislation is a very bright start. We're
12	looking forward to working with other
13	advocates and the Legislature to further
14	improve it.
15	We support the building and appliance
16	energy efficiency standards, including the
17	ban on new gas hookups by 2027, although that
18	date can be brought forward for smaller
19	buildings. Particularly, single-family homes
20	you can do earlier than that.
21	And on revenue, we oppose the proposed
22	new tax exemption for the petroleum
23	business tax credit for tugboats. That's a

step in the wrong direction, and what should

1	be done instead in the budget is to include
2	Senator Krueger's bill on fossil fuel tax
3	exemptions.
4	Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
6	much.
7	So I'm going to use my authority,
8	whatever that is, as chair of this hearing to
9	tell everyone we're not taking any more
10	questions. We all have to go home and go to
11	sleep.
12	We have a fabulous panel here of
13	people who are exceptionally easy to reach
14	out to, to follow up with questions
15	afterwards. So I want to thank them very
16	much. We never imagined, when we started at
17	9:30 this morning, that we would still be
18	here at 11:30 at night. And it's really
19	because there's so many legislators who were
20	so interested in staying at these hearings
21	and asking the hard questions.
22	So I want to thank our panelists. I
23	want to thank my fellow legislators. I want

to thank my co-chair, Helene Weinstein. And

Τ	it was my idea to tell you all not to ask any
2	more questions, not hers.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And I want to
5	announce that this hearing is over, but you
6	can either stay all night and be here for
7	9:30 tomorrow morning when we start again, or
8	you might want to go home, shower, try to get
9	in bed for a little while, and then come back
10	for the Human Services hearing tomorrow I
11	guess a different Zoom, but same place,
12	9:30 a.m.
13	Thank you very much, everyone, for
14	your participation.
15	MS. ESPOSITO: Thank you very much,
16	everyone.
17	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Thank you, Chairs.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you,
19	Senator.
20	(Whereupon, the budget hearing
21	concluded at 11:29 p.m.)
22	
23	
2.4	